1. Product Requirements Document (PRD)

A PRD (Product Requirements Document) outlines what needs to be built, why it matters, and how it helps users and the business.

Key Components of a PRD

- Why: Justifies the need for the product (problem, feature request, opportunity).
- What: Describes the solution in a clear, structured way.
- Good Requirements Should Be:
 - Unambiguous, complete, verifiable, consistent, modifiable, traceable.

Example PRD Breakdown (DevFlow)

- Impact: Reduce sprint planning complexity and tool switching.
- Target Users: Software developers, project managers, engineering leaders.
- Success Metrics: Adoption rates, time reduction, accuracy improvements.
- Functional Requirements: Task management, sprint planning, Git integration, reporting.
- Technical Requirements: Performance benchmarks, scalability needs, security standards.
- User Flow Examples: Task creation, sprint planning.
- Dependencies: Frontend (React, TypeScript), Backend (Node.js, PostgreSQL), Infrastructure (AWS, Redis, CI/CD).
- Milestones: Feature releases and launch phases.
- Risk Mitigation: Technical, business, and security risks.

2. Design Document

A **Design Doc** provides a **technical blueprint** for implementing a system, ensuring alignment among engineers and future maintainability.

When to Write a Design Doc?

- Major new features or systems (e.g., adding real-time collaboration).
- Significant architectural changes (e.g., transitioning from monolith to microservices).
- Complex technical decisions (e.g., selecting a new database).

Key Components of a Design Doc

- Goals & Non-Goals: Clearly define scope.
- System Architecture: High-level diagrams and component breakdowns.
- Detailed Design: Data models, API designs, technical decisions, trade-offs.
- Security Considerations: Authentication, authorization, data encryption.
- Monitoring & Alerting: System health, business metrics, alert thresholds
- Migration & Rollout Plan: Phased deployment strategy with rollback plans.

Example Trade-Off Decision

Database Selection

Option Pros Cons Decision

Strong ACID Selected **Postgre** Higher memory SQL compliance, JSON (best for data use, complexity support, analytics integrity & capabilities querying) MongoD Flexible schema, Weak ACID X Rejected good for rapid compliance, risk iterations of inconsistency MySQL Limited JSON X Rejected Easy to manage, low memory usage support, weaker concurrency

3. API Documentation

Best Practices for API Docs

- Know Your Audience: Keep it developer-friendly.
- Scope Definition: Cover essential functionalities and edge cases
- Consistent Formatting: Use structured headers, syntax highlighting.
- Examples & Common Errors: Provide clear input/output examples.

Example API Documentation

```
# POST /api/v1/tasks
Request:
{
    "title": "Implement real-time updates",
    "description": "Add WebSocket support for task updates",
    "priority": 1
}
Response:
{
    "id": "uuid",
    "title": "Implement real-time updates",
    "status": "TODO",
    "created_at": "2025-01-12T..."
}
```

 ${\bf Errors~\&~Handling:}~Document~exceptions~(TypeError, ValueError, Unauthorized).$

Versioning & Changelog: Maintain updates (v1.0.1 - Added new filter).

4. Code Comments & Documentation

When to Write Comments?

DO Comment:

- Complex logic or algorithms
- Business rules & requirements
- Non-obvious fixes or workarounds

```
# Using Floyd-Warshall algorithm for shortest paths
(O(V^3) complexity)
def find_shortest_paths(graph):
    """Computes shortest paths between all pairs of
vertices."""
```

X DON'T Comment:

- Obvious operations (e.g., x = x + 1 # Increment x)
- Self-documenting code (use meaningful function names instead).

Best Practices for Function Documentation

```
def calculate_order_total(items, discount_code=None):
    """
    Calculates the total price of an order.

Args:
        items (List[Item]): List of order items.
        discount_code (str, optional): Promo code.

Returns:
        float: Final order total after discounts.

Raises:
        InvalidDiscountError: If discount code is invalid.
        OutOfStockError: If items are unavailable.
    """
```

TODO & FIXME Comments

```
# TODO: Implement retry logic for API calls
# FIXME: Current implementation is not thread-safe
```

5. Engineering Best Practices

Common Pitfalls

X Over-Engineering

- Overly detailed designs for simple problems.
- Premature optimization (e.g., microservices for a small app).

X Under-Engineering

- Skipping critical design decisions.
- Ignoring scalability & security from the start.

Best Practices

- Keep it clear & concise: Use diagrams and examples.
- Plan for review: Include stakeholders and trade-offs.
- Balance flexibility and maintainability.

Final Summary

- 1 PRD ensures product clarity with clear requirements, success metrics, and risk mitigation.
- 2 Design Docs provide technical blueprints for system architecture, API design, and security.
- **3** API Docs improve developer experience with structured documentation and real-world examples.

- 4 Good commenting practices make code maintainable with clear explanations and structured function docs.
- S Avoid over- and under-engineering: Focus on scalability, performance, and simplicity

1. Product Requirements Document (PRD) – Debugging & Improvement

Question:

You are reviewing the following **Product Requirements Document (PRD)** for a new task management system:

PRD Snippet:

- Target Audience: "For everyone who needs to manage tasks."
- Key Features:
 - Users can create tasks.
 - Tasks can have deadlines.
 - Users receive notifications.
- Success Metrics: Improve productivity.
 Technical Requirements: Should work fast and store data.

V Flaws in the Original PRD:

- Vague Target Audience "For everyone" is too broad.
- Lack of Detail in Success Metrics "Improve productivity" is not measurable.
- Weak Technical Requirements "Work fast and store data" is too ambiguous.

✓ Improved PRD Snippet:

- Target Audience:
 - Software teams managing sprint workflows.
 - Freelancers tracking projects.
- Success Metrics:
 - o 30% reduction in time spent managing tasks per week.
 - 20% increase in task completion rates.
- Technical Requirements:
 - Must handle 10,000 concurrent users.
 - Support real-time notifications with WebSockets.

Why These Fixes Matter:

- Specificity ensures clarity for developers and stakeholders.
- Quantifiable success metrics allow tracking progress.
- Technical feasibility is better defined.

Justification:

- If the goal is low-latency, real-time collaboration, OT is better (since it's used in major editors).
- If peer-to-peer collaboration is needed, CRDTs are a better choice.

Alternative Approach:

 Hybrid Model: Use OT for real-time edits and CRDTs for offline syncing (best of both worlds).

3. API Documentation – Debugging & Fixing Errors

Question:

You are reviewing the following API documentation for a weather app:

```
API Snippet:
```

```
GET /weather
{
    "city": "Los Angeles",
    "temperature": 72,
    "conditions": "Sunny"
}
```

Solution:

Issues in the Original API:

- Improper HTTP Method GET /weather should not contain a request body.
- Incomplete Response Format Missing units, timestamps, and location details.
- Lack of Versioning No v1 or v2, which makes future updates difficult.

✓ Improved API Documentation:

```
GET /api/v1/weather?city=LosAngeles&units=metric
    Response:
{
    "city": "Los Angeles",
    "temperature": {
        "value": 22,
        "unit": "Celsius"
    },
    "conditions": "Sunny",
    "timestamp": "2025-03-14T15:30:00Z"
}
```

Why This is Better:

- Uses query parameters instead of an invalid request body.
- Includes units and timestamps for clarity.
- Adds versioning (v1) for API maintainability.

Question:

The following Python function is used in an **e-commerce system to apply discounts**:

riginal Code:

```
def calc(t, d):
    return t - (t * d / 100)
```

Tasks:

- 1. Rewrite the function with better naming and comments.
- Explain why good documentation matters in engineering teams

Solution:

✓ Improved Version:

```
def apply_discount(total_price: float, discount_percent: float)
-> float:
    """
    Applies a percentage-based discount to the total price.
```

```
Args:
    total_price (float): The original price before

discount.
    discount_percent (float): Discount percentage (0-100).

Returns:
    float: The final price after applying the discount.

Example:
    >>> apply_discount(100, 10)
    90.0

"""

return total_price - (total_price * discount_percent / 100)
```

Why Good Documentation Matters:

- Better readability apply_discount is clearer than calc(t, d).
- Easier debugging Future developers understand intent quickly.
- Prevents misuse Function explains expected input/output behavior.

Question:

A junior developer suggests using microservices for a simple to-do list app.

★ Their Justification:

- Microservices are scalable.
- Each feature (tasks, users, notifications) should be its own service.
- Helps prevent tech debt early.

Solution:

Flaws in Using Microservices for a To-Do List App:

- Overhead Managing multiple services adds complexity (deployment, monitoring).
- Unnecessary for Small Apps Monoliths are simpler and easier to maintain.
- Premature Optimization Scaling should be done when needed, not preemptively.

✓ Simpler Alternative:

- Use a single backend API with a modular architecture.
- If scaling is needed later, split into services gradually.

When Microservices Make Sense:

- When the app needs independent scaling (e.g., Netflix, Amazon).
- If different teams manage different services.
- When services have different storage or compute needs.