Concurrency

Processes vs. Threads

✔ Processes:

 $\mbox{Like runners in separate lanes} \rightarrow \mbox{independent but must} \\ \mbox{manage shared resources}.$

✓ Threads:

Like a team working in one lane \rightarrow share resources within a process.

Synchronization Primitives

✓ Mutex (Mutual Exclusion):

Like a single special water bottle \rightarrow only one thread can use it at a time.

Semaphore:

Like a limited number of stretchers \rightarrow multiple threads can access, but only up to a limit.

Critical Sections, Race Conditions, and Deadlocks

✔ Race Condition:

Two threads try to access the same resource at the same time, causing unexpected behavior.

Example: Two runners reach for the same water bottle at the same time \rightarrow water spills.

✔ Deadlock:

Two threads wait for each other indefinitely, preventing progress. Example:

Team Member A holds one stretcher but needs another. Team Member C holds the other stretcher but also needs one more.

Neither can proceed → Deadlock!

Proper synchronization (mutexes, semaphores) prevents race conditions and deadlocks in multi-threaded systems.

Why Use Concurrency?

- ightharpoonup Improves speed & efficiency ightharpoonup Don't waste time waiting, do other tasks.
- \checkmark Leverages modern multi-core CPUs \rightarrow Keeps cores busy for better performance.
- \checkmark Enhances UI responsiveness \rightarrow Prevents freezing by running background tasks.

Why Is Concurrency Hard?

- ✔ Even experienced engineers struggle to avoid race conditions, deadlocks, and crashes.
- ✔ Requires careful synchronization (mutexes, semaphores) to prevent conflicts.

Real-World Failure: Therac-25 Radiation Overdose

✓ Issue: A race condition in the software caused incorrect radiation doses.

Cause:

The system failed to synchronize shared variables. High-dose mode accidentally activated, leading to severe overdoses.

- Problem: Race Condition
- ${\boldsymbol \nu}$ Threads t1 and t2 modify radiationLevel at the same time \to No synchronization
- ✓ Since radiationLevel += 10 and radiationLevel -= 10 are not atomic operations, the final value may be unexpected or incorrect.
- ✓ Different runs might produce different results (unpredictable behavior).

- ✓ Solution: Mutex (std::mutex)
- ✓ std::lock guard<std::mutex> lock(radiationMutex);

This locks the shared variable (radiationLevel) to prevent multiple threads from modifying it simultaneously.

- $\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ Ensures safe access to radiation Level, preventing race conditions.
- ✓ Now, the final value of radiationLevel is always predictable and correct.
- ★ Concurrency can be powerful but must be carefully managed to prevent catastrophic failures

Strategies for Handling Concurrency

- 1 Strategy 1 Be Slow (Prioritize Correctness over Speed)
- ✓ Example: Regression Testing for ML Models
 - 1. Copy models to a staging directory
 - (a) Copy baseline models (10 min)
 - (b) Copy test models (10 min)
 - 2. Compare outputs using the same inputs.
 - 3. Optimization Tip: (a) & (b) can run in parallel if copying is a bottleneck.

Key Idea: Prioritize accuracy and avoid concurrency issues by not rushing operations.

- 2 Strategy 2 Isolate
- ✓ Keep shared resources separate to minimize conflicts.
- ✓ Instead of multiple threads sharing the same variable, give each thread its own copy.
- 3 Strategy 3 Use Mutex (and Don't Forget to Unlock)
- Mutex (std::mutex) ensures only one thread modifies a resource at a time.
- ✔ Always unlock mutexes to avoid deadlocks.

```
std::mutex mtx;
void safeFunction() {
    std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock(mtx); // Ensures mutex is
unlocked automatically
    // Critical section
}
```

- 4 Strategy 4 Use Tools
- ✓ Static Analysis Tools (e.g., ThreadSanitizer, Helgrind) detect concurrency bugs.
- ✓ Thread-safe libraries reduce manual handling of locks.
- 5 Strategy 5 Put Comments
- ✔ Clearly document thread safety for each class/function.
- ✓ Examples of thread-safety comments: