

An Introduction to Clustering

Jacob Miller

Department of Mathematics

University of Iowa

Outline:

- What is Clustering?
- Theory
- Types of Clusters
- Some Clustering Algorithms
- Clustering Evaluation

What is Clustering?

Partitioning a dataset where points within each group are more *similar* to each other than to points in other groups.

Formally: Given dataset $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, find partition $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ where $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i = X$ and $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Unsupervised: No ground truth labels to check clustering against.

Visual Example of Clustering

Intuition: Clusters are dense subsets separated by sparse subsets.

What is 'Similar'?

- **Partitional Style:** Views clustering as an optimization problem on partitions.

Given data points $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, find a partition $\{C_1, \dots, C_K\}$ that (min)maximizes some (dis)similarity score (between) within clusters.

- **Hierarchical Style:** Creates a binary tree where splits at different levels obtains different clustering solutions without rerunning clustering.

Optimization Examples

$$\min_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{Part}(X)} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x_i, x_j \in C_k} d(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\max_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{Part}(X)} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x_i, x_j \in C_k} \text{sim}(x_i, x_j)$$

Distance Example

$$\min_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x_i \in C_k} d(x_i, \mu_k)$$

Where μ_k is a representative for cluster C_k

- Euclidean: $d(x_i, x_j) = \|x_i - x_j\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{d=1}^D (x_{id} - x_{jd})^2}$
- Manhattan: $d(x_i, x_j) = \|x_i - x_j\|_1 = \sum_{d=1}^D |x_{id} - x_{jd}|$
- Cosine: $d(x_i, x_j) = 1 - \frac{x_i \cdot x_j}{\|x_i\|_2 \|x_j\|_2}$

What would you want?

- **Scale-invariance:** $f(\alpha \cdot X) = f(X)$ for $\alpha > 0$
- **Richness:** For any partition of X , there exists a distance function such that the clustering function returns that partition
- **Consistency:** Shrinking intra-cluster distances and expanding inter-cluster distances doesn't change the clustering

Impossibility Theorem

- **Scale-invariance:** $f(\alpha \cdot X) = f(X)$ for $\alpha > 0$
- **Richness:** For any partition of X , there exists a distance function such that the clustering function returns that partition
- **Consistency:** Shrinking intra-cluster distances and expanding inter-cluster distances doesn't change the clustering

Kleinberg's Impossibility Theorem: There is no clustering scheme that satisfies all three properties simultaneously.

Types of Clusters

The choice of distance criterion and algorithm determines cluster shapes:

Spherical/Convex

Circular or elliptical boundaries

- **Assumption:** $d(x, \mu) \leq r$ for cluster center μ and radius r
- Example: K-means produces spherical clusters with L_2 norm

center

Manifold/Non-Convex

center

Varying vs. Uniform Density

- **Uniform:** $\rho(C_i) \approx \rho(C_j)$ for all clusters i, j
- **Varying:** $\frac{|C_i|/\text{vol}(C_i)}{|C_j|/\text{vol}(C_j)} \gg 1$ for some clusters

center

Well-separated vs. Connected:

- **Separated:** $\min_{x \in C_i, y \in C_j} d(x, y) > \max_{x, y \in C_k} d(x, y)$ for $k \in \{i, j\}$
- **Connected:** Clusters connected by low-density regions

center

Types of Clustering Algorithms

Four main categories:

1. **Partitioning:** Minimize $\sum_k \sum_{x \in C_k} d(x, \mu_k)^2$
2. **Hierarchical:** Build tree with linkage $d(C_i, C_j)$
3. **Density-based:** Find $\{x : \rho(x) > \theta\}$ for density ρ
4. **Model-based:** Maximize $\prod_i \sum_k \pi_k p(x_i | \theta_k)$

Each has different assumptions about cluster structure!

center

Partitioning (distance) Methods: K-Means

Algorithm:

1. Initialize K cluster centroids $\mu_1^{(0)}, \dots, \mu_K^{(0)}$ randomly
2. Assign: $C_k^{(t)} = \{x_i : k = \arg \min_j \|x_i - \mu_j^{(t-1)}\|^2\}$
3. Update: $\mu_k^{(t)} = \frac{1}{|C_k^{(t)}|} \sum_{x_i \in C_k^{(t)}} x_i$
4. Repeat steps 2-3 until $\|\mu_k^{(t)} - \mu_k^{(t-1)}\| < \epsilon$, or t reaches some threshold.

center

K-Means:

- K-Means is effectively minimizing the Sum-Square Error (SSE)
- SSE monotonically decreases with each iteration
 - eventually converges to a local minimum.

Factors:

- Choosing the initial centroids
- Estimating the number of clusters

K-Modes Clustering:

A similar approach to K-Means but for categorical data.

1. Select K initial modes.
 2. Form K clusters by assigning data-points to the cluster with the nearest mode using matching metric (L_0).
 3. Recompute modes for each clusters.
 4. repeat 2-3 until convergence criterion is met.
- Note: still only local optimal solutions.

Hierarchical Clustering

Attempts to handle issues with partitional clustering.

1. **Agglomerative** (bottom-up): Start with n clusters $\{x_i\}$, merge until k clusters
2. **Divisive** (top-down): Start with 1 cluster X , split until k clusters

Output: Dendrogram showing cluster hierarchy at all levels

- Allows for cutting the hierarchy at any given level instead of prespecifying the number of clusters.

Dendrogram

center

Agglomerative Clustering Steps:

1. Initialize each point as a cluster.
2. A dissimilarity matrix is constructed between all clusters.
3. Closest sets of clusters are merged at each level and the dissimilarity matrix is updated.
4. Repeat until converge to a final maximal cluster.

Some Linkage Criteria

Single linkage: Distance between closest points (NN)

Complete linkage: Distance between farthest points (Diameter)

Average linkage: Average distance between all pairs (average link)

Ward's Linkage: Uses K-Means squared error criterion weighted by cardinalities

- Minimal variance when merging clusters.

Single-Linkage Clustering

1. **Initialize:** Start with n clusters, each containing one point

$$\mathcal{C}^{(0)} = \{\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \dots, \{x_n\}\}$$

2. **Compute distance matrix:** Calculate all pairwise cluster distances

$$D_{ij}^{(0)} = d(x_i, x_j) \text{ for all } i \neq j$$

3. **Find minimum:** Identify closest pair of clusters

$$(i^*, j^*) = \arg \min_{i, j: i \neq j} D_{ij}^{(t)}$$

4. **Merge:** Combine clusters C_{i^*} and C_{j^*}

$$C_{\text{new}} = C_{i^*} \cup C_{j^*}$$

5. **Update distances:** For all remaining clusters C_k :

$$D_{\text{new},k} = \min(D_{i^*,k}, D_{j^*,k})$$

6. **Repeat:** Until desired number of clusters or single cluster remains

Single-Linkage

Note: Creates elongated clusters due to **chaining effect**

- clusters connected by single close points will merge.

center

Density-Based Clustering

- Clusters are dense regions separated by sparse regions
- Allows for clusters of arbitrary shape

Factors:

- How is density estimated?
- How is connectivity defined?

Examples: DBSCAN, DENCLUE, OPTICS

DBSCAN

- **Core point:** $\rho_{\epsilon}(x) \geq \text{minPts}$
- **Border point:** $\rho_{\epsilon}(x) < \text{minPts}$ but \exists core point y with $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$
- **Noise point:** Neither core nor border

Density-reachable: x is density-reachable from y if \exists chain of core points connecting them.

DBSCAN Algorithm

1. For each point p :
 - Find $N_\epsilon(p) = \{q \in X : d(p, q) \leq \epsilon\}$
 - If $|N_\epsilon(p)| \geq \text{minPts}$, mark as core point
2. For each core point p :
 - Create cluster $C = \{p\} \cup \{q : q \text{ density-reachable from } p\}$
3. Assign border points to nearby clusters
4. Mark remaining points as noise

center

Probabilistic model-based

Optimize the fit between observed data and some model using a probabilistic approach

Clustering → Parameter Estimation

Probabilistic Overview:

Suppose the dataset X consists of N observations from a random variable \mathbf{x} distributed via a mixture of K components (clusters)

The mixture distribution (pdf) of x_n can be written as

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_n | \theta_k)$$

Where π_k are the mixing weights (sum to 1) and θ_k is the set of parameters for the k^{th} component.

By Bayes' theorem we get:

$$p(z_{nk} = 1 | x_n) = \frac{\pi_k p(x_n | \theta_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j p(x_n | \theta_j)}$$

where z_{nk} is the membership of x_n in cluster k

Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

Considers the best estimate of parameters as one that maximizes probability of generating all observations:

$$\log p(\mathbf{X}|\Theta) = \sum_{n=1}^N \log \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_n|\theta_k)$$

$$\Theta_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\Theta} \{\log p(\mathbf{X}|\Theta)\}$$

EM alternates between:

E-step: Compute posterior distribution of latent variables

M-step: Maximize expected complete log-likelihood

Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM)

Assumption: Data generated from K Gaussian distributions

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(x | \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right)$$

EM Algorithm for GMM

E-step: Compute responsibility of component k for point i

$$r_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_j, \Sigma_j)}$$

M-step: Update parameters

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}}, \quad \pi_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}$$
$$\Sigma_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} (x_i - \mu_k)(x_i - \mu_k)^T}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}}$$

center

Spectral Clustering

1. Construct a similarity graph for all data points.
2. Data points are embedded in a space where clusters are more obvious (aka Spectral Embedding)
 - uses eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian
3. A classical clustering algorithm like K-Means is applied to partition the embedding

center

center

High-Dimensions

Curse of dimensionality:

1. Any Global optimization approach increases computation exponentially with dimensions.
2. Concentration effect: distance measure is less effective.
3. More irrelevant attributes (dimensions) in clustering.
4. Correlated attributes are redundant
 - Intrinsic dimensionality \ll Embedding dimensionality (number of features).

Co-Clustering

Motivation: Cluster both rows (samples) and columns (features) simultaneously

Matrix: $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow$ row clusters $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \dots, R_k\}$, column clusters $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_\ell\}$

- **Spectral co-clustering:** SVD of $D_r^{-1/2} X D_c^{-1/2}$
- **Block diagonal:** Minimize $\|X - \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} R_i C_j^T\|_F^2$
- **Information-theoretic:** Maximize mutual information $I(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{C})$

Cluster Evaluation

1. **External validation:** Compare against ground truth labels
2. **Internal validation:** Evaluates clustering using the same data
 - Silhouette score, Calinski-Harabasz index, Davies-Bouldin index

Silhouette Score

For each point i : Compute silhouette coefficient

$$s_i = \frac{b_i - a_i}{\max(a_i, b_i)}$$

where:

- $a_i = \frac{1}{|C(i)|-1} \sum_{j \in C(i), j \neq i} d(i, j)$: avg distance within cluster
- $b_i = \min_{k \neq C(i)} \frac{1}{|C_k|} \sum_{j \in C_k} d(i, j)$: avg distance to nearest cluster

Silhouette Score

Overall score is given by the average of these coefficients

$$\text{Silhouette} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \in [-1, 1]$$

- $s_i \rightarrow 1$ (well-clustered)
- $s_i \rightarrow 0$ (boundary)
- $s_i \rightarrow -1$ (misclassified)

center

FIN