	 NLP Model Tag filtering system Extractive summarization Summay & Future plans Part 1) Model data preparation import pandas as pd import numpy as np df_original = pd.read_csv('./Datasets/Book_info_original.csv')
10]: 10]:	 1.1 Data Revise In previous exploratory data analysis, we observed some potential flaws in original datasets: 1.1 Insufficient manga books Books under genre manga seems being especially insufficient in data (only 20 books) compared to other genres (100~300 books) len (df_original[df_original['Genre'] == 'manga'])
12]:	 1.2 Hidden duplicated books Interestingly, some books are implicitly duplicated in original data: they only differ in Genre but all these records refer to a same book; The resaon is that in our previous web scraping, for simplicity we keep the pre-defined 40 genres as the main Genre attribute for each book, but sometimes a single book will be listed under many different genres, resulting in 'duplicated' records. E.g. See the following Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrests, it actually was listed under fantasy, fiction, children, etc However, these implicit duplicates are not detected by codes directly using .duplicated() # if directly using .duplicated() to detect duplicates df_original.duplicated() .sum()
15]: 15]:	df_original[df_original['Uid'] == 15881].head(4) Uid
	Chamber of Secrets Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets Awa Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets The secrets of Secrets Awa In the See also: Robert of Secrets Awa Awa In the See also: Robert of Secrets Awa Award, had come of Secrets See also: Robert of Secrets Awa Award, had come of Secrets Awa See also: Robert of Secrets Awa See also: Robert of Secrets Awa Award, had come of Secrets Award, had come of Secrets Awa See also: Robert of Secrets Awa Se
	 Subsequently this observatin gives a rise to other fundamental problems: 1) Which of these duplicated records should we keep? (i.e. Which genre you think these books should be?) It is hard to answer because a book's content might interact with many different genres and randomly keeping one record is unreasonable; So we decided to obtain each book's genre list by re-scrape it under book's information page, rather than genre classification given by website 2) If we drop the duplicates, the number of unique books are greatly reduced (8032> 5545)
	print("The original total book number is: ", len(df original)) print("The unique book number is: ", len(df original.drop duplicates (subset=('Uid', 'Title'))) The original total book number is: 8032 The unique book number is: 5545 1.3 Biased reviews Review dataset might be biased: more positive reviews than negative ones (feedback from Module 1) Currently # Negative review (Rating: 1~3): # Positive review (Rating: 4~5) = 1:4 (roughly), unbalanced To solve the above problems, we decide to re-scrape additional book data, which is in nature the best and straightforward solution The revised dataset has the following improvements targeting previous probems: More manga books: 20> 140 Re-scrape the book genre list: see detailed code in Uid_Genre.py Re-scrape more unique books, 5545> 7846 (Genre_Book_Add.py) Correspondingly more book reviews: 80461> 115033 and we purposely select more negative reviews to make dataset more balanced # * Negative review (Rating: 1~3): # Positive review (Rating: 4~5) = 1:2 (more balanced compared to before) For data combination, cleansing and some pre-processing steps, since they are lengthy and not close to this module's topic, you can see detailed codes in Data_Revise_Cleansing.ipynb if you like. We will directly use the further cleaned datasets in following sections: Book_info_cleaned.csv Book_stats (folder for all book statistics, since 1 book has 4 x 170 entries, we keep them separately) 1.2 Datasets modification for model use 1.2.1 Book information dataset: df_info dataframe
<pre>16]: 17]:</pre>	<pre>df_info = pd.read_csv('./Datasets/Basic_datasets/Book_info_cleaned.csv') df_info.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""> RangeIndex: 7776 entries, 0 to 7775 Data columns (total 19 columns): # Column</class></pre>
18]:	11 Follower_Num 7776 non-null int64 12 Review_Num 7776 non-null int64 13 Rating_Num 7776 non-null int64 14 Five_Star 7776 non-null int64 15 Four_Star 7776 non-null int64 16 Three_Star 7776 non-null int64 17 Two_Star 7776 non-null int64 18 One_Star 7776 non-null int64 dtypes: float64(1), int64(11), object(7) memory usage: 1.1+ MB Turn rating distribution into percentage • Since different books have different volume of ratings number, it is more reasonable to look at the percentage of each rating category rather than the real rating number. So we first compute the rating percentages as follows: df_info['Five_star_percent'] = df_info['Five_Star'] / df_info['Rating_Num'] df_info['Three_star_percent'] = df_info['Three_star'] / df_info['Rating_Num'] df_info['Three_star_percent'] = df_info['Three_star'] / df_info['Rating_Num']
	<pre>df_info['Two_star_percent'] = df_info['Two_Star'] / df_info['Rating_Num'] df_info['One_star_percent'] = df_info['One_Star'] / df_info['Rating_Num'] df_info = df_info.drop(['Five_Star', 'Four_Star', 'Three_Star', 'Two_Star', 'One_Star'], axis=1) Data pre-processing before using df_info dataframe • Because the list-type data are stored as strings, we need to turn them back to the correct data type. from ast import literal_eval df_info['Genres'] = df_info['Genres'].apply(lambda x: literal_eval(x) if "[" in x else x) df_info['Award'] = df_info['Award'].apply(lambda x: literal_eval(x) if "[" in x else x)</pre>
21]: 48]:	Award - Ordinal Encoding • We use the number of awards the book has obtained to represent the 'Award' attribute • https://analyticsindiamag.com/a-complete-guide-to-categorical-data-encoding/ Award_Num = df_info['Award'].apply(lambda x: 0 if x == ['no'] else len(x)) df_info['Award_Num'] = Award_Num We look at the book ratings' distribution print("The number of low rating books (Rating <= 4.0): ", len(df_info[df_info['Rating'] < 4.0])) print("The number of high rating books (Rating > 4.0): ", len(df_info[df_info['Rating'] >= 4.0]))
36]:	The number of low rating books (Rating <= 4.0): 2992 The number of high rating books (Rating > 4.0): 4784 Book Statistics The book statistics data reflects the <i>changes</i> (compared to previous day) in the following attributes over past 6 months: • date: The date for these statistics • added: changes of times this book has been added to users' bookshelves from previous day • ratings: changes of times this book has been rated by users from previous day • reveiws: changes of times this book is left reviews by users from previous day • to-read: changes of times this book has been marked as 'to-read' by users (Note: the first row in file is the sum of these statistics until the day we scraped the data) We want to combine book statistics into its information dataframe df_info, however, each book only has one entry in information dataframe, while it has 4 x 170 records for its statistics. Directly combining them will make the dimensionality messy and hard to interpret, so we decide to use their statistical indicators for better measurement: • Median: measures the central tendency of data distribution • IQR (Interquatile Range): measures the dispersion level of data distribution (more robust to scale problem compared to variance) • https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robust_measures_of_scale
60]:	<pre>path = './Partial_datasets/Book_stats/' filenames = os.listdir(path) df_demo = pd.read_csv(path + filenames[0]) df_demo.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""> RangeIndex: 172 entries, 0 to 171 Data columns (total 5 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype</class></pre>
38]:	
39]: 39]:	
	2 1000751 124063 26433 24.0 7.0 1.0 7.0 8.00 7.00 1.0 3 10008056 36918 6637 12.0 4.0 0.0 2.0 6.00 4.75 0.0 4 10009377 27195 11902 22.0 6.0 0.0 0.0 9.0 17.75 5.00 1.0
_	7775 9999107 85198 32656 19.0 5.0 0.0 7.0 7.75 5.00 1.0 7776 rows × 11 columns Combine statistics data with original df_info dataframe df_info = df_info.merge(df_info_stats, on='Uid') # Store as csv for further use df_info.to_csv('./Datasets/Basic_datasets/Book_info_model.csv', index=False) 1.2.2 Book reviews dataset: df_reviews_dataframe
117	<pre>1.2.2 Book reviews dataset: df_reviews dataframe df_reviews = pd.read_csv('./Datasets/Basic_datasets/Book_reviews_cleaned.csv') df_reviews.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""> RangeIndex: 114034 entries, 0 to 114033 Data columns (total 10 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype</class></pre>
118	8 N_Likes 114034 non-null int64 9 N_Comments 114034 non-null int64 dtypes: int64(6), object(4) memory usage: 8.7+ MB Generally we keep the same ratio of negative: positive ratings as book information datasets (around 1:2) print("The number of negative reviews (Rating: 1~3): ", len(df_reviews[df_reviews['Review_Rating'] < 4])) print("The number of positive reviews (Rating: 4~5): ", len(df_reviews[df_reviews['Review_Rating'] >= 4])) The number of negative reviews (Rating: 1~3): 35203 The number of positive reviews (Rating: 4~5): 78831 We want to examine our unique users for the use in further recommendation models
119 19]:	 Here unique means these users not only share the same name but also the same number of reviews (Reviewer + N_Review) Because GoodReads allow users to use the same username to register an account, we would like to use the N_Review (# of reviews written to help identification) Uid Title N_Follower Review_Rating Review_Date Content N_Likes N_Comments Reviewer N_Review W_Review Amanda Amanda Am
	(shan) Littlebookcove 152 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	But the above result of unique users is actually inaccurate, please see the following example: These two users with the same name 'Luna' but different number of reviews are actually the same user (we checked on website) But why we see this situation happens? The reasons are the following: 1) GoodReads website has some historical problem about user information updates, i.e. the user might have more reivew numbers than some historical timestamps, but the website did not update these information cascade; 2) Our web scraping takes some time, the user's review number might have changed in this time interval, making them different.
128 129 29]:	this problem shortly after this. We first just modify the reviewer names for better format. • Actually the following user with name 'Luna' is an example, the 1st and 5th are the same user, while the other 4 are a different user for index, row in df_reviews.iloc[[36645, 59944, 60198, 60782, 110764, 110967], 2].iteritems():
130	The Postmodern Condition A Report on Knowledge This Cold Heaven Seven Seasons in Greenland Three Dialogues and Philonous Three Dialogues and Philonous Three Dialogues and Philonous The Postmodernism, What now? After the fall of the This Cold Heaven Seven Seasons in Greenland Three Dialogues and Philonous Three Dialogues Between Hylas and Philonous Three Dialogues Luna 265 1 5 September 16, 2021 Three Dialogues Between Hylas and Philonous Three Dialogues Between Hylas Between Hylas and Philonous Three Dialogues Between Hylas and Philonous T
131 132	<pre>return no_punct df_reviews['Reviewer'] = df_reviews['Reviewer'].apply(remove_punctuation) # reviewer names names = df_reviews['Reviewer'].unique() print(len(names))</pre>
	The number of 'unique' (name + review number) users are not much changed from before • To some extent, we would say our operation of modifying name format did not make too much negative impacts on data integrity print("The number of unique users are: ", len(df_reviews.groupby(by=['Reviewer', 'N_Review']).count())) The number of unique users are: 41255 To tackle this problem, our approach is to combine reviewers' entries with the same name & close review numbers • We assume that the user with the same name and close number of reviews are the same user. • From deep observation and several trials on original data, we set 100 as the limit for the definition of close reviews (i.e. absolute difference is smaller or equal to 100), and we will check the quality of this operation afterwards.
133 382	The number of 'unique' (name + review number) users are not much changed from before • To some extent, we would say our operation of modifying name format did not make too much negative impacts on data integrity print ("The number of unique users are: ", len(df_reviews.groupby(by=['Reviewer', 'N_Review']).count())) The number of unique users are: 41255 To tackle this problem, our approach is to combine reviewers' entries with the same name & close review numbers • We assume that the user with the same name and close number of reviews are the same user. • From deep observation and several trials on original data, we set 100 as the limit for the definition of close reviews (i.e. absolute difference is smaller or euqal to 100), and we will check the quality of this operation afterwards.
382	The number of 'unique' (name + review number) users are not much changed from before • To some extent, we would say our operation of modifying name format clid not make too much negative impacts on data integrity print ("the octains of unique seets are: ", lexidig reviews, consubstitive" ("reviewes", "impactive"), counts ("if the number of unique seets are: "(.23) To tackle this problem, our approach is to combine reviewers' entries with the same name & close review numbers • We assume that the user with the same name and close number of reviews are the same name & close review numbers • We see the copy of the copy
382	The number of 'unique' (name + review number) users are not much changed from before • Its sense cloth, we could spray an operation of modeling terms formed do not make the number of particles and the country of the
382	The number of funique (name + review number) users are not much changed from before • to some creative, would say on coaster of maching grows brand on an amount active frequent signature of the significant process of the same case and a finite processors of the same case and a same and does number of reviews are the same case. **We assume that the user with the same name and does number of reviews are the same case. **We assume that the user with the same name and does number of reviews are the same case. **We assume that the user with the same name and does number of reviews are the same case. **We assume that the user with the same name and does number of reviews are the same case. **We assume that the user with the same name and does number of reviews are the same case. **The same for the same same and same and does number of reviews are the same case. **The same for the same same and same same same and same same same and same same same same same same same same
382	The number of unique frame - review number) uses are not much charged from before • To some country as void as or or a potential production price to metal the control as which agrees a post of the potential price of the potentia
135	The number of unique (pame + review number) users are not much changed from before * Transmission and all against quark and widging number of all a the number of heapth intention in the single path (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) are number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998). The path (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the centre of the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) are not to the number of single path (Fig. 1998) and (Fig. 1998) ar
382 []: 29]:	The contained of unique (pounds a reasine transition) can't use of the black and contained transition was also also displayed from the black and contained transition was also also displayed from the black and contained transition was also also displayed from the black and contained transition was also also displayed from the black and contained transition was also displayed from the black and contained transitions are also as
382 []: 29]:	The number of fundament of surface and extended of sold in the surface and extended from bodies. It is not to be a surface and extended of sold in the surface and extended o
382 []: 29]:	The sum of a basing a basine with a construction and analyses are all and the construction and a sequence of the construction and a construction a
382 []: 29]:	The second content of the part of the pa
382 29]: 336 231	Company Comp
382 []: 231 231 231 231	Company Comp
382 []: 231 231 240	Column
382 []: 232 231 231 242 242	Company
231 231 231 231 241 242 242 242 242	Column C
242 231 231 242 242 242 242 242 242	Company Comp

In [248... threshold list = np.linspace(0, 120, 121, dtype='int64') ratio = [] book num = [] record num = [] for threshold in threshold list: reviewer id = reviewer book count[reviewer book count['Uid'] >= threshold].index.get level values('UserID') reviewer df = df reviews modified[(df reviews modified['UserID'].isin(reviewer id))] total = len(reviewer id) * len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique()) # total ratings needed records = len(reviewer df) book num.append(len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique())) record num.append(records) ratio.append(records / total) import matplotlib.pyplot as plt In [249... plt.figure(figsize=(18, 6)) plt.subplot(1, 3, 1) plt.plot(threshold list, ratio) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Ratio') plt.subplot(1, 3, 2) plt.plot(threshold_list, book_num) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Book_num') plt.subplot(1, 3, 3) plt.plot(threshold_list, record_num) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Record_num') plt.show() 7500 0.035 100000 0.030 7000 0.025 80000 6500 Book num 0.020 60000 6000 0.015 40000 0.010 5500 0.005 20000 5000 0.000 20 100 120 20 100 60 80 120 60 100 60 120 Threshold Threshold Threshold Finally we choose the threshold as 40 (i.e. users with \geq 40 reviews will be kept) Improve the sparsity to 1.14% known data (0.05% previously) threshold = 40In [250... reviewer id = reviewer book count[reviewer book count['Uid'] >= threshold].index.get level values('UserID').to reviewer df = df reviews modified[(df reviews modified['UserID'].isin(reviewer id))] total = len(reviewer id) * len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique()) # total ratings needed print("The total reviewer number is: ", len(reviewer id)) print("The total book number is: ", len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique())) records = len(reviewer df) print('') print("The total number of ratings needed are: ", total) print("The known records are: ", len(reviewer df)) print("The rating entries need to be estimated are: ", total - len(reviewer df)) ratio = records / total print('') print("The ratio of known data is: %.2f" % (ratio * 100) + '%') The total reviewer number is: 449 The total book number is: 7133 The total number of ratings needed are: 3202717 The known records are: 36555 The rating entries need to be estimated are: 3166162 The ratio of known data is: 1.14% In [251... # reset index reviewer df = reviewer df.reset index(drop=True) # store for recommendation models reviewer df.to csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/User interaction.csv', index=False) Correspondingly we keep only those books are selected In [252.. df info model = pd.read csv('./Datasets/Basic datasets/Book info model.csv') In [253... book selected uid = reviewer df['Uid'].unique() selected book = df info model[df info model['Uid'].isin(book selected uid)].reset index(drop=True) # keep only the selected books selected book.to csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/Selected book info.csv', index=False) Part 2) Recommendation Models <u>Goal</u>: Accurately recommend to users unread books that match their reading preferences. Tasks: our models will predict users' ratings for their unread books and then recommend (predicted) high-rating books to them. import numpy as np In [99]: import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import os import math import random import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") Load book data & user reviews data books selected = pd.read csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/Selected book info.csv') In [84]: books selected.head(5) Out[84]: Uid Title Genres Author Rating Publish_Date Page_Num Description Author Desc .. Award ['picture-As a child in A Splash of Jen Bryant books', the late Red The Life January 8, Jen ['schneider_family_book_award', (Jennifer **0** 13642600 3.87 'biography', 1800s, and Art of Bryant Fisher Bryant) 2013 'vermonts_pict... 'art', Horace Horace Pippin writes pic... Pippin Io... 'nonfict... Arthur C. Over a After the End ['art', Arthur decade ago, Danto was of Art 'philosophy', January 1, 137933 4.03 C. 264 ['no'] Arthur Danto Johnsonian Contemporary 'nonfiction', 1997 Danto announced Professor Art and the ... 'art-histo... that... Emeri... Susan Sontag Against ['essays', Against was born in Interpretation 'nonfiction', January 1, Interpretation Susan 4.13 ['national_book_award_finalist'] New York and Other 1966 'philosophy', Sontag was Susan City on Essays 'art', ... Sontag's firs... Janu... ['art', David Hickey Air Guitar The 23 essays 'nonfiction', Essays on Art Dave August 2, (or "love (born circa 3 140987 'essays', 4.08 208 ['no'] Hickey 1997 1939) is an and songs") that 'music', American ... Democracy make up t... 'crit... ['art', Born in Contents: The 'humor', Chicago, January 1, **Amphigorey** Edward Utter Zoo, 51245 4.40 256 'graphic-['no'] Gorey came Also Gorey 1983 The Blue novels', from a Aspic, The E... 'comics', '... colourful f... 5 rows × 30 columns from ast import literal eval In [114... # Turn 'list-like' string data into lists books_selected['Genres'] = books_selected['Genres'].apply(lambda x: literal_eval(x) if "[" in x else x) books_selected['Award'] = books_selected['Award'].apply(lambda x: literal_eval(x) if "[" in x else x) In [10]: | interactions_df = pd.read_csv('./Datasets/Rec_models datasets/User interaction.csv') interactions df.head(5) Uid Content N_Likes N_Comments Out[10]: Title UserID Reviewer Review_Rating N_Review N_Follower Review_Date A Splash of Author Jen Bryant Red The Life February 20, 2 **0** 13642600 192 Abigail 7086 173 and illustrator 3 and Art of 2021 Melissa Swee... Horace Pippin A Splash of Audience: Red The Life PrimaryGenre: 4 July 10, 2013 3 0 **1** 13642600 17464 Michelle 301 and Art of Non-Horace Pippin Fiction/Informatio... After the End As I recall, a great of Art November 5 137933 17298 Michael 718 969 book despite my 1 Contemporary 14, 2007 predilect... Art and the .. After the End Changed entirely of Art January 7, 0 5 3 137933 13002 Kate 396 316 how I think about 1 Contemporary 2008 art. It sta... Art and the .. Against A wide-ranging Interpretation March 16, 2 52374 17298 Michael 718 969 debut collection of 67 2020 and Other essays on a... Essays Train & test split from sklearn.model selection import train test split In [12]: interactions_train_df, interactions_test_df = train_test_split(interactions_df, stratify=interactions df['UserID'], test size=0.20, random state=42) print('# interactions on Train set: %d' % len(interactions train df)) print('# interactions on Test set: %d' % len(interactions_test_df)) # interactions on Train set: 29249 # interactions on Test set: 7313 In [13]: # Indexing by 'UserID' to speed up the searches during evaluation interactions full indexed df = interactions df.set index('UserID') interactions train indexed df = interactions train df.set index('UserID') interactions test indexed df = interactions test df.set index('UserID') **Evaluation - Top-N accuracy metrics** We use the Top-N accuracy metrics to evaluate our recommendation models' performance: • Accuracy of the top recommendations provided to a user, comparing to the items the user has actually interacted in test set Pseudo code: • For each user: For each item the user has interacted in test set: Sample 100 other items the user has never interacted; o Recommendation model produces a ranked list of items, from a set composed 1 interacted item and other 100 noninteracted items; Compute the Top-N accuracy metrics for this user and interacted item from the recommendations ranked list Aggregate the *global* Top-N accuracy metrics Here we use **Recall@N**: whether the interacted item is among the top N items (hit) in the ranked list of 101 recommendations for a user Metrics: Recall@5 and Recall@10 • E.g. Recall@5: For one user, if we have 100 randomly selected books, the percentage of the interacted books in the test set will be ranked among the top 5 books by the model In [31]: # Get all bookIDs that the given user has interacted with def get items interacted(person id, interactions df): interacted items = interactions df.loc[person id]['Uid'] # interacted (books') Uids return set(interacted items if type(interacted items) == pd.Series else [interacted items]) # number of non-interacted items to be combined together for test In [33]: EVAL RANDOM SAMPLE NON INTERACTED ITEMS = 100 class ModelEvaluator: def get not interacted items sample(self, person id, sample size, seed=42): interacted items = get items interacted (person id, interactions full indexed df) all items = set(books selected['Uid']) non interacted items = all items - interacted items random.seed(seed) # Supress depreciation warning. random.sample will lose support for Sets, # should be convereted to a list or tuple instead with warnings.catch warnings(): warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") non interacted items sample = random.sample(non interacted items, sample size) return set(non interacted items sample) def verify hit top n(self, item id, recommended items, topn): index = next(i for i, c in enumerate(recommended items) if c == item id) except: index = -1hit = int(index in range(0, topn)) return hit, index def evaluate model for_user(self, model, person_id): # Getting the items in test set interacted values testset = interactions test indexed df.loc[person id] if type(interacted values testset['Uid']) == pd.Series: person interacted items testset = set(interacted values testset['Uid']) person interacted items testset = set([int(interacted values testset['Uid'])]) interacted_items_count_testset = len(person_interacted_items_testset) # Getting a ranked recommendation list from a model for a given user person recs df = model.recommend items(person id, items to ignore=get items interacted (person id, interactions tra hits at 5 count = 0hits_at_10_count = 0 # For each item the user has interacted in test set for item id in person interacted items testset: # Getting a random sample (100) items the user has not interacted non_interacted_items_sample = self.get_not_interacted_items_sample(person_id, sample size=EVAL RANDOM SAMPLE NON IN seed=item id%(2**32)) # Combining the current interacted item with the 100 random items items to filter recs = non interacted items sample.union(set([item id])) # Filtering only recommendations that are either the interacted item # or from a random sample of 100 non-interacted items valid recs df = person recs df[person_recs_df['Uid'].isin(items_to_filter_recs)] valid recs = valid recs df['Uid'].values # Verifying if the current interacted item is among the Top-N recommended items hit_at_5, index_at_5 = self._verify_hit_top_n(item_id, valid_recs, 5) hits at 5 count += hit at 5 hit_at_10, index_at_10 = self._verify_hit_top_n(item_id, valid_recs, 10) hits at 10 count += hit at 10 # Recall is the rate of the interacted items that are ranked among the Top-N recommended items recall at 5 = hits at 5 count / float(interacted items count testset) recall_at_10 = hits_at_10_count / float(interacted_items_count_testset) person metrics = {'hits@5 count':hits at 5 count, 'hits@10 count':hits at 10 count, 'interacted count': interacted items count testset, 'recall@5': recall at 5, 'recall@10': recall at 10} return person_metrics def evaluate model(self, model): # print('Running evaluation for users') people metrics = [] for idx, person id in enumerate(list(interactions test indexed df.index.unique().values)): person metrics = self.evaluate model for user(model, person id) person_metrics['_person_id'] = person_id people metrics.append(person metrics) # append into a complete list detailed results df = pd.DataFrame(people metrics) \ .sort values('interacted count', ascending=False) # Global metrics for all users global recall at 5 = detailed results df['hits@5 count'].sum() / float(detailed results df['interacted global recall at 10 = detailed results df['hits@10 count'].sum() / float(detailed results df['interacte global metrics = {'modelName': model.get model name(), 'recall@5': global_recall_at_5, 'recall@10': global recall at 10} return global metrics, detailed results df In [34]: model_evaluator = ModelEvaluator() 2.1 Popularity Model (Baseline) This model is not actually personalized - it simply recommends to a user the most popular items that the user has not previously consumed. • As the popularity accounts for the "wisdom of the crowds", it usually provides good recommendations, generally interesting for most • But the main objective of a recommender system is to leverage the *long-tail items* to the users with very specific interests, which goes far beyond this simple technique. So we will use this model as a baseline. In [35]: # Computes the most popular items item popularity df = interactions df.groupby('Uid')['Review Rating'].sum().sort values(ascending=False).reset i item popularity df.head(10) Out[35]: Uid Review_Rating **0** 36576608 87 464260 73 2 11588 71 **3** 17899948 71 **4** 53799686 69 96358 69 6 18386 68 7 7126 68 8 5907 67 36373463 In [36]: class PopularityRecommender: MODEL NAME = 'Popularity' def __init__(self, popularity_df, items_df=None): self.popularity_df = popularity_df self.items_df = items_df def get model name(self): return self.MODEL NAME def recommend items(self, user_id, items_to_ignore=[], topn=10, verbose=False): # Recommend the more popular items that the user hasn't seen yet. recommendations df = self.popularity df["vid"].isin(items to ignore)] \ .sort values('Review Rating', ascending = False) \ .head(topn) if verbose: if self.items df is None: raise Exception('"items df" is required in verbose mode') recommendations df = recommendations df.merge(self.items df, how = 'left', left_on = 'Uid', right_on = 'Uid')[['Review Rating', return recommendations df Initialize an instance for Popularity model In [37]: popularity_model = PopularityRecommender(item popularity df, books selected) In [38]: print('Evaluating Popularity recommendation model...') pop global metrics, pop detailed results df = model evaluator.evaluate model(popularity model) print('\nGlobal metrics:\n%s' % pop global metrics) pop detailed results df.head(10) Evaluating Popularity recommendation model... 448 users processed Global metrics: {'modelName': 'Popularity', 'recall@5': 0.12457267879119377, 'recall@10': 0.2261725693969643} Out[38]: hits@5_count hits@10_count interacted_count recall@5 recall@10 _person_id 76 33 76 0.263158 0.434211 15602 70 0.200000 0.371429 17161 40 14 26 21 9 24 69 0.130435 0.347826 2557 7 10 20 63 0.158730 0.317460 1863 92 15 26 63 0.238095 0.412698 7740 22 62 0.193548 0.354839 26336 45 12 193 30 62 0.338710 0.483871 22026 57 16 61 0.229508 0.262295 421 287 21 60 0.350000 0.516667 16300 31 27 19 30 56 0.339286 0.535714 16765 2.2 Content-based Filtering Content-based filtering approaches leverage description or attributes from items the user has interacted to recommend *similar items*. • It builds users' profiles (tastes) depending only on the user previous choices, making this method robust to avoid the cold-start problem. Here we are using a very popular technique in information retrieval (search engines) named **TF-IDF**: This technique converts unstructured text into a vector structure, where each word is represented by a position in the vector, and the value measures how relevant a given word is for a book; As all items will be represented in the same Vector Space Model, we will compute cosine similarity between books. In []: import scipy from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler, normalize from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine similarity Check NaN values in Description In [87]: if books selected['Description'].isnull().any(): print("There is at least one NaN value in the 'Description' column.") else: print("There are no NaN values in the 'Description' column.") There is at least one NaN value in the 'Description' column. To cover these missing book descriptions, we choose to use each book's Title + Genres + Description as its textual information | BookText | for constructing the words' vector space for index, row in books selected.iterrows(): In [115... genre = ' '.join([str(i) for i in row['Genres']]) book desc = row['Description'] author desc = row['Author Desc'] if isinstance(book desc, float): text = row['Title'] + genre else: text = row['Title'] + genre + book desc # replace missing 'Description' books selected.loc[index, 'BookText'] = text Train word vectors based on book texts data In [122... | from nltk.corpus import stopwords from sklearn.feature extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer # Stopwords (words with no semantics) from English stopwords list = stopwords.words('english') + ['book', 'books'] # Trains a model whose vectors size is 5000, composed by the main unigrams # and bigrams found in the corpus, ignoring stopwords vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(analyzer='word', ngram range=(1, 2),min df=0.003, max df=0.5, max features=5000, stop words=stopwords list) In [123... # book Uids item_ids = books_selected['Uid'].tolist() # vectorize book description texts tfidf_matrix = vectorizer.fit_transform(books_selected['BookText']) tfidf_feature_names = vectorizer.get_feature_names() tfidf matrix Out[123]: <7133x5000 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>' with 484277 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format> Obtain the word vector representation of a book in the same feature space as the user profiles (i.e. TF-IDF matrix) Take all the book files the user has interacted with and average them weighted by user's Review_Rating In [108... def get_item_profile(item id): idx = item ids.index(item id) item profile = tfidf matrix[idx:idx+1] return item profile def get item profiles(ids): item profiles list = [get item profile(x) for x in ids] item profiles = scipy.sparse.vstack(item profiles list) return item profiles def build users profile(person id, interactions indexed df): interactions person df = interactions indexed df.loc[person id] user item profiles = get item profiles(interactions person df['Uid']) user item strengths = np.array(interactions person df['Review Rating']).reshape(-1,1) # Weighted average of item profiles by the interactions strength, and normalized user item strengths weighted avg = np.sum(user item profiles.multiply(user item strengths), axis=0) \ / np.sum(user item strengths) user profile norm = normalize(user item strengths weighted avg) return user profile norm def build users profiles(): interactions indexed df = interactions train df[interactions train df['Uid'] \ .isin(books selected['Uid'])].set index('UserID') user profiles = {} for person_id in interactions indexed df.index.unique(): user profiles[person id] = build users profile(person id, interactions indexed df) return user profiles user profiles = build users profiles() In [220... We take a look at a user profile example whose name is 'Abigail' (UserID = 192) The value in each position represents how relevant is a token (unigram or bigram) for the user named 'Abigail'; • It shows that she is very interested in reading books about *children*: Some keywords like bear, cat, little appear frequently in children's books. Also note that **Dr. Seuss** is a famous writer for children's literatures In [225... aprofile = user profiles[192] print(aprofile.shape) pd.DataFrame(sorted(zip(tfidf feature names, user profiles[192].flatten().tolist()), key=lambda x: -x[1])[:20], columns=['token', 'relevance']) (1, 5000)Out[225]: token relevance 0.422296 children 0.169196 dr seuss 2 0.169196 seuss **3** children fiction 0.168590 0.166772 animals 5 0.157434 picture 0.154855 6 bear 7 0.145833 fiction fiction classics 0.124478 0.123242 dr 0.123204 10 classics 11 0.114586 cat 0.114381 12 little 0.106283 13 new 0.095753 14 hat 15 0.094332 young 16 0.094149 humor 0.090396 fiction animals 0.089813 picture fiction 0.088952 food class ContentBasedRecommender: MODEL NAME = 'Content-Based' def __init__(self, items df=None): self.item ids = item ids self.items_df = items_df def get model name(self): return self.MODEL NAME def get similar items to user profile(self, person id, topn=1000): # Computes the cosine similarity between the user profile and all item profiles cosine similarities = cosine similarity(user profiles[person id], tfidf matrix) # Gets the top similar items & sort by similarity similar indices = cosine similarities.argsort().flatten()[-topn:] similar_items = sorted([(item_ids[i], cosine_similarities[0,i]) for i in similar_indices], key=lambda x return similar items def recommend items(self, user id, items to ignore=[], topn=10, verbose=False): similar items = self. get similar items to user profile(user id) #Ignores items the user has already interacted similar items filtered = list(filter(lambda x: x[0] not in items to ignore, similar items)) recommendations df = pd.DataFrame(similar items filtered, columns=['Uid', 'Review Rating']).head(topn) # If verbose is set to True, then the method returns a DataFrame that includes additional information # about the recommended items, such as their title, URL, and language. if verbose: if self.items df is None: raise Exception('"items df" is required in verbose mode') recommendations_df = recommendations_df.merge(self.items_df, how = 'left', left_on = 'Uid', right on = 'Uid')[['Review Rating', 'Uid', 'Title']] return recommendations df content_based_recommender_model = ContentBasedRecommender(books_selected) In [126... In [127... print('Evaluating Content-Based Filtering model...') cb_global_metrics, cb_detailed_results_df = model_evaluator.evaluate model(content based recommender model) print('\nGlobal metrics:\n%s' % cb global metrics) cb_detailed_results_df.head(10) Evaluating Content-Based Filtering model... 448 users processed Global metrics: {'modelName': 'Content-Based', 'recall@5': 0.3638725557226856, 'recall@10': 0.4869410638588814} hits@5_count hits@10_count interacted_count recall@5 recall@10 _person_id Out[127]: 0.539474 76 32 41 76 0.421053 15602 40 21 34 0.300000 0.485714 17161 21 17 26 0.246377 0.376812 2557 7 33 63 0.523810 0.571429 36 1863 92 5 16 63 0.079365 0.253968 7740 45 19 26 62 0.306452 0.419355 26336 62 0.274194 193 17 27 0.435484 22026 57 7 61 0.114754 0.229508 14 421 287 36 40 0.600000 0.666667 16300 27 12 0.214286 0.267857 16765 Our content-based filtering model provides personalized recommendations with a Recall@5 ≈ 0.3639, indicating that around 36% of the items that the user interacted with in the test set were included in the top-5 recommended items generated by the model from a list of 100 random items. Furthermore, the **Recall@10** ≈ **0.4869**. This is a great improvement from baseline, partially proves the power of this technique. Collaborative Filtering model We use latent factor model (model-based) for collaborative filtering: Compress user-item matrix into a **low-dimensional** representation in terms of latent factors, solving sparsity problem; Here we a use popular latent factor model named Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). **Singular Value Decomposition:** $M_{m \times n} = U_{m \times m} * \Sigma_{m \times n} * V_{n \times n}^T$ (Full SVD) However, we can choose the number of latent factors k to factor the user-item matrix and approximate original matrix using the following formula: $M_{m imes n}pprox U_{m imes k}st \Sigma_{k imes k}st V_{k imes n}^T \ (Thin\ SVD)$ The higher the number of factors, the more precise is the factorization in the original matrix reconstructions. • But if the model is allowed to memorize too much details of the original matrix, it may not generalize well for data it was not trained on. Reducing the number of factors increases the model generalization. ullet We will loop over a potential space to find the **best** number of factors $k_{optimal}$ from scipy.sparse import csr matrix from scipy.sparse.linalg import svds **Matrix Factorization** # Creating a sparse pivot table with users in rows and items in columns In [141... users_items_pivot_matrix_df = interactions_train_df.pivot(index='UserID', columns='Uid', values='Review Rating').fillna(0) users_items_pivot_matrix_df.head(10) Out[141]: 2 5 6 11 13 21 22 24 25 ... 61330005 61865476 62053325 62296528 62357989 62404966 62967897 632212 UserID 0.0 4.0 0.0 **523** 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 ... 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10 rows × 6907 columns In [142... users_items_pivot_matrix = users_items_pivot_matrix_df.to_numpy() users_items_pivot_matrix[:10] array([[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.], Out[142]: $[0., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0., 0.]$ [0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],. . . , [0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.]]) In [143... users_ids = list(users_items_pivot_matrix_df.index) users ids[:10] [17, 87, 192, 308, 330, 383, 421, 486, 523, 612] Out[143]: In [144... users_items_pivot_sparse_matrix = csr_matrix(users_items_pivot_matrix) users_items_pivot_sparse_matrix $<449 \times 6907$ sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>' with 29244 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format> Here we first set number of factors k=40, representing the number of book genres In [226... # The number of factors to factor the user-item matrix (use the number of genres) NUMBER OF FACTORS MF = 40# Performs matrix factorization of the original user item matrix U, sigma, Vt = svds(users_items_pivot_sparse_matrix, k = NUMBER_OF_FACTORS_MF) sigma = np.diag(sigma) # diagonize U.shape, sigma.shape, Vt.shape In [227... ((449, 40), (40, 40), (40, 6907))Out[227]: After the factorization, we try to reconstruct the original matrix by multiplying its factors. • No sparsity any more. It generates predictions for items the user has not interacted with, which we will exploit for recommendations. all user predicted ratings = np.dot(np.dot(U, sigma), Vt) In [151... all user predicted ratings array([[0.02292273, 0.01838176, 0.0042864 , ..., 0.01090701, Out[151]: 0.05341585, 0.01885435], $[-0.03654072, -0.02313435, -0.03319799, \ldots, 0.02337009,$ -0.00532303, -0.00283612], [0.00239874, -0.01840318, -0.02263362, ..., 0.00563758,0.11668636, -0.05929882], [-0.02380071, -0.01779677, -0.01164514, ..., -0.00719634,0.01912133, 0.64562998], [0.10246305, 0.02996917, 0.07688153, ..., 0.15951745,0.38916266, -0.06106651], [0.0035282 , 0.09884057, 0.13012081, ..., 0.14979794,0.49248323, 0.28502441]]) Normalize the ratings $X_{norm} = rac{X - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}}$ all user predicted ratings norm = (all user predicted ratings - all user predicted ratings.min()) / \ (all user predicted ratings.max() - all user predicted ratings.min()) # Converting the reconstructed matrix back to a Pandas dataframe In [153... cf preds df = pd.DataFrame(all user predicted ratings norm, columns = users items pivot matrix df.columns, inde cf preds df.head(10) 330 Out[153]: 17 87 192 308 383 421 486 523 26764 26788 612 ... 26672 268 Uid **1** 0.209621 0.202702 0.207233 0.256061 0.154096 0.200324 0.202197 0.211589 0.209084 0.255863 ... 0.224655 0.219448 0.229545 0.3014 **2** 0.209092 0.204262 0.204813 0.216671 0.184733 0.207697 0.195703 0.219007 0.212960 0.207962 ... 0.208117 0.209986 0.230314 0.2626 **5** 0.207452 0.203091 0.204320 0.196924 0.180002 0.208463 0.226422 0.219798 0.214746 0.189833 ... 0.214457 0.186152 0.237972 0.3168 **6** 0.206838 0.203575 0.202876 0.165002 0.172763 0.195258 0.213597 0.208480 0.208221 0.221522 ... 0.207416 0.211785 0.236875 0.3646 **11** 0.202818 0.206896 0.200204 0.187011 0.227901 0.224145 0.214796 0.220232 0.204843 0.371452 ... 0.219410 0.227331 0.200532 0.113C **13** 0.207045 0.206528 0.206573 0.206293 0.211204 0.206923 0.215997 0.207239 0.207677 0.210887 ... 0.208789 0.210739 0.205920 0.1986 **21** 0.207602 0.219221 0.200512 0.258154 0.170454 0.203612 0.238084 0.208334 0.204967 0.237605 ... 0.203969 0.177954 0.206309 0.2274 **22** 0.207662 0.209752 0.204168 0.205712 0.185924 0.204183 0.196128 0.208172 0.206436 0.248826 ... 0.210740 0.195485 0.209730 0.1988 **24** 0.202855 0.212751 0.202912 0.199510 0.233671 0.197231 0.196826 0.206458 0.208081 0.193236 ... 0.208867 0.204131 0.217123 0.1826 **25** 0.204601 0.213617 0.210699 0.206744 0.211300 0.201049 0.201495 0.207056 0.208858 0.199133 ... 0.207029 0.196515 0.215327 0.1938 10 rows × 449 columns

G] { '	valuating Co 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName':		df.head(10 tive Filte)	_ bbal_metric	l_evaluator s)		_model(cf_re		
	35 31 11	s: 'Colla	borative F	ilterinç		95': 0.3048	8305293393	 3514, 'recal	1010': 0.41	.991519627
21: 21: 21:	20 56 61 76	18 16 18 5 24 15 ollaborat	27 20 25 12 33 31 ive Filtering r	model (SV	63 0.285714 62 0.258065 62 0.290323 61 0.081967 60 0.400000 56 0.267857 D matrix factor	0.428571 0.322581 0.403226 0.196721 0.550000 0.553571 orization), we orization	7740 26336 22026 421 16300 16765	t we got Recall	@5 ≈ 30.49%	6 and Recall
nı g:	<pre>um_factor = lobal_recall lobal_recall or n in num_ print("Nu")</pre>	np.lins _5 = [] _10 = [factor: mber of Vt = s	space(10, 1	00, 91, :: ", n)		64')		mber of fact	ors k	
	all_user_ cf_preds_ # build n cf_recomm cf_global global_re global_re	predict df = po nodel mender_m _metric ecall_5.	d.DataFrame nodel = CFF cs, _ = mod append(cf_ 0.append(cf	a_norm = (a (all_use decommence lel_evalu global_n f_global_	der(cf_predicted der(cf_predicted dator.evalua metrics['recommetrics['re	predicted_redicted_rat d_ratings_n s_df, books ate_model(c call@5']) ecall@10'])	atings - ings.max(orm, columnous) _selected		_predicted_	_ratings.m
# av		gsize=(factor, factor, factor, factor, factor, 1. Best a	ge of Recal (12, 6)) global_re global_re average_m 'o', ms=5 average', x ps=dict(fa	call_5, call_10, etric, 1 * 2, med cy=(23, 0) cecolor=	label = 'G. label = 'Avo	<pre>the post of the post of t</pre>	1@5') 11@10') rmance')			
	lt.title('CF lt.xlabel('N lt.ylabel('M lt.grid() lt.legend(lc lt.show()	Number o	of factors)	CF Pe			actors	- Globa	al Recall@5 al Recall@10 age performance	
Metrics	0.38 0.36 0.34 0.32 0.30 0.28		Best av	verage						
in k p: p:	ind the option ndex = avera optimal = n rint("The bearint("The companion of the companion	ge_metr num_fact est numb errespor	cic.argmax(cor[index] eer of fact ding Recal	or k = '105 = "',1010 = '1010	sed on the a	l) call_5[inde	x])	e of metrics	100	
Th Th # np (()	ne correspon ne correspon	ding Reding Return Methods Research Methods Research Rese	call@5 = call@10 = crics, we compared to the color of the	0.303652 0.42757 can see n x(global 37026398	48871563398 no large di L_recall_10 8576)	fferences w		hosen optima	1 k	
a: # c: p:c:	f_preds_df = build model f_recommende rint('Evalua	er_model ting Co	catings_nor caFrame(all caFrame(all caFrame) caFrame(all caFrame) caFrame(all caFrame)	<pre>m = (all_u (all_u</pre>	L_user_predicted_rancedicted_rancef_preds_df ring (SVD Magadf = modes	<pre>icted_ratin ted_ratings tings_norm, , books_sel atrix Facto l_evaluator</pre>	<pre>columns : ected) rization)</pre>	user_predict all_user_pre = users_item model') model(cf_re	_ dicted_rati s_pivot_mat	ings.min()
E \ 4 4 4 G 1 .	valuating Co 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName': hits@5_cou 35	llabora cessed s: 'Colla	tive Filte	ring (SV		95': 0.3036	520311858	 343, 'recall	@10 ': 0.427	7574887156
1! 27	87 20 56 61 76	20 21 25 15 23	33 34 29 21 31 29	del with k	63 0.317460 62 0.338710 62 0.403226 61 0.245902 60 0.383333 56 0.410714	0.523810 0.548387 0.467742 0.344262 0.516667 0.517857	7740 26336 22026 421 16300 16765	7% and Recall	010 ≈ 42.76%	6 . Also a gre
2	ur dataset. Met xceptions' in re .4 Hybric Combine pe	hods like eview rati d mod ervious tw te these	e SVD, which ngs in real well (Conte vo models: Cokind of enser	are based orld. ent-bas ontent-ba	on some mat	hematical the aborative	ories might filtering	g together as a	take account	of too many
	<pre>MODEL_NAM defini self. self. self. self. def get_m retur def recom # Get</pre>	t(sel cb_rec_ cf_rec_ cb_ense cf_ense items_c model_na mend_it	cbrid' af, cb_rec_model = cb_model = cf_emble_weighted = items_ ame(self): MODEL_NAME ame(self, ame top-1000	rec_mod rec_mod t = cb_e t = cf_e df user_id,	del del del ensemble_we ensemble_we titems_to_	ight # wei ight # wei ight # wei ighore=[], tering reco	ght of co. ght of co topn=10,		filtering filtering	
	# Getcb_re # Getcf_re # Con recs_	ecs_df = ecs_df = eting the ecs_df = abining df = ch	ne top-1000 self.cb_r ne top-1000 self.cf_r the result o_recs_df.m	Content Cec_model Collaborec_model Cs by Uick Cerge(cf_ how lef	t-based fill recommend	tering reco _items(user tering reco _items(user d', id').fillna	mmendatio _id, item topn=1000 mmendatio _id, item topn=1000	ns s_to_ignore=).rename(col ns s_to_ignore=).rename(col	<pre>items_to_ig umns={'Revi items_to_ig</pre>	iew_Rating gnore, ver
	# Sor recom if ve	df['Rat rting re mendati rbose: f self. rais	ecommendati ons_df = r items_df i e Exception	recommend ['] = (re dons by lecs_df.s None: on('"item	dation score ecs_df['Rat. + (recs_df[nybrid score sort_values	e based on ing_CB'] * 'Rating_CF' e ('Rating_Hy equired in _df.merge(s	CF and CB self.cb_e] * self. brid', as verbose m elf.items eft_on =	nsemble_weig cf_ensemble_ cending=Fals ode') _df, how = '	weight) e).head(top	
בים	Ve first simp • You can cho ybrid_recomm rint('Evalua	ly use to some optimender_m	mal weights be nodel = Hybrid model	ed weight by trial and pridRecond	d error, or use	wo models linear regress tent_based_ensemble_we	sion to study recommend ight=1.0,	'Uid') [['Ra y the optimal w er_model, cf cf_ensemble	reights _recommende _weight=1.0	er_model,
	ybrid_global rint('\nGlob ybrid_detail valuating Hy 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName': hits@5_cou	metric pal metr ed_resu brid mo cessed s: 'Hybri	cics:\n%s' clts_df.hea del d', 'recal	detailed % hybrid d(10)	d_global_me	crics)	all@10 ': (evaluate_mod		Lecommende
1 1 2 7	11	25 30 28 31 26 15	38 37 36 40 32 22 35		69 0.362319 63 0.476190 63 0.444444 62 0.500000 62 0.419355 61 0.245902 60 0.500000	0.550725 0.587302 0.571429 0.645161 0.516129 0.360656 0.583333	2557 1863 7740 26336 22026 421 16300			
C	omparing the lobal_metric lobal_metric modelN	es_df = es_df	pd.DataFra .set_i call@5 recall	me([pop_ndex('mo	56 0.375000		16765	ics, cf_glob	al_metrics,	, hybrid_g
G	Content-B ollaborative Filt denerally we matplotlib i x = global_m or p in ax.p	dased 0.3 ering 0.3 ybrid 0.5 can ob nline metrics_ patches:	0.486 0.3652 0.42 0.515388 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626	5941 7575 0982 nighest p	ot(kind='ba	r', figsize	=(15,8),		heigh+	ha='c
0.	ax . annota mode Populari Content	ite ("%.3 Name	Sf" % p.get	eight	(), (p.get_:	., + p.get	idth()		height()),	0.621
0.	3 -	0.1	0.364	0.304				0.226		
- - 	Mitations:Here we use critical to be likely to receive	e Recall @ ooks (i.e. ommend	demonstra N to measuralways posts books that r	re the perf negative I	formance of n reviews), then be the real fav	nodels, but im our model or or of him.	agine for sc lly learns fro	uld also pay ome 'extreme' u om the books re	iser who is alr	most always n and it will
n	to be negat Therefore, c (eliminated Part 3)	urrently v before) t Natur onsider s	ecommendat we use users o simulate th	ion mode with at lea is situatio	Is might not we ast 40 ratings, and see how	ork that well but we will tr	as desired; y to test our work and di	trained model scuss potential	s on users wit ways to adva	th less rating
٠	Tag filteringTopic NKeywoKeyphi	g system Modeling rds Extra rases Ext Summari e approa	y (Section 3.2 action (Section raction (Section zation (Section)): LDA me on 3.3): Tex iion 3.4): Y	thod xtRank ake!, keyBERT	, Rake, etc.		er books & cor		
in in in	<pre>mport numpy mport os mport re mport warnin arnings.filt .1 Texts F efore we start t</pre>	as np gs erwarni Pre-pro he NLP n	ocessing nodels, we fir		t some pre-pr	ocessing on c	our review co	ontent		
d:	f_reviews = f_reviews.he	pd.read	Title Re		Review N_Fo			aned.csv') view_Date	Content review later	N_Likes N_
1	13642600 Life	A Splash of e and Art o	of Horace	Lisa	750	131	4	ovember 7,	e enjoyed this beautifully lustrated book	22
2 3 4 4 Tree 3 4 4 C	13642600 Life	Splash of a splash of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a spl	Red The of Horace Pippin Red The of Horace More Pippin Red The of Horace Pippin Red The of Horace Pippin A we are must be a selected with the seautifull grandma reads continued by the selection picture by Red: The Life and the selection picture by the selection pictu	Cindy lewton Agné Content of Herman Art of	1922 600 744 Prested in the street of the st	205 127 57 ne textual re	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2023 Dovember 7, 2014 il January 30, 2022 June 20, 2020 August 16	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Lute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi	
2 3 4 4 H	13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Vid 13642600 Feat 1364260	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace More Pippin Red The f Horace Pippin A this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be Red: The Life a Intent Red: The Life a	Cindy lewton Agné Content' re' y illustrated as session. Example to the content of He content of the content	1922 600 744 Prested in the stress of the	205 127 57 The textual residual resi	if not cas	Divember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, 2020 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content	11 7 6
2 3 3 4 4 H H received 11 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a Splash of and Art of a Splash of and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash	f Horace Pippin Red The of Horace More Pippin Red The of Horace Pippin The Horace Pi	Cindy lewton Agné Content de Co	1922 600 744 Prested in the stress of the	205 127 57 The textual residual resi	if not cas	Divember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, 2020 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content	11 7 6
2 3 4 1 C 1 1 2 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Fee	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash of	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace Morpipin Red The f Horace Pippin A this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be functuation on (document in document in document in charace in [',', char.appe in (no_punctual) in (no_punctual) in (charace in (charace in reviews [' charace in reviews [' charace in reviews [' charace in fred the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture in this beautifull grandma reads	Cindy lewton Agné Content ' re' y illustrated as session. Example to the session of the session of the session example to the session	1922 600 744 Prested in the stress of the	127 57 128 129 127 57 129 129 120 120 120 120 120 120	if not class ation) Note that the state of	Divember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, 2020 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017 August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Life 13642600 Fee 13642600 F	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a	A frequent but this beautifull grandma reads to con (document in document: a document: a charappear to be fred the life are the life ar	Cindy lewton Agné Content de Content de Session. Example de Content de Cont	1922 600 744 Prested in the stress of the	205 127 57 1 e textual residual residual words 1 sy used in TD- $= 1 - \frac{1}{freq}$ $\mathbb{P}_{Drop} >= tR$ 1 str (review)	if not city are shold to ay', 'im' if word	by the section of the	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace More Pippin Red The f Horace Pippin	content of the conten	1922 600 744 Prested in the stressed in the	in document in by empty in by empty in by empty The textual results of the service of the se	if not contact to the desired at a series of the determinant of the de	povember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The At by users: (Arecude model Precude model Precude model Or remove the Ords The cords T	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace Morpipin Red The f Horace Pippin Re	conkiszt Cindy lewton Agnė Content' Content' Session.Exa Cok over the And Art of Ho Art of Ho Art of Ho Content' Co	1922 600 744 rested in the	205 127 57 The textual residual resi	if not contains and any ', 'im' lower cas ation) ay', 'im' ay', 'im' ay', 'im' if word l, we als ine all w ounts.item char	povember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The At by users: (Arecude model Precude model Precude model Or remove the Ords The cords T	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace Morpipin Red The f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace F	conkiszt Cindy lewton Agnè Cortent Content Session.Exa Cok over the Act of Hard Act of Hard Content C	1922 600 744 Prested in the strested in the	205 127 57 10	if not contains and any ', 'im' lower cas ation) ay', 'im' ay', 'im' ay', 'im' if word l, we als ine all w ounts.item char	povember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (recude model recude model recude model recude model recude model	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
2 3 4 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace Morpipin Red The f Horace Pippin Red The f Horace F	conkiszt Cindy lewton Agnè Cortent Content Session.Exa Cok over the Act of Hard Act of Hard Content C	1922 600 744 Prested in the strested in the	205 127 57 10	if not contains and any ', 'im' lower cas ation) ay', 'im' ay', 'im' ay', 'im' if word l, we als ine all w ounts.item char	povember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (recude model recude model recude model recude model recude model	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The of Horace Pippin Red The of Horace More Pippin Red The of Horace Pippin Red The Life and Common of Co	Cindy lewton Agné Cortent Content Session.Exa Cok over the content Co	1922 600 744 Prested in the service of the word has been declared by the words for we keep the declared by the word has been declared by the wo	127 127 137 14 textual residual resi	if not contact to the state of	povember 7, 2014 ill January 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (recude model recude model recude model recude model recude model	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Sute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The of Horace Pippin Red The of Horace More Pippin Red The of Horace Pippin Red The Life and Common of Co	Cindy lewton Agné Cortent Content Session.Exa Cok over the content Co	1922 600 744 Prested in the service of the word has been declared by the words for we keep the declared by the word has been declared by the wo	127 127 137 14 textual residual resi	if not contact to the state of	2023 Ovember 7, 2014 ill Ilanuary 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (t by users: (arecude model arecude model arecude model branches frequence fre	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh Sute nonfiction ture book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Asplash of a splash of a splas	# Horace Pippin Red The If Horace If Horace Pippin Red The If Horace Pippin Red The If Horace If Horace Pippin Red The If Horace If Horace If Horace If It Ho	Cindy lewton Agné Content' Content' Session.Exa Cok over the content of the	1922 600 744 Prested in the strested in the strest of	127 57 127 13	if not construction of the	2023 Ovember 7, 2014 ill Ilanuary 30, 2022 June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (t by users: (arecude model arecude model arecude model branches frequence fre	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly. wh Lute nonfiction the life of Splash of Red: Life Horace Pi Content igit()]) "" " " " " " " " " " " "	follows:
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The florace Pippin Red The florace Morphy More florace Morphy Morphy More florace Morphy Morp	Cindy lewton Agnè Cortent Content Green Green Content Conte	rested in the re	in document in document in document in lower) # in oby empty in oby empty in by empty in oby	if not contact to the contact of the	anuary 30, 2022 June 20, pict June 20, pict August 16, The t by users: (the aracter.ise are	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma readsty wh tute nonick over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content igit()]) s r, 'this', etc.	follows: celerate train celerate train
	13642600 Life 136426	Splash of a splash	Red The florace Pippin Red The florace Morphy More florace Morphy Morphy More florace Morphy Morp	Cindy lewton Agnè Cortent Content Green Green Content Conte	1922 600 744 rested in the	in document in document in document in lower) # in oby empty in oby empty in by empty in oby	if not contact the state of the	to sentence to sentence and in stop or remove the ords in stop ords ords in stop ords ords ords ord	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a a grandina readsly wh Seatured in a a grandina readsly wh Seatured in a a grandina readsly wh Seature book over the life of Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi Content i.git()]) seatured in a granding readsly wh And the seature in a granding readsly wh Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi In this in a granding readsly wh Seatured in a granding readsly wh In the seature in a granding readsly wh Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi In this in a granding readsly wh Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi In this in a granding readsly wh In this in a	follows: celerate train celerate train chas been
	# 13642600 Life # 1364	words and Art of the	Red The Horace Pippin	conkiszt Cindy lewton Agné Cortente Contente Contente Session.Exa cook over the nd Art of He art less mea at les	1922 600 744 rested in the state of the s	and by empty in document in document chower) # move_digit yused in TD- = 1 - freq Prop >= th str(review) in word_c in word_c in word_f in word_f in word_f chis word fo c	if not construction of the	to sentence to sentence a sign document a sign document a sign document a ct high-frequent b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a sign document b cords a given document a cords a sign document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a given document a cords a given document b cords a cords	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a greatder in a great	follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics review i
	# A CONTRACTORY ## CONTRACTOR	Meroperson and Arto a	# Horace Pippin Red The Fire Horace Pippin Fire Horace Fire Hora	conkiszt Cindy lewton Agné Cortent' Content' Conten	1922 600 744 rested in the rested in the companion of	in document for textual recommends for textual recom	if not construction of the	to sentence at thigh-frequence or exchange document at a saign documen	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a greatder in a great	follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics review i
	# Create # Compute the comput	Splash of early and and art of early and	we first no control of comments of red the life and comments of red the li	ith stop district district ith stop district distri	1922 600 744 Prested in the street of the	in document in do	if not contained and and and and and and and and and an	to sentence at thigh-frequence or exchange document at a saign documen	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rute book over the lafe of Red Surface Pi Content int of the service of the	follows: follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics to 10. To
	## 13642600 Life ## 136	Models Cand Arto Splash of Cand Arto Splash of Cand Arto Can	we first ne corporation (comment) in (commen	content: conten	1922 600 744 Prested in the street of the state of the state of words and state of words are state of words and state of words and state of words are state of words and state of words and state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are stat	in document for by empty in document for empty in document for empty in word in the fore in word for in words like: 'n for ds l	if not continued and the conti	abandary 30. 2022 June 20, pict June 20, pict August 16, The August 16, Th	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rute book over the lafe of Red Surface Pi Content int of the service of the	follows: follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics to 10. To
	# A Create # A Cr	we enjoyed and Artic	we first no common to comm	content conten	1922 600 744 rested in the rested in the company of the company	and the state of t	if not contains a series of the series of th	abandary 30. 2022 June 20, pict June 20, pict August 16, The August 16, Th	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rute book over the lafe of Red Surface Pi Content int of the service of the	follows: follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics to 10. To
	# A Create # A Cr	model, candardo canda	we first ne compore between the service we s	content: conten	1922 600 744 rested in the rested in the rested in the restrict in the rest	205 127 57 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 129	if not construction and the state of the sta	anuay 30, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2	beautifully lustrated book. Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly. Lute nonfiction fure book over the life of Solies and Art of Horace Pi Content i.g. 'this', etc. i.g. 'this', etc. i.g. 'this', etc. words] for this continue of token id, contin	follows: celerate train celerate train to 10. Creation Topics Topic
	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	we enjoyed the control of and Articles and A	wee first new control of the control	content conten	# 1922 600 744 **rested in the strested in the strest of the strest o	in document in do	if not c. if not c. if not c. char char if not c. char if not c. char char if not c. char char char if not c. char	to sentence to sentence to sentence to sentence and sign document to sentence to sentence to sentence to sentence to	beautifully lustrated book. Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly. Lute nonfiction ture book over the life of existing the foliation of the foliation	follows: celerate train celerate train for 10. Creation Topics a review i to 10. Creation Topics
	## A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	models models	we first no control of the life and the life	content: Cindy Content: Cindy Content: Co	1922 600 744 rested in the	poet extual response of the second of the se	if not company to the set of the	to sentence at a saign document at high-freque at thigh-freque at thig	beautifully lustrated book. Featured in a grandmereads session. Each of the session. Each of the session. Each of the session	follows: cular set of to 10. Creation Topics to 4. cular set of to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics Top
	## A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	models models	we first ne composition of the c	content: Cindy Content: Cindy Content: Co	1922 600 744 rested in the	205 127 127 127 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 129	and	to sentence and a sign document and a sign docume	icis + h.t. see) yethor as a see and accompany words as a see and accompany and accompany are for the form 2 and accompany and the form 2 and accompany are for the form 2 and	follows: cular set of
Reference of the contract of t	## A CALLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	we delay to the control of the contr	ew texts we frequent be a composed by a comp	content of the conten	the model might words are pinchet words are pinchet words. Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been an ingful word are pinchet word has been are pinchet words. Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been are pinchet words. Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been are pinchet words. Content wiewlater book a are pinchet words. Content words are pinchet words. Content w	205 127 57 6 textual residual residu	intent of the control	to sentence and a sign document and a sign docume	igit ()]) ics) + hta int igit ()]) irrenshots and accomplete to a partition of the selected top and accomplete to a partition of the selected top accomple	follows: cular set of a count of the count
Register State of the Control of the	## A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY	models models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents Conten	rested in the control of the control	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence and thigh-freque	ictionary	follows: follow
	## A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY	models models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents Conten	# we keep to be compared to be compa	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence and different op	ictionary	follows: follow
Register State of the Control of the	belief to be desired to be des	models models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents Conten	# we keep to be compared to be compa	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence and different op	ictionary	follows: follow
	be described and a service of a	words simport simpo	ew texts we are a comporable to the fire and	content: conten	punctuation content view later lbook a active wh selife of content view later lbook a active wh selife of content c	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence and different op	ictionary	follows: follow

In [169... from collections import OrderedDict import numpy as np import spacy from spacy.lang.en.stop_words import STOP_WORDS import string from string import punctuation nlp = spacy.load('en core web sm') class TextRank4Keyword(): """Extract keywords from text""" def __init__(self): self.d = 0.85 # damping coefficient, usually is .85 self.min diff = 1e-5 # convergence threshold self.steps = 10 # iteration steps self.node weight = None # save keywords and its weight def set stopwords(self, stopwords): """Set stop words""" for word in STOP WORDS.union(set(stopwords)): lexeme = nlp.vocab[word] lexeme.is stop = True def sentence segment(self, doc, candidate pos, lower): """Store those words only in cadidate pos""" sentences = [] for sent in doc.sents: selected words = [] for token in sent: # Store words only with cadidate POS tag if token.pos in candidate pos and token.is stop is False: if lower is True: selected words.append(token.text.lower()) else: selected words.append(token.text) sentences.append(selected words) return sentences def get vocab(self, sentences): """Get all tokens""" vocab = OrderedDict() i = 0for sentence in sentences: for word in sentence: if word in ('.','!','?',',','-','*'): continue if word not in vocab: vocab[word] = i i += 1 return vocab def get token pairs(self, window size, sentences): """Build token pairs from windows in sentences""" token pairs = list() for sentence in sentences: for i, word in enumerate(sentence): if word in ('.','!','?',',','-','*'): continue for j in range(i+1, i+window size): if j >= len(sentence): break pair = (word, sentence[j]) if pair not in token pairs: token_pairs.append(pair) return token pairs def symmetrize(self, a): return a + a.T - np.diag(a.diagonal()) def get matrix(self, vocab, token pairs): """Get normalized matrix""" # Build matrix vocab size = len(vocab) g = np.zeros((vocab_size, vocab_size), dtype='float') for word1, word2 in token_pairs: i, j = vocab[word1], vocab[word2] g[i][j] = 1# Get Symmeric matrix g = self.symmetrize(g) # Normalize matrix by column norm = np.sum(g, axis=0)g norm = np.divide(g, norm, where=norm!=0) # this is ignore the 0 element in norm return g norm def get keywords(self, number=10): """Print top number keywords""" keywords list = [] node weight = OrderedDict(sorted(self.node weight.items(), key=lambda t: t[1], reverse=True)) for i, (key, value) in enumerate(node weight.items()): if key not in list(string.punctuation): keywords list.append(key) if i > number: break return keywords list def analyze(self, text, candidate pos=['NOUN', 'ADJ', 'VERB'], window size=4, lower=False, stopwords=list()): """Main function to analyze text""" self.set stopwords(stopwords) # Pare text by spaCy doc = nlp(text)# Filter sentences sentences = self.sentence segment(doc, candidate pos, lower) # list of list of words # Build vocabulary vocab = self.get vocab(sentences) # Get token pairs from windows token pairs = self.get token pairs(window size, sentences) # Get normalized matrix g = self.get matrix(vocab, token pairs) # Initionlization for weight (pagerank value) pr = np.array([1] * len(vocab)) # Iteration previous pr = 0 for epoch in range(self.steps): pr = (1-self.d) + self.d * np.dot(g, pr)if abs(previous pr - sum(pr)) < self.min diff:</pre> break else: previous_pr = sum(pr) # Get weight for each node node weight = dict() for word, index in vocab.items(): node weight[word] = pr[index] self.node weight = node weight We try with example book (Uid = 449128): Alla Prima: Everything I Know about Painting Window size k = 4, only consider NOUN & ADJ words • We can see it generally catches important words like: artist, patinting, canvas, color, etc. In [170... df_comments.iloc[5, 0] 449128 Out[170]: In [171...] text = df comments.iloc[5, 1]tr4w = TextRank4Keyword() # we only want adjective & Nouns for tags tr4w.analyze(text, candidate pos = ['ADJ', 'NOUN'], window size=4, lower=False) tr4w.get keywords(10) ['artist', Out[171]: 'advice', 'color', 'schmid', 'painters', 'confidence', 'oil', 'painting', 'painter', 'canvas', 'artists', 'composition'] We can also get each keyword's weights In [173... [{key: tr4w.node_weight[key]} for key in tr4w.get_keywords(10)] Out[173]: [{'pippin': 9.081910937634829}, {'horace': 7.501031593836607}, {'artist': 3.9051219599946987}, {'red': 2.845867898168256}, {'pippins': 2.821484820122126}, {'illustrations': 2.803523983238113}, {'artists': 2.8025856402697573}, {'artwork': 2.4789423374657984}, {'painter': 2.3143430305118087}, {'paintings': 2.2721199262405882}, {'pictures': 2.267219780963017}, {'notes': 2.0621940091953608}] Next we used *TexRank* to extract 5 keywords of each book and classify each review to that tags if it contains the keyword The following process is time-consuming, just use our stored result datasets book_tags.csv: 5 keywords tags for each book review_tags.csv: keywords this review contains In []: # count how many reviews each book has reviews count = reviews.groupby(['Uid'], sort=False)['Uid'].count() # add column 'Tags' if this review contains the keyword reviews['Tags'] = [[] for in range(len(reviews))] df_comments['Tags'] = [[] for _ in range(len(df_comments))] num = 0 # the number of reviews we have classified for i in tqdm(range(df comments.shape[0])): print("The %d-th book" % i) text = df comments.iloc[i, 1] # loop over each book # get 5 keywords tr4w = TextRank4Keyword()tr4w.analyze(text, candidate pos = ['ADJ', 'NOUN'], window size=4, lower=False) keyword list = tr4w.get keywords(5) # for each book, store their keywords from reviews df comments['Tags'][i] = keyword list # for each review, assign their tags if containing the keyword for in range(reviews count.values[i]): tag list = [] for key in keyword list: if key in reviews.iloc[num,1].split(): tag list.append(key) if not tag list: num += 1 continue print(tag list) reviews['Tags'][num] = tag list num = num + 1We look at the tag extracted results # each book's keywords (tags) In [198... df comments.head(8) Out[198]: Uid Content **Tags** 13642600 beautifully illustrated horace pippin finish... [pippin, horace, artist, red, pippins, illustr... 470185 strangest months suddenly appear everywhere k... [animals, essays, animal, photography, berger,... 2 137933 philosophers tend worst theorist artists tend... [artists, philosophical, artist, criticism, co... 3 52374 wideranging debut essays film stimulating rel... [sontag, interpretation, essays, criticism, in... 4 140987 introduced dave hickey painting classes profe... [basketball, essays, hickey, criticism, hickey... 5 449128 painter master artists richard schmid artists... [artist, advice, color, schmid, painters, conf... included utter zoothe blue aspicthe epiplecti... 6 51245 [gorey, goreys, legacy, blue, loathsome, utter... 47559 [gorey, hapless, tinies, drawings, gashlycrumb... convey joy edward goreys adore unstrung harp ... # each review's tags (if containing the book's keywords) In [180... reviews.head(8) Out[180]: Uid Tags Content 0 13642600 13642600 beautifully illustrated horace pippin finish g... [pippin, horace, artist] 13642600 [pippin, horace, red, artists] featured grandma reads session splash red hora... 13642600 cute nonfiction artist horace pippin grad clas... [pippin, horace, artist] 13642600 splash red horace pippin wellresearched pictur... [pippin, horace, red, illustrations] 13642600 jen bryant illustrator melissa collaborated pi... [pippin, horace, artist, red, artists] 13642600 [pippin, horace, artist, red, illustrations] audience primarygenre hisher talents splash re... 13642600 aloud bryant obligations frequently artist rep... [pippin, horace, artist, pippins, illustrations] In [197... # store for use df comments.to csv('./output/book tags.csv') reviews.to csv('./output/review tags.csv') Other Trials: Key Phrases We have also considered using key phrases instead of keywords as our tags and we have tried many methods like Yake!, KeyBERT, Rake etc. to extract keyphrases, but the phrases we got are not very meaningful as a tag. We guess for these methods to get a better result, they might need more effort for tuning model parameters and improve the quality of original textual reviews, both could be time-consuming and no promise for final result. Therefore, we conclude these methods are not very suitable and finally reject them. # previous tag result from TextRank In [193... df comments.iloc[3, 2] ['sontag', Out[193]: interpretation', 'essays', 'criticism', 'intellectual', 'essay', 'content'] 3.4.1 YAKE! YAKE! is a light-weight unsupervised automatic keyword extraction method which rests on text statistical features extracted from single documents to select the most important keywords of a text. import yake In [185... # take one example text = df comments.iloc[3,1]kw extractor = yake.KeywordExtractor(top=10, n = 2, stopwords=None) keywords = kw extractor.extract keywords(text) for kw, v in keywords: print("Keyphrase: ",kw, ": score", v) Keyphrase: notes camp : score 0.00017788716562028035 Keyphrase: susan sontag : score 0.0005297176952421673 Keyphrase: essays susan : score 0.0007224643153954816 Keyphrase: interpretation essays : score 0.0007676333810896728 Keyphrase: sontag argues : score 0.0008288173643892819 Keyphrase: films robert : score 0.0008668558595229885 Keyphrase: sartres saint : score 0.0008950281626283484 Keyphrase: saint genet : score 0.0009260733389271714 Keyphrase: imagination disaster : score 0.0010521084810112312 Keyphrase: interpretation sontag : score 0.0011256687586082986 According to the result, we could see there are some repetitions and overlaps across the phrases, which makes them hard to convey more diverse meanings and cause information redundancy, which is bad for tags selection. 3.4.2 TextRank (Summa) The core method is the same as TextRank we used before. But this package is not that intelligent since there are so many repetition and it did not catch important information of book reviews. In [186... from summa import keywords text = df comments.iloc[3,1]TR keywords = keywords.keywords(text, scores=True) print(TR keywords[0:10]) [('sontag', 0.4407184696812149), ('sontags', 0.4407184696812149), ('interpretation', 0.2987008422993689), ('cri tic', 0.2654791090129931), ('criticized', 0.2654791090129931), ('essays film stimulating', 0.1835148264138276 3), ('interpretations cultured', 0.16760650948307876), ('interpret impoverish', 0.16343202148891145), ('critica l takedown', 0.14618324210370381), ('essay notes camp remain', 0.14391607616789773)] 3.4.3 KeyBERT KeyBERT is a simple, easy-to-use keyword extraction algorithm that takes advantage of SBERT embeddings to generate keywords and key phrases from a document that are more similar to the document. First, document embedding (a representation) is generated using the sentences-BERT model; Next, the embeddings of words are extracted for N-gram phrases. Computed cosine similarity of each keyphrase to the document; • The most similar words can then be identified as the words that best describe the entire document and are considered as keywords. Since BERT model need GPU, we put the result in the comments below. In []: from keybert import KeyBERT text = df comments.iloc[3,1]kw model = KeyBERT(model='all-mpnet-base-v2') keywords = kw_model.extract_keywords(text, keyphrase ngram range=(1, 3), stop words='english', highlight=False, top n=10) keywords list= list(dict(keywords).keys()) print(keywords list) keywords_list: \ ['pippin art', 'pippin paintings', 'artist horace', 'pippin artist', 'horace pippin', 'pippin impressionistic', 'painter horace', 'evocative pippin', 'art horace', 'watercolor paintings'] The phrases are not very meaningful as tags because we can see too many common parts between these phrases (e.g. pippin), which might not be able to comprehensively reflect a book's attributes as tags. 3.4.4 Rake Rake is short for *Rapid Automatic Keyword Extraction* - a method of extracting keywords from individual documents. It can also be applied to new fields very easily and is very effective in dealing with multiple types of documents, especially text that requires specific grammatical conventions. Rake identifies key phrases in a text by analyzing the occurrence of a word and its compatibility with other words in the text (cooccurrence). In [195... from multi_rake import Rake text = df comments.iloc[3,1] rake = Rake() keywords = rake.apply(text) print(keywords[:10]) [('quarter petty ephemeral', 9.0), ('packaged sontag', 4.0), ('elevated', 1.0)] We can see that most phrases are not very summative, the result is far away from satisfying. 3.5 Extract Summary for Reviews on Each Book After we generate a list of recommended books, we want to help our book readers get a snapshot of what are the major opinions of other people who have reviewed the book. Thus, we aim to provide a summary of reviews on each book to help readers acquire the main ideas efficiently. We utilize extractive summarization method to extract top sentences from all reviews of a certain book and consider the top sentences as the summary. We explored the following two methods to extract the summary: Method 1: Baseline - LexRank + Sentence-BERT embedding • Method 2: Improvement based on Method 1 to achieve three objectives Maximize Centrality Minimize Redundancy Balance Sentiment 3.5.1 Method 1 - Baseline Model We firstly use Sentence-BERT to acquire sentence embeddings of each sentence • Next, we use **LexRank** to get the graph-based "centrality" of each sentence. The higher the centrality score, the more prominent the sentence is to the whole review. • Since **cosine similarity** allows us to approximate how similar two sentence vectors are by simply using the cosine of the angle between the two vectors to quantify how similar two sentences are, we calculate the cosine similarity of sentence embeddings from Sentence-BERT. If one sentence is similar to many other sentences in the review, we can claim that it is more central to the review or the "center" of the graph and thus it is more important. In summary, for baseline model, we calculate cosine similarity of sentence embeddings from Sentence-BERT and extract 5 sentences with the highest centrality scores as the summary. Note: Our original code files need to run with GPU, thus we only put some code snippets and the results here as demonstration for the report. In []: from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformer, util from LexRank import degree centrality scores from nltk.tokenize import sent tokenize from textblob import TextBlob model = SentenceTransformer('sentence-transformers/paraphrase-mpnet-base-v2') Build a pipeline function for generating summary of book reviews In []: def extract_summary(Uid, topk=5): # select all review sentences of a typical book with selected Uid sentences = sent tokenize(df comments[df comments['Uid'] = Uid].Content) # we calculate the sentiment score using TextBlob, this measurement will be used as n evaluation metric lat sentiments = list(map(lambda text: TextBlob(text).sentiment.polarity, sentences)) doc sentiment = np.mean(sentiments) # Encode each sentence with Sentence-BERT sentence embeddings = model.encode(sentences) # Calculate the cosine similarity scores among sentences cos scores = util.cos sim(sentence embeddings, sentence embeddings).numpy() # Obtain the centrality scores with LexRank centrality scores = degree centrality scores(cos scores, threshold=None) # We obtain the indexes of the most central sentences most central sentence indices = np.argsort(-centrality scores) summary idxes = list(most central sentence indices[:topk]) # We use redundancy score as another evaluation metric def compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes): if not summary idxes: return 0 return max([cos scores[idx][senti] for senti in summary idxes]) # Store the outputs out summary = "" out_sentiment = "" out centralities = "" summary sentiments = [] summary centralities = [] summary redundancies = [] for idx in summary idxes: summary sentiments.append(sentiments[idx]) summary centralities.append(centrality scores[idx]) # compute redundancy of the specific sentence to all other sentences in the summary summary idxes.remove(idx) redundancy = compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes) summary idxes.append(idx) summary redundancies.append(redundancy) out summary += sentences[idx] + "[SEP]" out_sentiment += str(sentiments[idx]) + "[SEP]" out centralities += str(centrality scores[idx]) + "[SEP]" mean sentiment = np.mean(summary sentiments) mean centrality = np.mean(summary centralities) mean redundancy = np.mean(summary redundancies) print(f"{mean sentiment}, {mean centrality}, {mean redundancy}, {doc sentiment}") return out summary, out sentiment, out centralities, mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc Result demonstration In [201... from IPython.display import Image, display display(Image('./pictures/Baseline output.png', width=1200, height=1200)) A picture book bio about self-taught painter Horace Pippin. Score: 1.4652768018282623 A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin is a well-researched picturebook biography of an esteemed self-taught African-American painter:Since I knew nothing about Horace Pippin or his art before, I appreciated Jen Bryant's informative narrative. Score: 1.4332050402649952 Although the text is relatively brief, it contains quite a bit of information on African-American painter Horace Pippin, from his youth, to his service in WWI, to his later success as a painter. Score: 1.4190988359182832 A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin by Jen Bryant and illustrated by Melissa Sweet.NOTES: The colors are amazing. Score: 1.398752236549497 We think Horace Pippin was a great artist! Score: 1.3619491685051612 Grateful for the authors and illustrators who bring forward people, things, and experiences we might have missed in our patchwork educations.Cute nonfiction picture book over the life of artist Horace Pippin. Score: 1.3615779259551986 It is a biography of Horace Pippin, an artist that I was unfamiliar with, but also a bit of a 'coming of age' book too as Horace tries different occupations before and after the war before coming to the fact that he is, indeed, an artist. Score: 1.3609638761512897 review laterWe enjoyed this beautifully illustrated book about the life of Horace Pippin! Score: 1.3413680430242905 Eventually, his work was discovered and promoted by such figures as painter N.C. Wyeth, and he went on to become a well-known painter...I am so very glad that I picked up A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin, as I had not previously heard of this artist, but will now seek out more of his work. Score: 1.3256232218563178 A gorgeous picture book about the life of Horace Pippin. Score: 1.319128407692399 However, after running the baseline model, we noticed some issues. • First, there may be different sentiment distribution of extracted summary and original review texts of one book Note: Sentiment scores are calculated using TextBlob Polarity Score (-1: Negative to 1: Positive) ■ For example, we may have mean sentiment of summary as 0.1565 and mean sentiment of original texts as 0.1217 • Second, there may be some **redundant information** among sentences in extracted summary For example, for two sentences in the summary, they convey similar meanings • E.g. Good book with clear structure! & Great book with organized structure! We want to try avoiding such redundancy and providing more diverse information to end users with the summary To deal with the issues, we propose a more **balanced** summarization algorithm in method 2. 3.5.2 Method 2 - Improvement - Balanced Summarization We use a greedy algorithm to extract a more balanced summary with three objectives • Maximize centrality score which represents the importance of the sentence Minimize the difference between the summary sentiment and the overall sentiment of the original review texts • Minimize the redundant information between extracted sentences The learning objective of the model can be written as: $O(s,S,A) = Centrality(s), Cosine Similarity(s,S) - Sentiment Difference(S \mid \mid s,A)$ where s represent the current review sentence, S is the target extracted summary, and A represents all the review texts of that specific book Essentially, we want to extract a summary with high centrality, low redundancy, and a balanced sentiment. The following is the code snippets of our improved algorithm. In []: def extract_summary_balanced(Uid, topk=5): :param Uid: :return: out summary: summary string separated by [SEP] out sentiment: sentiments string separated by [SEP] out centralities: centrality score string separated by [SEP] mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc sentiment: mean sentiment of original text score # select sample review sentences sentences = sent tokenize(df comments[df comments['Uid'] = Uid].Content) # we calculate the sentiment score using TextBlob, this measurement will be used as n evaluation metric sentiments = list(map(lambda text: TextBlob(text).sentiment.polarity, sentences)) doc_sentiment = np.mean(sentiments) # Encode each sentence with Sentence-BERT sentence embeddings = model.encode(sentences) # Calculate the cosine similarity scores among sentences cos scores = util.cos sim(sentence embeddings, sentence embeddings).numpy() # Obtain the centrality scores with LexRank centrality_scores = degree_centrality_scores(cos_scores, threshold=None) # We use redundancy score as another evaluation metric def compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes): if not summary idxes: return 0 return max([cos scores[idx][senti] for senti in summary idxes]) # We compute the sentiment score difference between the extracted summary and the original review text def sentiment difference(idx, summary idxes): return abs(doc sentiment - np.mean([sentiments[idx]] + [sentiments[senti] for senti in summary idxes])) summary idxes = [] best idx = None while len(summary idxes) < topk and best idx != -1:</pre> best idx, best objective = -1, -1000for idx in range(len(sentences)): if idx not in summary idxes: redundancy = compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes) sentiment_difference = _sentiment_difference(idx, summary_idxes) # maximizing centrality while minimizing redundancy and sentiment difference objective = centrality scores[idx] - redundancy - sentiment difference if objective > best objective: best idx = idxbest objective = objective if best idx != -1: summary idxes.append(best idx) # Store the outputs out summary = "" out sentiment = "" out centralities = "" summary_sentiments = [] summary centralities = [] summary_redundancies = [] for idx in summary idxes: summary sentiments.append(sentiments[idx]) summary centralities.append(centrality scores[idx]) # compute redundancy of the specific sentence to all other sentences in the summary summary idxes.remove(idx) redundancy = _compute_redundancy(idx, summary_idxes) summary idxes.append(idx) summary redundancies.append(redundancy) out summary += sentences[idx] + "[SEP]" out_sentiment += str(sentiments[idx]) + "[SEP]" out centralities += str(centrality scores[idx]) + "[SEP]" mean_sentiment = np.mean(summary_sentiments) mean_centrality = np.mean(summary_centralities) mean redundancy = np.mean(summary redundancies) print(f"{mean sentiment}, {mean centrality}, {mean redundancy}, {doc sentiment}") return out summary, out sentiment, out centralities, mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc 3.5.3 Result & Evaluation Due to lack of gold summaries, we use three metrics (Centrality, Sentiment Differences, and Redundancy) of extracting the top 5 central sentences • **Centrality**: Average centrality of summary sentences -> (Higher the better) • **Sentiment Difference**: |mean of original text - mean of summary (sentiment)| -> (Lower the better)• **Redundancy**: Average cosine similarity among summary sentences -> (Lower the better) In [204... # Read result CSVs summ_baseline = pd.read_csv('./output/summ-baseline-out.csv').iloc[:, 1:] # baseline model summ balanced = pd.read csv('./output/summ-balance-out.csv').iloc[:, 1:] # balanced model We define an evaluation function to compute the above 3 metrics def evaluation(name, stats df): In [230... stats df.replace(np.inf, np.nan, inplace=True) # replace 'inf' by 'NaN' stats df.dropna(axis=0, how='any', inplace=True) # then drop all NaNs mean df = stats df.describe().loc['mean', :] print(name + " model metrics:") print("Centrality: ", mean df['Mean centrality']) print("Sentiment Difference: ", np.abs(mean df['Mean sentiment'] - mean df['doc sentiment'])) print("Redundancy: ", mean_df['Mean redundancy']) pass In [231... | evaluation("Baseline", summ_baseline.iloc[:, -4:]) Baseline model metrics: Centrality: 1.457832593624464 Sentiment Difference: 0.034905608279972744 Redundancy: 0.65922499530985 In [233... evaluation("Balanced", summ_balanced.iloc[:, -4:]) Balanced model metrics: Centrality: 1.407710446431976 Sentiment Difference: 0.006182471345803298 Redundancy: 0.5434062235968687 We summarize the metrics for two methods as follows: **Baseline Balanced Summarization** Centrality 1.4578 1.4077 0.0062 **Sentiment Difference** 0.0349 0.6592 Redundancy 0.5434 We can observe that, though sacrificing minor centrality, our Improvement method (Balanced Summarization Algorithm) achieved lower sentiment difference and lower redundancy than baseline method, generally a good result. Part 4) Summary & Future plans In this module, we first conduct some modifications on original datasets based on findings from exploratory data analysis and prepare it into a less sparse form for model part. Then we explore multiple recommendation models with optimizations that produce impressive results. Finally, we employ NLP models to provide more detailed information alongside books recommended to users, playing a role of user interaction tool and effective information extraction. In the next module, we shall consider the following advancement and plans: • Ensemble model: Currently we have considered several recommendation models and a hybrid one to combine them. Next we prepare to introduce some linear models that take book features & user features into consideration and then build a high-functional ensemble model as our final model: **NLP**: We will use NLP models to provide information overview of recommended book with our tags, summarization and sentiment scores. Then integrate models with interactive user interface to help users efficiently acquire information about books recommended to them Interactive User interface: In the next module, we will present an interactive user interface to play with our recommdendation system. It integrates recommendation models that produce to-read lists for users and use NLP models to provide information overview.