

In [248... threshold list = np.linspace(0, 120, 121, dtype='int64') ratio = [] book num = [] record num = [] for threshold in threshold list: reviewer id = reviewer book count[reviewer book count['Uid'] >= threshold].index.get level values('UserID') reviewer df = df reviews modified[(df reviews modified['UserID'].isin(reviewer id))] total = len(reviewer id) \* len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique()) # total ratings needed records = len(reviewer df) book num.append(len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique())) record num.append(records) ratio.append(records / total) import matplotlib.pyplot as plt In [249... plt.figure(figsize=(18, 6)) plt.subplot(1, 3, 1) plt.plot(threshold list, ratio) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Ratio') plt.subplot(1, 3, 2) plt.plot(threshold\_list, book\_num) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Book\_num') plt.subplot(1, 3, 3) plt.plot(threshold\_list, record\_num) plt.xlabel('Threshold') plt.ylabel('Record\_num') plt.show() 7500 0.035 100000 0.030 7000 0.025 80000 6500 Book num 0.020 60000 6000 0.015 40000 0.010 5500 0.005 20000 5000 0.000 20 100 120 20 100 60 80 120 60 100 60 120 Threshold Threshold Threshold Finally we choose the threshold as 40 (i.e. users with  $\geq$  40 reviews will be kept) Improve the sparsity to 1.14% known data (0.05% previously) threshold = 40In [250... reviewer id = reviewer book count[reviewer book count['Uid'] >= threshold].index.get level values('UserID').to reviewer df = df reviews modified[(df reviews modified['UserID'].isin(reviewer id))] total = len(reviewer id) \* len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique()) # total ratings needed print("The total reviewer number is: ", len(reviewer id)) print("The total book number is: ", len(reviewer df['Uid'].unique())) records = len(reviewer df) print('') print("The total number of ratings needed are: ", total) print("The known records are: ", len(reviewer df)) print("The rating entries need to be estimated are: ", total - len(reviewer df)) ratio = records / total print('') print("The ratio of known data is: %.2f" % (ratio \* 100) + '%') The total reviewer number is: 449 The total book number is: 7133 The total number of ratings needed are: 3202717 The known records are: 36555 The rating entries need to be estimated are: 3166162 The ratio of known data is: 1.14% In [251... # reset index reviewer df = reviewer df.reset index(drop=True) # store for recommendation models reviewer df.to csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/User interaction.csv', index=False) Correspondingly we keep only those books are selected In [252.. df info model = pd.read csv('./Datasets/Basic datasets/Book info model.csv') In [253... book selected uid = reviewer df['Uid'].unique() selected book = df info model[df info model['Uid'].isin(book selected uid)].reset index(drop=True) # keep only the selected books selected book.to csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/Selected book info.csv', index=False) Part 2) Recommendation Models <u>Goal</u>: Accurately recommend to users unread books that match their reading preferences. Tasks: our models will predict users' ratings for their unread books and then recommend (predicted) high-rating books to them. import numpy as np In [99]: import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import os import math import random import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") Load book data & user reviews data books selected = pd.read csv('./Datasets/Rec models datasets/Selected book info.csv') In [84]: books selected.head(5) Out[84]: Uid Title Genres Author Rating Publish\_Date Page\_Num Description Author Desc .. Award ['picture-As a child in A Splash of Jen Bryant books', the late Red The Life January 8, Jen ['schneider\_family\_book\_award', (Jennifer **0** 13642600 3.87 'biography', 1800s, and Art of Bryant Fisher Bryant) 2013 'vermonts\_pict... 'art', Horace Horace Pippin writes pic... Pippin Io... 'nonfict... Arthur C. Over a After the End ['art', Arthur decade ago, Danto was of Art 'philosophy', January 1, 137933 4.03 C. 264 ['no'] Arthur Danto Johnsonian Contemporary 'nonfiction', 1997 Danto announced Professor Art and the ... 'art-histo... that... Emeri... Susan Sontag Against ['essays', Against was born in Interpretation 'nonfiction', January 1, Interpretation Susan 4.13 ['national\_book\_award\_finalist'] New York and Other 1966 'philosophy', Sontag was Susan City on Essays 'art', ... Sontag's firs... Janu... ['art', David Hickey Air Guitar The 23 essays 'nonfiction', Essays on Art Dave August 2, (or "love (born circa 3 140987 'essays', 4.08 208 ['no'] Hickey 1997 1939) is an and songs") that 'music', American ... Democracy make up t... 'crit... ['art', Born in Contents: The 'humor', Chicago, January 1, **Amphigorey** Edward Utter Zoo, 51245 4.40 256 'graphic-['no'] Gorey came Also Gorey 1983 The Blue novels', from a Aspic, The E... 'comics', '... colourful f... 5 rows × 30 columns from ast import literal eval In [114... # Turn 'list-like' string data into lists books\_selected['Genres'] = books\_selected['Genres'].apply(lambda x: literal\_eval(x) if "[" in x else x) books\_selected['Award'] = books\_selected['Award'].apply(lambda x: literal\_eval(x) if "[" in x else x) In [10]: | interactions\_df = pd.read\_csv('./Datasets/Rec\_models datasets/User interaction.csv') interactions df.head(5) Uid Content N\_Likes N\_Comments Out[10]: Title UserID Reviewer Review\_Rating N\_Review N\_Follower Review\_Date A Splash of Author Jen Bryant Red The Life February 20, 2 **0** 13642600 192 Abigail 7086 173 and illustrator 3 and Art of 2021 Melissa Swee... Horace Pippin A Splash of Audience: Red The Life PrimaryGenre: 4 July 10, 2013 3 0 **1** 13642600 17464 Michelle 301 and Art of Non-Horace Pippin Fiction/Informatio... After the End As I recall, a great of Art November 5 137933 17298 Michael 718 969 book despite my 1 Contemporary 14, 2007 predilect... Art and the .. After the End Changed entirely of Art January 7, 0 5 3 137933 13002 Kate 396 316 how I think about 1 Contemporary 2008 art. It sta... Art and the .. Against A wide-ranging Interpretation March 16, 2 52374 17298 Michael 718 969 debut collection of 67 2020 and Other essays on a... Essays Train & test split from sklearn.model selection import train test split In [12]: interactions\_train\_df, interactions\_test\_df = train\_test\_split(interactions\_df, stratify=interactions df['UserID'], test size=0.20, random state=42) print('# interactions on Train set: %d' % len(interactions train df)) print('# interactions on Test set: %d' % len(interactions\_test\_df)) # interactions on Train set: 29249 # interactions on Test set: 7313 In [13]: # Indexing by 'UserID' to speed up the searches during evaluation interactions full indexed df = interactions df.set index('UserID') interactions train indexed df = interactions train df.set index('UserID') interactions test indexed df = interactions test df.set index('UserID') **Evaluation - Top-N accuracy metrics** We use the Top-N accuracy metrics to evaluate our recommendation models' performance: • Accuracy of the top recommendations provided to a user, comparing to the items the user has actually interacted in test set Pseudo code: • For each user: For each item the user has interacted in test set: Sample 100 other items the user has never interacted; o Recommendation model produces a ranked list of items, from a set composed 1 interacted item and other 100 noninteracted items; Compute the Top-N accuracy metrics for this user and interacted item from the recommendations ranked list Aggregate the *global* Top-N accuracy metrics Here we use **Recall@N**: whether the interacted item is among the top N items (hit) in the ranked list of 101 recommendations for a user Metrics: Recall@5 and Recall@10 • E.g. Recall@5: For one user, if we have 100 randomly selected books, the percentage of the interacted books in the test set will be ranked among the top 5 books by the model In [31]: # Get all bookIDs that the given user has interacted with def get items interacted(person id, interactions df): interacted items = interactions df.loc[person id]['Uid'] # interacted (books') Uids return set(interacted items if type(interacted items) == pd.Series else [interacted items]) # number of non-interacted items to be combined together for test In [33]: EVAL RANDOM SAMPLE NON INTERACTED ITEMS = 100 class ModelEvaluator: def get not interacted items sample(self, person id, sample size, seed=42): interacted items = get items interacted (person id, interactions full indexed df) all items = set(books selected['Uid']) non interacted items = all items - interacted items random.seed(seed) # Supress depreciation warning. random.sample will lose support for Sets, # should be convereted to a list or tuple instead with warnings.catch warnings(): warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") non interacted items sample = random.sample(non interacted items, sample size) return set(non interacted items sample) def verify hit top n(self, item id, recommended items, topn): index = next(i for i, c in enumerate(recommended items) if c == item id) except: index = -1hit = int(index in range(0, topn)) return hit, index def evaluate model for\_user(self, model, person\_id): # Getting the items in test set interacted values testset = interactions test indexed df.loc[person id] if type(interacted values testset['Uid']) == pd.Series: person interacted items testset = set(interacted values testset['Uid']) person interacted items testset = set([int(interacted values testset['Uid'])]) interacted\_items\_count\_testset = len(person\_interacted\_items\_testset) # Getting a ranked recommendation list from a model for a given user person recs df = model.recommend items(person id, items to ignore=get items interacted (person id, interactions tra hits at 5 count = 0hits\_at\_10\_count = 0 # For each item the user has interacted in test set for item id in person interacted items testset: # Getting a random sample (100) items the user has not interacted non\_interacted\_items\_sample = self.get\_not\_interacted\_items\_sample(person\_id, sample size=EVAL RANDOM SAMPLE NON IN seed=item id%(2\*\*32)) # Combining the current interacted item with the 100 random items items to filter recs = non interacted items sample.union(set([item id])) # Filtering only recommendations that are either the interacted item # or from a random sample of 100 non-interacted items valid recs df = person recs df[person\_recs\_df['Uid'].isin(items\_to\_filter\_recs)] valid recs = valid recs df['Uid'].values # Verifying if the current interacted item is among the Top-N recommended items hit\_at\_5, index\_at\_5 = self.\_verify\_hit\_top\_n(item\_id, valid\_recs, 5) hits at 5 count += hit at 5 hit\_at\_10, index\_at\_10 = self.\_verify\_hit\_top\_n(item\_id, valid\_recs, 10) hits at 10 count += hit at 10 # Recall is the rate of the interacted items that are ranked among the Top-N recommended items recall at 5 = hits at 5 count / float(interacted items count testset) recall\_at\_10 = hits\_at\_10\_count / float(interacted\_items\_count\_testset) person metrics = {'hits@5 count':hits at 5 count, 'hits@10 count':hits at 10 count, 'interacted count': interacted items count testset, 'recall@5': recall at 5, 'recall@10': recall at 10} return person\_metrics def evaluate model(self, model): # print('Running evaluation for users') people metrics = [] for idx, person id in enumerate(list(interactions test indexed df.index.unique().values)): person metrics = self.evaluate model for user(model, person id) person\_metrics['\_person\_id'] = person\_id people metrics.append(person metrics) # append into a complete list detailed results df = pd.DataFrame(people metrics) \ .sort values('interacted count', ascending=False) # Global metrics for all users global recall at 5 = detailed results df['hits@5 count'].sum() / float(detailed results df['interacted global recall at 10 = detailed results df['hits@10 count'].sum() / float(detailed results df['interacte global metrics = {'modelName': model.get model name(), 'recall@5': global\_recall\_at\_5, 'recall@10': global recall at 10} return global metrics, detailed results df In [34]: model\_evaluator = ModelEvaluator() 2.1 Popularity Model (Baseline) This model is not actually personalized - it simply recommends to a user the most popular items that the user has not previously consumed. • As the popularity accounts for the "wisdom of the crowds", it usually provides good recommendations, generally interesting for most • But the main objective of a recommender system is to leverage the *long-tail items* to the users with very specific interests, which goes far beyond this simple technique. So we will use this model as a baseline. In [35]: # Computes the most popular items item popularity df = interactions df.groupby('Uid')['Review Rating'].sum().sort values(ascending=False).reset i item popularity df.head(10) Out[35]: Uid Review\_Rating **0** 36576608 87 464260 73 2 11588 71 **3** 17899948 71 **4** 53799686 69 96358 69 6 18386 68 7 7126 68 8 5907 67 36373463 In [36]: class PopularityRecommender: MODEL NAME = 'Popularity' def \_\_init\_\_(self, popularity\_df, items\_df=None): self.popularity\_df = popularity\_df self.items\_df = items\_df def get model name(self): return self.MODEL NAME def recommend items(self, user\_id, items\_to\_ignore=[], topn=10, verbose=False): # Recommend the more popular items that the user hasn't seen yet. recommendations df = self.popularity df["vid"].isin(items to ignore)] \ .sort values('Review Rating', ascending = False) \ .head(topn) if verbose: if self.items df is None: raise Exception('"items df" is required in verbose mode') recommendations df = recommendations df.merge(self.items df, how = 'left', left\_on = 'Uid', right\_on = 'Uid')[['Review Rating', return recommendations df Initialize an instance for Popularity model In [37]: popularity\_model = PopularityRecommender(item popularity df, books selected) In [38]: print('Evaluating Popularity recommendation model...') pop global metrics, pop detailed results df = model evaluator.evaluate model(popularity model) print('\nGlobal metrics:\n%s' % pop global metrics) pop detailed results df.head(10) Evaluating Popularity recommendation model... 448 users processed Global metrics: {'modelName': 'Popularity', 'recall@5': 0.12457267879119377, 'recall@10': 0.2261725693969643} Out[38]: hits@5\_count hits@10\_count interacted\_count recall@5 recall@10 \_person\_id 76 33 76 0.263158 0.434211 15602 70 0.200000 0.371429 17161 40 14 26 21 9 24 69 0.130435 0.347826 2557 7 10 20 63 0.158730 0.317460 1863 92 15 26 63 0.238095 0.412698 7740 22 62 0.193548 0.354839 26336 45 12 193 30 62 0.338710 0.483871 22026 57 16 61 0.229508 0.262295 421 287 21 60 0.350000 0.516667 16300 31 27 19 30 56 0.339286 0.535714 16765 2.2 Content-based Filtering Content-based filtering approaches leverage description or attributes from items the user has interacted to recommend *similar items*. • It builds users' profiles (tastes) depending only on the user previous choices, making this method robust to avoid the cold-start problem. Here we are using a very popular technique in information retrieval (search engines) named **TF-IDF**: This technique converts unstructured text into a vector structure, where each word is represented by a position in the vector, and the value measures how relevant a given word is for a book; As all items will be represented in the same Vector Space Model, we will compute cosine similarity between books. In [ ]: import scipy from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler, normalize from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine similarity Check NaN values in Description In [87]: if books selected['Description'].isnull().any(): print("There is at least one NaN value in the 'Description' column.") else: print("There are no NaN values in the 'Description' column.") There is at least one NaN value in the 'Description' column. To cover these missing book descriptions, we choose to use each book's Title + Genres + Description as its textual information | BookText | for constructing the words' vector space for index, row in books selected.iterrows(): In [115... genre = ' '.join([str(i) for i in row['Genres']]) book desc = row['Description'] author desc = row['Author Desc'] if isinstance(book desc, float): text = row['Title'] + genre else: text = row['Title'] + genre + book desc # replace missing 'Description' books selected.loc[index, 'BookText'] = text Train word vectors based on book texts data In [122... | from nltk.corpus import stopwords from sklearn.feature extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer # Stopwords (words with no semantics) from English stopwords list = stopwords.words('english') + ['book', 'books'] # Trains a model whose vectors size is 5000, composed by the main unigrams # and bigrams found in the corpus, ignoring stopwords vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(analyzer='word', ngram range=(1, 2),min df=0.003, max df=0.5, max features=5000, stop words=stopwords list) In [123... # book Uids item\_ids = books\_selected['Uid'].tolist() # vectorize book description texts tfidf\_matrix = vectorizer.fit\_transform(books\_selected['BookText']) tfidf\_feature\_names = vectorizer.get\_feature\_names() tfidf matrix Out[123]: <7133x5000 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>' with 484277 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format> Obtain the word vector representation of a book in the same feature space as the user profiles (i.e. TF-IDF matrix) Take all the book files the user has interacted with and average them weighted by user's Review\_Rating In [108... def get\_item\_profile(item id): idx = item ids.index(item id) item profile = tfidf matrix[idx:idx+1] return item profile def get item profiles(ids): item profiles list = [get item profile(x) for x in ids] item profiles = scipy.sparse.vstack(item profiles list) return item profiles def build users profile(person id, interactions indexed df): interactions person df = interactions indexed df.loc[person id] user item profiles = get item profiles(interactions person df['Uid']) user item strengths = np.array(interactions person df['Review Rating']).reshape(-1,1) # Weighted average of item profiles by the interactions strength, and normalized user item strengths weighted avg = np.sum(user item profiles.multiply(user item strengths), axis=0) \ / np.sum(user item strengths) user profile norm = normalize(user item strengths weighted avg) return user profile norm def build users profiles(): interactions indexed df = interactions train df[interactions train df['Uid'] \ .isin(books selected['Uid'])].set index('UserID') user profiles = {} for person\_id in interactions indexed df.index.unique(): user profiles[person id] = build users profile(person id, interactions indexed df) return user profiles user profiles = build users profiles() In [220... We take a look at a user profile example whose name is 'Abigail' (UserID = 192) The value in each position represents how relevant is a token (unigram or bigram) for the user named 'Abigail'; • It shows that she is very interested in reading books about *children*: Some keywords like bear, cat, little appear frequently in children's books. Also note that **Dr. Seuss** is a famous writer for children's literatures In [225... aprofile = user profiles[192] print(aprofile.shape) pd.DataFrame(sorted(zip(tfidf feature names, user profiles[192].flatten().tolist()), key=lambda x: -x[1])[:20], columns=['token', 'relevance']) (1, 5000)Out[225]: token relevance 0.422296 children 0.169196 dr seuss 2 0.169196 seuss **3** children fiction 0.168590 0.166772 animals 5 0.157434 picture 0.154855 6 bear 7 0.145833 fiction fiction classics 0.124478 0.123242 dr 0.123204 10 classics 11 0.114586 cat 0.114381 12 little 0.106283 13 new 0.095753 14 hat 15 0.094332 young 16 0.094149 humor 0.090396 fiction animals 0.089813 picture fiction 0.088952 food class ContentBasedRecommender: MODEL NAME = 'Content-Based' def \_\_init\_\_(self, items df=None): self.item ids = item ids self.items\_df = items\_df def get model name(self): return self.MODEL NAME def get similar items to user profile(self, person id, topn=1000): # Computes the cosine similarity between the user profile and all item profiles cosine similarities = cosine similarity(user profiles[person id], tfidf matrix) # Gets the top similar items & sort by similarity similar indices = cosine similarities.argsort().flatten()[-topn:] similar\_items = sorted([(item\_ids[i], cosine\_similarities[0,i]) for i in similar\_indices], key=lambda x return similar items def recommend items(self, user id, items to ignore=[], topn=10, verbose=False): similar items = self. get similar items to user profile(user id) #Ignores items the user has already interacted similar items filtered = list(filter(lambda x: x[0] not in items to ignore, similar items)) recommendations df = pd.DataFrame(similar items filtered, columns=['Uid', 'Review Rating']).head(topn) # If verbose is set to True, then the method returns a DataFrame that includes additional information # about the recommended items, such as their title, URL, and language. if verbose: if self.items df is None: raise Exception('"items df" is required in verbose mode') recommendations\_df = recommendations\_df.merge(self.items\_df, how = 'left', left\_on = 'Uid', right on = 'Uid')[['Review Rating', 'Uid', 'Title']] return recommendations df content\_based\_recommender\_model = ContentBasedRecommender(books\_selected) In [126... In [127... print('Evaluating Content-Based Filtering model...') cb\_global\_metrics, cb\_detailed\_results\_df = model\_evaluator.evaluate model(content based recommender model) print('\nGlobal metrics:\n%s' % cb global metrics) cb\_detailed\_results\_df.head(10) Evaluating Content-Based Filtering model... 448 users processed Global metrics: {'modelName': 'Content-Based', 'recall@5': 0.3638725557226856, 'recall@10': 0.4869410638588814} hits@5\_count hits@10\_count interacted\_count recall@5 recall@10 \_person\_id Out[127]: 0.539474 76 32 41 76 0.421053 15602 40 21 34 0.300000 0.485714 17161 21 17 26 0.246377 0.376812 2557 7 33 63 0.523810 0.571429 36 1863 92 5 16 63 0.079365 0.253968 7740 45 19 26 62 0.306452 0.419355 26336 62 0.274194 193 17 27 0.435484 22026 57 7 61 0.114754 0.229508 14 421 287 36 40 0.600000 0.666667 16300 27 12 0.214286 0.267857 16765 Our content-based filtering model provides personalized recommendations with a Recall@5 ≈ 0.3639, indicating that around 36% of the items that the user interacted with in the test set were included in the top-5 recommended items generated by the model from a list of 100 random items. Furthermore, the **Recall@10** ≈ **0.4869**. This is a great improvement from baseline, partially proves the power of this technique. Collaborative Filtering model We use latent factor model (model-based) for collaborative filtering: Compress user-item matrix into a **low-dimensional** representation in terms of latent factors, solving sparsity problem; Here we a use popular latent factor model named Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). **Singular Value Decomposition:**  $M_{m \times n} = U_{m \times m} * \Sigma_{m \times n} * V_{n \times n}^T$  (Full SVD) However, we can choose the number of latent factors k to factor the user-item matrix and approximate original matrix using the following formula:  $M_{m imes n}pprox U_{m imes k}st \Sigma_{k imes k}st V_{k imes n}^T \ (Thin\ SVD)$  The higher the number of factors, the more precise is the factorization in the original matrix reconstructions. • But if the model is allowed to memorize too much details of the original matrix, it may not generalize well for data it was not trained on. Reducing the number of factors increases the model generalization. ullet We will loop over a potential space to find the **best** number of factors  $k_{optimal}$ from scipy.sparse import csr matrix from scipy.sparse.linalg import svds **Matrix Factorization** # Creating a sparse pivot table with users in rows and items in columns In [141... users\_items\_pivot\_matrix\_df = interactions\_train\_df.pivot(index='UserID', columns='Uid', values='Review Rating').fillna(0) users\_items\_pivot\_matrix\_df.head(10) Out[141]: 2 5 6 11 13 21 22 24 25 ... 61330005 61865476 62053325 62296528 62357989 62404966 62967897 632212 UserID 0.0 4.0 0.0 **523** 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 ... 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10 rows × 6907 columns In [142... users\_items\_pivot\_matrix = users\_items\_pivot\_matrix\_df.to\_numpy() users\_items\_pivot\_matrix[:10] array([[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.], Out[142]:  $[0., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0., 0.]$ [0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],. . . , [0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.],[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0., 0.]]) In [143... users\_ids = list(users\_items\_pivot\_matrix\_df.index) users ids[:10] [17, 87, 192, 308, 330, 383, 421, 486, 523, 612] Out[143]: In [144... users\_items\_pivot\_sparse\_matrix = csr\_matrix(users\_items\_pivot\_matrix) users\_items\_pivot\_sparse\_matrix  $<449 \times 6907$  sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>' with 29244 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format> Here we first set number of factors k=40, representing the number of book genres In [226... # The number of factors to factor the user-item matrix (use the number of genres) NUMBER OF FACTORS MF = 40# Performs matrix factorization of the original user item matrix U, sigma, Vt = svds(users\_items\_pivot\_sparse\_matrix, k = NUMBER\_OF\_FACTORS\_MF) sigma = np.diag(sigma) # diagonize U.shape, sigma.shape, Vt.shape In [227... ((449, 40), (40, 40), (40, 6907))Out[227]: After the factorization, we try to reconstruct the original matrix by multiplying its factors. • No sparsity any more. It generates predictions for items the user has not interacted with, which we will exploit for recommendations. all user predicted ratings = np.dot(np.dot(U, sigma), Vt) In [151... all user predicted ratings array([[ 0.02292273, 0.01838176, 0.0042864 , ..., 0.01090701, Out[151]: 0.05341585, 0.01885435],  $[-0.03654072, -0.02313435, -0.03319799, \ldots, 0.02337009,$ -0.00532303, -0.00283612], [0.00239874, -0.01840318, -0.02263362, ..., 0.00563758,0.11668636, -0.05929882], [-0.02380071, -0.01779677, -0.01164514, ..., -0.00719634,0.01912133, 0.64562998], [ 0.10246305, 0.02996917, 0.07688153, ..., 0.15951745,0.38916266, -0.06106651], [ 0.0035282 , 0.09884057, 0.13012081, ..., 0.14979794,0.49248323, 0.28502441]]) Normalize the ratings  $X_{norm} = rac{X - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}}$ all user predicted ratings norm = (all user predicted ratings - all user predicted ratings.min()) / \ (all user predicted ratings.max() - all user predicted ratings.min()) # Converting the reconstructed matrix back to a Pandas dataframe In [153... cf preds df = pd.DataFrame(all user predicted ratings norm, columns = users items pivot matrix df.columns, inde cf preds df.head(10) 330 Out[153]: 17 87 192 308 383 421 486 523 26764 26788 612 ... 26672 268 Uid **1** 0.209621 0.202702 0.207233 0.256061 0.154096 0.200324 0.202197 0.211589 0.209084 0.255863 ... 0.224655 0.219448 0.229545 0.3014 **2** 0.209092 0.204262 0.204813 0.216671 0.184733 0.207697 0.195703 0.219007 0.212960 0.207962 ... 0.208117 0.209986 0.230314 0.2626 **5** 0.207452 0.203091 0.204320 0.196924 0.180002 0.208463 0.226422 0.219798 0.214746 0.189833 ... 0.214457 0.186152 0.237972 0.3168 **6** 0.206838 0.203575 0.202876 0.165002 0.172763 0.195258 0.213597 0.208480 0.208221 0.221522 ... 0.207416 0.211785 0.236875 0.3646 **11** 0.202818 0.206896 0.200204 0.187011 0.227901 0.224145 0.214796 0.220232 0.204843 0.371452 ... 0.219410 0.227331 0.200532 0.113C **13** 0.207045 0.206528 0.206573 0.206293 0.211204 0.206923 0.215997 0.207239 0.207677 0.210887 ... 0.208789 0.210739 0.205920 0.1986 **21** 0.207602 0.219221 0.200512 0.258154 0.170454 0.203612 0.238084 0.208334 0.204967 0.237605 ... 0.203969 0.177954 0.206309 0.2274 **22** 0.207662 0.209752 0.204168 0.205712 0.185924 0.204183 0.196128 0.208172 0.206436 0.248826 ... 0.210740 0.195485 0.209730 0.1988 **24** 0.202855 0.212751 0.202912 0.199510 0.233671 0.197231 0.196826 0.206458 0.208081 0.193236 ... 0.208867 0.204131 0.217123 0.1826 **25** 0.204601 0.213617 0.210699 0.206744 0.211300 0.201049 0.201495 0.207056 0.208858 0.199133 ... 0.207029 0.196515 0.215327 0.1938 10 rows × 449 columns

G] { '	valuating Co 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName':		df.head(10 tive Filte	)	_ bbal_metric	l_evaluator s)		_model(cf_re		
	35 31 11	s: 'Colla	borative F	ilterinç		95': 0.3048	8305293393	 3514, 'recal	1010': 0.41	.991519627
21: 21: 21:	20 56 61 76	18 16 18 5 24 15 ollaborat	27 20 25 12 33 31 ive Filtering r	model (SV	63 0.285714 62 0.258065 62 0.290323 61 0.081967 60 0.400000 56 0.267857  D matrix factor	0.428571 0.322581 0.403226 0.196721 0.550000 0.553571  orization), we orization	7740 26336 22026 421 16300 16765	t we got <b>Recall</b>	<b>@5</b> ≈ 30.49%	<b>6</b> and <b>Recall</b>
nı g:	<pre>um_factor = lobal_recall lobal_recall or n in num_     print("Nu")</pre>	np.lins _5 = [] _10 = [ factor: mber of Vt = s	space(10, 1	00, 91, : ", n)		64')		mber of fact	ors k	
	all_user_  cf_preds_  # build n  cf_recomm  cf_global  global_re  global_re	predict  df = po  nodel  mender_m  _metric  ccall_5.	d.DataFrame  nodel = CFF cs, _ = mod  append(cf_ ).append(cf	a_norm = (a (all_use decommence lel_evalu global_n f_global_	der(cf_predicted ator.evaluator.evaluator['remetrics['r	predicted_redicted_rat d_ratings_n s_df, books ate_model(c call@5']) ecall@10'])	atings - ings.max( orm, columnous) _selected		_predicted_	_ratings.m
# av		gsize=( factor, factor, factor, factor, factor, 1. Best a	ge of Recal (12, 6)) global_re global_re average_m 'o', ms=5 average', x ps=dict(fa	ray(global ecall_5, ecall_10, etric, 1 * 2, med ey=(23, 0) eccolor=	label = 'G. label = 'Avo	<pre>the property of the prope</pre>	1@5') 11@10') rmance')			
	lt.title('CF lt.xlabel('N lt.ylabel('M lt.grid() lt.legend(lc lt.show()	Number o	of factors )	CF Pe			actors	- Globa	al Recall@5 al Recall@10 age performance	
Metrics	0.38 0.36 0.34 0.32 0.30 0.28		Best av	verage						
in k p: p:	ind the option  ndex = avera optimal = n  rint("The bearint("The companion of the companion	ge_metr num_fact est numb errespor	cic.argmax(cor[index] eer of fact ding Recal	or k = '105 = "',1010 = '1010	sed on the a	l) call_5[inde	x])	e of metrics	100	
Th Th  # np (()	ne correspon ne correspon	ding Reding Return Methods Research Methods Research Rese	call@5 = call@10 = crics, we compared to the color of the	0.303652 0.42757 can see n x(global 37026398	48871563398 no large di L_recall_10 8576)	fferences w		hosen optima	1 k	
a: # c: p:c:	f_preds_df =  build model f_recommende  rint('Evalua	er_model ting Co	catings_nor caFrame(all caFrame(all caFrame) caFrame(all caFrame) caFrame(all caFrame)	<pre>m = (all_u    (all_u</pre>	L_user_predicted_rancedicted_rancef_preds_df ring (SVD Magadf = modes	<pre>icted_ratin ted_ratings tings_norm, , books_sel atrix Facto l_evaluator</pre>	<pre>columns : ected) rization)</pre>	user_predict all_user_pre = users_item  model') model(cf_re	_ dicted_rati s_pivot_mat	ings.min()
E \ 4 4 4 G 1 .	valuating Co 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName':     hits@5_cou  35	llabora cessed s: 'Colla	tive Filte	ring (SV		95': 0.3036	520311858	 343, 'recall	@10 <b>':</b> 0.427	7574887156
1! 27	87 20 56 61 76	20 21 25 15 23	33 34 29 21 31 29	del with $k$	63 0.317460 62 0.338710 62 0.403226 61 0.245902 60 0.383333 56 0.410714	0.523810 0.548387 0.467742 0.344262 0.516667 0.517857	7740 26336 22026 421 16300 16765	<b>7%</b> and <b>Recall</b>	010 ≈ 42.76%	<b>6</b> . Also a gre
2	ur dataset. Met xceptions' in re  .4 Hybric  Combine pe	hods like eview rati d mod ervious tw te these	e SVD, which ngs in real well (Conte vo models: Cokind of enser	are based orld. ent-bas ontent-ba	on some mat	hematical the aborative	ories might  filtering	<b>g</b> together as a	take account	of too many
	<pre>MODEL_NAM  defini     self.     self.     self.     self.     def get_m     retur  def recom # Get</pre>	t(sel cb_rec_ cf_rec_ cb_ense cf_ense items_c model_na mend_it	cbrid'  af, cb_rec_model = cb_model = cf_emble_weighter  amble_weighter  ame (self):  MODEL_NAME  ame (self,  ame top-1000	rec_mod rec_mod t = cb_e t = cf_e df user_id,	del del del ensemble_we ensemble_we titems_to_	ight # wei ight # wei ight # wei ighore=[], tering reco	ght of co. ght of co topn=10,		filtering filtering	
	# Getcb_re # Getcf_re # Con recs_	ecs_df =  ecs_df =  eting the  ecs_df =  abining  df = ch	ne top-1000 self.cb_r ne top-1000 self.cf_r the result o_recs_df.m	Content Cec_model Collaborec_model Cs by Uick Cerge(cf_ how lef	t-based fill recommend	tering reco _items(user tering reco _items(user d', id').fillna	mmendatio _id, item topn=1000  mmendatio _id, item topn=1000	ns s_to_ignore= ).rename(col ns s_to_ignore= ).rename(col	<pre>items_to_ig umns={'Revi items_to_ig</pre>	iew_Rating gnore, ver
	# Sor recom if ve	df['Rat rting re mendati rbose: f self. rais	ecommendati ons_df = r items_df i e Exception	recommend  ['] = (re  dons by lecs_df.s  None: on('"item	dation score ecs_df['Rat. + (recs_df[ nybrid score sort_values	e based on ing_CB'] * 'Rating_CF' e ('Rating_Hy equired in _df.merge(s	CF and CB self.cb_e ] * self. brid', as verbose m elf.items eft_on =	nsemble_weig cf_ensemble_ cending=Fals ode') _df, how = '	weight)  e).head(top	
בים	Ve first simp  • You can cho  ybrid_recomm  rint('Evalua	ly use to some optimender_m	mal weights be nodel = Hybrid model	ed weight by trial and pridRecond	d error, or use	wo models linear regress tent_based_ensemble_we	sion to study recommend ight=1.0,	'Uid') [['Ra y the optimal w er_model, cf cf_ensemble	reights _recommende _weight=1.0	er_model,
	ybrid_global rint('\nGlob ybrid_detail valuating Hy 48 users pro lobal metric 'modelName': hits@5_cou	metric pal metr ed_resu brid mo cessed s: 'Hybri	cics:\n%s' clts_df.hea del d', 'recal	detailed % hybrid d(10)	d_global_me	crics)	all@10 <b>':</b> (	evaluate_mod		Lecommende
1 1 2 7	11	25 30 28 31 26 15	38 37 36 40 32 22 35		69 0.362319 63 0.476190 63 0.444444 62 0.500000 62 0.419355 61 0.245902 60 0.500000	0.550725 0.587302 0.571429 0.645161 0.516129 0.360656 0.583333	2557 1863 7740 26336 22026 421 16300			
<b>C</b>	omparing the lobal_metric lobal_metric modelN	es_df = es_df rec	pd.DataFra .set_i call@5 recall	me([pop_ndex('mo	56 0.375000		16765	ics, cf_glob	al_metrics,	, hybrid_g
G	Content-B  ollaborative Filt  denerally we  matplotlib i  x = global_m  or p in ax.p	dased 0.3 ering 0.3 ybrid 0.5 can ob nline metrics_ patches:	0.486 0.3652 0.42 0.515388 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626 0.626	5941 7575 0982 nighest p	ot(kind='ba	r', figsize	=(15,8),		heigh+	ha='c
0.	ax . annota  mode Populari Content	ite ("%.3   Name	Sf" % p.get	eight	(), (p.get_:	., + p.get	idth()		height()),	0.621
0.	3 -	0.1	0.364	0.304				0.226		
-   - 	<ul><li>Mitations:</li><li>Here we use critical to be likely to receive</li></ul>	e <b>Recall</b> @ ooks (i.e. ommend	demonstra  N to measuralways posts books that r	re the perf negative I	formance of n reviews), then be the real fav	nodels, but im our model or or of him.	agine for sc lly learns fro	uld also pay ome 'extreme' u om the books re	iser who is alr	most always n and it will
n	to be negat  Therefore, c (eliminated  Part 3)	urrently v before) t <b>Natur</b> onsider s	ecommendat we use users o simulate th	ion mode with at lea is situatio	Is might not we ast 40 ratings, and see how	ork that well but we will tr	as desired; y to test our work and di	trained model scuss potential	s on users wit ways to adva	th less rating
٠	<ul><li>Tag filtering</li><li>Topic N</li><li>Keywo</li><li>Keyphi</li></ul>	g system Modeling rds Extra rases Ext Summari e approa	y (Section 3.2 action (Section raction (Section zation (Section)	): LDA me on 3.3): Tex iion 3.4): Y	thod xtRank ake!, keyBERT	, Rake, etc.		er books & cor		
in in in	<pre>mport numpy mport os mport re mport warnin arnings.filt .1 Texts F efore we start t</pre>	as np  gs erwarni  Pre-pro he NLP n	ocessing nodels, we fir		t some pre-pr	ocessing on c	our review co	ontent		
d:	f_reviews = f_reviews.he	pd.read	Title Re		Review N_Fo			aned.csv') view_Date	Content review later	N_Likes N_
1	13642600 Life	A Splash of e and Art o	of Horace	Lisa	750	131	4	ovember 7,	e enjoyed this beautifully lustrated book	22
2 3 4 4 Tree 3 4 4 C	13642600 Life	Splash of a splash of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a and Art of a splash of a spl	Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The of Horace More Pippin  Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The of Horace Pippin  A we are made of Horace Pippin  The this beautifull grandma reads oction picture beautifull Red: The Life are not picture beautifull	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content '  re' y illustrated as session.Exa	1922 600 744 Prested in the street of the st	205 127 57 ne textual re	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2023  Dovember 7, 2014 il  January 30, 2022  June 20, 2020  August 16	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh  Lute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi	
2 3 4 4 H	13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Vid  13642600 Feat  1364260	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace More Pippin  Red The f Horace Pippin  A this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be Red: The Life a  Intent  Red: The Life a	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content'  re' y illustrated as session. Example to the content of He content of the content	1922  600  744  Prested in the stress of the	205  127  57  The textual residual resi	if not cas	Divember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, 2020  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content	11 7 6
2 3 3 4 4 H H received 11 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a Splash of and Art of a Splash of and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash	f Horace Pippin  Red The of Horace More Pippin  Red The of Horace Pippin  The Horace Pi	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content de Co	1922  600  744  Prested in the stress of the	205  127  57  The textual residual resi	if not cas	Divember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, 2020  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content	11 7 6
2 3 4 1 C 1 1 2 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Fee	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a and Art of a Splash of	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace Morpipin  Red The f Horace Pippin  A this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be functuation  on (document  in document  in document  in charace  in [',', char.appe  in (no_punctual)  in (no_punctual)  in (charace  in (charace  in reviews [' charace  in reviews [' charace  in reviews [' charace  in fred the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture be for the life and  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture  in this beautifull grandma reads ction picture  in this beautifull grandma reads	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content '  re'  y illustrated as session. Example to the session of the session of the session example to the session	1922  600  744  Prested in the stress of the	127  57  128  129  127  57  129  129  120  120  120  120  120  120	if not class ation)	Divember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, 2020  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017  August 16, 2017	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Life  13642600 Fee  13642600 F	Splash of and Art of a Splash of a	A frequent but this beautifull grandma reads to con (document in document: a document: a charappear to be fred the life are the life ar	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content de Content de Session. Example de Content de Cont	1922  600  744  Prested in the stress of the	205  127  57  1e textual residue of the second of the sec	if not city are shold to ay', 'im'  if word	by the property of the propert	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace More Pippin  Red The f Horace Pippin	content of the conten	1922  600  744  Prested in the stressed in the	in document  in by empty  in by empty  in by empty  The textual results of the service of the se	if not contact the contact and a contact and	povember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  recude model  recude model  or remove the  ords  ms()}	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace Morpipin  Red The f Horace Pippin  Re	conkiszt  Cindy lewton  Agnė  Content'  Content'  Session.Exa  Cok over the  And Art of Ho  Art of Ho  Art of Ho  Content'  Co	1922  600  744  rested in the	205  127  57  The textual residual resi	if not contains and any ', 'im'  lower cas ation)  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  if word  l, we als  ine all w  ounts.item  char	povember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  recude model  recude model  or remove the  ords  ms()}	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace Morpipin  Red The f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace F	conkiszt  Cindy lewton  Agnè  Cortent  Content  Session.Exa  Cok over the  Act of Hard  Act of Hard  Content  C	1922  600  744  Prested in the strested in the	205  127  57  10	if not contains and any ', 'im'  lower cas ation)  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  if word  l, we als  ine all w  ounts.item  char	povember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
2 3 4 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace Morpipin  Red The f Horace Pippin  Red The f Horace F	conkiszt  Cindy lewton  Agnè  Cortent  Content  Session.Exa  Cok over the  Act of Hard  Act of Hard  Content  C	1922  600  744  Prested in the strested in the	205  127  57  10	if not contains and any ', 'im'  lower cas ation)  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  ay', 'im'  if word  l, we als  ine all w  ounts.item  char	povember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly wh  Cute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The of Horace More Pippin  Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The Life and Comment of the Lif	Cindy lewton  Agné  Cortent  Content  Session.Exa  Cok over the content  Co	1922  600  744  Prested in the service of the word has been declared by the words for we keep the declared by the word has been declared by the wo	127  127  137  14 textual residual resi	if not contact to the state of	povember 7, 2014 ill  January 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model  recude model	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh  Sute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The of Horace More Pippin  Red The of Horace Pippin  Red The Life and Comment of the Lif	Cindy lewton  Agné  Cortent  Content  Session.Exa  Cok over the content  Co	1922  600  744  Prested in the service of the word has been declared by the words for we keep the declared by the word has been declared by the wo	127  127  137  14 textual residual resi	if not contact to the state of	2023  Ovember 7, 2014 ill  Ilanuary 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  t by users: (  arecude model  arecude model  arecude model  branches  frequence  fre	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly wh  Sute nonfiction ture book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Asplash of a splash of a splas	# Horace Pippin  Red The If Horace If Horace Pippin  Red The If Horace Pippin  Red The If Horace If Horace Pippin  Red The If Horace If Horace If Horace If It Ho	Cindy lewton  Agné  Content'  Content'  Session.Exa  Cok over the content'	1922  600  744  Prested in the strested in the strest of	127  57  127  13	if not construction of the	2023  Ovember 7, 2014 ill  Ilanuary 30, 2022  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  t by users: (  arecude model  arecude model  arecude model  branches  frequence  arecude model  arecude model  arecude model  branches  arecude model  arecude model  branches  arecude model  arecude model  arecude model  arecude model  branches  arecude model  arecude model	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly. wh  Lute nonfiction the life of  Splash of Red: Life Horace Pi  Content  igit()])  ""  "  "  "  "  "  "  "  "  "  "  "	follows:
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of and Art of a	Red The florace Pippin  Red The florace Morphy More More Morphy More More Morphy More More More More More More More More	Cindy lewton  Agnè  Cortent  Content  Green  Green  Content  Conte	rested in the re	in document  in document  in document  in lower) #  in oby empty  in by empty  in by empty  in by empty  in oby em	if not contact to the contact of the	anuary 30, 2022  June 20, pict  June 20, pict  August 16, The  t by users: (  the aracter.ise  are	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a grandma readsty wh  tute nonick over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  igit()])  s  r, 'this', etc.	follows:  celerate train  celerate train
	13642600 Life  136426	Splash of a splash	Red The florace Pippin  Red The florace Morphy More More Morphy More More Morphy More More More More More More More More	Cindy lewton  Agnè  Cortent  Content  Green  Green  Content  Conte	1922  600  744  rested in the	in document  in document  in document  in lower) #  in oby empty  in by empty  in by empty  in by empty  in oby em	if not contact the state of the	to sentence  to sentence  and in stop  or remove the  ords  in stop  ords  ords  in stop  ords  ords  in stop	beautifully lustrated book a  Featured in a grandma readsly wh  Lute nonfiction rute book over the life of  Splash of Red: Life and Art of Horace Pi  Content  i.git()])  s  and content  i.git()])  s  content  i.git()])	follows: celerate train celerate train chas been
	# 13642600 Life  # 1364	words and Art of the	Red The Horace Pippin	conkiszt  Cindy lewton  Agné  Cortente  Contente  Contente  Session.Exa  cook over the  nd Art of He  art less mea  at les	1922  600  744  rested in the	and by empty  in document  in document  chove pure the theory of the theory  strict (review)  strict (review	if not contact the state of the	to sentence  to sentence  a sign document  a sign document  a sign document  a ct high-frequent  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a sign document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a sign document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a given document  a cords  a given document  b cords  a cords	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a stream of the stream of th	follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics Topic
	# A CONTRACTORY  ## CONTRACTOR	Meroperson and Arto a	# Horace Pippin  Red The Fire Horace Pippin  Fire Horace Fire	conkiszt  Cindy lewton  Agné  Cortent'  Content'  Conten	1922  600  744  rested in the rested in the companion of	in document  for textual recommends  for textual recom	if not contact the state of the	to sentence  at thigh-frequence or exchange document  at a saign documen	beautifully lustrated book a Featured in a stream of the stream of th	follows: celerate train to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics to 10. Creation Topics Topic
	# Create  # Compute the comput	Splash of early and and art of early and	we first no control of comments of red the life and comments of red the li	ith stop  district  district  ith stop  district  distri	1922  600  744  Prested in the street of the	in document  in do	if not contained to the	to sentence  at thigh-frequence or exchange document  at a saign documen	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rure theolic over the lafe of Red Surface Pi  Content  int or a partitude of the session of	follows:  follows:  celerate train  to 10.  Creation Topics  to 10.  To
	## 13642600 Life ## 136	Models  Cand Arto  Splash of  Cand Arto  Splash of  Cand Arto  Can	we first ne corporation (comment) in (commen	content:  conten	1922  600  744  Prested in the street of the state of the state of words and state of words are state of words and state of words and state of words are state of words and state of words and state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words are state of words are state of words are state of words. The state of words are state of words. The state of words are state	in document  for by empty  in document  for empty  in document  for empty  in word in the fore  in word for  in words like: 'n  for ds l	if not control of the	abandary 30. 2022  June 20, pict  June 20, pict  August 16, The  August 16, Th	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rure theolic over the lafe of Red Surface Pi  Content  int or a partitude of the session of	follows:  follows:  celerate train  to 10.  Creation Topics  to 10.  To
	# A Create  # A Cr	we enjoyed  and Artic	we first no common to comm	content conten	1922  600  744  rested in the rested in the company of the company	and the state of t	if not contained to the	abandary 30. 2022  June 20, pict  June 20, pict  August 16, The  August 16, Th	int beautifully lustrated book. Featured reads as a session. Exactly wh Sure nonfiction rure theolic over the lafe of Red Surface Pi  Content  int or a partitude of the session of	follows:  follows:  celerate train  to 10.  Creation Topics  to 10.  To
	# A Create  # A Cr	model, candardo canda	we first ne compore between the service we s	content:  conten	1922  600  744  rested in the rested in the rested in the restrict of the rest	205  127  57  128  129  129  129  129  129  129  129	if not contains a device set of the set of t	anuay 30, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2	beautifully lustrated book. Featured in a grandma reads session.Exactly. Lute nonfiction fure book over the life of Solies and Art of Horace Pi  Content  i.g. 'this', etc.  i.g. 'this', etc.  i.g. 'this', etc.  words] for  this  continue of token id, contin	follows: celerate train celerate train to 10. Creation Topics Topic
	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	we enjoyed the control of and Articles and A	wee first new control of the control	content conten	# 1922  600  744  **rested in the strested in the side of  content wiew later and show a content of  and show a content o	in document  in do	if not come to the common to t	to sentence  to sentence  to sentence  to sentence  and sign document  to sentence  to sentence  to sentence  to sentence  to	beautifully lustrated book.  Featured in a grandma reads session. Exactly.  Lute nonfiction ture book over the life of existing the foliation of the foliation	follows:  celerate train  celerate train  for 10.  Creation  Topics  a review i  to 10.  Creation  Topics
	## A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	models  models	we first no control of the life and the life	content:  Cindy  Content:  Cindy  Content:  Co	1922  600  744  rested in the	poet extual response of the second of the se	if not company to the set of the	to sentence  at a saign document  at high-freque  at thigh-freque  at thig	beautifully lustrated book. Featured in a grandmereads session. Evention ture book over the life of Sufficient Ard of Horace Pi  content  igit () ])  figit () ]	follows:  cular set of to 10.  Creation Topics to 4.  cular set of to 10.  Creation Topics to 10.  Creation Topics to 10.  Creation Topics Top
	## A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	models  models	we first ne composition of the c	content:  Cindy  Content:  Cindy  Content:  Co	1922  600  744  rested in the	205  127  127  127  128  129  129  129  129  129  129  129	and	to sentence  and a sign document  and a sign docume	icis + h.t.  see)  yethor as a see and accompany words as a see and accompany and accompany are for the form 2 and accompany and the form 2 and accompany are for the form 2 and	follows:  cular set of
Reference of the contract of t	## A CALLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	we delay to the control of the contr	ew texts we frequent be a composed by a comp	content of the conten	the model might words are pinchet words are pinchet words.  Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been an ingful word are pinchet word has been are pinchet words.  Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been are pinchet words.  Content wiewlater book a are pinchet word has been are pinchet words.  Content wiewlater book a are pinchet words.  Content words are pinchet words.  Content w	205  127  57  57  6 textual residence of the second of the	intent of the control	to sentence  and a sign document  and a sign docume	igit () ])  ics) + hta  int  igit () ])  irrenshots and accomplete to a partition of the selected top and accomplete top and accomplete top accomplete to a partition of the selected top accomplete to a partition of the selected top accomplete top	follows:  cular set of a count of the count
Reference of the state of the s	about the table to the table table to the table table to the table table table to t	models  models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents  Conten	rested in the content of the content	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence  and thigh-freque	ictionary	follows:  follow
	about the table to the table table to the table table to the table table table to t	models  models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents  Conten	# we keep to be compared to be compa	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence  and different op  and	ictionary	follows:  follow
Reference of the state of the s	belief to be desired to be des	models  models	ew texts we frequent by a propose of the freq	contents  Conten	# we keep to be compared to be compa	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence  and different op  and	ictionary	follows:  follow
	be described and a service of a	words  simport  simpo	ew texts we are a comporable to the fire and	content:  conten	punctuation  content  view later  lbook a  active wh  selife of  content  view later  lbook a  active wh  selife of  content  c	and	if not constituted to the consti	to sentence  and different op  and	ictionary	follows:  follow

In [169... from collections import OrderedDict import numpy as np import spacy from spacy.lang.en.stop\_words import STOP\_WORDS import string from string import punctuation nlp = spacy.load('en core web sm') class TextRank4Keyword(): """Extract keywords from text""" def \_\_init\_\_(self): self.d = 0.85 # damping coefficient, usually is .85 self.min diff = 1e-5 # convergence threshold self.steps = 10 # iteration steps self.node weight = None # save keywords and its weight def set stopwords(self, stopwords): """Set stop words""" for word in STOP WORDS.union(set(stopwords)): lexeme = nlp.vocab[word] lexeme.is stop = True def sentence segment(self, doc, candidate pos, lower): """Store those words only in cadidate pos""" sentences = [] for sent in doc.sents: selected words = [] for token in sent: # Store words only with cadidate POS tag if token.pos in candidate pos and token.is stop is False: if lower is True: selected words.append(token.text.lower()) else: selected words.append(token.text) sentences.append(selected words) return sentences def get vocab(self, sentences): """Get all tokens""" vocab = OrderedDict() i = 0for sentence in sentences: for word in sentence: if word in ('.','!','?',',','-','\*'): continue if word not in vocab: vocab[word] = i i += 1 return vocab def get token pairs(self, window size, sentences): """Build token pairs from windows in sentences""" token pairs = list() for sentence in sentences: for i, word in enumerate(sentence): if word in ('.','!','?',',','-','\*'): continue for j in range(i+1, i+window size): if j >= len(sentence): break pair = (word, sentence[j]) if pair not in token pairs: token\_pairs.append(pair) return token pairs def symmetrize(self, a): return a + a.T - np.diag(a.diagonal()) def get matrix(self, vocab, token pairs): """Get normalized matrix""" # Build matrix vocab size = len(vocab) g = np.zeros((vocab\_size, vocab\_size), dtype='float') for word1, word2 in token\_pairs: i, j = vocab[word1], vocab[word2] g[i][j] = 1# Get Symmeric matrix g = self.symmetrize(g) # Normalize matrix by column norm = np.sum(g, axis=0)g norm = np.divide(g, norm, where=norm!=0) # this is ignore the 0 element in norm return g norm def get keywords(self, number=10): """Print top number keywords""" keywords list = [] node weight = OrderedDict(sorted(self.node weight.items(), key=lambda t: t[1], reverse=True)) for i, (key, value) in enumerate(node weight.items()): if key not in list(string.punctuation): keywords list.append(key) if i > number: break return keywords list def analyze(self, text, candidate pos=['NOUN', 'ADJ', 'VERB'], window size=4, lower=False, stopwords=list()): """Main function to analyze text""" self.set stopwords(stopwords) # Pare text by spaCy doc = nlp(text)# Filter sentences sentences = self.sentence segment(doc, candidate pos, lower) # list of list of words # Build vocabulary vocab = self.get vocab(sentences) # Get token pairs from windows token pairs = self.get token pairs(window size, sentences) # Get normalized matrix g = self.get matrix(vocab, token pairs) # Initionlization for weight (pagerank value) pr = np.array([1] \* len(vocab)) # Iteration previous pr = 0 for epoch in range(self.steps): pr = (1-self.d) + self.d \* np.dot(g, pr)if abs(previous pr - sum(pr)) < self.min diff:</pre> break else: previous\_pr = sum(pr) # Get weight for each node node weight = dict() for word, index in vocab.items(): node weight[word] = pr[index] self.node weight = node weight We try with example book (Uid = 449128): Alla Prima: Everything I Know about Painting Window size k = 4, only consider NOUN & ADJ words • We can see it generally catches important words like: artist, patinting, canvas, color, etc. In [170... df\_comments.iloc[5, 0] 449128 Out[170]: In [171...] text = df comments.iloc[5, 1]tr4w = TextRank4Keyword() # we only want adjective & Nouns for tags tr4w.analyze(text, candidate pos = ['ADJ', 'NOUN'], window size=4, lower=False) tr4w.get keywords(10) ['artist', Out[171]: 'advice', 'color', 'schmid', 'painters', 'confidence', 'oil', 'painting', 'painter', 'canvas', 'artists', 'composition'] We can also get each keyword's weights In [173... [{key: tr4w.node\_weight[key]} for key in tr4w.get\_keywords(10)] Out[173]: [{'pippin': 9.081910937634829}, {'horace': 7.501031593836607}, {'artist': 3.9051219599946987}, {'red': 2.845867898168256}, {'pippins': 2.821484820122126}, {'illustrations': 2.803523983238113}, {'artists': 2.8025856402697573}, {'artwork': 2.4789423374657984}, {'painter': 2.3143430305118087}, {'paintings': 2.2721199262405882}, {'pictures': 2.267219780963017}, {'notes': 2.0621940091953608}] Next we used *TexRank* to extract 5 keywords of each book and classify each review to that tags if it contains the keyword The following process is time-consuming, just use our stored result datasets book\_tags.csv: 5 keywords tags for each book review\_tags.csv: keywords this review contains In [ ]: # count how many reviews each book has reviews count = reviews.groupby(['Uid'], sort=False)['Uid'].count() # add column 'Tags' if this review contains the keyword reviews['Tags'] = [[] for in range(len(reviews))] df\_comments['Tags'] = [[] for \_ in range(len(df\_comments))] num = 0 # the number of reviews we have classified for i in tqdm(range(df comments.shape[0])): print("The %d-th book" % i) text = df comments.iloc[i, 1] # loop over each book # get 5 keywords tr4w = TextRank4Keyword()tr4w.analyze(text, candidate pos = ['ADJ', 'NOUN'], window size=4, lower=False) keyword list = tr4w.get keywords(5) # for each book, store their keywords from reviews df comments['Tags'][i] = keyword list # for each review, assign their tags if containing the keyword for in range(reviews count.values[i]): tag list = [] for key in keyword list: if key in reviews.iloc[num,1].split(): tag list.append(key) if not tag list: num += 1 continue print(tag list) reviews['Tags'][num] = tag list num = num + 1We look at the tag extracted results # each book's keywords (tags) In [198... df comments.head(8) Out[198]: Uid Content **Tags** 13642600 beautifully illustrated horace pippin finish... [pippin, horace, artist, red, pippins, illustr... 470185 strangest months suddenly appear everywhere k... [animals, essays, animal, photography, berger,... 2 137933 philosophers tend worst theorist artists tend... [artists, philosophical, artist, criticism, co... 3 52374 wideranging debut essays film stimulating rel... [sontag, interpretation, essays, criticism, in... 4 140987 introduced dave hickey painting classes profe... [basketball, essays, hickey, criticism, hickey... 5 449128 painter master artists richard schmid artists... [artist, advice, color, schmid, painters, conf... included utter zoothe blue aspicthe epiplecti... 6 51245 [gorey, goreys, legacy, blue, loathsome, utter... 47559 [gorey, hapless, tinies, drawings, gashlycrumb... convey joy edward goreys adore unstrung harp ... # each review's tags (if containing the book's keywords) In [180... reviews.head(8) Out[180]: Uid Tags Content 0 13642600 13642600 beautifully illustrated horace pippin finish g... [pippin, horace, artist] 13642600 [pippin, horace, red, artists] featured grandma reads session splash red hora... 13642600 cute nonfiction artist horace pippin grad clas... [pippin, horace, artist] 13642600 splash red horace pippin wellresearched pictur... [pippin, horace, red, illustrations] 13642600 jen bryant illustrator melissa collaborated pi... [pippin, horace, artist, red, artists] 13642600 [pippin, horace, artist, red, illustrations] audience primarygenre hisher talents splash re... 13642600 aloud bryant obligations frequently artist rep... [pippin, horace, artist, pippins, illustrations] In [197... # store for use df comments.to csv('./output/book tags.csv') reviews.to csv('./output/review tags.csv') Other Trials: Key Phrases We have also considered using key phrases instead of keywords as our tags and we have tried many methods like Yake!, KeyBERT, Rake etc. to extract keyphrases, but the phrases we got are not very meaningful as a tag. We guess for these methods to get a better result, they might need more effort for tuning model parameters and improve the quality of original textual reviews, both could be time-consuming and no promise for final result. Therefore, we conclude these methods are not very suitable and finally reject them. # previous tag result from TextRank In [193... df comments.iloc[3, 2] ['sontag', Out[193]: interpretation', 'essays', 'criticism', 'intellectual', 'essay', 'content'] 3.4.1 YAKE! YAKE! is a light-weight unsupervised automatic keyword extraction method which rests on text statistical features extracted from single documents to select the most important keywords of a text. import yake In [185... # take one example text = df comments.iloc[3,1]kw extractor = yake.KeywordExtractor(top=10, n = 2, stopwords=None) keywords = kw extractor.extract keywords(text) for kw, v in keywords: print("Keyphrase: ",kw, ": score", v) Keyphrase: notes camp : score 0.00017788716562028035 Keyphrase: susan sontag : score 0.0005297176952421673 Keyphrase: essays susan : score 0.0007224643153954816 Keyphrase: interpretation essays : score 0.0007676333810896728 Keyphrase: sontag argues : score 0.0008288173643892819 Keyphrase: films robert : score 0.0008668558595229885 Keyphrase: sartres saint : score 0.0008950281626283484 Keyphrase: saint genet : score 0.0009260733389271714 Keyphrase: imagination disaster : score 0.0010521084810112312 Keyphrase: interpretation sontag : score 0.0011256687586082986 According to the result, we could see there are some repetitions and overlaps across the phrases, which makes them hard to convey more diverse meanings and cause information redundancy, which is bad for tags selection. 3.4.2 TextRank (Summa) The core method is the same as TextRank we used before. But this package is not that intelligent since there are so many repetition and it did not catch important information of book reviews. In [186... from summa import keywords text = df comments.iloc[3,1]TR keywords = keywords.keywords(text, scores=True) print(TR keywords[0:10]) [('sontag', 0.4407184696812149), ('sontags', 0.4407184696812149), ('interpretation', 0.2987008422993689), ('cri tic', 0.2654791090129931), ('criticized', 0.2654791090129931), ('essays film stimulating', 0.1835148264138276 3), ('interpretations cultured', 0.16760650948307876), ('interpret impoverish', 0.16343202148891145), ('critica l takedown', 0.14618324210370381), ('essay notes camp remain', 0.14391607616789773)] 3.4.3 KeyBERT KeyBERT is a simple, easy-to-use keyword extraction algorithm that takes advantage of SBERT embeddings to generate keywords and key phrases from a document that are more similar to the document. First, document embedding (a representation) is generated using the sentences-BERT model; Next, the embeddings of words are extracted for N-gram phrases. Computed cosine similarity of each keyphrase to the document; • The most similar words can then be identified as the words that best describe the entire document and are considered as keywords. Since BERT model need GPU, we put the result in the comments below. In [ ]: from keybert import KeyBERT text = df comments.iloc[3,1]kw model = KeyBERT(model='all-mpnet-base-v2') keywords = kw\_model.extract\_keywords(text, keyphrase ngram range=(1, 3), stop words='english', highlight=False, top n=10) keywords list= list(dict(keywords).keys()) print(keywords list) keywords\_list: \ ['pippin art', 'pippin paintings', 'artist horace', 'pippin artist', 'horace pippin', 'pippin impressionistic', 'painter horace', 'evocative pippin', 'art horace', 'watercolor paintings'] The phrases are not very meaningful as tags because we can see too many common parts between these phrases (e.g. pippin), which might not be able to comprehensively reflect a book's attributes as tags. 3.4.4 Rake Rake is short for *Rapid Automatic Keyword Extraction* - a method of extracting keywords from individual documents. It can also be applied to new fields very easily and is very effective in dealing with multiple types of documents, especially text that requires specific grammatical conventions. Rake identifies key phrases in a text by analyzing the occurrence of a word and its compatibility with other words in the text (cooccurrence). In [195... from multi\_rake import Rake text = df comments.iloc[3,1] rake = Rake() keywords = rake.apply(text) print(keywords[:10]) [('quarter petty ephemeral', 9.0), ('packaged sontag', 4.0), ('elevated', 1.0)] We can see that most phrases are not very summative, the result is far away from satisfying. 3.5 Extract Summary for Reviews on Each Book After we generate a list of recommended books, we want to help our book readers get a snapshot of what are the major opinions of other people who have reviewed the book. Thus, we aim to provide a summary of reviews on each book to help readers acquire the main ideas efficiently. We utilize extractive summarization method to extract top sentences from all reviews of a certain book and consider the top sentences as the summary. We explored the following two methods to extract the summary: Method 1: Baseline - LexRank + Sentence-BERT embedding • Method 2: Improvement based on Method 1 to achieve three objectives Maximize Centrality Minimize Redundancy Balance Sentiment 3.5.1 Method 1 - Baseline Model We firstly use Sentence-BERT to acquire sentence embeddings of each sentence • Next, we use **LexRank** to get the graph-based "centrality" of each sentence. The higher the centrality score, the more prominent the sentence is to the whole review. • Since **cosine similarity** allows us to approximate how similar two sentence vectors are by simply using the cosine of the angle between the two vectors to quantify how similar two sentences are, we calculate the cosine similarity of sentence embeddings from Sentence-BERT. If one sentence is similar to many other sentences in the review, we can claim that it is more central to the review or the "center" of the graph and thus it is more important. In summary, for baseline model, we calculate cosine similarity of sentence embeddings from Sentence-BERT and extract 5 sentences with the highest centrality scores as the summary. Note: Our original code files need to run with GPU, thus we only put some code snippets and the results here as demonstration for the report. In [ ]: from sentence\_transformers import SentenceTransformer, util from LexRank import degree centrality scores from nltk.tokenize import sent tokenize from textblob import TextBlob model = SentenceTransformer('sentence-transformers/paraphrase-mpnet-base-v2') Build a pipeline function for generating summary of book reviews In [ ]: def extract\_summary(Uid, topk=5): # select all review sentences of a typical book with selected Uid sentences = sent tokenize(df comments[df comments['Uid'] = Uid].Content) # we calculate the sentiment score using TextBlob, this measurement will be used as n evaluation metric lat sentiments = list(map(lambda text: TextBlob(text).sentiment.polarity, sentences)) doc sentiment = np.mean(sentiments) # Encode each sentence with Sentence-BERT sentence embeddings = model.encode(sentences) # Calculate the cosine similarity scores among sentences cos scores = util.cos sim(sentence embeddings, sentence embeddings).numpy() # Obtain the centrality scores with LexRank centrality scores = degree centrality scores(cos scores, threshold=None) # We obtain the indexes of the most central sentences most central sentence indices = np.argsort(-centrality scores) summary idxes = list(most central sentence indices[:topk]) # We use redundancy score as another evaluation metric def compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes): if not summary idxes: return 0 return max([cos scores[idx][senti] for senti in summary idxes]) # Store the outputs out summary = "" out\_sentiment = "" out centralities = "" summary sentiments = [] summary centralities = [] summary redundancies = [] for idx in summary idxes: summary sentiments.append(sentiments[idx]) summary centralities.append(centrality scores[idx]) # compute redundancy of the specific sentence to all other sentences in the summary summary idxes.remove(idx) redundancy = compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes) summary idxes.append(idx) summary redundancies.append(redundancy) out summary += sentences[idx] + "[SEP]" out\_sentiment += str(sentiments[idx]) + "[SEP]" out centralities += str(centrality scores[idx]) + "[SEP]" mean sentiment = np.mean(summary sentiments) mean centrality = np.mean(summary centralities) mean redundancy = np.mean(summary redundancies) print(f"{mean sentiment}, {mean centrality}, {mean redundancy}, {doc sentiment}") return out summary, out sentiment, out centralities, mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc Result demonstration In [201... from IPython.display import Image, display display(Image('./pictures/Baseline output.png', width=1200, height=1200)) A picture book bio about self-taught painter Horace Pippin. Score: 1.4652768018282623 A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin is a well-researched picturebook biography of an esteemed self-taught African-American painter:Since I knew nothing about Horace Pippin or his art before, I appreciated Jen Bryant's informative narrative. Score: 1.4332050402649952 Although the text is relatively brief, it contains quite a bit of information on African-American painter Horace Pippin, from his youth, to his service in WWI, to his later success as a painter. Score: 1.4190988359182832 A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin by Jen Bryant and illustrated by Melissa Sweet.NOTES: The colors are amazing. Score: 1.398752236549497 We think Horace Pippin was a great artist! Score: 1.3619491685051612 Grateful for the authors and illustrators who bring forward people, things, and experiences we might have missed in our patchwork educations.Cute nonfiction picture book over the life of artist Horace Pippin. Score: 1.3615779259551986 It is a biography of Horace Pippin, an artist that I was unfamiliar with, but also a bit of a 'coming of age' book too as Horace tries different occupations before and after the war before coming to the fact that he is, indeed, an artist. Score: 1.3609638761512897 review laterWe enjoyed this beautifully illustrated book about the life of Horace Pippin! Score: 1.3413680430242905 Eventually, his work was discovered and promoted by such figures as painter N.C. Wyeth, and he went on to become a well-known painter...I am so very glad that I picked up A Splash of Red: The Life and Art of Horace Pippin, as I had not previously heard of this artist, but will now seek out more of his work. Score: 1.3256232218563178 A gorgeous picture book about the life of Horace Pippin. Score: 1.319128407692399 However, after running the baseline model, we noticed some issues. • First, there may be different sentiment distribution of extracted summary and original review texts of one book Note: Sentiment scores are calculated using TextBlob Polarity Score (-1: Negative to 1: Positive) ■ For example, we may have mean sentiment of summary as 0.1565 and mean sentiment of original texts as 0.1217 • Second, there may be some **redundant information** among sentences in extracted summary For example, for two sentences in the summary, they convey similar meanings • E.g. Good book with clear structure! & Great book with organized structure! We want to try avoiding such redundancy and providing more diverse information to end users with the summary To deal with the issues, we propose a more **balanced** summarization algorithm in method 2. 3.5.2 Method 2 - Improvement - Balanced Summarization We use a greedy algorithm to extract a more balanced summary with three objectives • Maximize centrality score which represents the importance of the sentence Minimize the difference between the summary sentiment and the overall sentiment of the original review texts • Minimize the redundant information between extracted sentences The learning objective of the model can be written as:  $O(s,S,A) = Centrality(s), Cosine Similarity(s,S) - Sentiment Difference(S \mid \mid s,A)$ where s represent the current review sentence, S is the target extracted summary, and A represents all the review texts of that specific book Essentially, we want to extract a summary with high centrality, low redundancy, and a balanced sentiment. The following is the code snippets of our improved algorithm. In [ ]: def extract\_summary\_balanced(Uid, topk=5): :param Uid: :return: out summary: summary string separated by [SEP] out sentiment: sentiments string separated by [SEP] out centralities: centrality score string separated by [SEP] mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc sentiment: mean sentiment of original text score # select sample review sentences sentences = sent tokenize(df comments[df comments['Uid'] = Uid].Content) # we calculate the sentiment score using TextBlob, this measurement will be used as n evaluation metric sentiments = list(map(lambda text: TextBlob(text).sentiment.polarity, sentences)) doc\_sentiment = np.mean(sentiments) # Encode each sentence with Sentence-BERT sentence embeddings = model.encode(sentences) # Calculate the cosine similarity scores among sentences cos scores = util.cos sim(sentence embeddings, sentence embeddings).numpy() # Obtain the centrality scores with LexRank centrality\_scores = degree\_centrality\_scores(cos\_scores, threshold=None) # We use redundancy score as another evaluation metric def compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes): if not summary idxes: return 0 return max([cos scores[idx][senti] for senti in summary idxes]) # We compute the sentiment score difference between the extracted summary and the original review text def sentiment difference(idx, summary idxes): return abs(doc sentiment - np.mean([sentiments[idx]] + [sentiments[senti] for senti in summary idxes])) summary idxes = [] best idx = None while len(summary idxes) < topk and best idx != -1:</pre> best idx, best objective = -1, -1000for idx in range(len(sentences)): if idx not in summary idxes: redundancy = compute redundancy(idx, summary idxes) sentiment\_difference = \_sentiment\_difference(idx, summary\_idxes) # maximizing centrality while minimizing redundancy and sentiment difference objective = centrality scores[idx] - redundancy - sentiment difference if objective > best objective: best idx = idxbest objective = objective if best idx != -1: summary idxes.append(best idx) # Store the outputs out summary = "" out sentiment = "" out centralities = "" summary\_sentiments = [] summary centralities = [] summary\_redundancies = [] for idx in summary idxes: summary sentiments.append(sentiments[idx]) summary centralities.append(centrality scores[idx]) # compute redundancy of the specific sentence to all other sentences in the summary summary idxes.remove(idx) redundancy = \_compute\_redundancy(idx, summary\_idxes) summary idxes.append(idx) summary redundancies.append(redundancy) out summary += sentences[idx] + "[SEP]" out\_sentiment += str(sentiments[idx]) + "[SEP]" out centralities += str(centrality scores[idx]) + "[SEP]" mean\_sentiment = np.mean(summary\_sentiments) mean\_centrality = np.mean(summary\_centralities) mean redundancy = np.mean(summary redundancies) print(f"{mean sentiment}, {mean centrality}, {mean redundancy}, {doc sentiment}") return out summary, out sentiment, out centralities, mean sentiment, mean centrality, mean redundancy, doc 3.5.3 Result & Evaluation Due to lack of gold summaries, we use three metrics (Centrality, Sentiment Differences, and Redundancy) of extracting the top 5 central sentences • **Centrality**: Average centrality of summary sentences -> (Higher the better) • **Sentiment Difference**: |mean of original text - mean of summary (sentiment)| -> (Lower the better)• **Redundancy**: Average cosine similarity among summary sentences -> (Lower the better) In [204... # Read result CSVs summ\_baseline = pd.read\_csv('./output/summ-baseline-out.csv').iloc[:, 1:] # baseline model summ balanced = pd.read csv('./output/summ-balance-out.csv').iloc[:, 1:] # balanced model We define an evaluation function to compute the above 3 metrics def evaluation(name, stats df): In [230... stats df.replace(np.inf, np.nan, inplace=True) # replace 'inf' by 'NaN' stats df.dropna(axis=0, how='any', inplace=True) # then drop all NaNs mean df = stats df.describe().loc['mean', :] print(name + " model metrics:") print("Centrality: ", mean df['Mean centrality']) print("Sentiment Difference: ", np.abs(mean df['Mean sentiment'] - mean df['doc sentiment'])) print("Redundancy: ", mean\_df['Mean redundancy']) pass In [231... | evaluation("Baseline", summ\_baseline.iloc[:, -4:]) Baseline model metrics: Centrality: 1.457832593624464 Sentiment Difference: 0.034905608279972744 Redundancy: 0.65922499530985 In [233... evaluation("Balanced", summ\_balanced.iloc[:, -4:]) Balanced model metrics: Centrality: 1.407710446431976 Sentiment Difference: 0.006182471345803298 Redundancy: 0.5434062235968687 We summarize the metrics for two methods as follows: **Baseline Balanced Summarization** Centrality 1.4578 1.4077 0.0062 **Sentiment Difference** 0.0349 0.6592 Redundancy 0.5434 We can observe that, though sacrificing minor centrality, our Improvement method (Balanced Summarization Algorithm) achieved lower sentiment difference and lower redundancy than baseline method, generally a good result. Part 4) Summary & Future plans In this module, we first conduct some modifications on original datasets based on findings from exploratory data analysis and prepare it into a less sparse form for model part. Then we explore multiple recommendation models with optimizations that produce impressive results. Finally, we employ NLP models to provide more detailed information alongside books recommended to users, playing a role of user interaction tool and effective information extraction. In the next module, we shall consider the following advancement and plans: • Ensemble model: Currently we have considered several recommendation models and a hybrid one to combine them. Next we prepare to introduce some linear models that take book features & user features into consideration and then build a high-functional ensemble model as our final model: **NLP**: We will use NLP models to provide information overview of recommended book with our tags, summarization and sentiment scores. Then integrate models with interactive user interface to help users efficiently acquire information about books recommended to them Interactive User interface: In the next module, we will present an interactive user interface to play with our recommdendation system. It integrates recommendation models that produce to-read lists for users and use NLP models to provide information overview.