Quadratic forms and Galois cohomology The connection (Milnor's conjectures)

Jan Hennig

GRK2240 Retreat 2024

Description via symbols

Let F be a field with char $(F) \neq 2$.

$$(F^{\times})/(F^{\times})^{2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (F^{\times})/(F^{\times})^{2}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{induced by the cup product}$$

$$I^{n}(F)/I^{n+1}(F) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow K_{n}^{M}(F)/2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow H_{Gal}^{n}(F, \mu_{2}^{\otimes n})$$

with

$$s_n: K_n^M(F)/2 \to I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F), \quad \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} \mapsto (\langle a_1 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) \cdot \ldots \cdot (\langle a_n \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle)$$

Question

Are s_n and $h_{F,2}^n$ isomorphisms?

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 2/10

Proof that s_n is well-defined and surjective

Proposition

The map $s_n : K_n^M(F)/2 \to I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F)$ is well-defined and surjective.

Proof.

Consider the map
$$F^{\times} \times \ldots \times F^{\times} \to I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F), \quad (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \mapsto (\langle a_1 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) \cdot \ldots \cdot (\langle a_n \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle).$$

n-linear:
$$\langle a \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle + \langle b \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle = \langle ab \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle \mod I^2(F)$$
, because $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle - \langle ab \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle = -(\langle a \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle)(\langle b \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) \in I^2(F)$.

Steinberg:
$$(\langle a \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle)(\langle 1 - a \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) = \langle a(1 - a) \rangle - \langle a \rangle - \langle 1 - a \rangle + \langle 1 \rangle = 0$$
, because

$$\langle a \rangle + \langle 1-a \rangle = \langle a+(1-a) \rangle + \langle a(1-a)(a+1-a) \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle a(1-a) \rangle.$$

$$\mod 2: \ 2\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} = \{a_1^2,a_2,\ldots,a_n\} \mapsto \underbrace{\left(\langle a_1^2 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle\right)}_{=\langle 1 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle = 0} (\langle a_2 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) \cdot \ldots \cdot (\langle a_n \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) = 0.$$

surjective:
$$I(F)$$
 is additively generated by Pfister forms $\langle a \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle$.

╝

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 3/10

Splitting the problem into two

Let F be a field with char(F) \neq 2.

Conjecture ('Milnor conjecture on norm residue symbol', 'Bloch-Kato conjecture for prime 2')

The map $h_{F,2}^* \colon K_*^M(F)/2 \to H_{\mathsf{Gal}}^*(F,\mu_2^{\otimes *})$ is an isomorphism.

Conjecture ('Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms')

The map $s_* : K_*^M(F)/2 \to \operatorname{gr}_I(W(F))$ is an isomorphism.

Proof strategy for the Milnor conjecture on norm residue symbol

Want to show: The map $h_{F,2}^n: K_n^M(F)/2 \to H_{Gal}^n(F,\mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is an isomorphism.

- 1) Induction on n: If the statement hold for all fields F and n < N then it holds for n = N.
- 2) $h_{F,2}^n$ is an isomorphism for certain 'big' fields F (i.e. F has no odd degree extensions and $K_n^M(F) = 2K_n^M(F)$)
- 3) Assume there is a field F for which $h_{F,2}^n$ is not an isomorphism, then there is an extension providing a counter example to the previous step.

Details: For any $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}\in K_n^M(F)$ there is a field extension $F\hookrightarrow F'$ such that $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}\in 2K_n^M(F')$ and $K_n^M(F')/2\to H_{G_2}^n(F',\mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is not an isomorphism (take a big colimit to get a single field providing a counter example).

The connection Retreat 2024 5/10

On the third step: how to find a good field extension

Suppose there is a field F for which $h_{F,2}^n$ is not an isomorphism.

Goal: for a symbol $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}\in K_n^M(F)$ find a field extension F' such that $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}\in 2K_n^M(F')$ and $K_n^M(F')/2\to H_{\mathsf{Gal}}^n(F',\mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is not an isomorphism.

The first part is easy: take $F'=F[X]/(X^2-a_i)$ for any $i=1,\ldots,n$. The problem is to control $K_n^M(F')/2 \to H_{\mathsf{Gal}}^n(F',\mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Instead, use $F(Q_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}})$ with

$$Q_{\{a_1,...,a_n\}} = \{q_{\langle\!\langle a_1\rangle\!\rangle \otimes ... \otimes \langle\!\langle a_{n-1}\rangle\!\rangle}(x_0,\ldots,x_{2^{n-1}-1}) - a_n x_{2^{n-1}}^2 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_F^{2^{n-1}}$$

- i) Introduce motivic cohomology to study the behaviour of $K_n^M(F)/2 \to K_n^M(F')/2$ and $H_{Gal}^n(F,\mu_2^{\otimes n}) \to H_{Gal}^n(F',\mu_2^{\otimes n})$
- ii) Algebraic topological input: motivic Steenrod operations
- iii) Algebraic geometry input: identify a direct summand of the motive of $Q_{\{a_1,\dots,a_n\}}$

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 6/10

Starting the proof of the Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms

Want to show: The map $s_n: K_n^M(F)/2 \to I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F)$ is an isomorphism.

Known cases are:

- i) The map s_n is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see before).
- ii) The maps $s_0: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to W(F)/I(F)$ and $s_1: F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^2 \to I(F)/I^2(F)$ are isomorphisms
- iii) The map s_2 is an isomorphism (by writing down an inverse)

Using 'standard' facts about quadratic forms (Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz, ...) one can show:

Proposition

$$s_n(\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}) = s_n(\{b_1,\ldots,b_n\}) \Leftrightarrow \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} = \{b_1,\ldots,b_n\} \in K_n^M(F)/2$$

This does not show the injectivity of s_n . It shows injectivity for pure symbols.

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 7/10

Proof strategy of the Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms

Have: Injectivity of $s_n : K_n^M(F)/2 \to I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F)$ on pure symbols

Idea: Find a field extension F' such that $\alpha \in K_n^M(F)/2$ becomes a pure symbol in $K_n^M(F')/2$.

Observation: By going to $F(Q_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}})$ the symbol $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$ vanishes.

Key: The kernel $K_n^M(F)/2 \to K_n^M(F(Q_\alpha))/2$ is a s nice as possible.

Proposition

If
$$\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \neq 0 \in K_n^M(F)/2$$
, then

$$\ker\left(K_n^M(F)/2 \to K_n^M(F(Q_\alpha))/2\right) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha.$$

For
$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k \in K_n^M(F)/2$$
 take $F' = F(Q_{\alpha_1})(Q_{\alpha_2})\ldots(Q_{\alpha_i})$ such that

$$\alpha \neq 0 \in K_n^M(F')/2$$
 and $\alpha = 0 \in K_n^M(F'(Q_{\alpha_{i+1}}))/2$.

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 8/10

Understanding the kernel

Proposition

If $\alpha = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \neq 0 \in K_n^M(F)/2$, then

$$\ker \left(\mathcal{K}_n^M(F)/2 o \mathcal{K}_n^M(F(\mathcal{Q}_{lpha}))/2
ight) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cdot lpha.$$

The maps $K_n^M(F)/2 \to K_n^M(F(Q_\alpha))/2$ already played a crucial role in the previous proof.

- i) Describe the kernel in terms of a motivic cohomology group.
- ii) Again use the splitting of the motive of Q_{α} .

Summary

1) The connection between quadratic forms and Galois cohomology has the form

$$I^n(F)/I^{n+1}(F) \cong K_n^M(F)/2 \cong H_{\mathsf{Gal}}^n(F, \mu_2^{\otimes n}),$$

2) These objects have a nice description via symbols modulo a single relation in degree 2

$$K_n^M(F) = (F^{\times})^{\otimes n}/\langle \ldots \otimes a \otimes 1 - a \otimes \ldots \rangle,$$

3) The proofs require heavy, but interesting, machinery (e.g. motivic cohomology).

Jan Hennig The connection Retreat 2024 10/10