Neural Networks: III. Loss (Part 2)

Jan Bauer

jan.bauer@dhbw-mannheim.de

14.05.19

Loss

Aim:

Predicted scores should be consistent with training data
 Intuition:

- Loss is high when doing a poor job
- Loss is low when doing a good job
- → interested in distance between prediction and what is in fact true

Loss

Sample average over the data loss using a loss function:

$$L(W) \equiv L \equiv \hat{\mathsf{E}} L_d \equiv \frac{1}{D} \sum_{d=1}^D L_d(y_{[d]}, X, W)$$

Loss Functions

Distance? Just use a norm!

• \mathcal{L}_1 norm

$$L_d = \left| \left| y_{[d]} - y_{[d]}^* \right| \right|_1 \equiv \sum_k \left| y_{[d]}^{(k)} - y_{[d]}^{*(k)} \right|$$

• \mathcal{L}_2 norm

$$L_{d} = \left| \left| y_{[d]} - y_{[d]}^{*} \right| \right|_{2}^{2} \equiv \sum_{k} \left(y_{[d]}^{(k)} - y_{[d]}^{*(k)} \right)^{2}$$

Foreshadowing

- Why is squaring the norm possible?
- Why do we even square?

Foreshadowing

- Why is squaring the norm possible? \rightarrow Squaring is a monotone operation
- ullet Why do we even square? o Gradient becomes much simpler

Loss Functions

Multiclass Support Vector Machine loss (SVM loss)

$$L_d \equiv \sum_{k \neq k^*} \max \left(0, y_{[d]}^{(k)} - y_{[d]}^{(k^*)} + \Delta\right)$$

- we want the model to perform better than by a margin of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$
- in pratice: $\Delta=1$ (will be clear in Part 4 Regularization)
- squared loss might perform better, i.e. $\sum \max(0,\cdot)^2$

Exercise Time

$$L_d \equiv \sum_{k \neq k^*} \max \left(0, y_{[d]}^{(k)} - y_{[d]}^{(k^*)} + \Delta \right)$$

- Example: y = (12, 8, 13, 5)', $\Delta = 2$, $k^* = 1$
- Example: y = (12, 9, 10, 2)', $\Delta = 4$, $k^* = 1$
- Example: y = (12, 8, 13, 5)', $\Delta = 2$, $k^* = 1$ with squared loss
- Example: $y = (12, 9, 10, 2)', \Delta = 4, k^* = 1$ with squared loss

Loss Functions

Cross-Entropy loss

$$L_{d} \equiv -\log \frac{\exp \phi(y_{[d]}^{(k^{*})})}{\sum_{k} \exp y_{[d]}^{(k)}} = -\phi(y_{[d]}^{(k^{*})}) + \log \sum_{k} \exp y_{[d]}^{(k)}$$

natural counterpart to the softmax activation function

Cross-Entropy Intuition

- measures the difference between the "true" probability distribution p and its estimated counterpart q
- discrete case: $H(p,q) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log q(x)$

Cross-Entropy Intuition

Loss: Set
$$q(y_{[d]}^{(\hat{k})}) = \frac{\exp \phi(y_{[d]}^{(k)})}{\sum\limits_{k} \exp y_{[d]}^{(k)}}$$
 and $p(y_{[d]}^{(k)})$ s.t. $p(y_{[d]}^{(k^*)}) = 1$ and

 $p(y_{[d]}^{(k)}) = 0$ for $k \neq k^*$. I.e. consider p(x) to be a distribution with all its mass on the correct class. Then

$$H(p,q) = -\sum_{k} p(y_{[d]}^{(k)}) \log q(y_{[d]}^{(k)})$$

$$= -\sum_{k \neq k^{*}} \underbrace{p(y_{[d]}^{(k)})}_{=0} \log q(y_{[d]}^{(k)}) - p(y_{[d]}^{(k^{*})}) \log q(y_{[d]}^{(k^{*})})$$

$$\equiv L_{d}$$