# Practical No. 4

Jan Dziuba

April 30, 2023

#### Part 2 1

#### $\mathbf{a}$

There are many combinations of q,  $\{v_1, \ldots v_n\}$  and  $\{k_1, \ldots k_n\}$  such that  $c \approx v_j$ . If we want a solution that works for any set of vectors  $\{v_1, \dots v_n\}$  then the following deduction is true.

If  $c \approx v_j$ , then  $\alpha_j \approx 1$  and  $\alpha_i \approx 0$ , for all  $i \neq j$ . Then  $k_i^T q \gg k_i^T q$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

# b)

We want a solution that works for any set of vectors  $\{v_1, \ldots v_n\}$ .

So the following deduction is true.

If  $c \approx \frac{1}{2}(v_a + v_b)$  then  $\alpha_a \approx 1/2$ ,  $\alpha_b \approx 1/2$  and  $\alpha_i \approx 0$  for all  $i \neq (a \vee b)$ . So  $k_a^T q \approx k_b^T q$ ,  $k_a^T q \gg k_i^T q$  for all  $i \neq (a \vee b)$ .

For that to happen We could have  $q = (100 + n) * (k_a + k_b)$ .

Then

$$\alpha_a = \alpha_b = \exp(100 + n)/(2 * \exp(100 + n) + n - 2) \approx 1/2$$

$$\alpha_i = 1/(2 * \exp(100 + n) + n - 2) \approx 0$$
 for all  $i \neq (a \lor b)$ 

So  $c \approx \frac{1}{2}(v_a + v_b)$ .

## **c**)

- 1.  $\alpha$  is vanishingly small, so  $k_i \approx \mu_i$ . If we take  $q = (100 + n) * (k_a + k_b)$ then as in subsection b)  $\alpha_a \approx \alpha_b \approx 1/2$ ,  $\alpha_i \approx 0$  for all  $i \neq (a \lor b)$ . So  $c \approx \frac{1}{2}(v_a + v_b)$
- 2. As in point 1.  $\alpha_i \approx 0$  for all  $i \neq (a \vee b)$ .  $\alpha$  is vanishingly small, so  $k_a \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_a, 1/2\mu_a)$ So c will still be weighted average of  $v_a, v_b$ .

## $\mathbf{d}$

- 1. Just take  $q = (100 + n) * (k_a + k_b)$  as before, and we get the same result.
- 2. As in subsection c) c will be weighted average of  $v_a, v_b$ .

**e**)

1.

$$k_1^T \cdot q_2 = x_1^T \cdot x_2 = (u_d + u_b)^T \cdot u_a = 0$$
$$k_2^T \cdot q_2 = x_2^T \cdot x_2 = u_a^T \cdot u_a = \beta$$
$$k_3^T \cdot q_2 = x_3^T \cdot x_2 = (u_c + u_b)^T \cdot u_a = 0$$

$$\alpha_{21} = \frac{1}{2 + \exp(\beta)}$$

$$\alpha_{22} = \frac{\beta}{2 + \exp(\beta)}$$

$$\alpha_{23} = \frac{1}{2 + \exp(\beta)}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{v_1 + v_3 + \exp(\beta) \cdot v_2}{2 + \exp(\beta)} \approx v_2 = x_2$$

It would not be possible for  $c_2$  to approximate  $\mu_b$  by adding either  $\mu_d$  or  $\mu_c$  to  $x_2$  because  $\mu$  vectors are mutually orthogonal, so all terms containing  $\mu_b$  will reduce, and no combination of  $\mu_i$  where  $i \neq b$  will approximate  $\mu_b$ 

# 2 Part 3

d)

model's accuracy on the dev set: Correct: 3.0 out of 500.0: 0.6%

London accuracy: Correct: 25.0 out of 500.0: 5.0%

f)

Correct: 108.0 out of 500.0: 21.6%

 $\mathbf{g})$ 

#### 1. https://paperswithcode.com/method/linformer

Linformer is a linear Transformer that utilises a linear self-attention mechanism to tackle the self-attention bottleneck with Transformer models. The original scaled dot-product attention is decomposed into multiple smaller attentions through linear projections, such that the combination of these operations forms a low-rank factorization of the original attention.

#### 2. https://paperswithcode.com/method/bigbird

BigBird is a Transformer with a sparse attention mechanism that reduces the quadratic dependency of self-attention to linear in the number of tokens. BigBird is a universal approximator of sequence functions and is Turing complete, thereby preserving these properties of the quadratic, full attention model. In particular, BigBird consists of three main parts:

- A set of global tokens attending on all parts of the sequence.
- All tokens attending to a set of local neighboring tokens.
- All tokens attending to a set of random tokens.

This leads to a high performing attention mechanism scaling to much longer sequence lengths (8x).

3. As said in the point above BigBird is a universal approximator of sequence functions and is Turing complete, thereby preserving these properties of the quadratic, full attention model.

### 3 Part 4

 $\mathbf{a})$ 

Pretraining allows model to create deeper representation of the data.

## **b**)

If the model is good at lying, then user won't know when model makes an error. It would also make it harder to create mechanisms, that would catch those errors.

# $\mathbf{c})$

The model may look for similar names or birthplaces. This should cause concern because model could be clueless but still confident in its answer.