

# Parameter estimation with correlated photon pairs


Jan Gößwein

Institute of Applied Physics


Jena, November 12, 2025

# Motivation


# Motivation



# Motivation




# Motivation



[1] Brida, Genovese, and Ruo Berchera, "Experimental Realization of Sub-Shot-Noise Quantum Imaging"

# Motivation




**Objective:** Can correlated photons provide advantages in terms of precision in noisy regimes for parameter estimation?

[1] Brida, Genovese, and Ruo Berchera, "Experimental Realization of Sub-Shot-Noise Quantum Imaging"



# Spontaneous parametric down-conversion

# Spontaneous parametric down-conversion





# Spontaneous parametric down-conversion



---

# Parameter estimation

---

# Parameter estimation

**Setup:** Transmission setup

---

# Parameter estimation

**Setup:** Transmission setup

**Parameter:** Transmittance  $T$

# Parameter estimation

**Setup:** Transmission setup

**Parameter:** Transmittance  $T$

**Precision:**  $\text{Var}(T)$


# Parameter estimation

**Setup:** Transmission setup

**Parameter:** Transmittance  $T$

**Precision:**  $\text{Var}(T)$

Conventional approach:




# Parameter estimation

**Setup:** Transmission setup


**Parameter:** Transmittance  $T$

**Precision:**  $\text{Var}(T)$


Conventional approach:



Coincidence approach:




# Conventional approach



$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}$$




# Conventional approach



$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}$$

# Conventional approach




$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} - N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}}{N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} - N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}}$$

# Conventional approach




$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g + N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} - N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}}{N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} - N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}}$$


$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

# Coincidence approach



$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_g + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}$$


# Coincidence approach



$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_{\text{g}} + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_{\text{g}} + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}$$

# Coincidence approach




$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_g + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_g + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} - N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}}{N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} - N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}}$$

# Coincidence approach



$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} = \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_g + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} = T \eta_{\text{idl}} \eta_{\text{sig}} N_g + N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} - N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}}{N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} - N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}}$$

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

# Transmittance model

Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

Coincidence approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$



# Transmittance model

Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

Coincidence approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

# Photon statistics

# Photon statistics

Poisson distribution (coherent light):

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \frac{\langle n \rangle^n}{n!} e^{-\langle n \rangle}$$

$$\text{Var}(n) = \langle n \rangle$$

# Photon statistics

Poisson distribution (coherent light): <sup>[2]</sup><sup>[3]</sup>

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \frac{\langle n \rangle^n}{n!} e^{-\langle n \rangle}$$

$$\text{Var}(n) = \langle n \rangle$$

---

<sup>[2]</sup>Kim et al., "Photon-Counting Statistics-Based Support Vector Machine with Multi-Mode Photon Illumination for Quantum Imaging"

<sup>[3]</sup>Fouche, "Detection and False-Alarm Probabilities for Laser Radars That Use Geiger-mode Detectors"

# Photon statistics

Poisson distribution (coherent light): <sup>[2][3]</sup>

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \frac{\langle n \rangle^n}{n!} e^{-\langle n \rangle}$$
$$\text{Var}(n) = \langle n \rangle$$

Multi-mode Bose-Einstein distribution (thermal light): <sup>[2]</sup>


$$\mathcal{P}_m(n) = \frac{(n+m-1)!}{(m-1)! n!} \frac{m^m \langle n \rangle^n}{(m + \langle n \rangle)^{n+m}}$$
$$\text{Var}(n) = \langle n \rangle \left( 1 + \frac{\langle n \rangle}{m} \right)$$

---

<sup>[2]</sup> Kim et al., "Photon-Counting Statistics-Based Support Vector Machine with Multi-Mode Photon Illumination for Quantum Imaging"

<sup>[3]</sup> Fouche, "Detection and False-Alarm Probabilities for Laser Radars That Use Geiger-mode Detectors"


# Experimental setup



# Dark counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}})$



# Dark counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}})$

## Signal arm






# Dark counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}})$




# Dark counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}})$

## Signal arm



## Idler arm




$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}) = 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}} \rangle$$

# Single counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}})$

# Single counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}})$

## Signal arm


- Poisson:  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- mmBE:  $m = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- exp. data:  $m_{\text{theo}} = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$



# Single counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}})$


## Signal arm

- Poisson:  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- mmBE:  $m = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- exp. data:  $m_{\text{theo}} = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$



## Idler arm


- Poisson:  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$
- mmBE:  $m = 61384$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$
- exp. data:  $m_{\text{theo}} = 61384$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$



# Single counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}})$


## Signal arm

- Poisson:  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- mmBE:  $m = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$
- exp. data:  $m_{\text{theo}} = 1412365$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 1922127$



## Idler arm

- Poisson:  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$
- mmBE:  $m = 61384$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$
- exp. data:  $m_{\text{theo}} = 61384$ ,  $\langle n \rangle = 65107$




$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}) = 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}} \rangle$$

# Coincidence counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}})$

# Coincidence counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}})$


## Coincidences





# Coincidence counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}})$


## Coincidences




$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}) = \langle N_{\text{coin}} \rangle$$

# Coincidence counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}})$

## Coincidences




## Accidentals



$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}) = \langle N_{\text{coin}} \rangle$$


# Coincidence counts, $\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}})$

## Coincidences



$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}) = \langle N_{\text{coin}} \rangle$$

## Accidentals



$$\text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}) = \langle N_{\text{ac}} \rangle$$

# Simulation

## Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(\mathcal{T}) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}}) + \mathcal{T}^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

# Simulation

## Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + T^2 \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle \right] \right]$$

# Simulation

## Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + T^2 \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle \right] \right]$$

## Coincidence approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}}) + T^2 \left[ \text{Var}(N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}}) + \text{Var}(N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}}) \right] \right]$$

# Simulation


## Conventional approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + T^2 \left[ 2.2 \cdot \langle N_{\text{sing}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle + 1.8 \cdot \langle N_{\text{noise}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle \right] \right]$$

## Coincidence approach:

$$\text{Var}(T) = (\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_g)^{-2} \left[ \langle N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + \langle N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}} \rangle + T^2 \left[ \langle N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle + \langle N_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle \right] \right]$$

# Noise-to-signal ratio



Parameter	Value
$\eta_{\text{idl}}$ (%)	0.09
$\eta_{\text{sig}}$ (%)	1, 4, 20
$R_{\text{idl}}$ (kHz)	10
$R_{\text{noise,idl}}$ (kHz)	10 - 1000
$R_{\text{noise,sig}}$ (Hz)	7
$T$ (1)	0.9



---

# Summary and Outlook

# Summary and Outlook

## Summary:

- Established a model for the variance of transmittance
- Experimental verification of photon statistics
- Found regions where coincidence approach offers higher precision

# Summary and Outlook


## Summary:

- Established a model for the variance of transmittance
- Experimental verification of photon statistics
- Found regions where coincidence approach offers higher precision

## Outlook:

- Experimental verification of the found parameter regions
- Determine the experimental limitations for the variance measurement


# Accidental counts



$$R_{\text{ac}}^{\text{sam}} = \left( T \eta_{\text{idl}} R_{\text{g}} + R_{\text{dc,idl}} - R_{\text{cc,pure}}^{\text{sam}} \right) \cdot \left( \eta_{\text{sig}} R_{\text{g}} + R_{\text{dc,sig}} - R_{\text{cc,pure}}^{\text{sam}} \right) \cdot \tau_{\text{cw}}$$

$$R_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ref}} = \left( \eta_{\text{idl}} R_{\text{g}} + R_{\text{dc,idl}} - R_{\text{cc,pure}}^{\text{ref}} \right) \cdot \left( \eta_{\text{sig}} R_{\text{g}} + R_{\text{dc,sig}} - R_{\text{cc,pure}}^{\text{ref}} \right) \cdot \tau_{\text{cw}}$$


# Heralding efficiencies




$$\eta_{\text{sig}} = \frac{N_{\text{coin}} - N_{\text{ac}}}{N_{\text{sing, idl}} - N_{\text{noise}}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_{\text{g}}}{\eta_{\text{idl}} N_{\text{g}}}$$

$$\eta_{\text{idl}} = \frac{N_{\text{coin}} - N_{\text{ac}}}{N_{\text{sing, sig}} - N_{\text{noise}}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{sig}} \eta_{\text{idl}} N_{\text{g}}}{\eta_{\text{sig}} N_{\text{g}}}$$

# Histogram




# Afterpulsing



$$R_{aft} \propto e^{-\frac{t_d}{\tau_{de}}}$$

# Noiseless case




## Parameter

## Value

$\eta_{idl}$ (%)	60
$\eta_{sig}$ (%)	20, 60
$R_{idl}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{noise,idl}$ (kHz)	0
$R_{noise,sig}$ (Hz)	7
$T$ (1)	0 - 1



# In presence of noise




## Parameter

## Value

$\eta_{idl}$ (%)	60
$\eta_{sig}$ (%)	10, 20
$R_{idl}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{noise, idl}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{noise, sig}$ (Hz)	7
$T$ (1)	0 - 1

# Coherent Illumination, noiseless




## Parameter

## Value

$\eta_{\text{idl}}$ (%)	60
$\eta_{\text{sig}}$ (%)	60, 90
$R_{\text{idl}}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{\text{noise,idl}}$ (kHz)	0
$R_{\text{noise,sig}}$ (Hz)	7
$T$ (1)	0 - 1

# Coherent Illumination, with noise



## Parameter

## Value

$\eta_{\text{idl}}$ (%)	60
$\eta_{\text{sig}}$ (%)	40, 60
$R_{\text{idl}}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{\text{noise,idl}}$ (kHz)	1
$R_{\text{noise,sig}}$ (Hz)	7
$T$ (1)	0 - 1