
Powers' feedback

8 messages

corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
To: "Dr Piotr M Szczurek - szczurpi@lewisu.edu" <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>

Tue, Jul 30, 2019 at 7:50 AM

Hi professor.

I was able to get the feedback and am going over her comments and rubric. Right off the bat, she marked me off for clarity for items we went over, and me showing the chromosomes of the same genes' ubiquitous to UL risk cytoband and other genes, also she didn't read further on why the merge of all five data sets created nearly 2 million genes when I said in that same paragraph the data set of 12,173 unique genes was created by taking the gene counts' row means because that data of 2 million genes had many duplicates in the merge.

I really want a second opinion on this score. She is not using professional judgement or criticism. She gave me very low points on each report section and on synthesis of information. I have attached her feedback of the report as a google drive link because it is larger than 25 mb. She made comments and point deductions for items that were made clear, but shows she didn't read the paper.

Janis Corona
L30018777

 [Final Research Report on UL.pdf](#)

Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>
To: "corona, janis l." <JanisLCorona@lewisu.edu>

Tue, Jul 30, 2019 at 1:48 PM

Dear Janis,

I know it's very disappointing to get such a score after putting in great effort into the project. I have started to go through the report and the scoring, and I will let you know my opinion on it soon. I will let Dr. Powers and yourself know if I believe there was a mistake made. In that case, Dr. Powers will surely correct it. I realize you may not agree with her judgement of your work, but I think it's unfair to label her as a bigot. These are very serious accusations and you should not make them lightly. If you feel she has overlooked something in your report, you can just point those things out and ask her to look at it again.

Sincerely,

Piotr Szczurek, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Computer and Mathematical Sciences
Director of Master of Science in Data Science
Lewis University

From: janis corona <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2019 at 9:50 AM
To: "Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M." <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>
Subject: Powers' feedback

Hi professor.

I was able to get the feedback and am going over her comments and rubric. Right off the bat, she marked me off for clarity for items we went over, and me showing the chromosomes of the same genes' ubiquitous to UL risk cytochrome and other genes, also she didn't read further on why the merge of all five data sets created nearly 2 million genes when I said in that same paragraph the data set of 12,173 unique genes was created by taking the gene counts' row means because that data of 2 million genes had many duplicates in the merge.

I really want a second opinion on this score. She is not using professional judgement or criticism. She gave me very low points on each report section and on synthesis of information. I have attached her feedback of the report as a google drive link because it is larger than 25 mb. She made comments and point deductions for items that were made clear, but shows she didn't read the paper.

Janis Corona
L30018777

[Image removed by sender.] Final Research Report on UL.pdf<https://drive.google.com/a/lewisu.edu/file/d/1S0g5jx7xx3xWiHD20vanYmrl7TYSHTIG/view?usp=drive_web>[Image removed by sender.]

 **winmail.dat**
9K

corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
To: "Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M." <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>

Tue, Jul 30, 2019 at 3:34 PM

Ok. Thank you so much for looking at this. I know racism in TX as a little filipino half white girl with all white people. They are raised believing they are better than all a d should accomodate nobody. I feel in my heart her actions speak the same truth as those raised to believe they are better than all other races.

[Quoted text hidden]

Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>
To: "corona, janis l." <JanisLCorona@lewisu.edu>

Wed, Jul 31, 2019 at 9:13 PM

Janis,

I did read through your report and tried to judge it based on the rubric provided. However, you should know that I have very little knowledge in the biology domain and I could only judge it based on the writing and the overall data science procedures. To be honest, I was a bit confused after getting to the middle of the report. I thought I understood what you were doing based on the abstract, but then you seemed to have done something different than I expected.

I would have probably given you slightly higher scores on the methods, progression, synthesis, and format, but lower score on the grammar (I found a number of writing issues throughout):

Methods: 16/20 Results: 12/20 Progression: 6/10 Synthesis: 6/10 Introduction: 5/10 Conclusion: 5/10

Abstract: 4/5 Grammar: 3/5 Citations: 4/5 Format: 3/5

So that should be 64. Again, this is based on the rubric provided, which forces me to choose the numbers based on the rubric description. Would that change your overall grade? How far were you from getting at least a C in the course?

I suggest that you follow the formal grading appeals process, which is outlined here:

<http://lewisu.smartcatalogiq.com/Graduate-2017-2018/Graduate-Catalog/General-Information/Student-Responsibilities-and-Appeals/Grade-Appeal-Process>

This would entail sending the attached form to the Chair of Biology – Dr. Jerry Kavouras. If you're still not satisfied, you could contact the Dean's office for further review. It will be useful if you can be very precise in your reasons. So using the same rubric, write what you believe your scores should be in each category. You can also point to Dr. Powers' feedback on the specific part of the report that addresses the issues outlined in the comments.

Piotr Szczurek, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Computer and Mathematical Sciences
Director of Master of Science in Data Science
Lewis University

From: janis corona <janislc corona@lewisu.edu>
Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2019 at 5:34 PM
To: "Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M." <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>
Subject: Re: Powers' feedback

Ok. Thank you so much for looking at this. I know racism in TX as a little Filipino half white girl with all white people. They are raised believing they are better than all and should accommodate nobody. I feel in my heart her actions speak the same truth as those raised to believe they are better than all other races.

On Tuesday, July 30, 2019, Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu<<mailto:szczurpi@lewisu.edu>>> wrote:

Dear Janis,

I know it's very disappointing to get such a score after putting in great effort into the project. I have started to go through the report and the scoring, and I will let you know my opinion on it soon. I will let Dr. Powers and yourself know if I believe there was a mistake made. In that case, Dr. Powers will surely correct it. I realize you may not agree with her judgement of your work, but I think it's unfair to label her as a bigot. These are very serious accusations and you should not make them lightly. If you feel she has overlooked something in your report, you can just point those things out and ask her to look at it again.

Sincerely,

Piotr Szczurek, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Computer and Mathematical Sciences
Director of Master of Science in Data Science
Lewis University

[Quoted text hidden]



GradeAppealForm (2019_05_18 02_29_57 UTC)[1].docx
15K

corona, janis <janislc corona@lewisu.edu>
To: "Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M." <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>

Thu, Aug 1, 2019 at 9:08 AM

With the 56/100 that she assigned my report, it put my final grade at a C-. She had no clue about how a data set merges fields together to create the 2 million genes with duplicates when assigning new fields from each platform. The values for the samples for each gene was the exact same. I do not like that she put in her opinion that it was wrong. Also, each table provided a full name of the gene symbol and the results tables explained the results before displaying the tables.

Thank you for reviewing my assignment. I really appreciate it. Do I contact the board directly? If so, do I forward this email to them?

Thanks again for your help in this matter. I don't think she is qualified to instruct the data science on biology, and actually do not like the way she puts text book biology in her words without explaining application of theory.

Janis

[Quoted text hidden]

corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
To: "Slattery, Katheryn L." <SlatteKa@lewisu.edu>
Cc: "Dr Piotr M Szczurek - szczurpi@lewisu.edu" <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>

Thu, Aug 1, 2019 at 9:14 AM

Good morning Ms. Katheryn Slattery.

I am forwarding the grade review of my final research project by the director of data science. Please tell me what to do with this?

Janis
[Quoted text hidden]

Slattery, Katheryn L. <SlatteKa@lewisu.edu>
To: "corona, janis l." <JanisLCorona@lewisu.edu>
Cc: "White, Dr. Christopher G." <cwhite10@lewisu.edu>

Fri, Aug 2, 2019 at 11:12 AM

Janis:

I understand you are reaching out regarding the grade appeal process. I am copying Dr. Christopher White, Dean, College of Aviation, Science and Technology on this email. Please contact Dr. White via email with questions regarding the grade appeal process and he will assist you. Dr. White is also the contact person to address questions you have about Dr. Szczurek's email.

Kathy

Kathy Slattery
Dean of Student Services
[Lewis University]<<http://www.lewisu.edu/>>

One University Parkway
Romeoville, Illinois 60446
(815) 836-5278

[Description: Description: Lasallian Education]

From: corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 11:14 AM
To: Slattery, Katheryn L. <SlatteKa@lewisu.edu>
Cc: Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Powers' feedback

Good morning Ms. Katheryn Slattery.

I am forwarding the grade review of my final research project by the director of data science. Please tell me what to do with this?

Janis

----- Forwarded message -----

From: corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edumailto:janislcorona@lewisu.edu>>
Date: Thu, Aug 1, 2019 at 9:08 AM
Subject: Re: Powers' feedback
To: Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edumailto:szczurpi@lewisu.edu>>

With the 56/100 that she assigned my report, it put my final grade at a C-. She had no clue about how a data set merges fields together to create the 2 million genes with duplicates when assigning new fields from each platform. The values for the samples for each gene was the exact same. I do not like that she put in her opinion that it was wrong. Also, each table provided a full name of the gene symbol and the results tables explained the results before displaying the tables.

Thank you for reviewing my assignment. I really appreciate it. Do I contact the board directly? If so, do I forward this email to them?

Thanks again for your help in this matter. I don't think she is qualified to instruct the data science on biology, and actually do not like the way she puts text book biology in her words without explaining application of theory.

Janis

On Wed, Jul 31, 2019 at 9:13 PM Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu<mailto:szczurpi@lewisu.edu>> wrote:
Janis,

I did read through your report and tried to judge it based on the rubric provided. However, you should know that I have very little knowledge in the biology domain and I could only judge it based on the writing and the overall data science procedures. To be honest, I was a bit confused after getting to the middle of the report. I thought I understood what you were doing based on the abstract, but then you seemed to have done something different than I expected.

I would have probably given you slightly higher scores on the methods, progression, synthesis, and format, but lower score on the grammar (I found a number of writing issues throughout):

Methods: 16/20 Results: 12/20 Progression: 6/10 Synthesis: 6/10 Introduction: 5/10 Conclusion: 5/10
Abstract: 4/5 Grammar: 3/5 Citations: 4/5 Format: 3/5

So that should be 64. Again, this is based on the rubric provided, which forces me to choose the numbers based on the rubric description. Would that change your overall grade? How far were you from getting at least a C in the course?

I suggest that you follow the formal grading appeals process, which is outlined here:
<http://lewisu.smartcatalogiq.com/Graduate-2017-2018/Graduate-Catalog/General-Information/Student-Responsibilities-and-Appeals/Grade-Appeal-Process>

This would entail sending the attached form to the Chair of Biology – Dr. Jerry Kavouras. If you're still not satisfied, you could contact the Dean's office for further review. It will be useful if you can be very precise in your reasons. So using the same rubric, write what you believe your scores should be in each category. You can also point to Dr. Powers' feedback on the specific part of the report that addresses the issues outlined in the comments.

Piotr Szczurek, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Computer and Mathematical Sciences
Director of Master of Science in Data Science
Lewis University

From: janis corona <janislc corona@lewisu.edu<mailto:janislc corona@lewisu.edu>>
Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2019 at 5:34 PM
To: "Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M." <szczurpi@lewisu.edu<mailto:szczurpi@lewisu.edu>>
Subject: Re: Powers' feedback

Ok. Thank you so much for looking at this. I know racism in TX as a little filipino half white girl with all white people. They are raised believing they are better than all a d should accomodate nobody. I feel in my heart her actions speak the same truth as those raised to believe they are better than all other races.

On Tuesday, July 30, 2019, Szczurek, Dr. Piotr M. <szczurpi@lewisu.edu<mailto:szczurpi@lewisu.edu>>

zczurpi@lewisu.edu><<mailto:zczurpi@lewisu.edu><<mailto:zczurpi@lewisu.edu>>>> wrote:
Dear Janis,

I know it's very disappointing to get such a score after putting in great effort into the project. I have started to go through the report and the scoring, and I will let you know my opinion on it soon. I will let Dr. Powers and yourself know if I believe there was a mistake made. In that case, Dr. Powers will surely correct it. I realize you may not agree with her judgement of your work, but I think it's unfair to label her as a bigot. These are very serious accusations and you should not make them lightly. If you feel she has overlooked something in your report, you can just point those things out and ask her to look at it again.

Sincerely,

Piotr Szczurek, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Computer and Mathematical Sciences
Director of Master of Science in Data Science
Lewis University

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

LEWIS UNIVERSITY

image001.gif
3K



image002.jpg
5K

corona, janis <janislcorona@lewisu.edu>
To: "Slattery, Katheryn L." <SlatteKa@lewisu.edu>

Fri, Aug 2, 2019 at 7:08 PM

Ok. Thank you for that helpful information. I have to write down my notes on comments she made before sending. I want to send notes on the changes she said to make and were done, but then marked with more weight disorganization or not having results explained how she would like but without giving a way to explain the results. She said to add or remove whatever I want, and said to put in tables with chromosome and cytoband location on data sets after my presentation, but never said not to link to data sets that weren't final data sets. There is a mess of comments between her and me in leading up to the final report that make no sense in why or how she weights scores, and wants to direct me to including off topic information that makes the report longer than it could have been.

[Quoted text hidden]