Oral Presentation Score and feedback:

**Name: Week 7 Oral Presentation Rubric**



* [Grid View](https://lewisuniversity.blackboard.com/webapps/rubric/do/course/gradeRubric?mode=grid&isPopup=true&rubricCount=1&prefix=_7514047_1&course_id=_173626_1&maxValue=40.0&rubricId=_27079_1&viewOnly=true&displayGrades=true&type=grading&rubricAssoId=_35737_1)
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|  | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Organization | Points:  **10** (25%)    Main purpose clearly evident throughout with all aspects of the presentation clearly connected with ideas extended in a way that demonstrates exemplary knowledge of the topic  **Feedback:** | Points:  **8** (20%)    Above average expression of the main purpose, ideas well defined and connected with above average demonstration of knowledge displayed  **Feedback:** | Points:  **6** (15%)    Adequate expression of the main purpose and connections, ideas clear with appropriate demonstration of knowledge displayed  **Feedback:** | Points:  **4** (10%)    Expression of the main purpose inconsistent and somewhat disconnected, concepts vague with some demonstration of knowledge displayed  **Feedback:** | Points:  **2** (5%)    Inadequate expression of the main purpose, ideas unclear and disjointed with no connections and little demonstration of knowledge displayed  **Feedback:** |
| Content | Points:  **10** (25%)    Exemplary use of credible resources, novel contribution of information and clear demonstration of understanding of the topic  **Feedback:** | Points:  **8** (20%)    Very good use of credible resources, novel contribution of information and demonstration of understanding of the topic  **Feedback:** | Points:  **6** (15%)    Adequate use of credible resources, contribution of some new information and understanding of the topic  **Feedback:** | Points:  **4** (10%)    Limited use of credible resources, contribution of new information and understanding of the topic  **Feedback:** | Points:  **2** (5%)    Inadequate use of credible resources, does not demonstrate newly contributed information, understanding of the topic not demonstrated  **Feedback:** |
| Visual Aids/Text | Points:  **10** (25%)    Exemplary use of figures and diagrams that were of sufficient size and quality and complemented the overall intent/focus of the presentation; exceptional use of text to summarize key points and complement visuals, but does not fill entire slide with lengthy writing.  **Feedback:** | Points:  **8** (20%)    Figures and diagrams were used consistently, were of sufficient size and quality, and supported the focus of the presentation; Text used consistently to support figures and diagrams, makes clear the focus of the presentation.  **Feedback:** | Points:  **6** (15%)    Figures and diagrams adequate, of sufficient size and connected to the presentation; usage of text adequate, connected to the presentation.  **Feedback:** | Points:  **4** (10%)    Figures and diagrams used occasionally, not completely appropriate in size and/or quality, somewhat connected to the presentation; text usage is lengthy and/or overwhelming; content of text somewhat connected to the presentation.  **Feedback:** | Points:  **2** (5%)    Figures and diagrams rarely used, were of insufficient size and quality, not clearly connected to the focus of the presentation; text usage does not summarize key points, overwhelms the use of visual aids, detracts from the focus of the presentation  **Feedback:** |
| Delivery Techniques | Points:  **10** (25%)    Exceptional pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays exemplary enthusiasm, confidence  **Feedback:** | Points:  **8** (20%)    Above average pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays enthusiasm, confidence  **Feedback:** | Points:  **6** (15%)    Satisfactory pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery adequate as to enthusiasm and/or confidence  **Feedback:** | Points:  **4** (10%)    Inadequate pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays limited enthusiasm and/or confidence  **Feedback:** | Points:  **2** (5%)    Unsatisfactory pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery apathetic and lacking in enthusiasm, confidence  **Feedback:** |

Show Descriptions Show Feedback

**Organization10 (25%)**

Levels of Achievement:

5 10 (25%) points 

Main purpose clearly evident throughout with all aspects of the presentation clearly connected with ideas extended in a way that demonstrates exemplary knowledge of the topic

4 8 (20%) points 

Above average expression of the main purpose, ideas well defined and connected with above average demonstration of knowledge displayed

3 6 (15%) points 

Adequate expression of the main purpose and connections, ideas clear with appropriate demonstration of knowledge displayed

2 4 (10%) points 

Expression of the main purpose inconsistent and somewhat disconnected, concepts vague with some demonstration of knowledge displayed

1 2 (5%) points 

Inadequate expression of the main purpose, ideas unclear and disjointed with no connections and little demonstration of knowledge displayed

Feedback:

**Content8 (20%)**

Levels of Achievement:

5 10 (25%) points 

Exemplary use of credible resources, novel contribution of information and clear demonstration of understanding of the topic

4 8 (20%) points 

Very good use of credible resources, novel contribution of information and demonstration of understanding of the topic

3 6 (15%) points 

Adequate use of credible resources, contribution of some new information and understanding of the topic

2 4 (10%) points 

Limited use of credible resources, contribution of new information and understanding of the topic

1 2 (5%) points 

Inadequate use of credible resources, does not demonstrate newly contributed information, understanding of the topic not demonstrated

Feedback:

**Visual Aids/Text8 (20%)**

Levels of Achievement:

5 10 (25%) points 

Exemplary use of figures and diagrams that were of sufficient size and quality and complemented the overall intent/focus of the presentation; exceptional use of text to summarize key points and complement visuals, but does not fill entire slide with lengthy writing.

4 8 (20%) points 

Figures and diagrams were used consistently, were of sufficient size and quality, and supported the focus of the presentation; Text used consistently to support figures and diagrams, makes clear the focus of the presentation.

3 6 (15%) points 

Figures and diagrams adequate, of sufficient size and connected to the presentation; usage of text adequate, connected to the presentation.

2 4 (10%) points 

Figures and diagrams used occasionally, not completely appropriate in size and/or quality, somewhat connected to the presentation; text usage is lengthy and/or overwhelming; content of text somewhat connected to the presentation.

1 2 (5%) points 

Figures and diagrams rarely used, were of insufficient size and quality, not clearly connected to the focus of the presentation; text usage does not summarize key points, overwhelms the use of visual aids, detracts from the focus of the presentation

Feedback:

**Delivery Techniques6 (15%)**

Levels of Achievement:

5 10 (25%) points 

Exceptional pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays exemplary enthusiasm, confidence

4 8 (20%) points 

Above average pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays enthusiasm, confidence

3 6 (15%) points 

Satisfactory pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery adequate as to enthusiasm and/or confidence

2 4 (10%) points 

Inadequate pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery displays limited enthusiasm and/or confidence

1 2 (5%) points 

Unsatisfactory pace, volume, articulation, and tone; delivery apathetic and lacking in enthusiasm, confidence

Feedback:

**The rubric total value of 32.00000 has been overridden with a value of 33 out of 40.00000.**

**Feedback to Learner**

Within the rubric, I have marked the scores I assigned to your presentation. A point was deducted from the Content section, as improvements could have been made with explaining the different machine learning techniques; although you stated the algorithms used, it wasn’t clear how the approaches handled the data you were using (such as the types of clarification Dr. Lewis was looking for). A point was deducted from the Visual Aids/Text section, as some slides were text heavy, and I would also like to see an improvement in the formatting of tables going into your final paper (rather than just exporting from R, please format so are presentation ready). Two points were deducted from Delivery Techniques; although it can be useful to work from notes as reminders, at times it seemed that you were reading verbatim. Also, there were a few instances where you were not fully confident about what was shown. The following scores/feedback was provided by Dr. Lewis: Organization: 5 Content: 4 Visual Aids/Text: 5 Delivery Techniques: 3 Comments from Dr. Lewis: - Organization: “Presentation and data, and results were very well done.” - Content: “As far as the machine learning techniques aspect, it was missing some elaboration on the model for the algorithms that gave good predictions e.g. KNN.” - Visual Aids/Text: “Able to see the Collaborate PowerPoint, text viewable. Good use of charts and visualization.” - Delivery Techniques: “Felt like it was more reading the presentation, some pauses like trying to find the answer.” Total score = 33/40
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