

Institut für Informatik

Reproducibility of SciBert

Jan-Niklas Weder

Modul : Data Mining

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | State of the art | 2 |
| 2.1 | Bert | 2 |
| 2.2 | BioBert | 2 |
| 2.3 | Datasets | 2 |
| 2.3.1 | Chemprot | 2 |
| 3 | SciBert | 3 |
| 3.1 | Corpus | 3 |
| 3.2 | Vocabulary | 3 |
| 3.2.1 | SentencePiece | 3 |
| 4 | Experiments | 4 |
| 4.1 | NER | 4 |
| 4.2 | PICO | 4 |
| 4.3 | CLS | 4 |
| 4.4 | REL | 4 |
| 4.5 | DEP | 4 |
| 4.6 | Finetuning | 4 |
| 4.7 | Frozen embeddings | 4 |
| 4.8 | Influences of different platforms | 4 |
| 5 | Discussion | 6 |
| 6 | Further development | 7 |

Introduction

Als pretrained model hängt bert stark von dem korpus ab

Genauso ist das vocabular sehr wichtig

Andere arbeiten zeigten den einfluss eines erweiterten trainings/besser passenden korpus? referenz suchen

=> Roberta zum Beispiel zeigte, dass allein weiteres training die ergebnisse verbessern kann Wissenschaftliche texte unterscheiden sich allgemein sehr stark von "normalen"

=> Somit ist ein auf ähnliche weise trainiertes modell als bessere grundlagen für NLP aufgaben im wissenschaftlichen bereich sinnvoll

Gab es in dieser form noch nicht

besitzt daher potential.

insbesondere als grundbaustein für unterschiedlichste aufgaben im !!! wiss. bereich

Allgemein stellt sich das Problem von Datensätzen insbesondere da diese annotiert werden müssen (im wiss. bereich teuer da hochqualifizierte experten notwendig sind)

State of the art

2.1 Bert

Bert as revolution
pretrained-models
usefull even without finetuning
=, unexpected precision

nowadays used for many different NLP tasks
Architecture of bert
extensions of bert like roberta

2.2 BioBert

[3]

2.3 Datasets

zum Beispiel NCBI-Disease (versuch einen goldstandard für corpora zu erstellen)
-; sehr günstig um darauf entsprechende modelle zu trainieren [2] -; SciERC /sciie im repo [4]
Due to the availability of the Datasets used by the original Authors. We will use their prepared Datasets, which are already prepared so that it is easier to use for training of neural nets and still only vary slightly from the original Datasets. The Datasets which we will use are directly retrieved from the SciBert GitHub page and made available through the DataDeps package which provides an easy way to retrieve data that may or may not be locally available. If it is not already stored locally it will be cached in the local Julia path and inside Julia, DataDeps

provides the corresponding paths to the Data and retrieves it from the defined Source if needed. Furthermore, a hash can be defined as well to ensure that the provided data is identical to the expected one.[6]
In the following paragraph, we will take a closer look at the original data and the individual changes that have been made to use those Datasets for the training process.

2.3.1 Chemprot

Chemprot is in a json lines file format provided. More precise every line consists of a text and the corresponding label. A field for metadata exists as well but is most of the time not used. In its original format the Chemprot corpus consists of a develop, test, train of which the develop, test and train folder correspond to the identical named files inside the chemprot folder provided on the GitHub site of scibert. The difference arises from database like structure in which the chemprot corpus is original provided, in contrast to those subdivided information sets where for example the text itself is in another file than the positions and annotations. Those divided information were combined and are provided in a single file in the already mentioned format. [1, 5]

SciBert

3.1 Corpus

Comparison

3.2 Vocabulary

BaseVocab vs. SCIVocab
! $\approx 42\%$ overlap

3.2.1 SentencePiece

Experiments

kurze einföhrung in die test fälle mit einer
erklärung, was f1 scores sind
Alles NLP Aufgaben bei denen Bert "überraschend"
gut abschneided
Jetzt mit der erweiterung zu scibert erneut betra-
chtet
Einföuss des vocabulars und des corpus genau
gegenübergestellt

all got dropout of 0.1 loss cross entropy optemizer
adam finetuning for 2 to 5 epochs

4.1 NER

Pretrained model -, linear classification layer with
softmax output

4.2 PICO

4.3 CLS

4.4 REL

4.5 DEP

dependency tag and arc embedding of size 100 and
biaffine matrix attention

4.6 Finetuning

4.7 Frozen embeddings

4.8 Influences of different plat- forms

This section will take a short look at the usability of
different hardware platforms for the creation of trans-
former models and in the training or testing of those.
More precisely we will compare the google-colab en-
vironment with an Nvidia GPU and an AMD GPU.
Due to the randomness of the allocation of hardware
on the google-colab site, I cannot further define the
GPU that was used on this platform. The Nvidia
GPU that was used is a GeForce 940MX with ap-
proximate 2GB VRAM, the AMD GPU on the other
side was an RX580 with approximate 8GB of VRAM.
At this point, I will shortly describe how far the
ROCM stack of AMD is usable because surprisingly
I was able to define the model and make predic-
tions with it in a randomized instance. Sadly due
to the instability in the ROCM stack, the Linux ker-
nel wasn't capable of using the GPU anymore after an
update which probably broke the intern dependencies
on which the kernel and the ROCM driver relay and
therefore the Video output of the computer was not
usable anymore. Even though this shows that in fact,
an AMD GPU is capable of running the Transformer
package and at least load a defined model. This fact
in itself is surprising since AMD itself describes the
support status of the RX580 as it "may or may not
work" and Julia describes the support of AMD GPUs

as level 3 which corresponds to the lowest level of support. (verweis zu der aussage)[belege und verweis zu ROCM]

Still, I would discourage anyone from installing the ROCM stack on any productive system since it is still way too unstable and would recommend experimenting only in some form of a virtualized environment. Due to the failure with the ROCM stack, the following part will only consider the MX940 and the google-colab environment.

Discussion

Further development

Bibliography

- [1] Iz Beltagy, Kyle Lo, and Arman Cohan. “SciBERT: A Pretrained Language Model for Scientific Text”. In: *EMNLP 2019* (Mar. 26, 2019). arXiv: 1903.10676 [cs.CL].
- [2] Rezarta Islamaj Doğan, Robert Leaman, and Zhiyong Lu. “NCBI disease corpus: A resource for disease name recognition and concept normalization”. In: *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 47 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1–10. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbi.2013.12.006.
- [3] Jinhyuk Lee et al. “BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining”. In: *Bioinformatics* (Sept. 2019). Ed. by Jonathan Wren. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz682.
- [4] Yi Luan et al. “Multi-Task Identification of Entities, Relations, and Coreference for Scientific Knowledge Graph Construction”. In: *Proc. Conf. Empirical Methods Natural Language Process. (EMNLP)*. 2018.
- [5] Qinghua Wang et al. “Overview of the interactive task in BioCreative V”. In: *Database* 2016 (2016), baw119. DOI: 10.1093/database/baw119.
- [6] Lyndon White et al. “DataDeps.jl: Repeatable Data Setup for Reproducible Data Science”. In: *Journal of Open Research Software* 7 (2019). DOI: 10.5334/jors.244.