

Table. Maximum Likelihood analysis of substitution models

Model	Parameters	BIC	AICc	InL	(+I)	(+G)	R	f(A)	f(T)	f(C)	f(G)	r(AT)	r(AC)	r(AG)	r(TA)	r(TC)	r(TG)	r
TN93+G+I	86	9582.803	8813.371	-4320.554	0.57	0.58	2.89	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.032	0.028	0.095	0.031	0.264	0.035	(
K2+G+I	82	9588.590	8854.934	-4345.347	0.61	0.57	2.93	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.032	0.032	0.186	0.032	0.186	0.032	(
T92+G+I	83	9599.789	8857.189	-4345.472	0.61	0.57	2.93	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.032	0.032	0.187	0.032	0.187	0.032	(
GTR+G+I	89	9600.516	8804.253	-4312.985	0.58	0.59	2.87	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.048	0.019	0.096	0.046	0.264	0.048	(
HKY+G+I	85	9621.091	8860.603	-4345.173	0.61	0.57	3.01	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.032	0.027	0.211	0.030	0.164	0.035	(
TN93+I	85	9631.786	8871.298	-4350.520	0.72	n/a	2.50	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.036	0.031	0.101	0.034	0.246	0.039	(
K2+I	81	9634.552	8909.840	-4373.803	0.74	n/a	2.59	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.035	0.035	0.180	0.035	0.180	0.035	(
T92+I	82	9645.764	8912.108	-4373.934	0.74	n/a	2.59	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.035	0.035	0.180	0.035	0.180	0.035	(
GTR+I	88	9647.595	8860.275	-4342.000	0.72	n/a	2.52	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.052	0.020	0.100	0.050	0.248	0.055	(
HKY+I	84	9667.059	8915.514	-4373.632	0.74	n/a	2.65	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.035	0.030	0.204	0.033	0.159	0.039	(
TN93+G	85	9812.653	9052.165	-4440.954	n/a	0.17	2.79	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.033	0.028	0.083	0.031	0.272	0.036	(
JC+G+I	81	9813.675	9088.963	-4463.365	0.69	2.04	0.50	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	(
GTR+G	88	9828.215	9040.895	-4432.310	n/a	0.17	2.78	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.050	0.017	0.083	0.048	0.272	0.050	(
K2+G	81	9837.147	9112.435	-4475.101	n/a	0.15	2.69	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.034	0.034	0.182	0.034	0.182	0.034	(
JC+I	80	9837.652	9121.884	-4480.828	0.74	n/a	0.50	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	(
T92+G	82	9848.139	9114.482	-4475.122	n/a	0.15	2.69	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.034	0.034	0.182	0.034	0.182	0.034	(
HKY+G	84	9868.704	9117.160	-4474.454	n/a	0.15	2.76	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.034	0.029	0.207	0.033	0.161	0.037	(
JC+G	80	10054.186	9338.418	-4589.095	n/a	0.17	0.50	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	(
TN93	84	10147.008	9395.463	-4613.606	n/a	n/a	2.00	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.042	0.036	0.090	0.040	0.233	0.046	(
GTR	87	10169.682	9391.305	-4608.518	n/a	n/a	2.01	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.052	0.030	0.090	0.050	0.234	0.057	(
K2	80	10198.506	9482.739	-4661.255	n/a	n/a	1.99	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.042	0.042	0.166	0.042	0.166	0.042	(
T92	81	10209.501	9484.789	-4661.278	n/a	n/a	1.99	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.042	0.042	0.166	0.042	0.166	0.042	(
HKY	83	10232.272	9489.672	-4661.713	n/a	n/a	1.99	0.245	0.255	0.219	0.282	0.043	0.037	0.187	0.041	0.146	0.047	(
JC	79	10391.784	9684.961	-4763.369	n/a	n/a	0.50	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	(

NOTE-- This table presents the goodness of fit for multiple substitution models, including their parameter estimates. The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) scores are used to select the optimal model. Each model's Maximum Likelihood value (InL), corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) value, BIC, and the number of parameters are presented (refer to Chapter 8 in [1] for more information). Evolutionary rate variation among sites was modeled using a discrete Gamma distribution (+G) with 5 rate categories [2] and a fraction of sites were allowed to be evolutionarily invariant (+I). Estimates of gamma shape parameter and fraction of invariant sites are also shown, as appropriate. Assumed or estimated values of transition/transversion bias (R) are shown for each model, as well. They are followed by nucleotide frequencies (f) and rates of base substitutions (r) for each nucleotide pair. The sum of r estimates is set to 1 for each model making comparisons across models easier. The ML analyses were conducted using an automatically generated tree topology [3]. The analytical procedure encompassed 41 nucleotide sequences with 1.409 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA12 [4] utilizing up to 10 parallel computing threads.

Abbreviations: GTR: General Time Reversible; HKY: Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano; TN93: Tamura-Nei; T92: Tamura 3-parameter; K2: Kimura 2-parameter; JC: Jukes-Cantor.

1. Nei M. and Kumar S. (2000). *Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics*. Oxford University Press, New York.

2. Yang Z. (1994) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: Approximate methods. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **39**:306-314.

3. Tamura K., Peterson D., Peterson N., Stecher G., Nei M., and Kumar S. (2011). MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **28**: 2731-2739.

4. Kumar S., Stecher G., Suleski M., Sanderford M., Sharma S., and Tamura K. (2024). Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 12 for adaptive and green computing. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **41**:1-9.

Disclaimer: While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the caption, it is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. Users are advised to thoroughly review the caption before its use for any purpose and report any errors or issues to the authors at www.megasoftware.net. The authors and their employers disclaim any liability for damages, including but not limited to special or consequential damages. Additionally, the authors expressly disclaim all other warranties, whether expressed or implied, including the suitability of the caption text for a specific purpose, use, or application.