Report from Dagstuhl Seminar 11013

Seminar Sample

Edited by

John Q. Open¹ and Joan R. Access²

- 1 Dummy University Computing Laboratory, Dummy Country open@dummyuni.org
- 2 Department of Informatics, Dummy College Address, Country access@dummycollege.org

— Abstract -

This report documents the program and the outcomes of Dagstuhl Seminar 11013 "Seminar Sample". For a real abstract, one should write a little bit more here.

Seminar 03.-07. January, 2011 - https://www.dagstuhl.de/11013

2012 ACM Subject Classification General and reference \rightarrow General literature, Hardware \rightarrow 3D integrated circuits, Software and its engineering \rightarrow Software design engineering, Networks \rightarrow Network performance analysis

 $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Keywords and phrases} \ \ Sample \ Article, \ Dagstuhl \ Seminar, \ Dagstuhl \ Perspectives \ Workshop \\ \textbf{Digital Object Identifier} \ \ 10.4230/DagRep. 1.1.1$

Edited in cooperation with Tom Collector

1 Executive Summary

John Q. Open (Dummy University Computing Laboratory, DC, open@dummyuni.org) Joan R. Access (Department of Informatics, Dummy College Address, DC, access@dummycollege.org)

This summary summarizes the outcomes of our seminar. The seminar focused on

- important issues,
- relevant problems, and
- adequate solutions.

As a major result from the seminar, the following problems have been identified:

- 1. The problem of writing a brief, but concise executive summary.
- 2. The problem of collecting all abstracts from talks.
- 3. The problem of preparing summaries from working groups, open problem sessions, and panel discussions.

2 11013 – Seminar Sample

2	Table	of (onto	nte
	rabie	OI (Jonte	ทเร

Executive Summary	
John Q. Open and Joan R. Access	1
Overview of Talks	
A sample talk	
John Doe	3
Working Groups	
Working Group on Preparing an issue for Dagstuhl Reports	
John Q. Open, Jane Doe	3
Open Problems	
A very open problem	
Joan R. Access, Jane Doe	4
Panel Discussions	
Panel Discussion on "LaTeX Environments for Dagstuhl Reports"	4
Participants	5

3 Overview of Talks

3.1 A sample talk

John Doe (Somewhere University - Somewhere City, DC, john@doe.org)

Providing a documentation for a Dagstuhl Seminar is mandatory. We focus on talk abstracts and show that a talk abstract can be tagged with co-authors appearing in the joint-work-of-field. Furthermore, a talk abstract can state one main reference on which the talk is based.

Details are given in [1] and [2].

References

- Schloss Dagstuhl Editorial Office, The dagrep-v2018 class. Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, 2011.
- 2 John Q. Open; Joan R. Access, Seminar Sample, Dagstuhl Reports, 1:1, 1–8, 2011.

4 Working Groups

4.1 Working Group on Preparing an issue for Dagstuhl Reports

John Q. Open (Dummy University Computing Laboratory, DC, open@dummyuni.org)
Jane Doe (Somewhere University – Somewhere City, DC, jane@doe.org)

```
License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © John Q. Open, Jane Doe
```

This working group focused on how to prepare an working group summary for an issue of Dagstuhl Reports.

4.1.1 Discussed Problems

- The authorship problem: Who was involved in the working group and who should act as author for this summary.
- Further problems.

4.1.2 Possible Approaches

For the authorship problem we found that naming all people involved in the working group is adequate.

4.1.3 Conclusions

The authorship problem occurs frequently, but it can be solved pragmatically.

4 11013 – Seminar Sample

5 Open Problems

5.1 A very open problem

Joan R. Access (Dummy Affilliation, DC, access@dummycollege.org)
Jane Doe (Somewhere University – Somewhere City, DC, jane@doe.org)

License ⊜ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © Joan R. Access, Jane Doe

There may be some open problems with preparing an issue for Dagstuhl Reports. One possible solution is to contact Dagstuhl's editorial office at reports@dagstuhl.de. Details are given in [1] and [2].

References

- Schloss Dagstuhl Editorial Office, The dagrep-v2018 class. Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, 2011
- 2 John Q. Open; Joan R. Access, Seminar Sample, Dagstuhl Reports, 1:1, 1–8, 2011.

6 Panel Discussions

6.1 Panel Discussion on "LaTeX Environments for Dagstuhl Reports"

6.1.1 Panelists

- Joan R. Access (Dummy Affilliation, DC)
- Jane Doe (Somewhere University, DC)
- John Q. Open (Dummy University Computing Laboratory, DC)

6.1.2 Statements

6.1.2.1 Joan R. Access

This is the statement of Joan R. Access.

6.1.2.2 Jane Doe

And this is the statement of Jane Doe.

6.1.2.3 John Q. Open

John Q. Open – believe it or not – also gave a statement.

6.1.3 Discussion

The statements have been heavily discussed. Next time, John Doe should join the panelists.

Participants

Joan R. AccessDummy Affilliation, DCJane DoeSomewhere University, DC

John Doe Somewhere University, DC

John Q. Open Dummy University Computing Laboratory, DC