



HoGent

Faculteit 'Bedrijf en Organisatie'

Bluetooth Low Energy wearables in een Internet of Things cloud-infrastructuur met behulp van een
smartphone als gateway

Jan Van Braeckel

Scriptie voorgedragen tot het bekomen van de graad
Bachelor in de toegepaste informatica

Promotor:
Joeri Van Herreweghe
Co-promotor:
Peter Leemans

Instelling: AllThingsTalk

Academiejaar: 2015-2016

Tweede examenperiode

Faculty 'Bedrijf en Organisatie'

Bluetooth Low Energy wearables in an Internet of Things cloud infrastructure using a smartphone
as gateway

Jan Van Braeckel

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor in applied computer sciences

Promoter:
Joeri Van Herreweghe
Co-promoter:
Peter Leemans

Affiliation: AllThingsTalk

Academic year: 2015-2016

Second exam period

Abstract

Preface

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Problem statement and research questions	7
1.1.1	Problem statement	7
1.1.2	Research questions	7
1.2	AllThingsTalk	9
1.2.1	History	9
1.2.2	Products and services	10
2	Methodology	11
2.1	Research and literature study	11
2.2	Proof of Concept	12
3	Bluetooth Low Energy	13
3.1	What is Bluetooth Low Energy	14
3.2	Key differences between classic Bluetooth	14
3.2.1	A new technology emerges	14
3.2.2	Limitations of Bluetooth Low Energy	15
3.3	Bluetooth configurations	15
3.4	How low energy is achieved	16
4	The Bluetooth Low Energy protocol stack	18
4.1	Controller	19
4.1.1	Physical Layer	19
4.1.2	Link Layer	19
4.1.3	Host Controller Interface	20
4.2	Host	21
4.2.1	Host Controller Interface	21
4.2.2	Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol	21
4.2.3	Attribute Protocol	21
4.2.4	Security Manager Protocol	22
4.2.5	Generic Access Profile	22

4.2.6	Generic Attribute Profile	22
4.3	Application	22
5	Generic Access Profile	23
5.1	Roles	24
5.2	Data channels	24
5.3	Advertising	25
5.3.1	Data format	25
5.4	Broadcasting	26
5.5	Connections	27
6	Generic Attribute Profile	28
6.1	Profiles	29
6.2	Services	29
6.3	Characteristics	29
6.4	Descriptors	30
7	Why Bluetooth Low Energy and Internet of Things	31
7.1	Uses of Bluetooth Low Energy	32
7.2	Competitors	33
7.2.1	ZigBee	33
7.2.2	Z-Wave	33
7.2.3	ANT	34
7.3	Other wireless technologies	34
7.4	Future of Bluetooth Low Energy	34
8	Building an Android gateway	35
8.1	Goal	36
8.2	Used devices	36
8.2.1	Nexus 5	36
8.2.2	Arduino 101 / Genuino 101	36
8.2.3	Flower Power	37
8.2.4	MetaWear CPRO	37
8.3	Android programming	38
8.3.1	Bluetooth layer	38
8.3.2	Network layer	39
8.3.3	User interface	40
9	Discussion	41
9.1	Conclusion	41
9.2	Concerns	42

9.3	Remarks	43
9.4	Future work	43

Chapter 1

Introduction

“If you think that the internet has changed your life, think again. The IoT is about to change it all over again!”

Brendan O'Brien

The Internet of Things hasn't been around for a very long time, yet it is quickly becoming very popular and almost every technology company wants to be a part of it. It gained a lot of popularity around 2011 when IPV6 was released and it was around this time Gartner (Petty and van der Meulen, 2012) also took note of this trend and put it on their annual Hype Cycle for the first time. Around the same time of the growing popularity of the Internet of Things, the Bluetooth Special Interest Group released a new Bluetooth specification that was built for the Internet of Things: Bluetooth Low Energy. Gartner (van der Meulen, 2015) also suggests that by 2020, around 20 billion 'things' will be connected to the Internet of Things, a market that Bluetooth Low Energy wants to play a big role in.

This thesis aims to provide an introduction to Bluetooth Low Energy and how a smartphone can be used as gateway to allow Bluetooth Low Energy devices, which don't have internet capabilities, to connect to the internet. In this chapter the problem that the thesis is trying to find an answer for is elaborated, as well as the actual questions that need answering. An introduction about 'AllThingsTalk' can also be found, a company that is trying to figure out how to connect Bluetooth Low Energy wearables with their Internet of Things infrastructure.

1.1 Problem statement and research questions

First of all, the problem statement will be discussed where a quick sketch will be made as to why this thesis came to be. It will handle a subject that AllThingsTalk is very keen to discover for the development of their company and why combining Bluetooth Low Energy with their Internet of Things infrastructure is the next logical step for their business. Next, we'll look at the main question AllThingsTalk wants an answer for together with some smaller questions that logically follow it.

1.1.1 Problem statement

At the time of writing, there are already a lot of Bluetooth enabled products on the technology market. With the new Bluetooth Low Energy specification, Bluetooth is reaching out even further to products like socks¹, shoes², fitness bands³ and more are being added to the list every day. The problem with these products is that in a lot of cases, the products only synchronize with a smartphone. Some manufacturers extend this connectivity by occasionally synchronizing the data the smartphone captures to their own proprietary cloud, where the data can be analysed by both the company and the consumer. Most of the time, this is where the data cycle stops and it can't be further accessed by other parties, this is known as a closed loop system. In some cases, developers can still access the data with an API that communicates with the cloud service of the manufacturer, but this doesn't give any access to the raw sensor values and doesn't allow real-time data transfer.

One of the use cases where this could be an important requirement is in elderly homes. For instance, when making a monitoring system to check if elderly people have fallen or something else is going wrong, it is not acceptable to only receive data every 15 minutes because by that time it might be too late. When building a system like this, it can also be handy to have a central service where all of the raw data is collected instead of containing it in separate closed loops for every system. An example of a closed system versus open system can be found in figure 1.1.

On top of this, a lot of the devices being manufactured don't use Bluetooth Special Interest Group adopted standards, which makes interoperability with existing applications hard, if not impossible if authentication and encryption are added into the mix.

1.1.2 Research questions

There are a couple of questions that can be asked when combining Bluetooth Low Energy and the Internet of Things, and some of those questions alone could have

¹<http://www.sensoriafitness.com/>

²<https://secure-nikeplus.nike.com/plus/products/basketball>

³<https://www.fitbit.com/>




Figure 1.1: Image demonstrating a closed system (left) versus an open system (right). A closed system usually has its own (optional) cloud for every device from a different manufacturer, only pushing data but not pulling it. It also (not always) exposes an API to allow users to pull the data to their computer. An open system exposes *one* public cloud like the AllThingsTalk developer cloud with *one* API, also allowing 2-way data communication between smartphone and cloud.

multiple papers dedicated to them. For example, the matter of security will be a never ending debate, and even more concerns arise when talking about security in the Internet of Things. Another issue is privacy, but since this is very much a gray area it is hard to formulate a one-sided conclusion on this matter. Some of these concerns will be addressed further in section 9.2.

The main goals this thesis tries to fulfil are in essence very simple, but of course there are always some other questions that arise when looking at the big picture. These questions can be categorized as following, the questions in bold being the main research questions and the ones in plain text being auxiliary questions:

- **Can Bluetooth Low Energy wearables be used in an Internet of Things cloud infrastructure?**
- **Is it possible to use a smartphone as gateway to communicate with the AllThingsTalk developer Cloud in real-time?**

- **Is there a possible use case where Bluetooth Low Energy wearables can provide an added value in an Internet of Things project?**
- What is Bluetooth Low Energy?
- What is the difference between Bluetooth Low Energy and Bluetooth Classic?
- What are the pros and cons of this technology?
- What types of devices exist in Bluetooth Low Energy and how do they expose their data?

1.2 AllThingsTalk

As you've probably already noticed, the company AllThingsTalk has been referenced a couple of times in the previous section. The reason for this is that this thesis is affiliated with the company and is being written for them. The company helped shape the vision of the thesis and offered some very interesting insights and ideas for subjects to write about, subjects which were very interesting for their own use.

AllThingsTalk was founded in July 2013 and their main objective is to 'Make IoT ideas happen'. The company is already counting thirteen employees in two different countries, the headquarters being located in Ghent, Belgium. The office in Belgium counts seven people and is heavily focused on research & development engineering, project management and sales & marketing. The branch office is located in Belgrade, Serbia with the other six people, and their main focus is platform software development.

1.2.1 History

AllThingsTalk has come a long way since the start of the company. They've received multiple Research & Innovation grants from the government, the first being in September 2013 for building an open IoT platform: the AllThingsTalk developer Cloud. The second grant was received in May 2014, where the goal was to implement pattern recognition in their platform in order to help elderly people stay in their homes for a longer time. A third grant was acquired in February 2015, which was responsible for adding machine learning components to the platform.

Furthermore, they've hit some other major milestones throughout the years. In November 2014 they launched the first set of Rapid Development Kits for Internet of Things, where they added the Intel Rapid Development Kit in April 2015 and the LoRa Rapid Development Kit in November 2015. Other milestones include Internet of Things hackathons, the launch of IOTOPIA.be - a platform to introduce children in secondary schools to Internet of Things - and a LoRa partnership with Proximus, one of the largest telecommunications companies in Belgium.

1.2.2 Products and services

The three main products and services AllThingsTalk offer are guidance, tools and cloud.

For guidance, they offer IoT innovation workshops and private hackathons. This means that AllThingstalk organizes workshops for companies tailored to their needs, as well as hackathons which involve ideation, prototyping and more.

The second product is tools. AllThingsTalk offers a range of development kits that companies can purchase to accelerate their Internet of Things research. This includes kits like LoRa, Arduino Raspberry Pi, Intel Edison and Windows 10 IoT. 'Hackathon in a Box' is also available, which helps companies to organize their own Internet of Things hackathon on their own.

Last but not least is the AllThingsTalk developer cloud, an Internet of Things prototyping platform which enables companies to connect their devices rapidly to the cloud, instead of hosting their own complicated infrastructure. Not only can this be used to prototype, but the platform is already being used in some very exciting projects like helping the elderly live in their home longer and an ongoing project which provides predictive maintenance in industries with machinery.

Chapter 2

Methodology

“Research is formalized curiosity. It is poking and prying with a purpose.”

Zora Neale Hurston

This chapter aims to explain what approaches and methods were used in the making of this thesis. It explains what methods were used for the two major parts of this thesis, being the research & literature study and the Proof of Concept.

2.1 Research and literature study

Before starting the research, the most important question was ‘what does AllThingsTalk already know?’. Of course, being a company revolving around Internet of Things, it wouldn’t be much use to dedicate a large section of this paper to it. However, some research had to be done about Internet of Things in order to understand some core concepts and technologies that are used like transfer protocols such as MQTT and AMQP. A more abstract understanding was also needed, being how the Internet of Things works, what it is used for, why it is important and some more. This information is of course easily found online and wasn’t of much use to include in this thesis.

That being said, the core subject of the research and literature study is about Bluetooth Low Energy. The research about this technology was done like you would research any other subject. Initially, the plan was to rely heavily on the official documentation of Bluetooth, but since the complete Bluetooth specification is over 2000 pages in length, a more practical approach was to purchase books that explain Bluetooth Low Energy, two of them being Townsend et al. (2014) and Heydon (2012).

There are also training videos to be found on the Bluetooth website as well as various other short introductions to the technology all over the web, which provide a

basic and quick understanding about the technology.

2.2 Proof of Concept

One of the biggest focuses of the Proof of Concept was to simply try out the technology and putting the theory to use. The actual goals of the Proof of Concept were more to provide the Bluetooth Low Energy layer than to connect to the Internet, as AllThingsTalk provides easy-to-use libraries in various language to connect to their platform. Another reason for the Proof of Concept was also to prove that a smartphone can actually be used as a gateway to connect Bluetooth Low Energy to the cloud and that it can provide an added value to a real life scenario. By trying this, it should provide a fairly clear answer to the main research questions defined in subsection 1.1.2.

In order to help speed up the learning process and quickly allow me to prototype and try out the technology, various devices were provided which offer a range of Bluetooth Low Energy profiles, services and characteristics, these terms are explained further in chapter 6. These devices will shortly be introduced in chapter 8.

The first goal of the Proof of Concept was to connect to a Bluetooth Low Energy device and read data on a smartphone. Various examples and repositories with source code provided some interesting insights as to how this API worked. Bluetooth also offers some starter kits to kickstart any project that wants to use Bluetooth Low Energy, including a very comprehensive and easy to use wrapper around the Android Bluetooth API.

Further goals of course include writing data and coupling the Bluetooth layer to the network layer, automatic service discovery and mapping, automatic generation of assets on the online platform and more. The most important concepts on how to do this are elaborated in chapter 8 and the accomplishments are listed in the conclusion, section 9.1.

Chapter 3

Bluetooth Low Energy

“Bluetooth Low Energy is going to change the way the world connects.”

Robin Heydon

Bluetooth has been around for about 16 years and with the adoption of Bluetooth Low Energy in 2010, it seems like it is going to be around for a little while longer (Bluetooth SIG, 2016). Originally known as Wibree by Nokia (Nokia Corporation, 2006), it was later merged into the Bluetooth standard. This technology was designed from the ground up (Gupta, 2013) to be as energy efficient as possible wants to power the Internet of Things for years to come. Exciting updates are also on the way like mesh networking, allowing different nodes in a network to relay data to one another. In this chapter we'll be looking at what Bluetooth Low Energy actually is, what the key differences are between Bluetooth BR/EDR¹ and Bluetooth Low Energy. Also worth investigating are the limitations of Bluetooth Low Energy and how this technology achieves low energy like no other when compared to similar technologies like Zigbee (Siekkinen et al., 2012).

¹Basic Rate/Enhanced Data Rate, “normal” Bluetooth

3.1 What is Bluetooth Low Energy

In essence, Bluetooth Low Energy is an open standard that consumes extremely low power. It has been designed from scratch and has more things not in common than it does with Bluetooth, so the name can be a little bit confusing. Every component in this specification has been designed to consume as little power as possible, that's why this technology can also be called a 'coin cell' technology. This because a Bluetooth Low Energy enabled device can (theoretically, with normal usage) achieve battery life of around eight months on a coin cell battery. A great example of this are beacons, which can achieve very long battery life if configured correctly. If fitted with a bigger battery, it can last for over two years. More information about the battery usage and how this low energy is achieved can be found in section 3.4.

However, one might think: 'if Bluetooth Low Energy is so great, why isn't it replacing other wireless technologies?'. The main reason for this is because it is very slow and has very little range. A couple of other limitations are present, but these will be more closely looked at in subsection 3.2.2. Bluetooth Low Energy's main use is intended for Personal Area Networks with a gateway in range that can relay data to a cloud service in order to connect various devices together.

3.2 Key differences between classic Bluetooth

It seems that Bluetooth and Bluetooth Low Energy are worlds apart from one another. This is in fact very correct so it is hard to just list some differences and be done with it. In the next two subsections we'll look at why Bluetooth Low Energy is completely different from Bluetooth and should be seen as a new technology in its whole instead of an enhancement to the existing Bluetooth. The key limitations of the Bluetooth Low Energy specification are also addressed further in this chapter.

3.2.1 A new technology emerges

A lot of authors are looking to compare Bluetooth with Bluetooth Low Energy, but this is an unfair comparison since the use cases for both of these technologies are completely different. As the name suggests, Bluetooth Low Energy is marketed for low energy devices like wearables and sensors, so it isn't here to replace other wireless technologies in the slightest where a continuous flow of data is required. They've also both been built around completely different core principles and have been designed to fulfil these requirements as best as possible.

3.2.2 Limitations of Bluetooth Low Energy

Bluetooth Low Energy doesn't bring all good news as there are a few key limitations to the technology. Because the technology uses very little power, it is fairly easy to understand that the transmit power and transfer speeds aren't anywhere near technologies like WiFi or 4G-LTE. In theory, Bluetooth Low Energy can achieve ranges of up to 65 meters and upcoming updates to the specification prove that this range will be increased even more (Heydon, 2012). However, most manufacturers won't want their peripheral to transmit at such high range because this will cause increased battery usage in turn. In practice, this range is much lower as walls and even humans can interfere with transmission range (Faragher and Harle, 2014).

Another limitation is the transfer speed. Again, in theory, Bluetooth Low Energy can have a (full packet) transfer speed of up to 1 Mbps². If you take into account the actual data contained in said packet and add up all of the overhead that goes into transferring a packet, 5 to 10 KB per second is a much more realistic representation. Knowing this, it is safe to assume that Bluetooth Low Energy won't be replacing WiFi any time soon.

A more concerning limitation is that Bluetooth Low Energy operates in the 2.4 GHz ISM frequency band, the same band that WiFi uses. They've chosen this band because the 2.4 GHz ISM band doesn't require any licensing cost, contributing to the very low chip cost of Bluetooth Low Energy devices. Luckily, Bluetooth Low Energy features an excellent algorithm to avoid major interference from WiFi, as it will avoid any channel that is being heavily used by other technologies.

3.3 Bluetooth configurations

Bluetooth Low Energy is known by a variety of names on the market and while some of them are used to describe the same technology, in a few there's some differences to be found. 'Bluetooth Low Energy' is mostly used as a catch-all name and can consist of a few different configurations. Some people assume Bluetooth BR/EDR is also Bluetooth Low Energy, but this assumption couldn't be more wrong. If there is a product that specifies that you must have Bluetooth Version 4.0 or later, you're probably dealing with a Bluetooth Low Energy device.

Other names that can commonly be found are Bluetooth Smart (single-mode) and Bluetooth Smart Ready (dual-mode), these are both specifications of Bluetooth Low Energy but still have differences between them (Townsend et al., 2014). Bluetooth Smart has been designed to only allow interoperability between itself and Bluetooth Smart Ready products. You'll usually see this configuration in wearable devices that connect to a smart phone, in this case the wearable is a Bluetooth Smart product

²1 Mbps equals to 100 kilobytes per second.

Table 3.1: Compatibility table of Bluetooth specifications

<i>Bluetooth specification</i>	<i>Supports BR/EDR</i>	<i>Supports BLE</i>
Pre-v4.0	v	x
4.x Bluetooth Smart	x	v
4.x Bluetooth Smart Ready	v	v

and the smartphone is a Bluetooth Smart Ready product. Bluetooth Smart Ready on the other hand has been designed to allow communication with Bluetooth, Bluetooth Smart Ready and Bluetooth Smart products. Bluetooth Smart Ready is commonly present in the most recent smartphones, which will allow communication with Bluetooth products like headphones but also Bluetooth Smart products like a smart band. A more comprehensible and orderly overview of these technologies can be found in table 3.1.

3.4 How low energy is achieved

There are a couple of techniques Bluetooth Low Energy uses to achieve low energy consumption. The fact alone that it can run off a 3 volt CR2032 coin cell battery and still retain one year of battery life (Kamath and Lindh, 2010) proves that it is indeed a *true* low energy technology. There are a couple of decisions that made it possible to achieve this low amount of energy consumption, some being the following:

- **Use the radio as little as possible.** Keeping the radio on any wireless technology active requires quite a bit of power, which is also the case with Bluetooth Low Energy. By using the radio as little as possible, Bluetooth Low Energy can significantly increase its battery life. At a set interval, devices will broadcast advertising packets on the three advertising channels, which are explained more in section 5.2. After it advertises, it must listen briefly to any connection requests that follow it, in between these events the radio is simply turned off. The radio and the protocol stack, explained more in depth in chapter 4, have also been designed to be as fast as possible. An advertising event, connection event, reading a single value of data and acknowledging the event can take as little as 3 milliseconds, which is vital in not only keeping the energy consumption to a minimum, but it also helps passively cool the radio.
- **Keep packets very small.** Restricting the packet length to a maximum of 47 bytes allows very rapid packet transfers, which in turn contributes to keeping the radio off as much as possible. A maximum size packet of 47 bytes can be transferred in as little as 0.3 milliseconds. Keeping packets small also lowers

the complexity of the transmitter and receiver, resulting in much lower power consumption than technologies that allow large packets.

Chapter 4

The Bluetooth Low Energy protocol stack

"I NEED TO LOOK UP A QUOTE
FOR THIS CHAPTER"

Jan Van Braeckel

Before diving more into the specifics about communication in Bluetooth Low Energy with data packets and advertising packets it is important to know at least some of the fundamentals of the protocol stack that enables devices to communicate with one another. The protocol stack consists of three main parts: the controller, the host and the application. In this chapter we'll be looking at each of the layers, interfaces, protocols and profiles that make up these three parts, giving some insights as to how Bluetooth Low Energy works on a lower level and how data is delegated from layer to layer to build comprehensible communication. Two of the profiles discussed in this chapter however are a fundamental part of Bluetooth Low Energy and thus have their own chapters dedicated to them in order to go into them deeper.

4.1 Controller

At the lowest level in the Bluetooth Low Energy stack, closest to the actual antenna which broadcasts packets, is the controller. The controller manages everything from sending actual data through the radio and the state of the radio. In the following subsection we'll look at the physical layer, which contains circuitry as well as the link layer which sends commands to the physical layer in order to make the process easier through a shared interface.

4.1.1 Physical Layer

The physical layer is the layer responsible for actually sending data over the air. As explained in section 5.2, the physical layer uses the 2.4 GHz ISM band to send and receive its data. In order to avoid interference from WiFi or other technologies that use this band, Bluetooth Low Energy uses frequency hopping with the following algorithm:

$$\text{newChannel} = (\text{currentChannel} + \text{hopAmount}) \bmod 37$$

The hop amount is communicated with both master and slave once a connection has been established, so this hop amount can be different for every connection made and it shouldn't be assumed that this is a fixed number.

4.1.2 Link Layer

The link layer is the layer that manages the physical layer. It sends the data the physical layer should send and it also keeps the state of the physical layer, the state machine diagram is shown in figure 4.1.

This state machine diagram shows which states the Physical Layer can be in. The Physical Layer can only be in one state at a time, and the diagram shows which states each state can flow into when it is time.

First up is the Standby state, where the Physical Layer doesn't send nor receive any packets. As seen on the diagram, the Standby state can be entered from any other state and can transition into any state except the Connection state.

In the Advertising state, the Physical Layer will only advertise its presence and potential sensor data if configured correctly, this is also known as an advertiser. It also listens to scan requests and can respond to these requests if it wishes to do so. This state can only be entered from the Standby state.

Next up is the Scanning state, where the Physical Layer only listens to advertising packets from other Bluetooth Low Energy devices, this is also known as a Scanner. The Scanning state can only be entered from the Standby state.

Further up is the Initiating state, where the Physical Layer listens to advertising packets from certain devices which have indicated they want to connect to the device, this is also known as an Initiator. The Initiating state can only be entered from the Standby state after the right conditions have been met.

Last but not least is the Connection state. You can see that this state can be entered from both the Advertising and Initiating state. When it is entered from the Advertising state, the device will take on the role of slave or peripheral. When entered from the Initiating state, the device will be seen as the master.




Figure 4.1: State diagram for the Link Layer state machine

4.1.3 Host Controller Interface

The Host Controller Interface provides interfaces so the Host can more easily communicate with the Controller. This was a common architecture in Bluetooth Classic so Bluetooth Low Energy has also adapted this way of working. The Host Controller Interface has to be present on both the Host and Controller level, providing a channel where everything can be routed through. This makes it possible to separate the Host and Controller from one another, which is the case in many mobile devices like a smartphone. The Host part will typically be handled by the smartphone itself, but the actual Bluetooth hardware and Controller are embedded in a different chip. It's also possible to host the entire Bluetooth Low Energy stack on a single chip, which is usually the case with sensors or other low power devices.

There are a couple of different interfaces that are used commonly on different types

of devices. On a device with a lot of power the widely known USB¹ is commonly used but on lower powered devices, a UART² interface is typically provided but an SDIO³ interface can also be used.

4.2 Host

Above the Controller resides the Host, which is built on top of the Host side of the Host Controller Interface. The Host contains quite a lot of different layers, protocols and procedures exposed as some kind of API to allow the Application to easily tap into this functionality.

4.2.1 Host Controller Interface

The Host side of the Host Controller Interface is pretty much the same as the Controller side. It's simply a layer in between the Host and the Controller, where the Host side exposes different interfaces to send data to and the Controller side will then control the hardware to do the right thing and make sure the data gets sent correctly.

4.2.2 Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol

The Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol, or L2CAP in short, is the layer that provides multiplexing capabilities to the Bluetooth Low Energy stack. This multiplexing provides a way to encapsulate different protocols and messages into a single Bluetooth Low Energy data packet and can also convert them back when receiving a packet.

If the data sent by the upper layers in the stack are too large, L2CAP can also split this data into the maximum size of a payload. Naturally, it can also combine multiple fragmented packets it receives and combine them again for processing.

4.2.3 Attribute Protocol

The Attribute Protocol is responsible for exposing a “database” with attributes and their respective values, permissions, handles and unique identifiers. The peripheral that exposes this database is called a server and the device requesting values is called a client. The client can use the attribute handle to request data for the given attribute.

¹Universal Serial Bus

²Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter

³Secure Digital Input Output

4.2.4 Security Manager Protocol

As the name suggests, the Security Manager Protocol handles all of the security for a Bluetooth Low Energy device. It is used for the very common “pairing” action for Bluetooth devices. This action pairs two devices together with randomized keys, allowing easy reconnection in the future. The Security Manager Protocol is responsible for generating and handing out these keys. It is also responsible for encrypting the link if the client requests to do so.

4.2.5 Generic Access Profile

4.2.6 Generic Attribute Profile

The Generic Attribute Profile or GATT is the layer above the Attribute Protocol. While the Attribute Protocol exposes an attribute server, GATT provides a layer of abstraction above these attributes, grouping them into Services and Characteristics. It also enables Services to contain other services. More information about GATT is given in chapter 5.

4.3 Application

At the highest level of the protocol stack is the application. As you’d expect, the application is the actual program that contains logic, calculations and/or the user interface. Some of these applications are standards defined by the Bluetooth Special Interest Group as Profiles, but custom Profiles could also be developed. It would be up to the manufacturer to expose these Profiles to allow interoperability with other devices.

Chapter 5

Generic Access Profile

“I NEED TO LOOK UP A QUOTE
FOR THIS CHAPTER”

Jan Van Braeckel

One of the most basic components in Bluetooth Low Energy is the Generic Access Profile. This profile determines everything from advertising device presence to continuing to a connection. It specifies rules how devices can and must behave in order to interact with one another and imposes some general rules both devices have to follow. It also supports some modes and procedures to secure the data link, like authentication, bonding, authorization and encryption. In this chapter, some general information about the Generic Access Profile like device roles and data channels will be looked at before describing how advertising, scanning, broadcasting and connections work.

5.1 Roles

Every Bluetooth Low Energy device has a one or more roles it can fulfil. Each of these roles have been optimized to perform their task as best it can. First of all there's the Broadcaster role, which only requires a device to have a transmitter and advertising profile. Broadcasters aren't necessarily devices that you can connect to, so manufacturing broadcast only devices could cut down on cost even more as there's no need to have a receiver on these devices. An example of this is a thermostat which constantly broadcasts its temperature.

Secondly there's the Observers, these devices are opposite to broadcasters as they don't require a transmitter. Observers just listen to advertising events and process the data further, without ever making a connection. These devices make perfect gateways for Observers, as a connection will never occur between these two, and the observer can just relay the data to an other collection point.

The last two types of roles are Peripherals and Centrals. These two are the most complex roles as both devices are required to have both a transmitter and receiver. The big difference however is that a Peripheral will always act as a slave in a connection and a Central will always act as a master. This also means that the peripheral will (most of the time) be the least powerful device with the lowest battery life, like a watch or a sensor. It is vital that Peripheral devices don't do the heavy lifting in connections because this would drain the battery too quickly. The Central in a connection is usually a much more powerful device with a better battery life, like a smartphone, tablet or even computers.

5.2 Data channels

Bluetooth Low Energy uses a number of channels in the 2.4 GHz ISM frequency band. Some of these channels are used for advertising and most of them are used for transferring data once a connection has been made. In total 40 channels are used, 3 of which are used for advertising and the remaining 37 are used for connections. The frequency range starts at 2402 and ends at 2483.5, giving each frequency a range of about 2 MHz to operate on. These channels have been carefully picked to have the least interference possible from WiFi on the advertising channels. Since every connection starts with advertisements it is vital that these packets flow without interference. A visualization of these channels can be found in figure 5.1.

In order to make sure that connection packets flow as frequently as possible without having too many dropped packets, Bluetooth Low Energy uses adaptive frequency hopping. This means that at a set interval that the master and slave negotiate with each other, they will switch frequency with a known algorithm, ensuring they'll always end up in the same frequency band. If data doesn't make it through on a given channel,

the frequency hop will occur prematurely and both master and slave will move on to the next frequency and resend the packet(s) that weren't acknowledged.




Figure 5.1: Image visualizing the Bluetooth Low Energy channel spectrum. The green channels are advertising channels while the remaining 37 are used for connections. LL stands for the corresponding number that the link layer assigns to these channels.

5.3 Advertising

As quoted a few times before, advertising is one of the most important procedures in Bluetooth Low Energy. A device can be built solely around advertising data, but no device can work without it. Its main purpose is to broadcast the device is present and active so devices can connect with it if the device is connectable, but it can be used for more than that. A typical device will constantly be advertising its state to other devices. This state can be device name, device address and even an (incomplete) list of available services on the device. The advertising interval differs from device to device, but it is easy to conclude that a device with a faster advertising interval will drain battery more quickly than one with a slow advertising interval.

If the device can't fit enough data into the advertising packet, there is a second option to send more data without requiring a connection. Once a device receives an advertising packet, it can send out a Scan Request, acknowledging that it received the previous advertising packet and is listening for extra packets if there are any. The peripheral can then proceed to send a Scan Response with extra data.

5.3.1 Data format

As discussed in subsection 4.1.2, each packet, be it advertising or data, has the same maximum length and structure but the data part of the packet is filled depending on the type of packet that is sent. The data in an advertising packet is filled with AD Types which take up one byte, and the data for that AD Type which is of variable length. An incomplete list of these AD Types together with their definition is found

below. A full list of AD Types together with their descriptions can be found on the Bluetooth website¹ and Bluetooth Core Specification.

- List of Service Class UUIDs (0x02 - 0x07): Helps with finding out the purpose of a device, can contain a complete or partial list of available services on the device.
- Shortened or Complete Local Name (0x08 & 0x09): Usually gives a friendly name to a device in UTF-8 format.
- Service Data (0x16): Represents a GATT service and the data associated with it, this is in essence how a broadcasting only device transfers data.
- Appearance (0x19): Contains a number representing the external appearance. This can be anything from “Generic Keyring” to “Joystick”.
- Indoor Positioning (0x25): Helps with mapping BLE devices in a building, can contain coordinates, altitude, floor number and location name.
- Advertising Interval (0x1A): How often the device advertises its presence.

5.4 Broadcasting

As discussed in the Advertising section 5.3, it is possible to have a device that only advertises data and isn't connectable, or its main purpose doesn't rely on connections. This is known as a broadcast device and can be used in broadcasting network topologies. Take for example a radio station, it simply broadcasts its data and it doesn't really matter how many people are listening. When broadcasting, you don't care how many devices can be listening to your data and you shouldn't care about security when advertising data. A great example of this are beacons, which broadcast a very simple payload telling they're there. Infinite amount of devices can listen in on this data communication and use it for their own. Once you need to send sensitive data or only want two-way communication, a connection must be established which uses the GATT Profile to exchange data between master and slave. More information on GATT can be found in chapter 6.

¹<https://www.bluetooth.com/specifications/assigned-numbers/generic-access-profile>

5.5 Connections

Once a central is ready to connect to a peripheral, it sends a connection request to the peripheral. The peripheral can then accept or deny the request and once accepted, the connection sequence can begin. During the establishment of a connection, a few key rules are established that will stay in place for the remainder of that connection or until both devices agree to change the connection parameters. These rules can be hop frequency, hop amount, what to do when the connection fails and more. Some of these parameters can be changed during the connection lifetime, an example of this is given in section 5.2, where the devices can agree to avoid a given channel for a certain time because there's too much interference. Once a connection has been established, the peripheral will stop advertising its presence since it can only be connected to one central. For the remainder of that connection, both master and slave will exchange data solely with GATT until the connection terminates. The peripheral will then continue once again to advertise its presence.

Chapter 6

Generic Attribute Profile

“You can have data without information, but you cannot have information without data.”

Daniel Keys Moran

At the core of Bluetooth Low Energy communication, the Generic Attribute Profile or GATT is something a client will use in every data request or data push once a dedicated connection has been set up. It defines the way data is transferred in Bluetooth Low Energy and it uses the Attribute protocol, which is the protocol that stores Services, Characteristics, Descriptors and their respective values. In this chapter the general Attribute Profile and Protocol will be discussed, as well as the different data structures that come in to play. An example of an Attribute server will also be given using a standard SIG-approved Profile, as well as why and how one would implement their own Profile, either because the SIG-approved Profiles don't fit the use case or because the manufacturer wants to make the used technology more private.

6.1 Profiles

Profiles in Bluetooth Low Energy are an abstract representation of how a device can be implemented to fill a specific use case. These profiles are purely an example of how things can be done and these can be extended as you want or you can start from scratch and define your own profile. The Heart Rate profile for example defines that the device uses a minimum of two services: the Heart Rate Service and the optional Device Information Service. Its role is a Heart Rate Sensor and it serves as a collector, collecting data and then broadcasting it or sending it to a connected master device.

6.2 Services

All data in Bluetooth Low Energy is accessed through services. You can compare it to simple Object Oriented principles: a service has multiple characteristics and a characteristic belongs to one single service. An example of this is the Bluetooth SIG defined Heart Rate Service. This document defines the way the Heart Rate Service is used and what characteristics are contained in the service. For example, the Heart Rate Service defines 3 characteristics: Heart Rate Measurement, Body Sensor Location and Heart Rate Control Point, only the Heart Rate Measurement is mandatory to include.

A device can host any number of services which are independent of one another. Every service also has its own security options which you can customize. You can set options like encryption for individual services or the device as a whole.

Apart from multiple primary services, a service can also be contained in a parent service, this is then known as a secondary service. However, the secondary service system isn't encountered that often, but it can be useful at times. Take for example a device which has two temperature sensors, one for the outside temperature and one for the battery temperature. The manufacturer could then choose to include the temperature service for the battery as a secondary service of the battery service, this avoids ambiguity.

Apart from the SIG-defined services, a custom service can be designed if the defined services don't meet the expectations of the use case. It is up to the manufacturer to provide documentation for these services or not.

6.3 Characteristics

The actual data of services is contained in characteristics. Every characteristic belongs to a specific service and has a value attached to it. However, it is not because you can see the characteristic, that you don't need special rights to read or write it. A characteristic can specify certain rights like read, write, read uncommitted (where no

acknowledgement is sent for the write request) and more. This is something that can be ignored, but an error message will be returned anyway if you try to read a characteristic that isn't readable. These permissions can be used to notify the user interface that it shouldn't allow the user to interact with certain characteristics.

If we take our trusty Heart Rate for example, the Heart Rate Measurement, we can look at the data structure and how the value should be parsed. This characteristic also has some "Flags" bits which indicate things like the data type of the value (UINT8 or UINT16) and if certain fields are present or not. Depending on the Flags, there are a couple of values to be found inside this characteristic: Heart Rate Measurement Value, Energy Expended and RR-Interval.

As with services, custom characteristics can also be defined. A template characteristic can be used or the bit structure can be designed from the ground up to accommodate the specific use case.

6.4 Descriptors

If we go down even further, we come to the descriptors. These can optionally accompany characteristics and describe the value of a given characteristic. The descriptors can house very useful data like a description, a valid range of the data and a couple more. If these descriptors are defined, it can greatly help with building up a user interface by only allowing certain values or showing a description for a custom made characteristic, but one shouldn't rely on these descriptors as they're not filled in more often than not.

Chapter 7

Why Bluetooth Low Energy and Internet of Things

"I NEED TO LOOK UP A QUOTE
FOR THIS CHAPTER"

Jan Van Braeckel

The Internet of Things is quickly growing and by the end of 2016 it is expected to have about 6.3 billion connected devices (van der Meulen, 2015), filled with both long-range sensors using LoRa and Sigfox technologies and short-range sensors using WiFi or directly connected with a gateway connected to internet. Why would it then be beneficial to add another spectrum of devices: Bluetooth Low Energy? The main reason for this is because no other very big and open technology fills this spectrum of the market yet. Right now, you can compare wireless IoT technologies against 2 axis: power and range, as seen in figure 7.1. Before Bluetooth Low Energy, there wasn't really any major technology to fill the Low Energy, Low Range spectrum. One can say that Zigbee or other technologies could fill this end of the spectrum, but none of these are as widely adopted as Bluetooth Low Energy is today and interoperability is sometimes a problem with other standards (Colitti, 2014). In this chapter we'll further discuss the use cases Bluetooth Low Energy can fulfil in this Low Power, Low Range spectrum as well as briefly introducing its competitors, before ending with what the future holds for Bluetooth Low Energy.




Figure 7.1: Image showing Bluetooth Low Energy's place in the Range vs Power spectrum.

7.1 Uses of Bluetooth Low Energy

When looking at the adopted Bluetooth Low Energy profiles and services, as discussed in sections 6.1 and 6.2, it is quite easy to find some general use cases that the technology can be used for. Some important use cases are listed below, but are surely not limited to this list:

- **Quantified Self, Sport and Fitness:** Cycling Power, Cycling Speed and Cadence, Running Speed and Cadence, Body Composition, Heart Rate, Weight Scale
- **Health care:** Blood Pressure, Glucose, Continuous Glucose Monitoring, Health Thermometer, Heart Rate and Weight Scale, Pulse Oximeter
- **Location and Proximity:** Find Me, Location and Navigation, Proximity, Indoor Positioning

Of course, once we include non-adopted specifications, the list could go on forever with entries being added every day. For example, there's the "Flower Power"¹ device which measures your plant health by monitoring air temperature, soil temperature, soil moisture, soil electrical conductivity and more. It synchronizes with a smartphone that has the Flower Power application paired to the device and synchronizes the data to an online cloud at set intervals, where the data can then be queried with an API (closed system as seen in figure 1.1). A lot of these services aren't included in the adopted specifications, so extending standard Bluetooth profiles with your own can greatly

¹<http://www.parrot.com/usa/products/flower-power/>

expand the possibilities of the technology. However, if the manufacturer doesn't release the specifications of their device, interoperability with existing apps or integrating that device in other apps will be made very hard.

However, the Flower Power example isn't exactly the best Bluetooth Low Energy use case in existence. For example, what if there's a gardener who plants some of these devices in his/her customers' yards. If the customer isn't home for two weeks, then there's no cellphone to synchronize the data to and the gardener will have to come over to check if the plants need care either way. Some people in the community also thought this was a problem and made a NodeJS gateway application to run on a Raspberry PI or other computer but the product wasn't originally designed for this and the NodeJS gateway leaves a lot to be desired.

7.2 Competitors

As the rules of marketing tell us, there aren't (or there are very little) products that have no significant competitors. While Bluetooth Low Energy is trouncing its competitors pretty well, it is still worthwhile to look at these other technologies and what they do.

7.2.1 ZigBee

ZigBee has been designed from the ground up with automation in mind, as well as Internet of Things. It is not just focused on home automation, but it is also used for smart energy products, health care, equipment monitoring, remote controls and more. Some major technology manufacturers have adopted this technology and are selling home automation kits for people to set up in their homes, like Xiaomi with its Smart Home kit. Another thing ZigBee does very well is mesh networking. Devices can be connected with one another and exchange data without needing additional gateways to fetch the data. However, the problem with ZigBee is that the platform is completely open and changes can be made for it as the manufacturer wishes. So it might happen that two devices with a ZigBee chip aren't even able to communicate with one another.

7.2.2 Z-Wave

Another wireless technology that is built completely for home automation is Z-Wave. The major difference between ZigBee and Z-Wave however, is that the Z-Wave technology isn't open. This is both good and bad because it is not open at all to development, you simply take the technology as it comes and no flexibility is offered beyond that point. For the consumer this is beneficial however, ensuring interoperability between Z-Wave devices, since Z-Wave also features mesh networking.

7.2.3 ANT

ANT is another good example of a Bluetooth Low Energy competitor. This is again a proprietary technology and was built with sensor networks in mind. It features powerful mesh networking as well as star, tree and point-to-point networks, allowing all kind of networks to be used in unison.

7.3 Other wireless technologies

There are quite a bit of other technologies that can be used to power the Internet of Things, discussing all of them would take a paper of its own. Some of these technologies and protocols are X10 (home automation), KNX (home automation) and M-bus (industry). Other technologies, not necessarily in the Low Energy/Low Range spectrum are LoRa (Long Range), GPRS (General Packet Radio Service) and Sigfox.

7.4 Future of Bluetooth Low Energy

Bluetooth Low Energy has been an exciting technology from the start and is gaining popularity at a very rapid rate. While the Bluetooth 4.0 specification brought Bluetooth Low Energy to life, some very much needed updates have made it to the Bluetooth specification, which is now at version 4.2. Bluetooth 4.1 brought improved consumer usability with better co-existence between Bluetooth Low Energy and Bluetooth BR/EDR, as well as increased data exchange rate and more flexibility for the developers. The 4.1 specification also brought IP-based connections to Bluetooth Low Energy. In essence, a device can directly communicate over internet once it is been connected to a gateway that has internet access, like a smartphone.

The newest update, Bluetooth 4.2, brings yet more changes to the Bluetooth specification. It brings improved speeds yet again, support for IPv6, 6LoWPAN (IPv6 over Low power Wireless Personal Area Networks) and improved security.

The Bluetooth SIG is constantly working on Bluetooth Low Energy, and it has been announced that the range would be significantly improved. They also brought mesh networking to the table, just like ZigBee, Z-Wave and ANT. These improvements will bring even more use cases to Bluetooth Low Energy, the main one being home automation.

Chapter 8

Building an Android gateway

"I NEED TO LOOK UP A QUOTE
FOR THIS CHAPTER"

Jan Van Braeckel

With all of the previous chapters, a good idea and base has been formed as to what Bluetooth Low Energy is and why it would be valuable to integrate it into an Internet of Things environment.

This chapter aims to provide a practical approach to answering some of the research questions stated in bold in subsection 1.1.2 through a Proof of Concept. The Proof of Concept concerns building an Android application that can successfully connect, communicate and act as a gateway for Bluetooth Low Energy devices. First of all, the devices that were used in the making of this application will be talked about more in detail before looking at how one would implement a gateway for Android. As this is a prototype, a lot of optimizations have to be made and the method used might not be the most ideal one. Methods on one of the ways to implement this on a large scale will be given in section 9.4.

8.1 Goal

The general goal for this Proof of Concept is to build a rough Android application that can connect with multiple Bluetooth Low Energy devices simultaneously, read data and write data. It should also be possible to register the smartphone as a gateway in an Internet of Things cloud, in this case the AllThingsTalk developer cloud. The application has to create devices it connects to on the platform and add its assets, sensors and actuators. Once the data is read on the device, it should also send that data to the correct asset on the online platform. Once this is achieved, the next goal is to enable two-way communication, not only sending data to the platform but also receiving data and sending it to the right device, sensor or actuator.

8.2 Used devices

Before going in depth on how to implement and use the Bluetooth API for Android and connect the devices to an online platform, it is interesting to go over the devices that were used in the making of this Proof of Concept. In order to quickly prototype and simulate the 'real' life at the same time, a mix of consumer and both development devices were used. This was mainly to speed up the development process as certain development devices allow you to easily write your own application as discussed in section 4.3.

8.2.1 Nexus 5

The most important device for developing the gateway is of course a smartphone. It is important that the smartphone used features at least Bluetooth v4.0, as v4.0 and up started to support Bluetooth Low Energy.

By all means, another device than the Nexus 5 could be used to make a gateway. It was just used because it was the best device to my disposal that suited the use case. The Nexus 5 features Bluetooth v4.0, but using a device that has Bluetooth v4.2 won't limit the possibilities in any way since Bluetooth Low Energy is backwards compatible.

8.2.2 Arduino 101 / Genuino 101

One of the most popular microcontrollers is the Arduino. For this use case, a Genuino 101¹ (in Europe, Arduino 101 in America) was used because it features an Intel Curie System on Chip. This Intel Curie module, amongst a 6 axis gyroscope and accelerator, features a Bluetooth Low Energy module which makes it ideal for fast prototyping

¹<https://www.arduino.cc/en/Main/ArduinoBoard101>

since it is easy to create an application with the Arduino IDE without requiring a lot of set-up.

Besides the board, some additional modules and sensors were used to create an application. For this use case, a very simple application was made based on an existing Heart Rate Monitor application from Arduino².

The adapted application monitors a rotary switch and if connected to a master, it will notify the master every second with the value of the switch. It reports this value through the standard defined Heart Rate service and Heart Rate Measurement characteristic. In a real scenario heart rate wouldn't be measured with a rotary switch, but since this is a prototype it is the easiest solution. To give the device an actuator as well as a sensor, a simple custom service and characteristic were made that just enable or disable a digital device by writing 0 or 1 to the characteristic. The source code of the adapted example can be found in the appendix.

8.2.3 Flower Power

In order to have a consumer device to test with, the Flower Power was used. This is a handy little gadget filled with sensors that connects to an application on a smartphone which monitors your plant. It notifies the user when the plant should be watered, if it needs to be moved because it has too little sunlight, if it is too hot or too cold or if its soil isn't fertile enough.

This device features a lot of custom services and characteristics, so it is a very good test device to find out if it is viable to easily support these kind of devices as well. Luckily the Flower Power has good documentation available³ so that prevented a lot of reverse engineering and extra time and effort.

8.2.4 MetaWear CPRO

For the last device, a MetaWear CPRO from MblentLab⁴ was used. This is another device destined for prototyping and features a large range of sensors. On top of that, it also runs off a coin cell battery, is very small and even allows developers to write their own firmware or expand the board. It features a temperature sensor, light sensor, a 10 axis motion sensor (gyroscope, magnitude, barometer) and the MetaWear CPRO used for this thesis is also fitted with a buzzer.

²<https://www.arduino.cc/en/Tutorial/Genuino101CurieBLEHeartRateMonitor>

³<http://developer.parrot.com/docs/flowerpower/FlowerPower-BLE.pdf>

⁴<https://store.mblentlab.com/product/metawear-cpro/>

8.3 Android programming

In this section, the most important parts in building a gateway for Android will be discussed. Everything from building the Bluetooth layer to the network layer will be talked about and it will give a good overview of how all these APIs can work together. The full source code for the application is available on [GITHUB\(LINK\)](#). This application is a working prototype to bridge Bluetooth Low Energy to the AllThingsTalk developer cloud.

8.3.1 Bluetooth layer

As a starting point, the first goal is to get the Bluetooth layer working. In order to get a kick start, the Bluetooth group exposes an Application Accelerator⁵ that's very easy to use and provides a solid layer above the Android Bluetooth API, making Bluetooth more friendly to use.

However, it is still important to know how the Bluetooth API of Android works and it will save quite a bit of time down the road when debugging a problem.

The starting point for using Bluetooth Low Energy is the `BluetoothManager` class. After checking Bluetooth compatibility and acquiring the right permissions, this is where all communication will start. The `BluetoothManager` can be acquired by requesting the `Context.BLUETOOTH_SERVICE` system service from the hosting activity which will return an object if it is compatible and permissions have been acquired, or `null` if it can't return the manager.

`BluetoothManager` is a high level class used to do overall Bluetooth Management, but it also exposes the `BluetoothAdapter` class. This class allows for device discovery, querying already bonded Bluetooth devices, initiating a connection using a MAC address and it also allows for scanning Bluetooth Low Energy devices.

Once scanning has been initiated, callbacks will be reported on the `ScanCallback` interface which exposes a `ScanResult` object. This object exposes the very important `BluetoothDevice` member. This device can be queried for the device address, which in turn can be used to initiate a connection. Essentially, connecting to the device means getting a reference to its GATT server - described in chapter 6 - which exposes all of the data on the device.

The `BluetoothGatt` class allows exposes everything that has to do with data. It allows discovering services, its characteristics and its descriptors. It also allows reading data, writing data, enabling or disabling notifications, reading RSSI (signal strength) and more. As with the `ScanCallback`, the `BluetoothGatt` class also requires a callback interface to bring data to the application, as all communication in Bluetooth

⁵<https://www.bluetooth.com/develop-with-bluetooth/developer-resources-tools/app-acc-2>

Low Energy happens asynchronously. Once this interface has been implemented, it is simply a task of delegating the data to different parts of the application and displaying it on the screen.

8.3.2 Network layer

The network layer can differ from architecture to architecture, but the steps used to connect to the AllThingsTalk developer cloud will be discussed here. There are two kinds of clients that can interact with this cloud: a gateway and a device. The difference between these two is that a gateway can register and update multiple devices, but a device can only register and update itself. It makes sense that when using a smartphone, it should be configured as a gateway since it can connect with multiple devices.

First things first, the smartphone has to be registered as a gateway before being able to send any data. The first step is to create the gateway as an orphan on the platform by sending a POST request to `https://api.smartliving.io/gateway` with a UID (unique identifier, in this case the MAC address of the smartphone), the name of the gateway (in this case the device name, Nexus 5) and the assets, which allow the gateway to be configured from the online portal.

Once this procedure is finished, the smartphone is an orphan in the platform and has to be claimed within 30 seconds before time-out. In order to check if the gateway has been registered, a POST request can be sent to the same URI and once the device has been claimed the response will contain the gateway id. It is a good idea to then save the gateway id on the device so the claim procedure doesn't have to take place every time the application starts. The gateway can then be used to add assets to the online platform. This can be done by sending a PUT request to `https://api.smartliving.io/device/_deviceId_/asset/_assetId_/`. The `deviceId` and `assetId` are variables that should be carefully chosen so when data is received, the device knows what to do with it. To easily identify where the data should flow, the `deviceId` is determined by the connected Bluetooth device's unique address. The `assetId` is a combination of the Bluetooth Service UUID and the Bluetooth Characteristic UUID.

After adding assets, the only thing left is to send actual data. This is done using the MQTT protocol (Waher, 2015), though HTTP can be used but MQTT is the preferred method. The MQTT protocol is a machine-to-machine protocol optimized for small sensors and mobile devices, which makes it ideal for an Internet of Things infrastructure. It is basically a very simple publisher/subscriber client, where a publisher publishes data to a topic and a subscriber can listen to topics to get updates. So sending and receiving data from the platform can simply be done by sending data to the right topic and subscribing to the topic the client wants to get updates from, in this case all topics are subscribed to in order to receive all asset state updates. In order to make

this communication easier, the Paho Java Client library⁶ was used.

8.3.3 User interface

This part of the application is the most flexible one and doesn't need a whole lot of explanation since everybody will use a different user interface. The interface used for this prototype looks very simple and is in fact pretty easy to implement. This because most of the work has gone into the network and Bluetooth layer, and the user interface is just something to route the data through.

The first screen is a RecyclerView in a SwipeRefreshLayout. The swipe to refresh layout serves to scan, as it is not that efficient to have the device scanning for connections all the time. It will scan for a set amount of seconds and fill the RecyclerView with any devices it finds, displaying the device name, device address and RSSI (signal strength).

Once a device has been chosen, a new fragment opens with more information about the device. At the top of the screen the device name, device address and RSSI are still displayed. The rest of the screen is filled up using an ExpandableListView which displays all Services in the first level and the Characteristics in the second. The component has been modified so it can expand to three levels, this to accommodate extra information about a Characteristic. Once a Characteristic is expanded, the third level opens which displays the data type, properties (read, write, write no response, ...) and three buttons. The buttons serve to toggle notifications, read and write data. Once data has been read, additional info will be displayed in this third level such as the hexadecimal value, string value, decimal value and time stamp of the last time the data was updated.

⁶<https://eclipse.org/paho/clients/java/>

Chapter 9

Discussion

“I NEED TO LOOK UP A QUOTE
FOR THIS CHAPTER”

Jan Van Braeckel

The last chapter of this thesis aims to provide a concise conclusion regarding the posed research questions. Some goals that were achieved will be listed as well as some concerns for the future of Internet of Things and Bluetooth Low Energy. Furthermore, the state of the current work and possible expansions or extra research for future work will be listed.

9.1 Conclusion

This thesis aimed to provide a quick overview of the Bluetooth Low Energy technology, both from a high and low level. Basic information about the technology was given like pros and cons, differences between Bluetooth specifications and how Bluetooth Low Energy exposes data. Some possible use cases were discussed and a couple of reasons were given why it could be interesting to connect Bluetooth Low Energy wearables to an Internet of Things infrastructure. Furthermore, a first demonstrator application was built to prove some of the more abstract research questions.

When looking back at these research questions, some general conclusions can be made. First of all, it is in fact possible to connect Bluetooth Low Energy wearables to the Internet of Things. Raw data from the sensors were able to be aggregated in the AllThingsTalk developer cloud, making it available for further analysis. Secondly, the first demonstrator also proved it is possible to manage this data communication in a real-time scenario. Thanks to the lightweight MQTT protocol, data can be sent very quickly across the network.

The last big question can be answered by a use case presented a couple of times in this thesis, more specifically the use case of elder people living alone in their homes. While sensors could be placed around the house to see where the person is, there may be blind spots and uncertainty of some actions that the person undertakes. Bluetooth Low Energy wearables that are connected with a smartphone could potentially increase data quality by providing accelerometer and gyroscope data, which can be analysed to find out if a person has fallen or not. The wearable could then have some sort of mechanism for the person to indicate they're okay if your data shows something might have gone wrong, like a red light and a button that has to be pressed within a certain amount of time. It could also measure glucose and blood pressure levels, which can indicate that something is going wrong when an unusual spike in numbers occur.

9.2 Concerns

As with every technology, concerns arise once it starts to become popular. Internet of Things and Bluetooth Low Energy are no exception to this and have caused a fair bit of commotion.

First of all is a big problem: security. There are numerous articles being written the last couple of years that would make you wonder if the Internet of Things is even worth it. To give a couple of examples, there is an Internet of Things search engine called Shodan¹ which allows users to browse unsecured internet-enabled devices such as webcams and even baby monitors (Porup, 2015; Stanislav and Beardsley, 2015). Even the US Intelligence Community has placed Internet of Things on their watch list and believe it is a very big threat (Clapper, 2016).

Two hackers have done something that might alarm even more people. They have succeeded in hacking an internet-enabled car, gaining control a lot of the car's functions (Greenberg, 2015). To demonstrate this, the process was documented as a man was driving with an SUV on the highway with the hackers miles away with their laptops. They were able to blast the air conditioning, put pictures on the car display, turn on the radio, spray wind shield wiper fluid and they could even kill the engine of the car. It didn't stop there as they were even able to steer the car while it was in reverse and disable the brakes at low speeds. After this incident, about 1.4 million vehicles were recalled for a software update (Kessler, 2015). Numerous other cars were also exposed for hackers like the Tesla Model S, certain BMW vehicles, Rolls-Royce vehicles and Mini vehicles (Hirsch, 2015).

Bluetooth Low Energy doesn't stay under the radar either, as it is increasingly gaining popularity and thus, gaining suspicion. With a cheap phone and a small application, it is possible to locate devices around the smartphone and see what they are.

¹<https://www.shodan.io/>

This can be an issue because burglars could simply walk around with this application installed and check which houses have a lot of technology worth stealing (Ashford, 2015). China has even banned the use of internet-connected wearable technology as it could give the soldiers' position away during combat (BBC News, 2015).

Some students at the University of Illinois have also used the gyroscope and accelerometer of a smartwatch to detect what the user is typing on an ordinary or laptop keyboard (Wang et al., 2015), something that could be both a privacy and security issue in the future.

9.3 Remarks

While the combination of Bluetooth Low Energy wearables and an Internet of Things infrastructure sounds very promising, there is a big variable that this thesis didn't take into account: battery usage. It was established that real-time data transfer between a Bluetooth Low Energy device and the Internet is in fact possible, but the impact of battery performance wasn't measured. Bluetooth Low Energy was designed to quickly open and close connections, not having a continuous connection active so this could play a large factor in implementation. There are however solutions to this problem. Some Bluetooth Low Energy wearables have an event log that can record events over a long period of time. The smartphone application could periodically connect to the Bluetooth device, read this event log, send it to the cloud and then disconnect again, drastically reducing the time a connection is active.

9.4 Future work

The future of the Internet of Things is still building and is therefore fairly uncertain. Few people can make accurate predictions as to what this technology will bring and how it will revolutionize the future.

A technology quickly mentioned in this paper was 6LoWPAN, basically enabling low energy devices to be IPv6 enabled. Possible future research topics could include investigation about 6LoWPAN and how the battery and data communication are impacted using IPv6 addressing versus connecting to a gateway. Another topic could include research about mesh networking with Bluetooth Low Energy peripherals once the specification is released.

Bibliography

- Ashford, W. (2015). Researchers raise privacy concerns about bluetooth low energy devices. Retrieved March 18, 2016, from <http://www.computerweekly.com/news/4500246790/Researchers-raise-privacy-concerns-about-Bluetooth-Low-Energy-devices>.
- BBC News (2015). China imposes smartwatch and wearable tech army ban. Retrieved March 18, 2016, from <http://www.bbc.com/news/technology-32718266>.
- Bluetooth SIG (2016). Our history. Retrieved May 1, 2016, from <https://www.bluetooth.com/media/our-history>.
- Clapper, J. R. (2016). Statement for the record worldwide threat assessment of the u.s. intelligence community. Technical report, DTIC Document.
- Colitti, W. (2014). Why zigbee has failed in iot interoperability. Retrieved May 1, 2016, from <http://www.waltercolitti.consulting/analysis/why-zigbee-has-failed-in-iot-interoperability/>.
- Faragher, R. and Harle, R. (2014). An analysis of the accuracy of bluetooth low energy for indoor positioning applications. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Technical Meeting of the Satellite Division of the Institute of Navigation (ION GNSS+'14)*.
- Greenberg, A. (2015). Hackers remotely kill a jeep on the highway - with me in it. Retrieved March 19, 2016, from <https://www.wired.com/2015/07/hackers-remotely-kill-jeep-highway/>.
- Gupta, N. (2013). *Inside Bluetooth low energy*. Artech house.
- Heydon, R. (2012). *Bluetooth low energy: the developer's handbook*. Prentice Hall.
- Hirsch, J. (2015). Hackers can now hitch a ride on car computers. Retrieved March 19, 2016, from <http://www.latimes.com/business/autos/la-fi-hy-car-hacking-20150914-story.html>.

- Kamath, S. and Lindh, J. (2010). Measuring bluetooth low energy power consumption. *Texas instruments application note AN092, Dallas*.
- Kessler, A. M. (2015). Fiat chrysler issues recall over hacking. Retrieved March 19, 2016, from <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/07/25/business/fiat-chrysler-recalls-1-4-million-vehicles-to-fix-hacking-issue.html>.
- Nokia Corporation (2006). Nokia introduces wibree technology as open industry initiative. Retrieved May 1, 2016, from <http://company.nokia.com/en/news/press-releases/2006/10/03/nokia-introduces-wibree-technology-as-open-industry-initiative>.
- Pettey, C. and van der Meulen, R. (2012). Gartner's 2012 hype cycle for emerging technologies identifies "tipping point" technologies that will unlock long-awaited technology scenarios. Retrieved May 7, 2016, from <http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/2124315>.
- Porup, J. (2015). How to search the internet of things for photos of sleeping babies. Retrieved March 18, 2016, from <http://arstechnica.co.uk/security/2016/01/how-to-search-the-internet-of-things-for-photos-of-sleeping-babies/>.
- Siekkinen, M., Hienkari, M., Nurminen, J. K., and Nieminen, J. (2012). How low energy is bluetooth low energy? comparative measurements with zigbee/802.15.4. In *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference Workshops (WCNCW), 2012 IEEE*, pages 232–237. IEEE.
- Stanislav, M. and Beardsley, T. (2015). Hacking iot: A case study on baby monitor exposures and vulnerabilities. *Rapid7*.
- Townsend, K., Cufi, C., Akiba, and Davidson, R. (2014). *Getting Started with Bluetooth Low Energy*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
- van der Meulen, R. (2015). Gartner says 6.4 billion connected "things" will be in use in 2016, up 30 percent from 2015. Retrieved March 18, 2016, from <http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/3165317>.
- Waher, P. (2015). *Learning Internet of Things*. Packt Publishing Ltd.
- Wang, H., Lai, T. T.-T., and Roy Choudhury, R. (2015). Mole: Motion leaks through smartwatch sensors. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, pages 155–166. ACM.

List of Figures

1.1	Image demonstrating a closed cloud system versus an open cloud system	8
4.1	State diagram for the Link Layer state machine	20
5.1	Image visualizing the Bluetooth Low Energy channel spectrum	25
7.1	Image showing Bluetooth Low Energy's place in the Range vs Power spectrum.	32

List of Tables

3.1	Compatibility table of Bluetooth specifications	16
-----	---	----