Differential Equations in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics

VII. Characteristics of geostrophic current component

Jang-Geun Choi

Center for Ocean Engineering
University of New Hampshire

Apr, 2025

Recap

Original linear NSE

$$if\vec{u} = -g\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \vec{n}} + A_z\frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial z^2} \quad \text{(1a)}$$

$$A_z\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{\vec{\tau}^s}{\rho_0} \quad \text{(1b)}$$

$$A_z\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=-h} = \frac{\vec{\tau}^b}{\rho_0} \equiv \gamma\vec{u} \quad \text{(1c)}$$

where $\vec{u} = u + iv$

Vertical averaged SWE

$$if\vec{u} = -g\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \vec{n}} + \frac{\vec{\tau}^s}{\rho_0 h} - \frac{\gamma}{h}\vec{u} \quad \text{(2)}$$

where $\vec{u} = \bar{u} + i\bar{v}$

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{h}\int_{-h}^{0} u\, dz$$

$$\bar{v} = \frac{1}{h}\int_{-h}^{0} v\, dz$$

Recap

Surface Ekman current

NSE

$$if\vec{u} = A_z \frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial z^2} \quad (3a)$$

$$A_z \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{\vec{\tau}^s}{\rho_0} \quad (3b)$$

SWE

$$h\vec{u} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\vec{\tau}^s}{\rho_0 f} \quad (4)$$

Recap

Bottom Ekman current

NES

$$if\vec{u} = -g\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial\vec{n}} + A_z\frac{\partial^2\vec{u}}{\partial z^2}$$
(5a)

$$A_z\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=-h} = \frac{\vec{\tau}^b}{\rho_0} \equiv \gamma\vec{u}$$
(5b)

SWE

$$h\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{i}\frac{\vec{\tau}^b}{\rho_0 f} \equiv -\frac{1}{i}\frac{\gamma}{f}\vec{u}_g$$
(6)

Recap

Several physical assumptions are mathematically problematic...

2006, Dec 10-Dec 19

General values for the parameters:
$A_h \approx 10^2\, m^2\, s^{-1}$, $A_z \approx 1\, m^2\, s^{-1}$, and $f \approx 10^{-4}\, s^{-1}$.

A bit advanced topics for the Ekman current

How to estimate the Ekman depth (or A_z) for surface layer?
Simple parameterization given by

$$D_e = \kappa \frac{u^*}{f} \tag{7}$$

where $u^* = \sqrt{|\vec{\tau}^s|/\rho_0}$ and $\kappa = 0.1 - 0.4$ (Csanady, 1981;
Cushman-Roisin and Beckers, 2011).
Inverse modeling approach using simple curve-fitting (Cole et al.,
2017).
**Considering time-depndency (inertia) and varying vertical
eddy viscosity A_z?**
Wenegrat and McPhaden, 2016; Elipot and Gille, 2009;
Constantin, Paldor, and Dritschel, 2020; Lilly and Elipot, 2021
Relation with mixed layer depth
Brink, 2023 (see Section 3.5)

Governing equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla u - f_0 v = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot (A_h \nabla u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(A_z \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right) \quad \text{(8a)}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla v + f_0 u = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + \nabla \cdot (A_h \nabla v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(A_z \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right) \quad \text{(8b)}$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla w = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + + \nabla \cdot (A_h \nabla w) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(A_z \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right) - g \quad \text{(8c)}$$

Constant density

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{(8d)}$$

Taylor-Proudman theorem (Proudman, 1916; Taylor, 1917)

For flows governed by (7), velocities are depth-independent:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0. \quad \text{(9)}$$

Taylor-Proudman theorem

$$v = \frac{g}{f_0}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \tag{10a}$$

$$u = -\frac{g}{f_0}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \tag{10b}$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\int_{-h}^{\eta} u\,dz\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\int_{-h}^{\eta} v\,dz\right) = 0 \tag{10c}$$

Substituting (9a) and (9b) into (9c) yields

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}_{\equiv \frac{dH}{dt}} = 0 \tag{11}$$

where $H = \eta + h$ representing height of water column and note that $\partial h/\partial t = 0$. What does (10) mean?

Eulerian and Lagrangian description

There are two ways to observe a object: Lagrangian and Eulerian. To be specific, mathematical descriptions for passive tracer transport are given by

Eulerian description

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{12}$$

Lagrangian description

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = 0 \quad \text{(13a)} \qquad \frac{dX}{dt} = u \quad \text{(13b)} \qquad \frac{dY}{dt} = v. \quad \text{(13c)}$$

The object is not different—just a different point of view!

Eulerian and Lagrangian description

A simple numerical experiment

Eulerian and Lagrangian description

A simple numerical experiment

Eulerian and Lagrangian description

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$(H = \eta + h)$$

that is

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \qquad \therefore H = H|_{t=0} \tag{15}$$

and represents H is not changed in the Lagrangian aspect (trajectory).

For constant depth, (13) simplifies to

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \boxed{u\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}} = 0, \qquad \therefore \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\left(-\frac{g}{f_0}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{g}{f_0}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} = 0$$

Hamilton system

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Y} \tag{16a}$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial X} \tag{16b}$$

In case of our transport problem, $H = (g/f_0)\eta$. This is the so-called "Hamiltonian system". Once (15) and $\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial \mathcal{H}/\partial t = 0$ (time-independent $\mathcal{H}$),

$$\frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{17}$$

so $\mathcal{H}$ is not changed in the Lagrangian aspect.

Taylor column

If $\eta \ll h$, (13) simplifies to

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0. \qquad (18)$$

This means that h is not changed in the Lagrangian aspect (flows follow isobath) and can be considered as the governing equation of the "Taylor column" phenomenon.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7GGfsW7gOLI

Summary

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 0$$

is $dH/dt = 0$ in the Lagrangian aspect and means that H experienced by a water mass (following trajectory) is not changed.

For flows governed by geostrophic balance over f-plane with homogeneous density,

1. Flows is depth-independent "barotropic" current (Taylor-Proudman theorem).
2. In the Lagrangian aspect, height of water column ($\eta + h$) is not changed.
3. If $\eta \ll h$, flows follow isobath (Taylor column).

References I

📄 Brink, Kenneth H (2023). *Physical oceanography of continental shelves*. Princeton University Press.

📄 Cole, Sylvia T et al. (2017). "Ice and ocean velocity in the Arctic marginal ice zone: Ice roughness and momentum transfer". In: *Elem Sci Anth* 5, p. 55.

📄 Constantin, Adrian, Nathan Paldor, and David Dritschel (2020). "The Ekman spiral for piecewise-uniform viscosity". In: *Ocean Science* 16.5, pp. 1089–1093.

📄 Csanady, Gabriel Tibor (1981). "Circulation in the coastal ocean". In: *Advances in geophysics*. Vol. 23. Elsevier, pp. 101–183.

📄 Cushman-Roisin, Benoit and Jean-Marie Beckers (2011). *Introduction to geophysical fluid dynamics: physical and numerical aspects*. Vol. 101. Academic press.

References II

Elipot, Shane and Sarah T Gille (2009). "Estimates of wind energy input to the Ekman layer in the Southern Ocean from surface drifter data". In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 114.C6.

Lilly, Jonathan M and Shane Elipot (2021). "A unifying perspective on transfer function solutions to the unsteady Ekman problem". In: *Fluids* 6.2, p. 85.

Proudman, Joseph (1916). "On the motion of solids in a liquid possessing vorticity". In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character* 92.642, pp. 408–424.

Taylor, Geoffrey Ingram (1917). "Motion of solids in fluids when the flow is not irrotational". In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character* 93.648, pp. 99–113.

References III

📄 Wenegrat, Jacob O and Michael J McPhaden (2016). "A simple analytical model of the diurnal Ekman layer". In: *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 46.9, pp. 2877–2894.