

ECE3002I/ITP30002 Operating System

Memory Management

(OSC: Ch. 8)



This lecture note is taken from the instructor's resource of Operating System Concept, 9/e and then partly edited/revised by Shin Hong.

Background

- A program must be brought (from disk) into memory and placed within a process for it to be run
- Main memory and registers are only storage CPU can access directly
- Memory unit only sees a stream of addresses + read requests, or address + data and write requests
- Register access in one CPU clock (or less)
- Main memory can take many cycles, causing CPU a **stall**
- **Cache** sits between main memory and CPU registers
- Protection of memory required to ensure correct operation

Base and Limit Registers

- A pair of **base** and **limit registers** define the logical address space
- CPU must check every memory access generated in user mode to be sure it is between base and limit for that user




Address Binding

- Programs on disk, ready to be brought into memory to execute form an **input queue**
 - Without support, must be loaded into address 0000
- Inconvenient to have first user process physical address always at 0000
 - How can it not be?
- Further, addresses represented in different ways at different stages of a program's life
 - Source code addresses usually symbolic
 - Compiled code addresses **bind** to relocatable addresses
 - i.e. "14 bytes from beginning of this module"
 - Linker or loader will bind relocatable addresses to absolute addresses
 - i.e. 74014
 - Each binding maps one address space to another

Binding of Instructions and Data to Memory

- Address binding of instructions and data to memory addresses happen at 3 different stages
 - **Compile time:** If memory location known a priori, **absolute code** can be generated; must recompile code if starting location changes
 - **Load time:** Must generate **relocatable code** if memory location is not known at compile time
 - **Execution time:** Binding delayed until run time if the process can be moved during its execution from one memory segment to another
 - Need hardware support for address maps (e.g., base and limit registers)



Logical vs. Physical Address Space


- The concept of a logical address space that is bound to a separate **physical address space** is central to proper memory management
 - **Logical address** – generated by the CPU; also referred to as **virtual address**
 - **Physical address** – address seen by the memory unit
- Logical and physical addresses are the same in compile-time and load-time address-binding schemes; logical (virtual) and physical addresses differ in execution-time address-binding scheme
- **Logical address space** is the set of all logical addresses generated by a program
- **Physical address space** is the set of all physical addresses generated by a program

Memory-Management Unit (MMU)

- HW device that at run time maps virtual to physical address
 - Many methods possible, covered in the rest of this chapter
- To start, consider simple scheme where the value in the relocation register is added to every address generated by a user process at the time it is sent to memory
 - Base register now called **relocation register**
 - MS-DOS on Intel 80x86 used 4 relocation registers
- The user program deals with *logical* addresses; it never sees the *real* physical addresses
 - Execution-time binding occurs when reference is made to location in memory
 - Logical address bound to physical addresses

Dynamic relocation using a relocation register

- Routine is not loaded until it is called
- Better memory-space utilization; unused routine is never loaded
- All routines kept on disk in relocatable load format
- Useful when large amounts of code are needed to handle infrequently occurring cases
- No special support from the operating system is required
 - Implemented through program design
 - OS can help by providing libraries to implement dynamic loading



Dynamic Linking

- **Static linking**: system libraries and program code combined by the loader into the binary program image
- Dynamic linking: linking postponed until execution time
 - Small piece of code, **stub**, used to locate the appropriate memory-resident library routine
 - Stub replaces itself with the address of the routine, and executes the routine
 - Operating system checks if routine is in processes' memory address
 - If not in address space, add to address space
 - Dynamic linking is particularly useful for libraries
 - System also known as **shared libraries**
 - Consider applicability to patching system libraries
 - Versioning may be needed


Swapping

- A process can be **swapped** temporarily out of memory to a backing store, and then brought back into memory to continue
 - Total physical memory space of processes can exceed physical memory
- **Backing store**: fast disk large enough to accommodate copies of all memory images for all users; must provide direct access to these memory images
- **Roll out, roll in**: swapping variant used for priority-based scheduling algorithms; lower-priority process is swapped out so higher-priority process can be loaded and executed
- Major part of swap time is transfer time; total transfer time is directly proportional to the amount of memory swapped
- System maintains a **ready queue** of ready-to-run processes which have memory images on disk

Swapping (Cont.)

- Does the swapped out process need to swap back in to same physical addresses?
- Depends on address binding method
 - Plus consider pending I/O to/from process memory space
- Modified versions of swapping are found on many systems (i.e., UNIX, Linux, and Windows)
 - Swapping normally disabled
 - Started if more than threshold amount of memory allocated
 - Disabled again once memory demand reduced below threshold

Schematic View of Swapping



Context Switch Time including Swapping

- If next processes to be put on CPU is not in memory, need to swap out a process and swap in target process
- Context switch time can then be very high
- 100MB process swapping to hard disk with transfer rate of 50MB/sec
 - Swap out time of 2000 ms
 - Plus swap in of same sized process
 - Total context switch swapping component time of 4000ms (4 seconds)
- Can reduce if reduce size of memory swapped – by knowing how much memory really being used
 - System calls to inform OS of memory use via `request_memory()` and `release_memory()`

Context Switch Time and Swapping (Cont.)


- Other constraints as well on swapping
 - Pending I/O – can't swap out as I/O would occur to wrong processes
 - Or always transfer I/O to kernel space, then to I/O device
 - Known as **double buffering**, adds overhead
- Standard swapping not used in modern operating systems
 - But modified version common
 - Swap only when free memory extremely low

Contiguous Allocation

- Main memory must support both OS and user processes
- Limited resource, must allocate efficiently
- Contiguous allocation is one early method
- Main memory usually into two **partitions**:
 - Resident operating system, usually held in low memory with interrupt vector
 - User processes then held in high memory
 - Each process contained in single contiguous section of memory

Contiguous Allocation (Cont.)


- Relocation registers used to protect user processes from each other, and from changing operating-system code and data
 - Base register contains value of smallest physical address
 - Limit register contains range of logical addresses – each logical address must be less than the limit register
 - MMU maps logical address *dynamically*
 - Can then allow actions such as kernel code being **transient** and kernel changing size



Multiple-partition allocation

- Multiple-partition allocation

- Degree of multiprogramming limited by number of partitions
- **Variable-partition** sizes for efficiency (sized to a given process' needs)
- **Hole** – block of available memory; holes of various size are scattered throughout memory
- When a process arrives, it is allocated memory from a hole large enough to accommodate it
- Process exiting frees its partition, adjacent free partitions combined
- Operating system maintains information about:
 - a) allocated partitions b) free partitions (hole)



Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

How to satisfy a request of size n from a list of free holes?

- **First-fit**: Allocate the **first** hole that is big enough
- **Best-fit**: Allocate the **smallest** hole that is big enough; must search entire list, unless ordered by size
 - Produces the smallest leftover hole
- **Worst-fit**: Allocate the **largest** hole; must also search entire list
 - Produces the largest leftover hole
- It is empirically found that First-fit and best-fit better than worst-fit in terms of speed and storage utilization

Fragmentation


- **External Fragmentation**: total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous
- **Internal Fragmentation**: allocated memory may be slightly larger than requested memory; this size difference is memory internal to a partition, but not being used
- First fit analysis reveals that given N blocks allocated, $0.5N$ blocks lost to fragmentation
 - $1/3$ may be unusable -> **50-percent rule**

Fragmentation (Cont.)


- Reduce external fragmentation by **compaction**
 - Shuffle memory contents to place all free memory together in one large block
 - Compaction is possible *only* if relocation is dynamic, and is done at execution time
 - I/O problem
 - Latch job in memory while it is involved in I/O
 - Do I/O only into OS buffers
- Now consider that backing store has same fragmentation problems

Segmentation


- Memory-management scheme that supports programmer's view of memory
- A program is a collection of segments
 - A segment is a logical unit such as:
 - **main program**
 - **procedure**
 - **function**
 - **method**
 - **object**
 - **local variables, global variables**
 - **common block**
 - **stack**
 - **symbol table**
 - **arrays**



Logical View of Segmentation



user space




physical memory space

Segmentation Architecture

- A logical address consists of a two-tuple: $\langle \text{segment-number}, \text{offset} \rangle$
- **Segment table** maps two-dimensional physical addresses;
 - **Segment-table base register (STBR)** points to the segment table's location in memory
 - **Segment-table length register (STLR)** indicates number of segments used by a program: segment number **s** is legal if **s** < **STLR**
 - Each table entry has:
 - **base**: starting physical address where the segments reside in memory
 - **limit**: the length of the segment

Segmentation Hardware



Segmentation Architecture (Cont.)


- Protection
 - With each entry in segment table associate:
 - validation bit = 0 \Rightarrow illegal segment
 - read/write/execute privileges
- Protection bits associated with segments; code sharing occurs at segment level
- Since segments vary in length, memory allocation is a dynamic storage-allocation problem

Paging

- Divide physical memory into fixed-sized blocks called **frames**
 - Size is power of 2, between 512 bytes and 16 Mbytes
 - Keep track of all free frames
 - Avoids external fragmentation and problem of varying sized memory chunks
- Divide logical memory into blocks of same size called **pages**
- Set up a **page table** to translate logical to physical addresses
 - To run a program of size **N** pages, need to find **N** free frames and load program
 - Backing store likewise split into pages
 - Still have Internal fragmentation


Address Translation Scheme

- Address generated by CPU is divided into:
 - **Page number** (p) – used as an index into a **page table** which contains base address of each page in physical memory
 - **Page offset** (d) – combined with base address to define the physical memory address that is sent to the memory unit




- For given logical address space 2^m and page size 2^n

Paging Hardware



Paging Example




$n=2$ and $m=4$ 32-byte memory and 4-byte pages

Paging (Cont.)


- Calculating internal fragmentation
 - Page size = 2,048 bytes
 - Process size = 72,766 bytes
 - 35 pages + 1,086 bytes
 - Internal fragmentation of $2,048 - 1,086 = 962$ bytes
 - Worst case fragmentation = 1 frame – 1 byte
 - On average fragmentation = 1 / 2 frame size
 - So small frame sizes desirable?
 - But each page table entry takes memory to track
 - Page sizes growing over time
 - Solaris supports two page sizes – 8 KB and 4 MB
- Process view and physical memory now very different
- By implementation process can only access its own memory

Free Frames



(a)

Before allocation



(b)

After allocation

Implementation of Page Table

- Page table is kept in main memory
 - **Page-table base register (PTBR)** points to the page table
 - **Page-table length register (PTLR)** indicates size of the page table
 - In this scheme every data/instruction access requires two memory accesses
 - One for the page table and one for the data / instruction
- The two memory access problem can be solved by the use of a special fast-lookup hardware cache called **associative memory** or **translation look-aside buffers (TLBs)**

Implementation of Page Table (Cont.)

- Some TLBs store **address-space identifiers (ASIDs)** in each TLB entry: uniquely identifies each process to provide address-space protection for that process
 - Otherwise need to flush at every context switch
- TLBs is typically small (64 to 1,024 entries)
- On a TLB miss, value is loaded into the TLB for faster access next time
 - Replacement policies must be considered
 - Some entries can be **wired down** for permanent fast access


Associative Memory

- Associative memory – parallel search

Page #	Frame #

- Address translation (p, d)
 - If p is in associative register, get frame # out
 - Otherwise get frame # from page table in memory

Paging Hardware With TLB




Effective Access Time

- Associative Lookup = ε time unit
 - Can be $< 10\%$ of memory access time
- Hit ratio = α
 - Hit ratio – percentage of times that a page number is found in the associative registers; ratio related to number of associative registers
- Consider $\alpha = 80\%$, $\varepsilon = 2$ ns for TLB search, 100 ns for memory access
- **Effective Access Time (EAT)**
 - = $(100 \text{ ns} + \varepsilon) \alpha + (200 \text{ ns} + \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha)$
 - = $200 \text{ ns} + \varepsilon - 100 \text{ ns} \alpha$
 - Consider $\alpha = 80\%$, $\varepsilon = 10$ ns for TLB search, 100ns for memory access: $\text{EAT} = 0.80 \times 110 + 0.20 \times 210 = 130\text{ns}$
 - Consider more realistic hit ratio $\alpha = 99\%$, $\varepsilon = 10$ ns for TLB search, 100 ns for memory access: $\text{EAT} = 0.99 \times 110 + 0.01 \times 210 = 111\text{ns}$

Memory Protection

- Memory protection implemented by associating protection bit with each frame to indicate if read-only or read-write access is allowed
 - Can also add more bits to indicate page execute-only, and so on
- **Valid-invalid** bit attached to each entry in the page table:
 - “valid” indicates that the associated page is in the process’ logical address space, and is thus a legal page
 - “invalid” indicates that the page is not in the process’ logical address space
 - Or use **page-table length register (PTLR)**
- Any violations result in a trap to the kernel

Valid (v) or Invalid (i) Bit In A Page Table



Shared Pages


- **Shared code**

- One copy of read-only (**reentrant**) code shared among processes (i.e., text editors, compilers, window systems)
- Similar to multiple threads sharing the same process space
- Also useful for interprocess communication if sharing of read-write pages is allowed

- **Private code and data**

- Each process keeps a separate copy of the code and data
- The pages for the private code and data can appear anywhere in the logical address space

Shared Pages Example




Structure of the Page Table

- Memory structures for paging can get huge using straight-forward methods
 - Consider a 32-bit logical address space as on modern computers
 - Page size of 4 KB (2^{12})
 - Page table would have 1 million entries ($2^{32} / 2^{12}$)
 - If each entry is 4 bytes -> 4 MB of physical address space / memory for page table alone
 - That amount of memory used to cost a lot
 - Don't want to allocate that contiguously in main memory
- Hierarchical Paging
- Hashed Page Tables
- Inverted Page Tables

Hierarchical Page Tables


- Break up the logical address space into multiple page tables
- A simple technique is a two-level page table
- We then page the page table

Two-Level Page-Table Scheme




Two-Level Paging Example

- A logical address (on 32-bit machine with 1K page size) is divided into:
 - a page number consisting of 22 bits
 - a page offset consisting of 10 bits
- Since the page table is paged, the page number is further divided into:
 - a 12-bit page number
 - a 10-bit page offset
- Thus, a logical address is as follows:




- where p_1 is an index into the outer page table, and p_2 is the displacement within the page of the inner page table
- Known as **forward-mapped page table**

Address-Translation Scheme



64-bit Logical Address Space

- Even two-level paging scheme not sufficient
- If page size is 4 KB (2^{12})
 - Then page table has 2^{52} entries
 - If two level scheme, inner page tables could be 2^{10} 4-byte entries



- Outer page table has 2^{42} entries or 2^{44} bytes
- One solution is to add a 2nd outer page table
- But in the following example the 2nd outer page table is still 2^{34} bytes in size
 - And possibly 4 memory access to get to one physical memory location

Three-level Paging Scheme


outer page	inner page	offset
p_1	p_2	d
42	10	12

2nd outer page	outer page	inner page	offset
p_1	p_2	p_3	d
32	10	10	12

Hashed Page Tables

- Common in address spaces > 32 bits
- The virtual page number is hashed into a page table
 - This page table contains a chain of elements hashing to the same location
- Each element contains (1) the virtual page number (2) the value of the mapped page frame (3) a pointer to the next element
- Virtual page numbers are compared in this chain searching for a match
 - If a match is found, the corresponding physical frame is extracted
- Variation for 64-bit addresses is **clustered page tables**
 - Similar to hashed but each entry refers to several pages (such as 16) rather than 1
 - Especially useful for **sparse** address spaces (where memory references are non-contiguous and scattered)


Hashed Page Table



Inverted Page Table


- Rather than each process having a page table and keeping track of all possible logical pages, track all physical pages
- One entry for each real page of memory
- Entry consists of the virtual address of the page stored in that real memory location, with information about the process that owns that page
- Decreases memory needed to store each page table, but increases time needed to search the table when a page reference occurs
- Use hash table to limit the search to one — or at most a few — page-table entries
 - TLB can accelerate access
- But how to implement shared memory?
 - One mapping of a virtual address to the shared physical address

Inverted Page Table Architecture



Intel x86-64

- 64 bits is ginormous (> 16 exabytes)
- In practice only implement 48 bit addressing
 - Page sizes of 4 KB, 2 MB, 1 GB
 - Four levels of paging hierarchy
- Can also use PAE so virtual addresses are 48 bits and physical addresses are 52 bits



ARM Architecture

- Dominant mobile platform chip (e.g., Apple iOS and Google Android devices)
- Modern, energy efficient, 32-bit CPU
- 4 KB and 16 KB pages
- 1 MB and 16 MB pages (termed **sections**)
- One-level paging for sections, two-level for smaller pages
- Two levels of TLBs
 - Outer level has two micro TLBs (one data, one instruction)
 - Inner is single main TLB
 - First inner is checked, on miss outers are checked, and on miss page table walk performed by CPU

