

# 논리식 집합

귀납적 정의

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f & \rightarrow & T \mid F \\ & | & \neg f \\ & | & f \wedge f \\ & | & f \vee f \\ & | & f \Rightarrow f \end{array}$$

# 논리식 의미

조립식 정의 compositional definition

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket T \rrbracket &= \text{true} \\ \llbracket F \rrbracket &= \text{false} \\ \llbracket \neg f \rrbracket &= \text{not} \llbracket f \rrbracket \\ \llbracket f_1 \wedge f_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket f_1 \rrbracket \text{ andalso } \llbracket f_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket f_1 \vee f_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket f_1 \rrbracket \text{ orelse } \llbracket f_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket f_1 \Rightarrow f_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket f_1 \rrbracket \text{ implies } \llbracket f_2 \rrbracket\end{aligned}$$

임의의 논리식  $f$ 의 의미가 정의 된 셈.

$\llbracket (T \wedge (T \vee F)) \Rightarrow F \rrbracket$   
=  $\llbracket T \wedge (T \vee F) \rrbracket$  implies  $\llbracket F \rrbracket$   
= ( $\llbracket T \rrbracket$  andalso  $\llbracket T \vee F \rrbracket$ ) implies false  
= (true andalso ( $\llbracket T \rrbracket$  orelse  $\llbracket F \rrbracket$ )) implies false  
= (true andalso (true orelse false)) implies false  
= false

# 어떤 집합의 정의

쌍  $(\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}, f)$ 들의 집합

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{(\Gamma, T)} \quad \overline{(\Gamma, f)} \quad f \in \Gamma \\
 \\
 \frac{(\Gamma, f_1) \quad (\Gamma, f_2)}{(\Gamma, f_1 \wedge f_2)} \qquad \frac{(\Gamma, f_1 \wedge f_2)}{(\Gamma, f_1)} \\
 \\
 \frac{(\Gamma, f_1)}{(\Gamma, f_1 \vee f_2)} \qquad \frac{(\Gamma, f_1 \vee f_2) \quad (\Gamma, f_2 \vee f_3) \quad (\Gamma, f_3)}{(\Gamma, f_3)} \\
 \\
 \frac{(\Gamma \cup \{f_1\}, f_2)}{(\Gamma, f_1 \Rightarrow f_2)} \qquad \frac{(\Gamma, f_1 \Rightarrow f_2) \quad (\Gamma, f_1)}{(\Gamma, f_2)} \\
 \\
 \frac{(\Gamma \cup \{f\}, F)}{(\Gamma, \neg f)} \qquad \frac{(\Gamma, f) \quad (\Gamma, \neg f)}{(\Gamma, F)}
 \end{array}$$

# 형식논리의 표기법으로

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash T} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash f} \quad f \in \Gamma$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F}{\Gamma \vdash f} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg f}{\Gamma \vdash f}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash f_2}{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \wedge f_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \wedge f_2}{\Gamma \vdash f_1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1}{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \vee f_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \vee f_2 \quad \Gamma \cup \{f_1\} \vdash f_3 \quad \Gamma \cup \{f_2\} \vdash f_3}{\Gamma \vdash f_3}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{f_1\} \vdash f_2}{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \Rightarrow f_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \Rightarrow f_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash f_1}{\Gamma \vdash f_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{f\} \vdash F}{\Gamma \vdash \neg f}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f \quad \Gamma \vdash \neg f}{\Gamma \vdash F}$$

## 또 다른 시선: 증명들의 집합을 정의

증명들의 집합을 만드는 귀납규칙 (“증명규칙” inference rules).

- ▶ 예를 들어, 증명규칙

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash f_2}{\Gamma \vdash f_1 \wedge f_2}$$

은 증명을 만드는 귀납 규칙

- ▶  $\Gamma \vdash f_1$ 와  $\Gamma \vdash f_2$ 의 증명들을 가지고  $\Gamma \vdash f_1 \wedge f_2$ 의 증명을 만든다.

# 증명 나무

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\{p \rightarrow \neg p, p\} \vdash p} \qquad \frac{\frac{}{\{p \rightarrow \neg p, p\} \vdash p \rightarrow \neg p} \quad \frac{}{\{p \rightarrow \neg p, p\} \vdash p}}{\{p \rightarrow \neg p, p\} \vdash \neg p} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\{p \rightarrow \neg p, p\} \vdash F} \\
 \hline
 \{p \rightarrow \neg p\} \vdash \neg p
 \end{array}$$