# Programming Languages

2nd edition
Tucker and Noonan

Chapter 3
Lexical and Syntactic Analysis

Syntactic sugar causes cancer of the semicolon.

A. Perlis

#### Contents

- 3.1 Chomsky Hierarchy
- 3.2 Lexical Analysis
- 3.3 Syntactic Analysis

## 3.1 Chomsky Hierarchy

Regular grammar -- least powerful

Context-free grammar (BNF)

Context-sensitive grammar

Unrestricted grammar

# Regular Grammar

Simplest; least powerful

Equivalent to:

- Regular expression
- Finite-state automaton

Right regular grammar:  $\omega \in T^*$ ,  $B \in N$ 

$$A \rightarrow \omega B$$

$$A \rightarrow \omega$$

# Example

$$Integer \rightarrow 0 \ Integer \ | \ 1 \ Integer \ | \ ... \ | \ 9 \ Integer \ |$$
 
$$0 \ | \ 1 \ | \ ... \ | \ 9$$

### Regular Grammars

Left regular grammar: equivalent

Used in construction of tokenizers

Less powerful than context-free grammars

Not a regular language

```
\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}
```

i.e., cannot balance: (), {}, begin end

#### **Context-free Grammars**

BNF a stylized form of CFG

Equivalent to a pushdown automaton

For a wide class of unambiguous CFGs, there are table-driven, linear time parsers

#### **Context-Sensitive Grammars**

#### **Production:**

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta \qquad |\alpha| \leq |\beta|$$

$$\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup T)^*$$

ie, lefthand side can be composed of strings of terminals and nonterminals

### Undecidable Properties of CSGs

Given a string  $\omega$  and grammar G:  $\omega \in L(G)$ 

L(G) is non-empty

Defn: *Undecidable* means that you cannot write a computer program that is guaranteed to halt to decide the question for all  $\omega \in L(G)$ .

#### **Unrestricted Grammar**

### Equivalent to:

- Turing machine
- von Neumann machine
- *− C++, Java*

That is, can compute any computable function.