

Divide and Conquer Approach for the Closest Pair of Points Problem

1. Problem Statement

Given a set of n points in a two-dimensional plane, the **Closest Pair of Points** problem is to find the pair of points that are closest to each other in terms of Euclidean distance.

Formally, let the set of points be $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ where each $p_i = (x_i, y_i)$. The task is to compute:

$$\min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d(p_i, p_j)$$

where $d(p_i, p_j) = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2}$ is the Euclidean distance.

2. Motivation

- A brute-force approach computes the distance between every pair of points, which requires $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ time.
- The divide and conquer approach reduces the time complexity to $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.

3. Divide and Conquer Strategy

The algorithm follows the general paradigm of divide, conquer, and combine.

Step 1: Preprocessing

- Sort the points according to their x -coordinates. Let this array be P_x .
- Also, prepare a copy sorted by y -coordinates, denoted P_y . This will be useful in the combine step.

Step 2: Divide

- Divide the set of points into two halves by a vertical line passing through the median x -coordinate.
- Let the left subset be Q and the right subset be R .

Step 3: Conquer

- Recursively compute the closest pair distance δ_Q in Q .
- Recursively compute the closest pair distance δ_R in R .
- Let $\delta = \min(\delta_Q, \delta_R)$.

Step 4: Combine (Cross-Pair Check)

- The closest pair might lie across the two halves.
- Construct a strip S of width 2δ centered at the dividing line, containing all points within distance δ from it.
- Sort the points in S by their y -coordinates.
- For each point in S , compute its distance only with the next at most 7 points in the sorted y -order.

Step 5: Result

- The minimum distance among δ_Q , δ_R , and distances found in the strip S is the final answer.

4. Worked Example

Consider the set of points:

$$P = \{(2, 3), (12, 30), (40, 50), (5, 1), (12, 10), (3, 4)\}$$

1. Sort points by x : $[(2, 3), (3, 4), (5, 1), (12, 30), (12, 10), (40, 50)]$.
2. Divide into two halves:
 - Left $Q = \{(2, 3), (3, 4), (5, 1)\}$
 - Right $R = \{(12, 30), (12, 10), (40, 50)\}$
3. Recursively compute:
 - Closest in Q : distance between $(2, 3)$ and $(3, 4) = \sqrt{2}$
 - Closest in R : distance between $(12, 30)$ and $(12, 10) = 20$
 - Hence, $\delta = \min(\sqrt{2}, 20) = \sqrt{2}$
4. Construct strip around dividing line ($x = 12$). The strip includes points within δ of $x = 12$, i.e., $(12, 30)$ and $(12, 10)$.
5. Distances in strip: $d((12, 30), (12, 10)) = 20$, which is larger than $\sqrt{2}$.
6. Therefore, the closest pair overall is $(2, 3)$ and $(3, 4)$ with distance $\sqrt{2}$.

5. Correctness and Key Insight

- The key challenge is to ensure that we do not need to compare every point in the strip with every other point.
- Consider the strip S of width 2δ centered at the dividing line. We sort points in S by their y -coordinates.
- For any point p in S , we only need to compare it with the next at most 7 points in the y -order.

Why only 7 neighbors?

- The intuition comes from a **geometric packing argument**.
- Imagine drawing a $\delta \times 2\delta$ rectangle around a point p . If more than 8 points were inside this rectangle with mutual distances at least δ , then by the pigeonhole principle at least two of them must be closer than δ .
- More formally:
 - (a) Partition the $\delta \times 2\delta$ rectangle into 8 sub-rectangles of size $\frac{\delta}{2} \times \frac{\delta}{2}$.
 - (b) By construction, no two points inside the same sub-rectangle can be at least δ apart.
 - (c) Hence, at most 8 points can exist in this region without violating the δ lower bound.
 - (d) Therefore, when checking a point p , it suffices to check against the next at most 7 points in y -order.
- This ensures each point requires only $\mathcal{O}(1)$ comparisons, keeping the combine step linear.

6. Complexity Analysis

- Sorting the points initially: $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.
- Divide step: $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
- Conquer step: recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \mathcal{O}(n)$.
- By the Master Theorem, $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.
- Hence, the algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time with $\mathcal{O}(n)$ space.

7. Conclusion

The divide and conquer algorithm for the closest pair of points efficiently reduces the naive quadratic complexity to $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ by exploiting geometric properties and recursive decomposition.