

Implicit Association Test written in PsychoPy

License

(c) Ian Hussey 2016 (ian.hussey@ugent.be) GPLv3+

This program is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or any later version.

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

Version

1.2 (5/5/2016)

Requires PsychoPy 1.82.01

Notes

- This implementation of the IAT has high fidelity to the procedure described in Nosek et al. (2007: the IAT at 7), and to the standard IAT Inquisit script provided by Millisecond. See block layout below. IMHO, fidelity is higher than other freely available alternatives, such as the FreeIAT or OpenIAT (e.g., the latter has a different block layout, and also uses a combination of free responding and accuracy feedback, where the IAT almost invariably uses one or the other but not both).
- You can run either the .psyexp file or the `.py` file inside PsychoPy. The task was written in version 1.82.01. Other versions may produce unexpected behaviour. The py file should have greater cross platform support; if you run into errors with the `.psyexp` file use the `.py` instead.
- The included stimulus file employs pictures as category stimuli and words as attribute stimuli. However, this implementation can display any combination of words and/or images for both categories and attributes. To do this, edit the highlighted rows in the excel files: if using text stimuli, put "blank.png" in the appropriate image exemplar column in the stimuli.xlsx file. If using image stimuli, put a single space character in the appropriate column, as empty cells will cause the task to crash and Psychopy will throw an undefined variable error.
- The escape key quits the task at any time.
- The order of presentation of blocks within the task (e.g., whether participants get flowers-positive/insects-negative or flowers-negative/insects-positive first) is determined by the participant code. Odd numbered participants get the former, even numbered participants

get the latter. Be careful that this counterbalancing does not covary with your counterbalancing of other experimental conditions.

- Block length is fixed by the code and the `block_layout.xlsx` file, and is independent of the number of stimuli exemplars.
- The number of exemplars can vary freely; it's currently 5 but can be more or less without this affecting the block length. However, it must be identical across categories. If 5 exemplars are used in each category then each block will present all exemplars an equal number of times. Using more or less exemplars than this will result in the correct number of trials and counterbalancing of trial-types, but a (necessarily) unequal number of presentations of each exemplar.
- Accuracies can be calculated by reverse scoring the `feedbackResponse.corr` variable.
- `.psydat` and `.csv` files are produced for each participant. The `.csv` file is sufficient to most analyses (e.g., calculation of D scores).
- R script in the analysis folder produces D1 scores (and D1 scores for each stimulus category parcel), as well as accuracy and latency summary data.
- All stimuli and instructions can be altered by editing the excel files. Indeed, all strings presented within the task are variables, so translating the task into other languages only requires changes to the stimuli and instructions files.
- ITI is set to 250 ms (see Nosek et al., 2007: the IAT at age 7).

Block layout

The current version follows the block layout described in Nosek et al. (2007: the IAT at age 7). The contents of each screen follows the standard Inquisit IAT distribution very closely. However, the Inquisit IAT uses the older block layout of 20 trials in block 5.

- Block 1 (categories) 20 Trials
- Block 2 (attributes) 20 Trials
- Block 3 (both) 20 Trials
- Block 4 (both) 40 Trials
- Block 5 (categories reversed) 40 Trials
- Block 6 (both reversed) 20 Trials
- Block 7 (both reversed) 40 Trials

Known issues

1. If participants get 100% of trials correct throughout the whole task then three incorrect response columns will not be created for that participant. This is highly unlikely, and furthermore is not a problem if your data processing workflow merges data files across participants based on column header names (e.g., with R using `readr`'s `read.csv`) rather than column positions (e.g., a SPSS script using a `GET` command, or some other R commands which assume equivalent table shapes).
2. Block order is not recorded in the data file, but is derived from the participant code (odd number vs even number).
3. "Empty" cells in the instructions file must actually include a whitespace character or task will crash. If text stimuli are used, put "blank.png" in the image stimulus box.

4. Requires 5 exemplars per category, as the stimuli file conflates block structure with exemplars to be used. I have a method to change this, but haven't implemented it yet.
5. Stimuli presentations are based on timing rather than screen frames. This is relatively easy to alter, but requires more from the user in terms of setting the script up with their specific hardware. Marginal timing accuracy trade off.

To do

- Add internal consistency calculation to R script

Changelog

1.2

- Removed calculation of D1 scores for trial types (e.g., calculated only from insects trials), as this is uncommon in the literature.
- Corrected calculation of D1 scores. R script did not take block order into account. Thanks to Jamie Cummins for feedback on this.
- Corrected order of blocks 1 and 2. Task is now in better in line with Nosek et al. (2007).

1.1

- Separated stimuli file into exemplars and block_layout. Multiplier is determined by the number of exemplars. As such, the block lengths are fixed by the code, but the number of exemplars can vary freely (although they must be equal across trial types, e.g., all must have N).

1.0.1

R script updated.

1.0

Used in a completed experiment and bug tested. No changes made relative from 0.10, which I've upgraded to a release copy.

0.10

Added new code components so that only a single stimulus file and single blocks file is needed. The contents the category labels and the correct and incorrect responses are determined before each trial based on the trial type, block order and current block. This limits the scope for human error when putting together the stimuli files.

0.9.3

- Corrected ITI from 300ms to 250ms.
- Allows the researcher to display any combination of word or picture stimuli.

0.9.2

- Rewritten from scratch to make the data files follow Hadley Wickham's Tidy Data standards. Loops now include nested references to the stimuli files, thus allowing for a highly simplified flow and greatly decreased number of routines. The py script has also gone from 3250 lines to 450, and is far more readable. However, this change shifts complexity to the stimulus files: there are now 12 where there were 2.
- This rewriting also allowed me to add the ability to choose the block order based on a variable entered in the popup before the experiment (i.e., block order: 1 vs 2).

0.9.1

- changed the instructions1 and 5 from "is trials" = true to "is trials" = false so that a superfluous line is not recorded in the data file.
- changed the endLoop from "is trials" = true to "is trials" = false so that a superfluous line is not recorded in the data file.
- until now, trial blocks 3&4 and 6&7 used the same routines (respectively) because the contents of these blocks' trials was identical. However, it makes for easier analysis if the data is output in different columns.
- renamed keyboard components in trial blocks to blockX and blockXwrong. When combined with the above, D scores can be calculated by examining the means and standard deviations of the block1.rt to block7.rt variables, and accuracy exclusions from the block1wrong.corr to block7wrong.corr variables. NB here, the 1s refer to incorrect answers rather than correct answers. The variables specified in the code components for accuracy feedback were also changed appropriately.