

**Turiba University**

**Kartik Jyoti**

**4-Eye method**

**PROFESSIONAL BACHELOR THESIS**


**Author:** **Kartik Jyoti**

***Thesis Advisor:*** **(Jānis Pēksa)**

**Riga, 2025**

Classmate : Jyoti

## Flowchart1 : Serving tea to group mates



### What I Liked:

- easy-to-follow structure.
- Good use of colors and shapes to separate steps.
- The main idea (serving tea) is simple and understandable.


### What Improvements Are Needed:

- Decision labels should be clearer

- There are repeated and incomplete steps that need correction (e.g., “Ask how they their tea”).
- Add missing steps in the tea-making process for better accuracy.
- Improve alignment and spacing so the flowchart looks more organized.

Rating: 7/10

## Flowchart2 : Serving breakfast to group mates



### What I Liked:


- The layout is clean and visually appealing with good color choices.
- The steps are arranged in a simple, easy-to-follow order.
- The topic is clear and understandable.

### What Improvements Are Needed:

- There are spelling errors (e.g., “FREINDS” should be “FRIENDS,” and “WHAT THEY WANT TO LIKE” should be “WHAT THEY WANT FOR BREAKFAST”).
- Arrows and step connections could be clearer and more consistent to show the flow properly.
- Rating: 7.5/10

- Consider adding a decision step (e.g., if someone doesn't want breakfast) for better logic.

### Flowchart3 : Serving drink to party members



#### What I Liked:

- The flowchart shows a clear sequence for serving drinks.
- Good use of decision shapes to show “Yes/No” options.
- The color theme is visually pleasant.

#### What Improvements Are Needed:

- Several spelling/grammar errors should be corrected (e.g., “drinks is” → “drink is,” “requested” → “requested,” “part members” → “party members”).
- The arrows and flow directions are confusing and need clearer, consistent paths.
- The layout is a bit scattered; aligning shapes vertically would make it easier to follow.
- Rating: 6/10