Evolutionary Computation

Assignment 1

Antoni Lasik 148287

Daniel Jankowski 148257

Source code: <https://github.com/JankowskiDaniel/evolutionary-computation>

**Problem description**

The task involves analyzing three columns of integers, each row corresponding to a single node. The initial two columns designate the x and y coordinates, pinpointing the nodes' locations on a plane, while the third column specifies the cost associated with each node. The objective is to meticulously choose an exact half of the total nodes (in cases where the total node count is an odd number, the count of nodes to be selected is adjusted upward to the nearest whole number) to construct a Hamiltonian cycle, which is essentially a continuous loop that passes through each member of the selected set of nodes. The criterion for this selection is that the aggregate of the complete path's length and the cumulative cost of the chosen nodes should be as low as possible.

To quantify the distances between nodes, we employ the Euclidean distance formula, and the resulting figures are rounded off to the nearest integer in a standard mathematical fashion. Moreover, as part of the distance between nodes, we take into account the cost of the destination node. This ensures that cost has a significant impact on the final results.

We implement three heuristic methods for solving the problem: random, nearest neighbor, and a greedy cycle.

**Pseudocode of implemented algorithms**

**An additional method for calculating the distance matrix**

***Calculate\_distance\_matrix(coords, costs):***

*dist\_matrix = [][]*

***FOR*** *i in range(len(coords)):*

***FOR*** *j in range(len(coords)):*

*dist\_matrix[i][j] = round(sqrt((coords[i].x – coords[j].x)\*\*2 + (coords[i].y – coords[j].y)\*\*2) + costs[j]*

***return*** *dist\_matrix*

**The random solution algorithm**

***Generate\_random\_solution(dist\_matrix, costs):***

*num\_nodes = dist\_matrix.shape[0]*

*num\_select = (num\_nodes + 1) // 2*

*selected\_nodes = randomly select* ***num\_select*** *nodes that will be in the solution and suffle them*

*total\_distance = 0*

***FOR*** *N in range(1, len(selected\_nodes)):*

*previous\_node = selected\_nodes[N-1]*

*current\_node = selected\_nodes[N]*

*total\_distance += dist\_matrix[previous\_node][current\_node]*

*// add the distance between the last and the first node*

*total\_distance += dist\_matrix[selected\_nodes[-1], selected\_nodes[0]]*

***return*** *selected\_nodes, total\_distance*

**The nearest neighbor algorithm**

**Generate\_nearest\_neighbor\_solution(dist\_matrix, start\_node):**

*num\_nodes = dist\_matrix.shape[0]*

*num\_select = (num\_nodes + 1) // 2*

*selected\_nodes = [start\_node]*

*unselected\_nodes = {num\_nodes} \ {start\_node}*

*total\_distance = 0*

***WHILE*** *len(selected\_nodes) < num\_select:*

*last\_node = selected\_nodes[-1]*

*nearest\_node =* ***min(****unselected\_nodes,*

*key=****lambda*** *node: dist\_matrix[last\_node][node]****)***

***ADD*** *nearest\_node to selected\_nodes*

***REMOVE*** *nearest\_node from unselected\_nodes*

*total\_distance += dist\_matrix[last\_node][nearest\_node]*

*// add the distance between the last and the first node*

*total\_distance += dist\_matrix[selected\_nodes[-1], selected\_nodes[0]]*

***return*** *selected\_nodes, total\_distance*

**The greedy cycle algorithm**

**Generate\_greedy\_cycle\_solution(dist\_matrix, start\_node):**

*num\_nodes = dist\_matrix.shape[0]*

*num\_select = (num\_nodes + 1) // 2*

*selected\_nodes = [start\_node]*

*unselected\_nodes = {num\_nodes} \ {start\_node}*

*total\_distance = 0*

***WHILE*** *len(selected\_nodes) < num\_select:*

***FOR*** *node in unselected\_nodes:*

***FOR*** *i in range(len(selected\_nodes):*

*next\_index = (i +1)% len(selected\_nodes)*

*increase = (dist\_matrix[selected\_nodes[i], node] +*

*dist\_matrix[node, selected\_nodes[next\_i]] -*

*dist\_matrix[selected\_nodes[i], selected\_nodes[next\_i]])*

*// calculate the increase of distance if the node is inserted after the node of index i*

***IF*** *increase < min\_increase:*

*min\_increase = increase*

*best\_node = node*

*best\_position = next\_i # Insert before next\_i*

*// keeping track of the position with the minimal increase* ***ADD*** *best\_node at the best\_position to selected nodes*

***REMOVE*** *best\_node from unselected\_nodes total\_distance += min\_increase*

*total\_distance += dist\_matrix[selected\_nodes[-1], selected\_nodes[0]]*

***return*** *selected\_nodes, total\_distance*

**Results**

**The random solution**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Min** | **Max** | **Mean** |
| **Instance A** | 237,941 | 288,302 | 264,028.49 |
| **Instance B** | 243,288 | 295,269 | 266,655.16 |
| **Instance C** | 191,705 | 241,451 | 214,929.07 |
| **Instance D** | 191,218 | 242,515 | 219,678.85 |


**The nearest neighbor algorithm**




|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Min** | **Max** | **Mean** |
| **Instance A** | 84,471 | 95,013 | 87,679.14 |
| **Instance B** | 77,448 | 82,631 | 79,282.58 |
| **Instance C** | 56,304 | 63,697 | 58,872.68 |
| **Instance D** | 50,335 | 59,846 | 54,290.68 |


**The greedy cycle algorithm**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Min** | **Max** | **Mean** |
| **Instance A** | 75,136 | 80,025 | 76,711.19 |
| **Instance B** | 67,896 | 76,096 | 70,464.27 |
| **Instance C** | 53,020 | 58,499 | 55,859.31 |
| **Instance D** | 50,288 | 60,208 | 54,931.05 |



**Visualizations**

**The random solution**





****A graph of a number of lines and dots


Description automatically generated with medium confidence**The nearest neighbor algorithm**


****

**The greedy cycle algorithm**









**Conclusions**

The three approaches we have experimented with are not so sofisticated, pretty simple in concept. The first one - random, is far from the optimum in the average case because the probability that it outputs the optimal solution is n!(where n is the number of nodes taken under consideration), but it's very fast and can be used to visualize in what order of magnitude the worse part of optimization landscape is expressed.

The second algorithm the Nearest Neighbor is deterministic in opposite to the first one, produces far better solutions, and can be treated as a baseline for future improvements. It selects the nearest neighbor(based on the distance in our case Euclidean) of the last selected node and adds it into the path. In plain sight we can see the weaknesses of this approach in the visualisations, the last step in which we connect the starting point with the distant last selected node offen crosses other edges and is usually far from optimum.

Here comes the third algorithm, Greedy Cycle, which has a solution to this problem because it optimizes the whole cycle length rather than the last connection as the nearest neighbor does. When the decision comes on which node to add to the Hamiltonian cycle it iterates over all of the possible positions in which we can insert the new node and calculates the increase of cycle length for every candidate from the unselected nodes. This algorithm has the highest complexity but solves the problem of the last step which was the issue of the NN. It is worth noticing, that this algorithm is the only one, that in most cases produces solutions without crossing paths in the graph. Only for instance D, paths for this algorithm crossed once. Going further, based on the provided visualizations, it’s easy to see, that paths produced by this method are the shortest among all solutions provided by implemented methods.