

## Lecture 7: Kolmogorov differential equations – Keep on solving

### Concepts checklist

At the end of this lecture, you should be able to:

- Understand how *generating functions may sometimes assist in the solution of the KFDEs*;
- Solve the KFDEs for the Poisson process using generating functions; and,
- Appreciate that it is too difficult to be able to typically solve the KFDEs.

### Example 2. Poisson process as a CTMC (continued)

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,n+1} &= \lambda \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ q_{nn} &= \sum_{m \neq n} q_{nm} = -\lambda \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the KFDEs are

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ik}(t) q_{kj}.$$

For  $i = 0$  and  $n > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{dP_{0n}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} P_{0k}(t) q_{kn} = P_{0,n-1}(t) q_{n-1,n} + P_{0n}(t) q_{nn}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP_{0n}(t)}{dt} &= \lambda P_{0,n-1}(t) - \lambda P_{0n}(t) \quad \text{for } n > 0, \\ \text{and } \frac{dP_{00}(t)}{dt} &= -\lambda P_{00}(t). \end{aligned}$$

We shall now consider solving these equations using a [generating function approach](#).

**Definition 6.** The [generating function](#)  $P(z, t)$  for a process with transition probabilities  $P_{0n}(t)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is given by

$$P(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) z^n.$$

By the triangle inequality, for  $|z| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) z^n \right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) |z^n| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) = 1.$$

Therefore, the generating function  $P(z, t)$  is well defined for  $|z| \leq 1$ .

If we multiply both sides of the equation

$$\frac{dP_{0n}(t)}{dt} = \lambda P_{0,n-1}(t) - \lambda P_{0n}(t)$$

by  $z^n$  and sum from  $n = 1$  to  $\infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{dP_{0n}(t)}{dt} z^n = -\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{0,n-1}(t) z^n. \quad (2)$$

We then add  $\frac{dP_{00}(t)}{dt} z^0 = -\lambda P_{00}(t) z^0$  to Equation (2) to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dP_{0n}(t)}{dt} z^n = -\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{0,n-1}(t) z^n.$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP(z, t)}{dt} &= -\lambda P(z, t) + \lambda z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{0,n-1}(t) z^{n-1} \\ &= -\lambda P(z, t) + \lambda z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(t) z^n \\ &= -\lambda P(z, t) + \lambda z P(z, t) \\ &= -(\lambda - \lambda z) P(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

The solution to this linear ordinary differential equation is

$$P(z, t) = c(z) e^{-(\lambda - \lambda z)t}. \quad (3)$$

To find  $c(z)$ , we need to know the value  $P(z, t)$  at  $t = 0$ . That is,

$$P(z, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{0n}(0) z^n = 1(z^0) + 0(z^1) + 0(z^2) + \cdots = 1. \quad (4)$$

Using (4) and substituting  $t = 0$  into equation (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= c(z) e^{-(\lambda - \lambda z) \times 0} \\ \Rightarrow c(z) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow P(z, t) &= e^{-(\lambda - \lambda z)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$P(z, t) = e^{-(\lambda - \lambda z)t} = e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda z t} = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda z t)^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^j}{j!} z^j. \quad (5)$$

Comparing (5) to the generating function,

$$P(z, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{0j}(t) z^j, \quad \text{which is valid for } |z| \leq 1,$$

we must have that for each  $j$ ,

$$P_{0j}(t) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^j}{j!}.$$

Thus, the probability that the system is in state  $j$  by time  $t$ , given that it starts in state 0 at time 0, follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ . In other words, the number of events that have happened by time  $t$  follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ .

However, taking this just a little bit further, and considering a still relatively simple model, evaluating the transition function explicitly becomes difficult (and quickly becomes infeasible).

### Example 3. The M/M/1 queue (continued)

The KFDEs for the M/M/1 queue are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d P_{00}(t)}{dt} &= -\lambda P_{00}(t) + \mu P_{01}(t), \text{ and} \\ \frac{d P_{0j}(t)}{dt} &= \lambda P_{0,j-1}(t) - (\lambda + \mu) P_{0j}(t) + \mu P_{0,j+1}(t) \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution  $P_{0j}(t)$  to these equations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} &\left[ \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{j}{2}} I_j \left(2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}t\right) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{(j+1)}{2}} I_{j-1} \left(2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}t\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{\ell=j+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} I_{\ell} \left(2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}t\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_j(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{j+2m}}{(j+m)!m!}$  is a Bessel Function of order  $j$ .

For most models it is too much to ask for us to calculate the  $P_{i,j}(t)$  and so, **we need to look for simpler measures of our CTMC.**