Instructor: Nikhil Muralidhar

October 5, 2022

CS 556-B: Mathematical Foundations of Machine Learning Homework 1: Linear Algebra (100 points)

Note: Calculators allowed for trigonometric operations & arithmetic operations (i.e., addition, subtraction, multiplication or division of scalars). All solutions methods must be full explained.

Vectors

.

1. (5 points) Find the magnitude of the vector $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution: The magnitude of a vector

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}$$

is calculated using the formula:

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_m^2}$$

Hence,

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-3)^2 + 2^2 + 4^2 + (-4)^2} = \sqrt{49} = 7$$

2. (5 points) Consider two vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (in vector space \mathbb{R}^2), what is their span? Briefly explain your reasoning leveraging the definition of the span of a set of vectors.

Solution: The span of a set of vectors in set S is defined as all possible vectors that are *reachable* using only the vectors in S. Specifically, with linear combinations of vectors in S.

In the given set, we have two vectors $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ and the space of interest is \mathbb{R}^2 . Since the vectors in the given set are linearly independent (as they are orthogonal - implied by a dot product of zero between the two vectors), it implies that all vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 are reachable using linear combinations of vectors in S (i.e., S forms a basis in \mathbb{R}^2). Hence the span is the entire vector space \mathbb{R}^2 .

Dot Product

3. (10 points) If two vectors **a**, **b** have magnitudes 3 and 5 respectively and the angle between them is $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians, what is their dot product?

Solution: We know that the dot product of two vectors is given by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = ||\mathbf{u}|| \, ||\mathbf{v}|| \cos(\theta)$

We also know that the cosine of the angle between two perpendicular vectors (i.e., $\cos(\frac{\pi}{2})$) is zero. Hence it must be the case that the dot product of vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is zero. i.e., $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$.

4. (10 points) Let vector $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$, calculate the dot product of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} also calculate the angle between (i.e., not the cosine of the angle but the actual angle in radians or degrees) \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} .

Solution: We know that the dot product of two vectors is given by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = ||\mathbf{u}|| ||\mathbf{v}|| \cos(\theta)$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1 \times (-2) + 3 \times 7 = 19$$

$$||\mathbf{u}|| = \sqrt{1^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{10}$$

$$||\mathbf{v}|| = \sqrt{(-2)^2 + 7^2} = \sqrt{53}$$

$$\theta = \frac{19}{\sqrt{10} \times \sqrt{53}} = \mathbf{0.6005}$$
radians

or

Answer in Degrees: $\theta = 34.3804^{\circ}$

Linear Independence

5. (15 points) Check if the vectors
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$

are linearly independent. Note: The condition for linear independence is that given a set S of vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ and coefficients $a, b, c, a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} + c\mathbf{z} = 0$ if and only if a = b = c = 0.

Solution: We can re-write the condition for linear independence using vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ as follows:

$$a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} + c\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$$

An alternate method to represent the relationship would be as a multiplication of a matrix by a vector to yield the $\mathbf{0}$ vector.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Essentially, we need to demonstrate that the above matrix vector produce has a=b=c=0 as its solution. To do this, we can employ Gaussian Elimination.

We first re-write the above matrix vector produce in augmented matrix form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1 & 3 & 5 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & -6 & 0
\end{array} \right]$$

Our goal in applying Gaussian elimination is to reduce the above matrix vector product to row-echelon form so that a solution can be obtained for a, b, c.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + 8R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The final state (matrix highlighted with a black frame) is in *row echelon* form and we can derive that values of c = 0 (from row R_3), b = 0 (from row R_2) and a = 0 (from row R_1).

Hence we can conclude that the vectors \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{z} are independent.

6. (15 points) Given a subset of vectors $S = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of a vector space V, prove that S is linearly independent iff a linear combination of elements of S with non-zero coefficients does not yield $\mathbf{0}$. Hint: To prove iff statements, i.e., A iff B (A \iff B), first prove A \to B, then prove B \leftarrow A.

Solution: The given condition implies an if and only if condition requiring us to prove both directions of the statement.

Part 1: Set S of vectors is linearly independent \rightarrow a linear combination of elements of S with non-zero coefficients does not yield **0**.

We shall prove part 1 by contradiction.

Condition 1: Set S of vectors is linearly independent \rightarrow a linear combination of elements of S with non-zero coefficients yields 0

Mathematically, we can write the right hand side of *condition 1* as follows:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{x}_k = 0 \tag{1}$$

Further, according to condition 1, let us assume some scalar $\lambda_i \neq 0$. Hence Eq. 1 can be re-written as follows:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \lambda_{i+1} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{x}_k = -\lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$$
 (2)

Dividing both sides of Eq. 2 by $-\lambda_i$ we have:

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_i} \mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{-\lambda_i} \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{-\lambda_i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{-\lambda_i} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_k}{-\lambda_i} \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_i$$
(3)

However Eq. 3 implies that \mathbf{x}_i is a linear combinations of the other vectors present in S, which is a contradiction of condition 1.

Part 2: If a linear combinations of vectors in S with non-zero coefficients does not yield $\mathbf{0} \to \text{vectors}$ in S are linearly independent.

We shall once again prove part 2 by contradiction.

Condition 2: If a linear combinations of vectors in S with non-zero coefficients does not yield $\mathbf{0} \to \text{vectors}$ in S are linearly dependent.

Let us assume some vector $\mathbf{x}_i \in S$ is linearly dependent on the rest of the vectors in S. This condition can be mathematically represented as follows:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \lambda_{i+1} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_i \tag{4}$$

Adding $-x_i$ to both sides of Eq. 4 we get:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \lambda_{i+1} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_i = 0$$
(5)

Eq. 5 has the vector \mathbf{x}_i with a non-zero coefficient i.e., -1, yet Eq. 5 yields $\mathbf{0}$ which is a contradiction of condition 2. Hence by part 1, 2 we have proved the original statement of linear independence.

Matrices

7. (10 points) Demonstrate the distributive property of matrix multiplication over addition.

Given
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, demonstrate: $A(B+C) = AB + AC$

Solution: Given the matrices A, B, C, we first calculate AB, AC and B + C as follows.

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 6 + 4 \times 3 & 3 \times 2 + 4 \times 2 \\ 1 \times 6 + 2 \times 3 & 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 30 & 14 \\ 12 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AC = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 1 + 4 \times 4 & 3 \times -2 + 4 \times -1 \\ 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 4 & 1 \times -2 + 2 \times -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 19 & -10 \\ 9 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AB + AC = \begin{bmatrix} 49 & 4 \\ 21 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B + C = \begin{bmatrix} 6 + 1 & 2 + (-2) \\ 3 + 4 & 2 + (-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A(B + C) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 7 + 4 \times 7 & 3 \times 0 + 4 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 7 + 2 \times 7 & 1 \times 0 + 2 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 49 & 4 \\ 21 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we have demonstrated that the distributive property holds for distribution of matrix multiplication over addition.

8. (15 points) Calculate the inverse of matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -4 & 1 \\ 5 & -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Note: It is acceptable to leave the final solution with fractional entities in the matrix (i.e., no requirement to convert fractions to decimal numbers).

Solution: The procedure we follow for calculating the inverse of a matrix is to first calculate the *minors* and *cofactors* followed by the *adjugate* matrix. A^{-1} is then obtained by multiplying the inverse of the determinant of matrix A with the adjugate matrix.

Minors Calculation The minors matrix is first calculated by considering a determinant of each 2×2 matrix obtained by disregarding the row and column of the matrix element under consideration.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} -4 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} \\ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} \\ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -4 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & -4 \end{vmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{Minors} \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -9 & 26 \\ 8 & -4 & -14 \\ 9 & 7 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Cofactors: The cofactors matrix is obtained by multiplying the minors matrix (elementwise) with the following matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 \\ +1 & -1 & +1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The co-factors matrix is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 9 & 26 \\ -8 & -4 & 14 \\ 9 & -7 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Adjugate Matrix: The adjugate matrix is obtained as a transpose of the cofactors matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
-5 & -8 & 9 \\
9 & -4 & -7 \\
26 & 14 & -10
\end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant of A: The determinant of matrix A i.e., |A| is obtained by applying the *Laplace expansion* to the top row of A, which can be achieved by multiplying element-wise the top row of the cofactors matrix with the top row of A. $|A| = 3 \times (-5) + 1 \times (9) + 2 \times 26 = -15 + 9 + 52 = 46$

 \mathbf{A}^{-1} : The inverse of A is given by $\frac{1}{|A|} \operatorname{adj}(A)$ where $\operatorname{adj}(A)$ represents the adjugate matrix of A.

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{46} \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -8 & 9\\ 9 & -4 & -7\\ 26 & 14 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-5}{46} & \frac{-4}{23} & \frac{9}{46}\\ \frac{9}{46} & \frac{-2}{23} & \frac{-7}{46}\\ \frac{13}{23} & \frac{7}{23} & \frac{-5}{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

Change of Bases

9. (15 points) Consider the three columns in matrix A (problem 8) to be our new basis of interest in R^3 . If a vector $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ defined on the natural basis in R^3 (i.e., $\mathbf{e_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{e_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{e_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$), how would vector \mathbf{x} be represented in the basis defined by the matrix A in problem 8.

Solution: Given the vector \mathbf{x} defined on the standard basis and given the new basis defined by matrix A (from problem 8), we know the relationship that

 $A\mathbf{x}_A = \mathbf{x}$ holds. Our goal is to find the value of vector \mathbf{x}_A which is the representation of \mathbf{x} in the basis defined by A.

$$A\mathbf{x}_A = \mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_A = A^{-1}\mathbf{x}$$

substituting in values of x and A^{-1} we have the following relationship.

$$\mathbf{x}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-5}{46} & \frac{-4}{23} & \frac{9}{46} \\ \frac{9}{46} & \frac{-2}{23} & \frac{-7}{46} \\ \frac{13}{23} & \frac{7}{23} & \frac{-5}{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-5-16+27}{46} \\ \frac{9-8-21}{46} \\ \frac{26+28-30}{46} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6}{46} \\ \frac{-20}{46} \\ \frac{24}{46} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence we have (the vector highlighted in the black box) as the value of the vector \mathbf{x} in the new basis defined by matrix A. This new vector is represented as vector \mathbf{x}_A .

5