

(1) Analyze Hume' s argument and outline its logical structure. What are his premises and what are his conclusion and sub-conclusions? What follows from what?

(2) Identify the least convincing premise Hume uses and explain a potential reason why the premise may not hold.

(3) Give your best charitable presentation of how Hume would or could respond and defend the premise. [A slight modification or reformulation of the premise is allowed, as long as it preserves the essence of the original premise.]

(4) Give your best argument that Hume' s defense would be / would not be successful.