#### Preregistration

# Preregistration of Psychological Needs During Intergroup Contact — Sample: Young Medical Professionals

Jannis Kreienkamp<sup>1,2</sup>, Maximilian Agostini<sup>1,2</sup>, Laura Bringmann<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter de Jonge<sup>1,2</sup>, Kai Epstude<sup>1,2</sup>

 $^1$  University of Groningen, Department of Psychology  $^2$  Author order to be discussed; currently alphabetic by last name.

04. October 2021

### **Study Information**

**Title** Preregistration of Psychological Needs During Intergroup Contact — Sample: Young Medical Professionals

#### Description

In its most essential interpretation, the intergroup contact hypothesis postulates that frequent and positive contact with an out-group reduces prejudice and increases favorable attitudes towards the other group (Hewstone, 1996; Pettigrew, 1998). A key condition for these contact benefits, has been that the interaction is indeed perceived as positive — making the interaction quality a crucial mechanism of inter-group contact (e.g., ?). It is widely accepted that equal status, common

goals, collaboration, and structural support during the interaction form the optimal conditions for a positive contact (Allport's Optimal Contact conditions, Allport, 1954). And indeed a major meta-analytic review showed, that intergroup contact benefits were larger when Allport's conditions were met. However, the meta-analysis also showed that contact resulted in more positive intergroup relations even when Allport's conditions were not met.But they don't discuss Allport's conditions. It, thus, remains unclear why exactly Allport's conditions work and whether there might be an underlying psychological mechanism at play.

We propose that Allport's optimal contact conditions are effective in creating positive contact because they constitute common psychological needs of the interacting individuals. If this is indeed the case, the impact of fulfilling other fundamental needs should produce similar beneficial contact results. And more importantly, the satisfaction of key situation needs during the interaction should predict the positive contact effects most strongly.

Hypotheses

Enter your response here.

#### Design Plan

Study type

**Observational Study**. Data is collected from study subjects that are not randomly assigned to a treatment. This includes surveys, natural experiments, and regression discontinuity designs.

Blinding

No blinding is involved in this study.

Study design

Enter your response here.

Randomization

Enter your response here.

### Sampling Plan

Existing data

Registration prior to any human observation of the data. As of the date of submission, the data exist but have not yet been quantified, constructed, observed, or reported by anyone - including individuals that are not associated with the proposed study. Examples include museum specimens that have not been measured

and data that have been collected by non-human collectors and are inaccessible.

Registration prior to accessing the data. As of the date of submission, the data exist, but have not been accessed by you or your collaborators. Commonly, this includes data that has been collected by another researcher or institution.

Explanation of existing data	Enter your response here.
Data collection procedures	Enter your response here.
Sample size	Enter your response here.
Sample size rationale	Enter your response here.
Stopping rule	Enter your response here.

#### Variables

Manipulated	Enter your response here.
variables	
Measured variables	Enter your response here.
Indices	Enter your response here.

## Analysis Plan

Statistical models	Enter your response here.
Transformations	Enter your response here.
Inference criteria	
Data exclusion	Enter your response here.
Missing data	Enter your response here.
Exploratory analyses (optional)	Enter your response here.
	Other
Other (Optional)	Enter your response here.
	References

# References

Allport, G. W. (1954). The Nature of Prejudice. Addison-Wesley.

Hewstone, M. (1996). Contact and categorization: Social psychological interventions to change intergroup relations. In Macrae, N., Stangor, C., and Hewstone, M., editors, Stereotypes and stereotyping, pages 323–368. Guildford.

Pettigrew, T. F. (1998). Intergroup contact theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49:65–85.