

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

Jan-Oliver Kaiser

Advisors: Christian Doczkal, Gert Smolka

Supervisor: Gert Smolka

Contents

1. Motivation
2. Quick Recap
3. Previous work
4. Our development
5. Roadmap

Motivation

We want to develop an elegant formalization of regular languages in Coq based on finite automata.

There are several reasons for choosing this topic and our specific approach:

- Strong interest in formalizations in this area.
- Few formalizations of regular languages in Coq, most of them very long or incomplete.
- Most formalizations avoid finite automata in favor of regular expressions. Regular expressions (with Brzozowski derivatives) lead to more complex but also more performant algorithms.

Quick Recap

We use extended **regular expressions** (regexp):

$$r, s ::= \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid rs \mid r + s \mid r \& s \mid r^* \mid \neg r$$

- $\mathcal{L}(\emptyset) := \{\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) := \{\varepsilon\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(a) := \{a\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(rs) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cdot \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r + s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r \& s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cap \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r^*) := \mathcal{L}(r)^*$
- $\mathcal{L}(\neg r) := \overline{\mathcal{L}(r)}$

Derivatives of Regular Expressions (1964), *Janusz Brzozowski*:

- $\text{der } a \ \emptyset = \emptyset$
- $\text{der } a \ \varepsilon = \emptyset$
- $\text{der } a \ b = \text{if } a = b \text{ then } \varepsilon \text{ else } \emptyset$
- $\text{der } a \ (r \ s) = \text{if } \delta(r) \text{ then } (\text{der } a \ s) + ((\text{der } a \ r) \ s) \text{ else } (\text{der } a \ r) \ s$
with $\delta(r) = \text{true} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(r)$.
- $\text{der } a \ (r + s) = (\text{der } a \ r) + (\text{der } a \ s)$
- $\text{der } a \ (r \ \& \ s) = (\text{der } a \ r) \ \& \ (\text{der } a \ s)$
- $\text{der } a \ (r^*) = (\text{der } a \ r) \ r^*$
- $\text{der } a \ (\neg r) = \neg(\text{der } a \ r)$

Theorem: $w \in \mathcal{L}(r)$ if and only if the derivative of r with respect to $w_1 \dots w_{|w|}$ accepts ε .

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

Regular languages are also exactly those languages accepted by **finite automata** (FA).

Our definition of FA over an alphabet Σ :

- The finite set of states Q
- The initial state $s_0 \in Q$
- The (decidable) transition relation $\Delta \in (Q, \Sigma, Q)$

Deterministic FA: Δ is functional and **total**.

- The set of final states $F, F \subseteq Q$

Let A be a FA.

$$\mathcal{L}(A) := \{w \mid \exists s_1, \dots, s_{|w|} \in Q \text{ s.t. } \forall i: 0 < i \leq n \rightarrow (s_{i-1}, w_i, s_i) \in \Delta\}$$

Quick Recap

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

Finally, regular languages are also characterized by the Myhill-Nerode theorem.

First, we define an equivalence relation on L:

$$x R_L y := \forall z, x \cdot z \in L \Leftrightarrow y \cdot z \in L$$

Myhill-Nerode theorem: L is regular if and only if R_L divides L into a finite number of equivalence classes.

Quick Recap

Previous work

- Constructively formalizing automata theory (2000)
Robert L. Constable, Paul B. Jackson, Pavel Naumov, Juan C. Uribe
PA: Nuprl
The first constructive formalization of MH.
Based on **FA**.
- Proof Pearl: Regular Expression Equivalence and Relation Algebra (2011)
Alexander Krauss, Tobias Nipkow
PA: Isabelle
Based on **derivatives of regexps**. No proof of termination.

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

- Deciding Kleene Algebras in Coq (2011)

Thomas Braibant, Damien Pous

PA: Coq

Based on **FA**, matrices. Focus on performance.

- A Decision Procedure for Regular Expression Equivalence in Type Theory (2011)

Thierry Coquand, Vincent Siles

PA: Coq

Based on **derivatives of regexps**.

Previous work

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

- A Formalisation of the Myhill-Nerode Theorem based on Regular Expressions (Proof Pearl) (2011)

Chunhan Wu, Xingyuan Zhang, Christian Urban

PA: Isabelle

The first proof of MH based on **derivatives of regexps**.

- Deciding Regular Expressions (In-)Equivalence in Coq (2011)

Nelma Moreira, David Pereira, Simão Melo de Sousa

PA: Coq

Based on Krauss, Nipkow. Proof of termination.

Previous work

Our Development

- We want to focus on elegance, not performance.
- Our main goals are MH and the decidability of regexp equivalence.
- We use finite automata.

They are not at all impractical. (Partly thanks to Ssreflect's finType)

Ssreflect

- Excellent support for all things boolean.
- Finite types with all necessary operations and closure properties.
(very useful for alphabets, FA states, etc.)
- Lots and lots of useful lemmas and functions.

Finite automata

DFA and NFA without ϵ -transitions.

- DFA to prove closure under \cup , \cap , and \neg .
- NFA to prove closure under \cdot and $*$.

Also proven: $\text{NFA} \Leftrightarrow \text{DFA}$.

This gives us: $\text{regex} \Rightarrow \text{FA}$.

Roadmap

1. Emptiness test on FA ($\emptyset(A) := \mathcal{L}(A) = \emptyset$)
2. FA \Rightarrow regexp
3. Decidability of regexp equivalence using regexp \Rightarrow FA, (2) and (1):

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{L}(s)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{A}(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(s)}) \wedge \emptyset(\overline{\mathcal{A}(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}(s))$$

Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages

4. Finally, we want to prove the MH theorem

With this we'll have an extensive formalization of regular languages including regular expressions, FA and MH and all corresponding equivalences.