Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages Jan-Oliver Kaiser

Advisors: Christian Doczkal, Gert Smolka

Supervisor: Gert Smolka

Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Quick Recap
- 3. Previous work
- 4. Our development
- 5. Roadmap

Motivation

We want to develop an elegant formalization of regular languages in Coq based on finite automata.

There are several reasons for chosing this topic and our specific approach:

- Strong interest in formalizations in this area.
- Few formalizations of regular languages in Coq, most of them very long or incomplete.
- Most formalizations avoid finite automata in favor of regular expressions.
- It's fun.

Quick Recap

The regular languages over an alphabet Σ can be defined recursively:

- $\bullet \emptyset \in RL_{\Sigma}$
- $\bullet \ a \ \in \Sigma \ \to \ \left\{a\right\} \ \in RL_{\Sigma}$
- $\bullet A, B \in RL_{\Sigma} \to A \cup B \in RL_{\Sigma}$
- $\bullet \ A, B \ \in RL_{\Sigma} \ \to \ A \ \bullet \ B \ \in RL_{\Sigma}$
- $\bullet \ A \ \in RL_{\Sigma} \ \to \ A^* \ \in RL_{\Sigma}$

Additionally, regular languages are also exactly those languages accepted by **finite automata**.

One possible definition of FA over an alphabet Σ is:

- a finite set of states Q
- an initial state $s_0 \in \mathsf{Q}$
- a transition relation $\Delta \in (Q, \Sigma, Q)$
- a set of finite states F, F
 □ Q

Let A be a FA.

$$\mathcal{L}(A) := \left\{ w \mid \exists s_1 \,, \, \ldots \, s_{|w|} \, \in Q \,\, s.t. \,\, \forall \,\, i \colon 0 \,\, < i \,\, \leq \, n \,\, \rightarrow \, (s_{i-1}, w_i, s_i) \,\, \in \Delta \right\}$$

They can also be defined using **regular expressions** which are usually converted to FA for matching:

- $\bullet \emptyset \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \mathcal{L}(\emptyset) := \{\}$
- $\varepsilon \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) := \{ \varepsilon \}$
- $a \in \Sigma \rightarrow a \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \mathcal{L}(a) := \{a\}$
- $r,s \in RL_{\Sigma} \to (r+s) \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \ \mathcal{L}(r+s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $r,s \in RL_{\Sigma} \to (rs) \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \ \mathcal{L}(r \bullet s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \bullet \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $r \in RL_{\Sigma} \to r^* \in regexp_{\Sigma}, \ \mathcal{L}(r^*) := \mathcal{L}(r)^*$

Brzozowski showed that matching words against regular expressions can be done without converting them to FA. (1964, Derivatives of Regular Expressions)

- der a $\emptyset = \emptyset$
- der a $\varepsilon = \emptyset$
- der a b = if a = b then ε else \emptyset
- der a (r + s) = (der a r) + (der a s)
- der a (r s) = if $\delta(r)$ then (der a s) + ((der a r) s) else (der a r) s
- der a (r*) = (der a r) r* with $\delta(r) = true \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(r)$.

 $w \in \mathcal{L}(r)$ if and only if the derivative of r with respect to $w_1 ... w_{|w|}$ accepts ε .

Finally, regular languages are also characterized by the Myhill-Nerode theorem.

• First, we define a binary relation on L:

$$R_L xy \colon = \neg \exists z, \ x \bullet z \ \in L \ \oplus \ y \bullet z \ \in L$$

 \bullet L is regular if and only if $R_L {\rm divides}$ L into a finite number of equivalence classes.

Previous work

Constructively formalizing automata theory (2000)

Robert L. Constable, Paul B. Jackson, Pavel Naumov, Juan C. Uribe

PA: Nuprl

The first constructive formalization of MH.

Based on FA.

 Proof Pearl: Regular Expression Equivalence and Relation Algebra (2011)

Alexander Krauss, Tobias Nipkow

PA: Isabelle

Based on derivatives of regexps. No proof of termination.

Deciding Kleene Algebras in Coq (2011)

Thomas Braibant, Damien Pous

PA: Coq

Based on **FA**, matrices. Focus on performance.

 A Decision Procedure for Regular Expression Equivalence in Type Theory (2011)

Thierry Coquand, Vincent Siles

PA: Coq

Based on **derivatives of regexps**.

 A Formalisation of the Myhill-Nerode Theorem based on Regular Expressions (Proof Pearl) (2011)

Chunhan Wu, Xingyuan Zhang, Christian Urban

PA: Isabelle

The first proof of MH based on **derivatives of regexps**.

Deciding Regular Expressions (In-)Equivalence in Coq (2011)
 Nelma Moreira, David Pereira, Simão Melo de Sousa

PA: Coq

Based on Krauss, Nipkow. Proof of termination.

Our Development

- We want to focus on elegance, not performance.
- Our main goals are MH and the decidability of regexp equivalence.
- We use finite automata.

They are not at all impractical. (Partly thanks to Ssreflect's finType)

Ssreflect

- Excellent support for all things boolean.
- Finite types with all necessary operations and closure properties.
 (very useful for alphabets, FA states, etc.)
- Lots and lots of useful lemmas and functions.

Finite automata

DFA and NFA without e-transitions.

- DFA to prove closure under \cup , \cap , and \neg .
- NFA to prove closure under and *.

Also proven: NFA \Leftrightarrow DFA.

This gives us: regexp \Rightarrow FA.

Roadmap

- 1. Emptiness test on FA ($\emptyset(A) := \mathcal{L}(A) = \emptyset$)
- $2.FA \Rightarrow regexp$
- 3. Dedicedability of regexp equivalence using regexp \Rightarrow FA, (2) and (1):

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{L}(s)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{A}(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(s)}) \wedge \emptyset(\overline{\mathcal{A}(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}(s))$$

4. Finally, we want to prove the MH theorem

With this we'll have an extensive formalization of regular languages including regular expressions, FA and MH and all corresponding equivalences.