Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages Jan-Oliver Kaiser

Advisors: Christian Doczkal, Gert Smolka

Supervisor: Gert Smolka

Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Previous work
- 3. Our development
- 4. Roadmap

Motivation

- Strong interest in formalizations in this area.
- No complete and elegant formalization of regular languages in Coq.
- Recent formalizations avoid FA in favor of partial derivatives.

Previous work

Constructively formalizing automata theory (2000)

Robert L. Constable, Paul B. Jackson, Pavel Naumov, Juan C. Uribe

PA: Nuprl

The first constructive formalization of MH.

Based on FA.

 Proof Pearl: Regular Expression Equivalence and Relation Algebra (2011)

Alexander Krauss, Tobias Nipkow

PA: Isabelle

Based on **partial derivatives of RE**.

Deciding Kleene Algebras in Coq (2011)

Thomas Braibant, Damien Pous

PA: Coq

Based on **FA**, matrices. Focus on performance.

 A Decision Procedure for Regular Expression Equivalence in Type Theory (2011)

Thierry Coquand, Vincent Siles

PA: Coq

Based on **partial derivatives of RE**.

 A Formalisation of the Myhill-Nerode Theorem based on Regular Expressions (Proof Pearl) (2011)

Chunhan Wu, Xingyuan Zhang, Christian Urban

PA: Isabelle

The first proof of MH based on **partial derivatives of RE**.

Our Development

- We want to focus on elegance, not performance.
- Our main goals are MH and the decidability of RE equality.
- We use FA.

They are not at all impractical. (Partly thanks to Ssreflect's finType)

Ssreflect

- Excellent support for all things boolean.
- Finite types with all necessary operations and closure properties.
 (very useful for alphabets, FA states, etc.)
- Lots and lots of useful lemmas and functions.

Finite automata

DFA and NFA without e-transitions.

- DFA to prove closure under \cup , \cap , and \neg .
- NFA to prove closure under and *.

Also proven: NFA \Leftrightarrow DFA.

This gives us: RE \Rightarrow FA.

Roadmap

- 1. Emptiness test on FA ($\emptyset(A) := \mathcal{L}(A) = \emptyset$)
- $2.FA \Rightarrow RE$
- 3. Dedicedability of RE using RE \Rightarrow FA, (2) and (1):

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{L}(s)$$

 \Leftrightarrow

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{A}(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(s)}) \wedge \emptyset(\overline{\mathcal{A}(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}(s))$$