## Chapter 1

# Decidable Languages

#### 1.1 Definition

We closely follow the definitions from ?. An **alphabet**  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of symbols. A **word** w is a finite sequence of symbols chosen from some alphabet. We use |w| to denote the **length** of a word w.  $\varepsilon$  denotes the empty word. Given two words  $w_1 = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  and  $w_2 = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m$ , the **concatenation** of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  is defined as  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m$  and denoted  $w_1 \cdot w_2$  or just  $w_1 w_2$ . A **language** is a set of words. The **residual language** of a language L with respect to symbol a is the set of words u such that uv is in u. The residual is denoted u is define u to be the **set of words of length** u. The **set of all words** over an alphabet u is denoted u, i.e., u is u if there exists a boolean predicate that decides membership in u. We will only deal with decidable languages from here on. Throughout the remaining document, we will assume a fixed alphabet u.

We employ finite types to formalize alphabets. In the most definitions, alphabets will not be made explicit. However, the same name and type will be used throughout the entire development. Words are formalized as sequences over the alphabet. Decidable languages are represented by functions from word to bool.

```
Variable char: finType.
Definition word := seq char.
Definition language := pred word.
Definition residual x L : language := [preim cons x of L].
```

#### 1.1.1 Operation on languages

The **concatenation** of two languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is denoted  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  and is defined as the set of words  $w = w_1 w_2$  such that  $w_1$  is in  $L_1$  and  $w_2$  is in  $L_2$ .

The **Kleene Star** (also called Kleene closure) of a language L is denoted  $L^*$  and is defined as the set of words  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$  such that  $w_1, w_2, \cdots w_k$  are in L.  $\varepsilon$  is contained in  $L^*$  (k = 0). We define the **complement** of a language L as  $L \setminus \Sigma^*$ , which we denote  $\neg L$ . Furthermore, we make use of the standard set operations **union** and **intersection**.

We take Coquand and Siles's ? implementation of these operators. plus and prod refer to union and intersection, respectively. Additionally, we also introduce the singleton languages (atom), the empty language (void) and the language containing only the empty word (eps).

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Let  $L_1, L_2, w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$  be given. We have that

```
w \in L_1 \cdot L_2 \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. 0 < n \le k \land a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} \in L_1 \land a_n \cdots a_k \in L_2.
```

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ " From  $w \in L_1 \cdot L_2$  we have  $w_1, w_2$  such that  $w = w_1 w_2 \wedge w_1 \in L_1 \wedge w_2 \in L_2$ . We choose  $n := |w_1| + 1$ . We then have that  $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} = a_1 \cdots a_{|w_1|} = w_1$  and  $w_1 \in L_1$  by assumption. Similarly,  $a_n \cdots a_k = a_{|w_1|+1} \cdots a_k = w_2$  and  $w_2 \in L_2$  by assumption. " $\Leftarrow$ " We choose  $w_1 := a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$  and  $w_2 := a_n \cdots a_k$ . By assumption we have that  $w = w_1 w_2$ . We also have that  $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} \in L_1$  and  $a_n \cdots a_k \in L_2$ .

Listing 1.1: Formalization of lemma??

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Let  $L, w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$  be given. We have that

$$w \in L^* \iff a_2 \cdots a_k \in res_{a_1}(L) \cdot L^* \vee w = \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ " We do a case distinction on |w| = 0.

1. |w| = 0. It follows that  $w = \varepsilon$ .

It follows that  $w_1 \in L_1$  and  $w_2 \in L_2$ .

- 2.  $|W| \neq 0$ , i.e. |w| > 0. From  $w \in L^*$  we have  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_l$  such that  $w_1, w_2 \cdots w_l$  are in L. There exists a minimal n such that  $|w_n| > 0$  and for all m < n,  $|w_m| = 0$ . Let  $w_n = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_p$ . We have that  $b_2 \cdots a_p \in res_{b_1}(L)$ . Furthermore, we have that  $w_{n+1} \cdots w_l \in L^*$ . We also have  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k = w_n \cdots w_l$ . Therefore, we have  $a_2 \cdots a_k \in res_{a_1}(L) \cdot L^*$ .
- "⇐" We do a case distinction on the disjunction.
  - 1.  $w = \varepsilon$ . Then  $w \in L^*$  by definition.
  - 2.  $a_2 \cdots a_k \in res_{a_1}(L) \cdot L^*$ . By lemma ?? we have n such that  $a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in res_{a_1}(L)$  and  $a_n \cdots a_k \in L^*$ . By definition of res, we have  $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} \in L$ . Furthermore, we also have  $a_n \cdots a_k = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_l$  such that  $w_1, w_2 \cdots w_l$  are in L. We choose  $w_0 := a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ . It follows that  $w = w_0 w_1 \cdots w_l$  with  $w_0, w_1, \cdots w_l$  in L. Therefore,  $w \in L^*$ .

Listing 1.2: Formalization of lemma ??

**Lemma** starP : **forall**  $\{L \ v\}$ , reflect (exists2 vv, all [predD L & eps] vv & v = flatten vv) (v \in star L).

**Theorem 1.1.1.** The decidable languages are closed under concatenation, Kleene star, union, intersection and complement.

*Proof.* We have already given algorithms for every operator. It remains to show that they are correct. For concatenation and the Kleene star, we have shown in lemma ?? and ?? that the formalization is equivalent to the formal definition. The remaining operators are applied directly to the decision functions.

### 1.2 Regular Languages

**Definition 1.2.1.** The set of regular languages REG is defined to be exactly those languages generated by the following inductive definition:

$$\frac{a \in \Sigma}{\emptyset \in REG} \qquad \frac{a \in \Sigma}{\{a\} \in REG} \qquad \frac{L \in REG}{L^* \in REG}$$

$$\frac{L_1, L_2 \in REG}{L_1 \cup L_2 \in REG} \qquad \frac{L_1, L_2 \in REG}{L_1 \cdot L_2 \in REG}$$

#### 1.2.1 Regular Expressions

Regular expressions mirror the definition of regular languages very closely. We will consider **extended regular expressions** that include negation (Not), intersection (And) and a single-symbol wildcard (Dot). Therefore, we have the following syntax for regular expressions:

$$r,s := \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid . \mid a \mid r^* \mid r + s \mid r \& s \mid rs \mid \neg r$$

The semantics of these constructors are as follows:

- 1.  $\mathcal{L}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- 2.  $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$
- 3.  $\mathcal{L}(.) = \Sigma$
- 4.  $\mathcal{L}(a) = \{a\}$
- 5.  $\mathcal{L}(r^*) = \mathcal{L}(r)^*$
- 6.  $\mathcal{L}(r+s) = \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s)$
- 7.  $\mathcal{L}(r\&s) = \mathcal{L}(r) \cap \mathcal{L}(s)$
- 8.  $\mathcal{L}(rs) = \mathcal{L}(r) \cdot \mathcal{L}(s)$

We take the implementation from Coquand and Siles's development (?), which is also based on SSREFLECT and comes with helpful infrastructure for our proofs.

Listing 1.3: Regular Expressions

```
Inductive regular_expression :=
  Void
   Eps
   Dot
   Atom of symbol
   Star of regular_expression
   Plus of regular_expression & regular_expression
   And of regular_expression & regular_expression
   Conc of regular_expression & regular_expression
  Not of regular_expression .
Fixpoint mem_reg e :=
  match e with
    Void => void
    Eps => eps
    \mathsf{Dot} => \mathsf{dot}
    Atom x => atom x
    Star e1 => star (mem_reg e1)
    Plus e1 e2 => plus (mem_reg e1) (mem_reg e2)
```

```
| And e1 e2 => prod (mem_reg e1) (mem_reg e2)
| Conc e1 e2 => conc (mem_reg e1) (mem_reg e2)
| Not e1 => compl (mem_reg e1)
end.
```

We will later prove that this definition is equivalent to the inductive definition of regular languages in ??. In order to do that, we introduce a predicate on regular expressions that distinguishes **standard regular expressions** from **extended regular expressions** (as introduced above). The grammar of standard regular expression is as follows:

```
r,s := \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid r^* \mid r + s \mid rs
```

```
Fixpoint standard (e: regular_expression char) :=
match e with

| Not _ => false
| And _ _ => false
| Dot => false
| _ => true
end.
```

Connect standard regexp to reg. languages

#### 1.2.2 Deciding Language Membership

We make use of **derivatives of regular expressions** (?) to decide if a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is contained in the language  $\mathcal{L}(r)$  of the regular expression r. Derivatives are themselves regular expressions and are computed with respect to a single input character. In order to define derivatives, we first define a related concept.

**Definition 1.2.2.** The derivative der a r of r w.r.t. to a is defined such that

```
\forall w \in \Sigma^*. w \in \mathcal{L}(der \, a \, r) \Leftrightarrow w \in residual \, a \, \mathcal{L}(r).
```

A suitable implementation is provided by Coquand and Siles.

Listing 1.4: Derivatives of Regular Expressions

```
Fixpoint der x e :=

match e with

| Void => Void

| Eps => Void

| Dot => Eps

| Atom y => if x == y then Eps else Void

| Star e1 => Conc (der x e1) (Star e1)

| Plus e1 e2 => Plus (der x e1) (der x e2)

| And e1 e2 => And (der x e1) (der x e2)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} | \ \mathsf{Conc} \ \mathsf{e1} \ \mathsf{e2} => \mathbf{if} \ \mathsf{has\_eps} \ \mathsf{e1} \ \mathbf{then} \\ | \ \mathsf{Plus} \ (\mathsf{Conc} \ (\mathsf{der} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{e1}) \ \mathsf{e2}) \ (\mathsf{der} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{e2}) \\ | \ \mathsf{else} \ \mathsf{Conc} \ (\mathsf{der} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{e1}) \ \mathsf{e2} \\ | \ \mathsf{Not} \ \mathsf{e1} => \ \mathsf{Not} \ (\mathsf{der} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{e1}) \\ | \ \mathsf{end}. \end{array}
```

**Theorem 1.2.1.** For all r, w and a, we have that  $w \in der \, a \, r$  if and only if  $w \in residual \, a$ .

*Proof.* We prove the claim by induction over r. Two cases are non-trivial:

Proof

Given the defining property of derivatives, we can easily see that a generalization of der to words suffices to decide language membership. We only need to check if the derivative w.r.t. to a given word accepts the empty word.

Fixpoint mem\_der  $e u := if u is x :: v then mem_der (der x e) v else has_eps e.$ 

**Theorem 1.2.2.** The language of a regular expression r is decidable, i.e.

$$w \in \mathcal{L}(r) \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(mem\_der r w).$$

Proof. .

