# Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages Jan-Oliver Kaiser

Advisors: Christian Doczkal, Gert Smolka

Supervisor: Gert Smolka

## **Contents**

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Quick Recap
- 3. Previous work
- 4. Our development
- 5. Roadmap

### **Motivation**

We want to develop an elegant formalization of regular languages in Coq based on finite automata.

There are several reasons for choosing this topic and our specific approach:

- Strong interest in formalizations in this area.
- Few formalizations of regular languages in Coq, most of them very long or incomplete.
- Most formalizations avoid finite automata in favor of regular expressions. Regular expressions (with Brzozowski derivatives) lead to more complex but also more performant algorithms.

# **Quick Recap**

We use extended **regular expressions** (regexp):

$$r,s ::= \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid rs \mid r+s \mid r\&s \mid r^* \mid \neg r$$

- $ullet \mathcal{L}(\emptyset) := \{\}$
- $\bullet \mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) := \{ \varepsilon \}$
- $\bullet \mathcal{L}(a) := \{a\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(rs) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cdot \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r+s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r\&s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cap \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $ullet \mathcal{L}(r^*) := \mathcal{L}(r)^*$
- $\bullet \mathcal{L}(\neg r) := \overline{\mathcal{L}(r)}$

# **Derivatives of Regular Expressions** (1964), *Janusz Brzozowski*:

- der a  $\emptyset = \emptyset$
- der a  $\varepsilon = \emptyset$
- der a b = if a = b then  $\varepsilon$  else  $\emptyset$
- der a (r s) = if  $\delta(r)$  then (der a s) + ((der a r) s) else (der a r) s with  $\delta(r) = true \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(r)$ . (easily decidable by recursion) ...

**Theorem 1**:  $w \in \mathcal{L}(r)$  if and only if the derivative of r with respect to  $w_1 \dots w_{|w|}$  accepts  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2**: The set of derivatives of r is *closed under derivation* and *finite* w.r.t. a similarity relation .

Regular languages are also exactly those languages accepted by **finite automata** (FA).

Our definition of FA over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ :

- The finite set of states Q
- The initial state  $s_0 \in Q$
- The (decidable) transition relation  $\Delta \in (Q, \Sigma, Q)$ Deterministic FA:  $\Delta$  is functional and **total**.
- The set of finite states F, F  $\sqsubseteq$  Q

Let A be a FA.

$$\mathcal{L}(A) := \left\{ w \ | \ \exists s_1 \, , \, \dots \, s_{|w|} \, \in Q \ s.t. \ \forall \ i \colon 0 \ < i \ \leq n \ \rightarrow \, (s_{i-1}, w_i, s_i) \ \in \Delta \ \land \ s_{|w|} \in F \ \right\}$$

Finally, regular languages are also characterized by the Myhill-Nerode theorem.

First, we define an equivalence relation on L:

$$x \ R_L \ y \ := \ \forall z, \ x \cdot z \ \in L \ \Leftrightarrow \ y \cdot z \ \in L$$

**Myhill-Nerode theorem**: L is regular if and only if  $R_L$  divides L into a finite number of equivalence classes.

## **Previous work**

Constructively formalizing automata theory (2000)

Robert L. Constable, Paul B. Jackson, Pavel Naumov, Juan C. Uribe

PA: Nuprl

The first constructive formalization of MH.

Based on FA.

 Proof Pearl: Regular Expression Equivalence and Relation Algebra (2011)

Alexander Krauss, Tobias Nipkow

PA: Isabelle

Based on **derivatives of regexps**. No proof of termination.

Deciding Kleene Algebras in Coq (2011)

Thomas Braibant, Damien Pous

PA: Coq

Based on **FA**, matrices. Focus on performance.

 A Decision Procedure for Regular Expression Equivalence in Type Theory (2011)

Thierry Coquand, Vincent Siles

PA: Coq

Based on **derivatives of regexps**.

 A Formalisation of the Myhill-Nerode Theorem based on Regular Expressions (Proof Pearl) (2011)

Chunhan Wu, Xingyuan Zhang, Christian Urban

PA: Isabelle

The first proof of MH based on **derivatives of regexps**.

Deciding Regular Expressions (In-)Equivalence in Coq (2011)
 Nelma Moreira, David Pereira, Simão Melo de Sousa

PA: Coq

Based on Krauss, Nipkow. Proof of termination.

## **Our Development**

- We want to focus on elegance, not performance.
- Our main goals are MH and the decidability of regexp equivalence.
- We use finite automata.

They are not at all impractical. (Partly thanks to Ssreflect's finType)

## **Quick examples**

```
Record dfa : Type :=
   dfaI {
     dfa_state :> finType;
     dfa_s0: dfa_state;
     dfa_fin: pred dfa_state;
     dfa_step: dfa_state -> char -> dfa_state
}.

Fixpoint dfa_accept A (x: A) w :=
match w with
   | [::] => dfa_fin A x
     a::w => dfa_accept A (dfa_step A x a) w
end.
```

```
Record nfa : Type :=
   nfaI {
      nfa_state :> finType;
      nfa_s0: nfa_state;
      nfa_fin: pred nfa_state;
      nfa_step: nfa_state -> char -> pred nfa_state
   }.

Fixpoint nfa_accept A (x: A) w :=
match w with
   | [::] => nfa_fin A x
   | a::w => existsb y, (nfa_step A x a y) && nfa_accept A y w
end.
```

## 50% of NFA $\Rightarrow$ DFA (powerset construction)

```
Lemma nfa_to_dfa_correct2 (X: nfa_to_dfa) w:
    dfa_accept nfa_to_dfa X w -> existsb x, (x \in X) && nfa_accept A x w.
Proof. elim: w X => [|a w IHw] X.
    by [].
move/IHw => /existsP [] y /andP [].
rewrite /dfa_step /nfa_to_dfa_/=. rewrite cover_imset.
move/bigcupP => [] x HO HI H2.
apply/existsP. exists x. rewrite HO andTb.
apply/existsP. exists y. move: H1. rewrite in_set => ->.
exact: H2.
Qed.
```

# Roadmap

- 1. regexp  $\Rightarrow$  FA: closure of FA under  $\cdot$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$ , \*,  $\neg$ . (**Done**)
- 2. Emptiness test on FA (  $\emptyset(A)$  :=  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  =  $\emptyset$  ).
- 3. FA  $\Rightarrow$  regexp.
- 4. Dedicedability of regexp equivalence.

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{L}(s) \iff \emptyset(\mathcal{A}(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(s)}) \land \emptyset(\overline{\mathcal{A}(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}(s))$$

5. Finally, we want to prove the Myhill-Nerode theorem.

With this we'll have an extensive formalization of regular languages including regular expressions, FA and MH and all corresponding equivalences.