DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEEING MAJOR : CSL201

(Data Structures)

Max. Time - 2 hrs.

Max. Marks 70

Date: 28/Nov/06

Note: Answers of all the questions with all parts in the sequence.

Q1. (24)

- a. Write an algorithm to reverse the order of items in a queue Q using stack S. Use standard functions of queue & stack. (4)
- b. Suppose that you have to implement MIN-STACK which supports the stack operations PUSH and POP and a third operation FindSum which returns the sum of all elements in the stack, all in O(1) worst case time. Specify the data structures used along with implementation of all these three operations. (1+4)
- c. Design an efficient algorithm to find the middle node of a singly linked list. [Hint use multiple pointers and a single loop]. (4)
- d. Given a list of 'n' integers along with count field with each key containing the count (number of keys < the key), write an efficient algorithm to sort this list without using additional array. State the complexity of your algorithm. (4+1)
- e. You are given two AVL trees T1 and T2. Write an algorithm to merge T1 and T2 to get a height balanced tree. (6)
- Q2. (18) Please read parts (a to d) of this question carefully and answer all of them.

Rather than writing a stack and queue implementation from scratch, you decide to use a priority queue that is already implemented. You realize that by assigning the right priorities to data items when they are inserted, you can make data come out in either a LIFO or FIFO order. Your priority queue class has the following prototype:

```
class Pqueue
{
public:
void insert(int priority, dataType D); // Insert D with priority
dataType getmax();  // Get the max element and remove it
int empty();  // Return 1 if Pqueue is empty, 0 otherwise
Pqueue();  // Create an empty priority queue
};
```

and the insert definition says:

```
void Pqueue::insert(int priority, dataType D)
{ // pre: priority is any integer, positive or negative...
```

Both your stack and your queue should have member functions void insert(dataType D); // Insert data into the stack/queue dataType getnext(); // Get & remove the next element (in LIFO/FIFO order) int empty(); // Return 1 if stack/queue is empty, 0 otherwise

a. Write the class prototype for STACK and QUEUE which includes private data fields, and use a comment to describe each entry of the class:

(2+2)

- b. Write the getnext() member function for the STACK. Briefly describe how you would change it if you were to implement a queue. (3+2)
- e. Write the insert(dataType D) member function for the STACK. Briefly describe how you would ehange it if you were to implement a QUEUE. (3+2)
- d. If Pqueue class is implemented with a HEAP, then give the tightest big-O bounds you ean for
 - i. getnext() for your QUEUE class,
 - ii. insert() for your QUEUE class

Assume N elements in the queue. Briefly explain your answer.

(2+2)

Q3. (13)

a. Given the following Adjaceney Matrix, draw unweighted directed graph labelling nodes numerically starting at 1. (4)

	1		1		Ī
		1		1	
1	1		1		1
				1	
1	1		1		
\Box		1	1		

- b. Perform a depth first search on the above graph. Clearly show the tree edges. Start the search at node 1 and always select successors in numerically increasing order. What is the maximum size of the stack during this DFS run? (4+2)
- e. What is the maximum degree of any vertex in the graph? Does the graph have any directed cycles? (2+1)

Q4. (15)

- a. Starting with an empty B-Tree of order 4, insert elements into the B-tree with the following keys, showing the insertion at each step and each split operation:

 (5)

 55, 100, 90, 75, 10, 5, 15, 40, 65, 60, 50, 20, 30,
- b. Show the result of inserting 2,1,4,5,8,3,6,7 into an empty splay tree. [Show the tree at the end of each insertion] (4)
- c. Show the result of deleting node 8 from the splay tree constructed in (b). (2)
- d. Suppose we want to use an array to implement a stack. However, we do not know in advance the size to which the stack can grow. Indeed there may not be any apriori limit to the size of the stack. Suppose we start with a 'small' array A of size say 10. Now as long as our array bounds are not execeded, all we need is a variable "top" that points to top of stack (so A[top] is the next free eell). Each of PUSH and POP operations are O(1). However, when the array is full and we need to push a new element on, we have a problem! In that case we can allocate a new larger array of double the size [say], copy the old one over and then go on from there. This is going to be an expensive operation, so a push that requires us to do this is going to cost a lot. But maybe we can "amortize" the cost over the previous cheap operations that got us to this point.

Prove that with the above implementation of a stack, the cost of an arbitrary sequence of 'n' stack[push/pop] operations to the stack costs at most O(n) and uses at most O(n) memory.