

1&2.

P1) I am in a professional software environment and should adhere to the SECEPP rules and regulations.

P2) Clause 1.02: If I were to build the software it would be an infringement upon my responsibility to moderate the interests of the public good.

P3) Clause 2.06/2.07: By suppressing my knowledge of the problematic design time frame I am violating my responsibility to inform the employer of these major issues of concern.

P4) Clause 3.10/3.01: By not informing the higher up management of the software design concerns I am violating my responsibility to ensure proper resource allocation for testing and failing to inform the public of the associated risks they may incur by using the product.

P5) These actions must be in accordance with SECEPP because SECEPP is the universally accepted standard for this situation and environment.

Conclusion: I should not proceed with building this software and either resign or request to be put on a separate task.

3.

In order for me to be permitted to whistle blow in this situation I must ensure that both whistle blowing guidelines have been met. The first that states, I must be morally *permitted*, follows as true in this situation because the product could do serious harm to a patient, I have reported this as a serious threat and exhausted all internal procedural possibilities. The second condition, that I must be morally *obligated*, also must be satisfied. In this given situation, it has been shown that I have no convincing evidence to support my claim for whistleblowing. Because one of the two guidelines for whistleblowing is unsatisfied I would **not** be permitted to whistle blow in this situation.