Notes on tomography studies in southern California, with emphasis on the models used in SPECFEM3D_BASIN

Carl Tape July 13, 2007

This file: $\mbox{home/carltape/latex/notes/socal_notes.tex}$ Matlab file: $\mbox{home/carltape/matlab/scripts/socal1D.m}$

Contents

1	1D models for southern California								
	1.1 The Moho depth in the "standard" SoCal model								
2	3D models for southern California								
	2.1 Moho topography in southern California								
	2.2 Seismic velocity models								
	2.2.1 Models derived from ocean microseisms								
	2.3 Simulations								
3	The models used in SPECFEM3D_BASIN								
	3.1 1D model								
	3.1.1 subroutine socal_model.f90								
	3.2. 3D model								

1 1D models for southern California

Kanamori and Hadley (1975); Hadley and Kanamori (1977); Dreger and Helmberger (1990); Wald et al. (1995)

See Table 1.

1.1 The Moho depth in the "standard" SoCal model

The depth for the Moho was listed as 37 km in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1990, 1991a), 35 km in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1991b, 1993), and 32 km in *Wald et al.* (1995), who noted: "The crustal model used in the routine processing of all southern California events, the Hadley–Kanamori model (*Hadley and Kanamori*, 1977), has knowingly been in error since 1977. It has had an incorrect Moho depth of 37 km instead of 32 km." The depth presently used in the routine processing is 32 km (Kate Hutton, personal communication, 05-July-2007). According to Don Helmberger (personal communication, 05-July-2007), the depth of 37 km listed in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1990, 1991a) "is wrong". Support for the 35 km depth can be found in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1991b, Figure 2), "which compares complete waveforms and has the SmS phase marked. At GSC the agreement is quite good, whereas at PFO observed SmS seems early and there also appears to be an initial Sn" (Doug Dreger via Brian Savage, email communication, 11-July-2007).

Thus, the depth of 32 km is considered "standard" and agrees with more recent receiver function results (Section 2.1), while the depth of 35 km is the preferred value used by Doug Dreger (see email below), and also what has been used in the 1D model implemented in SPECFEM3D_BASIN.

2 3D models for southern California

2.1 Moho topography in southern California

Hearn (1984); Richards-Dinger and Shearer (1997); Zhu and Kanamori (2000); Yan and Clayton (2007)

Zhu and Kanamori (2000, p. 2969): "Applying this technique to 84 digital broadband stations in southern California reveals that the Moho depth is 29 km on average and varies from 21 to 37 km."

2.2 Seismic velocity models

Regional models:

Hauksson (2000); Magistrale et al. (2000); Süss and Shaw (2003); Yang and Forsyth (2006); Lin et al. (2007)

Local models:

Hearn and Clayton (1986a,b); Savage et al. (2003); Savage and Helmberger (2004) LA basin: Zhao et al. (2005)

2.2.1 Models derived from ocean microseisms

Background papers: Shapiro and Campillo (2004); Sabra et al. (2005a) Results: Shapiro et al. (2005); Sabra et al. (2005b)

2.3 Simulations

Komatitsch et al. (2004); Liu et al. (2004); Liu and Tromp (2006) Sources: Clinton et al. (2006)

3 The models used in SPECFEM3D_BASIN

3.1 1D model

The Socal-1D model used in SPECFEM3D_BASIN is specified according to the following parameters. From constants.h, we have:

```
! layers in the So-Cal regional model
double precision, parameter :: DEPTH_5p5km_SOCAL = -5500.d0
double precision, parameter :: DEPTH_16km_SOCAL = -16000.d0
double precision, parameter :: DEPTH_MOHO_SOCAL = -35000.d0
and from Par_file we have

DEPTH_BLOCK_KM = 60.d0
```

3.1.1 subroutine socal_model.f90

The flag parameters are specified in constants.h:

```
! define flag for elements
integer, parameter :: IFLAG_ONE_LAYER_TOPOGRAPHY = 1
integer, parameter :: IFLAG_BASEMENT_TOPO = 2
integer, parameter :: IFLAG_16km_BASEMENT = 3
integer, parameter :: IFLAG_MOHO_16km = 4
integer, parameter :: IFLAG_HALFSPACE_MOHO = 5
```

The subroutine is presently (28-June-2007) based on the model listed in Dreger and Helmberger (1991b)¹:

```
subroutine socal_model(idoubling,rho,vp,vs)
implicit none
include "constants.h"
integer idoubling
double precision rho, vp, vs
if(idoubling == IFLAG_HALFSPACE_MOHO) then
      vp = 7.8d0
      vs=4.5d0
      rho=3.0d0
else if(idoubling == IFLAG_MOHO_16km) then
      vp=6.7d0
      vs=3.87d0
      rho=2.8d0
else if(idoubling == IFLAG_ONE_LAYER_TOPOGRAPHY .or. idoubling == IFLAG_BASEMENT_TOPO) then
      vp=5.5d0
      vs=3.18d0
```

¹Dreger and Helmberger (1991b) specify a 35 km depth for the Moho, whereas Dreger and Helmberger (1990) list 37 km; see Table 1.

3.2 3D model

Table 1: Various published versions of southern California 1D models. Here we take the bottom of the domain to be 60 km, the depth used in the 3D simulations for southern California.

layer	top m	bottom m	thickness m	$\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{m \ s}^{-1}}$	β m s ⁻¹	ho kg m ⁻³	Reference
1	0	5500	5500	5500	3180	2400	Dreger and Helmberger (1990)
2	5500	16000	10500	6300	3640	2670	Dreger and Helmberger (1990)
3	16000	32000	16000	6700	3870	2800	Dreger and Helmberger (1990)
4	†32000	60000	28000	7800	4500	3000	Dreger and Helmberger (1990)
1	0	5500	5500	5500	_		Wald et al. (1995)
2	5500	16000	10500	6300	_	_	Wald et al. (1995)
3	16000	32000	16000	6700	_	_	Wald et al. (1995)
4	32000	60000	28000	7800			Wald et al. (1995)
1	0	4000	4000	5500	_	_	Kanamori and Hadley (1975)
2	4000	27400	23400	6300	_	_	Kanamori and Hadley (1975)
3	27400	32400	5000	6800	_	_	Kanamori and Hadley (1975)
4	32400	60000	27600	7800			Kanamori and Hadley (1975)

[†]See Section 1.1. The depth for the Moho was listed as 37 km in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1990, 1991a), 35 km in *Dreger and Helmberger* (1991b, 1993), and 32 km in *Wald et al.* (1995).

References

- Clinton, J. F., E. Hauksson, and K. Solanki, An evaluation of the SCSN moment tensor solutions: Robustness of the $M_{\rm w}$ magnitude scale, style of faulting, and automation of the method, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 96(5), 1689–1705, 2006.
- Dreger, D. S., and D. V. Helmberger, Broadband modeling of local earthquakes, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 80, 1162–1179, 1990.
- Dreger, D. S., and D. V. Helmberger, Complex faulting deduced from broadband modeling of the 28 February 1990 Upland earthquake ($M_L = 5.2$), Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 81(4), 1129–1144, 1991a.
- Dreger, D. S., and D. V. Helmberger, Source parameters of the Sierra Madre earthquake from regional and local body waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18(11), 2015–2018, 1991b.
- Dreger, D. S., and D. V. Helmberger, Determination of source parameters at regional distances with three-component sparse network data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98(B5), 8107–8125, 1993.
- Hadley, D., and H. Kanamori, Seismic structure of the Transverse Ranges, California, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 88, 1469–1478, 1977.
- Hauksson, E., Crustal structure and seismicity distribution adjacent to the Pacific and North America plate boundary in southern California, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 13,875–13,903, 2000.
- Hearn, T. H., and R. W. Clayton, Lateral velocity variations in southern California. I. Results for the upper crust from Pg waves, $Bull.\ Seismol.\ Soc.\ Am.,\ 76(2),\ 495–509,\ 1986a.$
- Hearn, T. H., and R. W. Clayton, Lateral velocity variations in southern California. II. Results for the lower crust from Pn waves, $Bull.\ Seismol.\ Soc.\ Am.,\ 76(2),\ 511-520,\ 1986b.$
- Hearn, T. M., Pn travel times in southern California, J. Geophys. Res., 89(B3), 1843–1855, 1984.
- Kanamori, H., and D. Hadley, Crustal structure and tempoal velocity change in southern California, *Pure App. Geophys.*, 113, 257–280, 1975.
- Komatitsch, D., Q. Liu, J. Tromp, P. Süss, C. Stidham, and J. H. Shaw, Simulations of ground motion in the Los Angeles basin based upon the spectral-element method, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 94, 187–206, 2004.
- Lin, G., P. M. Shearer, E. Hauksson, and C. H. Thurber, A 3-D crustal seismic velocity model for southern California from a composite event method, *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted, 2007.
- Liu, Q., and J. Tromp, Finite-frequency kernels based on adjoint methods, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 96, 2383–2397, 2006.
- Liu, Q., J. Polet, D. Komatitsch, and J. Tromp, Spectral-element moment tensor inversions for earthquakes in southern California, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 94, 1748–1761, 2004.
- Magistrale, H., S. Day, R. W. Clayton, and R. Graves, The SCEC Southern California reference three-dimensional velocity model Version 2, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 90 (6B), S65–S76, 2000.
- Richards-Dinger, K. B., and P. M. Shearer, Estimating crustal thickness in southern California by stacking PmP arrivals, J. Geophys. Res., 102(B7), 15,211-15,224, 1997.
- Sabra, K. G., P. Gerstoft, P. Roux, and W. A. Kuperman, Surface wave tomography from microseisms in Southern California, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L14311, doi:10.1029/2005GL023155, 2005a.

- Sabra, K. G., P. Gerstoft, P. Roux, W. A. Kuperman, and M. C. Fehler, Extracting time-domain Green's function estimates from ambient seismic noise, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L03310, doi:10.1029/2004GL021862, 2005b.
- Savage, B., and D. V. Helmberger, Complex Rayleigh waves resulting from deep sedimentary basins, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 218, 229–239, 2004.
- Savage, B., C. Ji, and D. V. Helmberger, Velocity variations in the uppermost mantle beneath the southern Sierra Nevada and Walker Lanee, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(B7), 2325, doi:10.1029/2001JB001393, 2003.
- Shapiro, N. M., and M. Campillo, Emergence of broadband Rayleigh waves from correlations of the ambient seismic noise, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L07614, doi:10.1029/2004GL019491, 2004.
- Shapiro, N. M., M. Campillo, L. Stehly, and M. H. Ritzwoller, High-resolution surface-wave tomography from ambient seismic noise, *Science*, 307, 1615–1618, 2005.
- Süss, M. P., and J. H. Shaw, P-wave seismic velocity structure derived from sonic logs and industry reflection data in the Los Angeles basin, California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(B3), 2170, doi:10.1029/2001JB001628, 2003.
- Wald, L. A., L. K. Hutton, and D. D. Given, The Southern California Network Bulletin: 1990–1993 summary, Seis. Res. Lett., 66(1), 9–19, 1995.
- Yan, Z., and R. W. Clayton, Regional mapping of the crustal structure in southern California from receiver functions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, B05311, doi:10.1029/2006JB004622, 2007.
- Yang, Y., and D. W. Forsyth, Rayleigh wave phase velocities, small-scale convection, and azimuthal anisotropy beneath southern California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, B07306, doi:10.1029/2005JB004180, 2006.
- Zhao, L., T. H. Jordan, K. B. Olsen, and P. Chen, Fréchet kernels for imaging regional earth structure based on three-dimensional reference models, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 95, 2066–2080, 2005.
- Zhu, L., and H. Kanamori, Moho depth variation in southern California from teleseismic receiver functions, J. Geophys. Res., 105(B2), 2969–2980, 2000.