

Write-Up ADDIS Re-run and Verification

University of Idaho Department of Statistical Science

Jarred Kvamme

2/25/2021

Re-analysis of GTEx Using MRPC-ADDIS

DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

(1) Implementation of the ADDIS improvement to the MRPC algorithm: This involved adapting the existing scripts developed by M. Badsha to rerun the GTEx data using the ADDIS version of the FDR control. (2) The distribution of model types (M0, M1, ... M4, Other) relative to each tissue was retained from the analyses for both **MRPC-LOND** and **MRPC-ADDIS** for comparison. Additionally, a host of programs were developed to identify the specific classification of each trio analyzed in each tissue. (3) These programs were further adapted to identify the number of trios for each tissue classified as cis or trans mediated (M1 type 1 or M1 type 2). Such information was again compared with results from **MRPC LOND** with the intention of understanding the differences in inferred networks between the two FDR control methods.

MAIN POINTS:

(1) Re-run of GTEx data with MRPC using the ADDIS FDR control

- Used the general format of the scripts created by M.Badsha to run MRPC on GTEx data for all available tissues.
- created a looped version of the script which runs and outputs the list of classifications and model counts for each table for seamless re-analysis

(2) Summarizing of differences between LOND and ADDIS

- The distribution of trio classifications for each tissue were quantified as the number of trios (counts) allocated to each model type (M0, M1, ... M4, Other)
- These counts compared numerically and visually to further analyze differences between distribution of model types between **ADDIS** and **LOND**
- Lastly, we followed up on differences between FDR methods at a finer level by investigating classification changes of individual trios and differences between their adjacency matrix

(3) Summarizing differences between LOND and ADDIS - Cis/Trans Mediation models

- We extracted the M1 classified trios for both **ADDIS** and **LOND** and classified them as Type-1 (cis mediated) and Type-2 (trans-mediated) by the structure of the adjacency matrix

- constructed a table of the frequency (counts) and relative proportion of M1-Type-1 and M2-Type-2 mediation models for each method and all tissues
- Summarized and compared mediation model trios of (Type-1,Type-2) numerically and visually for both **ADDIS** and **LOND**

Summarizing Information For LOND/ADDIS Comparisons




Figure 1: Density plots showing the distribution of each graph type under both ADDIS and LOND

Table 1: table of the average difference in count and percentage between ADDIS and LOND and their standard errors for the differences

	M0	M1	M2	M3	M4	Other
mean.change.ct	-235.06250	16.47917	21.41667	-13.83333	222.45833	-11.45833
SD.change.ct	187.55248	12.39078	13.72191	127.75214	121.25373	10.03814
mean.change%	-0.01742	0.00116	0.00166	-0.00107	0.01653	-0.00085
SD.change%	0.02104	0.00200	0.00186	0.01165	0.01706	0.00125




Figure 2: Density plots summarizing the differences between the relative counts for model 1 type 1 (M11) and Model 2 type 2 (M12) as well as the total distribution of Model 1's (MT)