

# Contribution Write-up 1/13/2021-2/26/2021

University of Idaho Department of Statistical Science

Jarred Kvamme

2/25/2021

## Incorporation of HiC Data To Verify Trans Mediated Regulation

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

HiC mapping quality thresholded chromatin interaction data was obtained from the ENCODE consortium for four tissues (lymphoblastoid cells, fibroblast cells, skin, and lung) to identify the presence of interaction enrichment for trios classified as trans-mediated cis regulation by **MRPC-ADDIS** (Davis et al. 2018; Consortium and others 2012). For each trio classified as trans-mediated, the binned interactions between genomic regions was extracted at 10,000 bp resolution using the *StrawR* package provided by Aiden Lab for use with the *.hic* file format (Durand et al. 2016). From this data, the total number of interactions between each trio's variant and it's associated trans gene was checked. This was done by summing all interaction counts for a 200,000 bp bin around the positions of both the variant and trans gene on their respective chromosomes. This was considered the observed number of interactions for a variant/trans-gene pair. Trios that had no observed interactions (or for which the data wasn't available) were designated missing or "NA"

To identify enrichment, we conducted 10,000 resamplings of interactions between randomly selected and uniformly probable positions throughout the trans-gene and variant's respective chromosomes. As with the observed pairs, the total number of interactions was counted for a 200,000 bp bin around the gene and variant chromosomal positions. This served as a comparative unique null distribution of counts for each trio and was used to ascertain the upper-tail probability of a pair's observed number of interactions.

Many of the randomly selected 200,000 bp regions had either no interactions or no reads available. Without the ability to discern between unavailable data and 0 interaction values between two randomly selected regions, all empty interaction pairs were treated as missing data points and designated "NA". Therefore, the 10,000 resamples for each trio were partitioned into available data (non-NA) and unavailable data (NA's). In calculating the upper tail probability it is of significance to consider the quantity of unavailable data for a specific variant/trans-gene pair.

$$P_{obs} = P(A_i \geq A_{obs} | A_i \neq NA) = \frac{P(A_i \geq A_{obs} \cap A_i \neq NA)}{P(A_i \neq NA)}$$

using the following indicator functions:

$$\text{Let } f(A_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A_i \neq NA \ \forall i \in 1 : N \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } g(B_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } B_j \geq B_{obs} \ \forall j \in 1 : n_1, \ B \subseteq A \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Where  $n_1$  is the number of resamples not in the set of  $NA$ 's and  $n_2$  be number of resamples in the complement event such that  $n_1 + n_2 = N = 10,000$ . Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)}{N}}{\left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)}{N} \right) + \left( \frac{n_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N f(A_i)}{N} \right)} \\
&= \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{N}}{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} f(B_j)}{N} + \frac{n_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} f(B_j)}{N}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j) + \left( n_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j) \right)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} g(B_j)}{n_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that a much simpler approach is to notice the exclusivity of the complement event  $A_i = NA$  and the event  $A_i \geq A_{obs}$  which leads to the realization that  $P(A_i \geq A_{obs} | A_i \neq NA) \equiv P(B_j \geq B_{obs}) \forall j \in 1 : n_1$

Significant enrichment was defined as an observed probability less than the threshold  $\alpha$  taken at the usual level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . To control for the false detection of significant enrichment, two FDR and one FWER correction were applied to the empirical probabilities which included: Holm-Bonferroni (FWER), BY method (FDR), and the BH method (FDR). After Correction, none of the empirical probabilities were significant.

All parts of the HiC/Trans Mediated verification process were repeated for the trans mediated trios classified by **MRPC-LOND**. Under both methods none of the empirical p-values remained significant after adjusting for multiple testing.

## MAIN POINTS:

### (1) Identification of Trans Mediated Trios and Respective Meta-Data

- HiC data for four tissues (Lung, Skin, Lymphoblastoid Cells, Fibroblast Cells) was obtained from the ENCODE consortium
- All trans-mediated (M1 type-2) classified trios from both **ADDIS** and **LOND** methods were extracted using *ADDIS.M1.check()* function
- For each trio the SNP, Cis, and trans gene chromosome number and base pair positions on the chromosome were obtained for alignment with HiC data

### (2) Extraction of HiC Interaction Data

- We used the *StrawR* package in *R* to extract reads between specific regions on the SNP and trans-gene chromosomes.
- The extracted reads are returned as counts per bin for all bins nested within the desired region and at a desired resolution.
- In *StrawR* resolution refers to the bin-size applied to the counts between chromosomal regions. Therefore the number of interactions for a region is the sum of the counts for all bins nested in that region
- The resolution was arbitrarily set to 10,000 base pairs which was the smallest resolution shared by all tissues.

- To ensure coverage of the regulatory elements of both the SNP and trans-gene, we extracted and totaled all interactions between 200,000 base pair regions on each chromosome and centered at the SNP's/trans-gene's starting position on that chromosome
- if no interactions existed for a SNP/trans-gene pair, the count/total was replaced by "NA" to indicate the information was not available
- The count of interactions between the SNP and trans-gene was carried out and stored for each M1 type-2 trio classified by both **MRPC** methods

### (3) Calculation of Empirical P-values By MC Sampling

- Empirical p-values were used to determine if an observed number of interactions for a SNP/trans-gene pair was significant
- Empirical p-values were calculated by randomly sampling the interactions between the SNP chromosome and the trans-gene chromosome to construct an empirical null distribution of interactions (see section (4) for more detail)
- Two empirical p-values were calculated:
  - 1 the ratio of the number of non-NA samples larger than the observed number of interactions to of the total number of non-NA samples
  - 2 the ratio of the number of non-NA samples larger than the observed number of interactions to the total number of samples

### (4) MC Sampling Procedure

- A single sample point was defined as the total number of interactions between two randomly positioned 200,000 bp regions; one on the SNP chromosome and one on the trans chromosome.
- At each iteration in the sampling procedure, we first selected a single position on each chromosome by a random draw from a uniform distribution with parameters tailored to the respective chromosome
- The randomly selected position was then treated as the center of a 200,000 bp region around that position.
- Again, a region was selected for both the SNP and trans-gene chromosomes and the number of interactions between them was the total of the bins shared by both regions
- if no interactions existed for a pair of random regions, the count/total was replaced by "NA" to indicate the information was not available
- the boundaries of the uniform distribution used to select a position on a given chromosome were the minimum and maximum extents of the available data for that chromosome after adjusting the min/max values to account for the size of the region
- this process was repeated 10,000 times for each SNP/trans-gene pair to produce a unique empirical null distribution representative of the number of interactions between the SNP chromosome and trans-gene chromosome
- the number of samplings was arbitrarily set to 10,000 to ensure the number of non-NA sample points was sufficiently large
- For the smallest chromosomes, it was possible, but unlikely, for the counted regions of some samples to overlap

Consortium, ENCODE Project, and others. 2012. “An Integrated Encyclopedia of Dna Elements in the Human Genome.” *Nature* 489 (7414): 57.

Davis, Carrie A, Benjamin C Hitz, Cricket A Sloan, Esther T Chan, Jean M Davidson, Idan Gabdank, Jason A Hilton, et al. 2018. “The Encyclopedia of Dna Elements (Encode): Data Portal Update.” *Nucleic Acids Research* 46 (D1): D794–D801.

Durand, Neva C, James T Robinson, Muhammad S Shamim, Ido Machol, Jill P Mesirov, Eric S Lander, and Erez Lieberman Aiden. 2016. “Juicebox Provides a Visualization System for Hi-c Contact Maps with Unlimited Zoom.” *Cell Systems* 3 (1): 99–101.