Test Plan

Author: Noah Zhang, Lucas Loaiza, Shang Wang, Siegfred Madeghe

1 Testing Strategy

1.1 Overall strategy

Software testing is a crucial part of building a software system. And in this project, the formulated test plan inspected the quality of the developed mobile application. The testing plan for this project includes three stages. The first stage is unit testing; the second stage is integration testing; the third stage is system testing.

1.1.1 Unit Test

Unit testing was the first stage in testing the app. In unit testing, the performed tests ran after writing the functions making the implemented classes. This method made sure that the units developed were robust and worked smoothly.

1.1.2 Integration Testing

Integration testing is the type of software testing that follows after performing unit testing. In integration testing, the components tested in the unit testing phase are then glued together and then inspected together as a group. This logical flow was used in implementing integration testing when closely related, tested components from the unit testing phase were grouped and then tested to perform certain tasks. The results were then analyzed to ensure that the observed results were correct and the codes bug-free.

1.1.3 System Testing

In this stage, the complete application was tested. The tests ran in this stage made sure that the basic requirements were met and that the application was running error free. The tests were performed using the emulator because none of the teammates had Android phones.

1.2 Test Selection

- The unit testing was performed using White Box Testing. The system was automated using testing tools.
- For the integration testing, Black Box Testing was mainly used. In some test cases, however, Gray Box testing was implemented.
- System testing: Black Box Testing was used. The testing was done manually using the emulator.

1.3 Adequacy Criterion

The main criteria used to determine whether a test passed or not was whether the outcome from the test matched what was expected--in this case, the required functionalities. This key criterion was the same for all test levels implemented.

1.4 Bug Tracking

In building the software for the developed application, the testing emphasis was on unit testing. Because it is easier to track bugs at the unit testing level; nevertheless, this does not mean that the test levels were not carefully considered. For example, the plan for bug tracking on integration testing was planned to be: Test, understand the bug, refer to the component-groups producing the bug, go further to the unit components in the groups, and then fix the bug. This way of tracking bugs simplified the process of spotting the sub-systems and the unit components that might have caused the bugs.

1.5 Technology

The key technology used for the testing was JUnit. Other tools, like Serenity, were used, too.

2 Test Cases

| ID | Purpose | Steps | Expected Results | Actual Results | Pass/Fail | Comments |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Test_01 | To verify that clicking the ascendi ng and descend ing button changes the ordering of the app names | Selec t the settin g butto n and then chan ge the settin g under the orderi ng secti on accor dingl y. | Ordering of the apps should change according to the chosen manner of orderingwhether ascending or descendin g. | The apps order change d to alphabe tical order in ascending when the chosen setting was ascending order and to descending when the chosen method was descending order | Pass | The test passed and met the requirements set forth by the testing criterion |

| Test_02 | To verify that the chart showing the battery level changes accordin g to the changes in the actual battery level | Use the emul ator to chan ge the batter y level and obser ve how the graph chan ges | The graph should dynamical ly change when the battery level changes | When the battery level was manuall y change dusing the simulat or, the graph also change d. This was done on the XXX device on the | Pass | The test should be done on an actual android device to ensure that the functionalities are working just fine |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Test_03 | To verify that push notificati ons are sent to users when battery level drops below a | On the settin g menu , enabl e notifi catio ns and then | When the battery level is below the threshold value, there should be a push notificatio n sent to the user | Android phone The notificat ion setting was enabled and threshol d value set to 30%. When the | Pass | Push notification works according to the criterion set by the testing document |

| | certain threshol d | set the thres hold value | | battery level droppe d below 30%, a push notificat ion was sent | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Test_04 | To verify that the apps that use the battery can be listed accordingly | Open sever al appli catio ns and let them run on the back groun d | The apps running on the backgrou nd and thus consumin g battery power should be listed by the applicatio n | The apps consum ing the battery energy were not listed as expecte d | Fail | The test case failed. The integrated units that make the apps listing features should be debugged |
| Test_05 | To verify that the apps once in an arrange d order-alphabet ically ascending or descendingcan | Arran ge the apps in either asce nding or desc endin g order | The apps will retain its original order once the app ordering feature is disabled | The apps retained the original order when the orderin g feature was | Pass | The test passed, but, again, testing it on a real android application would be great |

| | retain its original form once the ascendi ng feature is disabled | , then chan ge the settin gs to disab le the app ordering feature | | disable d | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Test_06 | To verify that once the push notificati on feature is disabled, then no notificati ons will be pushed to users even when the battery levels goes below a set threshol d | Set the thres hold value s on the settin g but do not enabl e the push notificatio n featur e | It is expected that no notificatio n will be pushed once the feature is disabled even when the battery level goes below the set threshold | No push notificat ion was sent to users even when the battery level went below the set threshol d value | Pass | Expected and actual results matched. The feature does work |