Softwaretechnik

Lecture 18: Featherweight Java

Peter Thiemann

University of Freiburg, Germany

19.07.2012

Contents

Featherweight Java

The language shown in examples Formal Definition Operational Semantics Typing Rules

Type Safety of Java

- ▶ 1995 public presentation of Java
- Obtained importance very quickly
- Questions
 - Type safety?
 - Semantics of Java?
- ▶ 1997/98 resolved
 - Drossopoulou/Eisenbach
 - ► Flatt/Krishnamurthi/Felleisen
 - Igarashi/Pierce/Wadler (Featherweight Java, FJ)

Featherweight Java

- Construction of a formal model: consideration of completeness and compactness
- ▶ FJ: minimal model (compactness)
- complete definition: one page
- ambition:
 - the most important language features
 - short proof of type soundness
 - ightharpoonup FJ \subseteq Java



The Language FJ

- class definition
- object creation new
- method call (dynamic dispatch), recursion with this
- ▶ field access
- type cast
- ▶ method *override*
- subtypes

Omitted

- assignment
- interfaces
- overloading
- **super**-calls
- null-references
- primitive types
- abstract methods
- inner classes
- shadowing of fields of super classes
- access control (private, public, protected)
- exceptions
- concurrency
- reflection, generics, variable argument lists

Example Programs

```
class A extends Object { A() { super (); } }
class B extends Object { B() { super (); } }
class Pair extends Object {
  Object fst:
  Object snd;
  // Constructor
  Pair (Object fst, Object snd) {
    super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
  // Method definition
  Pair setfst (Object newfst) {
    return new Pair (newfst, this.snd);
```

Explanation

- ► Class definition: always define super class
- Constructors:
 - one per class, always defined
 - arguments correspond to fields
 - always the same form: super-call, then copy the arguments into the fields
- ▶ field accesses and method calls always with receiver object
- method body: always in the form return...

Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

Type cast

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd
```

▶ Type cast (Pair) is needed, because **new** Pair (...).fst has the type Object.

9 / 40

Field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

10 / 40

Field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd

// will be evaluated to

new B()
```

Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
```

yields a substitution

```
  [ \textbf{new} \ \mathsf{B}() \big/ \mathsf{newfst}, \quad \textbf{new} \ \mathsf{Pair} \ (\textbf{new} \ \mathsf{A}(), \ \textbf{new} \ \mathsf{B}()) \big/ \textbf{this} ]
```

Evaluate the method body **new** Pair (newfst, **this**.snd) under this substitution. The substitution yields

```
new Pair (new B(), new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd)
```

Type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

Run-time check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

Type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

Run-time check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

Call-by-value evaluation

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd
// 
((Pair) new Pair (new A(), new B ())).snd
// 
new Pair (new A(), new B ()).snd
// 
new B()
```

Runtime Errors

Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

Runtime Errors

Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

Call of non-existing method

new A().setfst (new B())

No value, no evaluation rule matches

Runtime Errors

Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

Call of non-existing method

new A().setfst (**new** B())

No value, no evaluation rule matches

Failing type cast

(B)new A ()

- ► A is not subtype of B
- ⇒ no value, no evaluation rule matches

12 / 40

Guarantees of Java's Type System

If a Java program is type correct, then

- all field accesses refer to existing fields
- all method calls refer to existing methods,
- but failing type casts are possible.

Formal Definition

Syntax

```
CI ::=
                                              class definition
           class C extends D {C_1 f_1; ... K M_1 ...}
K
                                      constructor definition
           C(C_1 \ f_1, \dots) \ \{ super(g_1, \dots); this. f_1 = f_1; \dots \}
Μ
                                          method definition
           C m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ return t; \}
                                                  expressions
                                                      variable
           Х
           t.f
                                                  field access
           t.m(t_1,...)
                                                 method call
           new C(t_1, \ldots)
                                              object creation
           (C) t
                                                    type cast
                                                        values
           new C(v_1, \dots)
                                              object creation
```

19.07.2012

Syntax—Conventions

- this
 - special variable, do not use it as field name or parameter
 - implicit bound in each method body
- sequences of field names, parameter names and method names include no repetition
- ▶ class C extends D { C_1 f_1 ; ... K M_1 ...}
 - defines class C as subclass of D
 - fields $f_1 \dots$ with types $C_1 \dots$
 - constructor K
 - methods $M_1 \dots$
 - fields from D will be added to C, shadowing is not supported



Syntax—Conventions

- ▶ $C(D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots) \ \{super(g_1, \ldots); this. f_1 = f_1; \ldots\}$
 - define the constructor of class C
 - fully specified by the fields of C and the fields of the super classes.
 - ▶ number of parameters is equal to number of fields in *C* and all its super classes.
 - **b** body start with $\mathbf{super}(g_1, \dots)$, where g_1, \dots corresponds to the fields of the super classes
- $D m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ return t; \}$
 - defines method m
 - ► result type *D*
 - parameter $x_1 \dots$ with types $C_1 \dots$
 - body is a return statement

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臺▶ ◆臺▶ · 臺 · 釣९○

Class Table

- ▶ The *class table CT* is a map from class names to class definitions
 - ⇒ each class has exactly one definition
 - the CT is global, it corresponds to the program
 - "arbitrary but fixed"
- Each class except Object has a superclass
 - Object is not part of CT
 - Object has no fields
 - ▶ Object has no methods (≠ Java)
- ▶ The class table defines a subtype relation *C* <: *D* over class names
 - the reflexive and transitive closure of subclass definitions.

Subtype Relation

REFL
$$\overline{C <: C}$$

TRANS $\overline{C <: D \quad D <: E}$
 $C <: E$

EXT $\overline{C <: D}$

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Consistency of CT

- 1. CT(C) =**class** $C \dots$ for all $C \in dom(CT)$
- 2. Object $\notin dom(CT)$
- 3. For each class name C mentioned in CT: $C \in dom(CT) \cup \{Object\}$
- 4. The relation <: is antisymmetric (no cycles)

Example: Classes Do Refer to Each Other

```
class Author extends Object {
  String name; Book bk;
  Author (String name, Book bk) {
    super();
    this.name = name;
    this.bk = bk;
class Book extends Object {
  String title; Author ath;
  Book (String title, Author ath) {
    super();
    this.title = title:
    this.ath = ath;
```

Collect fields of classes

$$fields(Object) = ullet$$

$$CT(C) = \mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1, \dots$$

$$fields(C) = D_1 \ g_1, \dots, C_1 \ f_1, \dots$$

- ▶ — empty list
- fields(Author) = String name; Book bk;
- Usage: evaluation steps, typing rules

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 りゅう

Compute type of a method

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$mtype(m, D) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$$

$$mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$$

► Usage: typing rules

Determine body of a method

$$CT(C) = \mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{\mathbf{return} \ t; \}$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)$$

$$CT(C) = \mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{\mathbf{return} \ t; \}$$

$$mbody(m, D) = (y_1 \dots, u)$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (y_1 \dots, u)$$

Usage: evaluation steps

Correct overriding of a method

$$override(m, Object, (E_1 ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; ... \ K \ M_1 ...\}$$

$$M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, ...) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1 ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; ... \ K \ M_1 ...\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, ...) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$override(m, D, (E_1, ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1, ...) \rightarrow E)$$

Usage: typing rules

Example

```
class Recording extends Object {
    int high; int today; int low;
    Recording (int high, int today, int low) { ... }
    int dHigh() { return this.high; }
    int dLow() { return this.low }
    String unit() { return "not set"; }
    String asString() {
        return String.valueOf(high)
             .concat("-")
             .concat (String.valueOf(low))
             .concat (unit());
class Temperature extends ARecording {
  Temperature (int high, int today, int low) { super(high, today, low); }
  String unit() { return "°C"; }
```

- ▶ fields(Object) = •
- fields(Temperature) = fields(Recording) = int high; int today; int low;
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Recording) = () \rightarrow String$
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Temperature) = () \rightarrow String$
- mtype(dHigh, Recording) = () → int
- ▶ $mtype(dHigh, Temperature) = () \rightarrow int$
- verride(dHigh, Object, () → int)
- ▶ override(dHigh, Recording, () → int)
- ightharpoonup override(dHigh, Temperature, () \rightarrow int)
- ▶ $mbody(unit, Recording) = (\varepsilon, "not set")$
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Temperature) = (\varepsilon, "\circ C")$

Operational Semantics (definition of the evaluation steps)

Direct Evaluation Steps

Evaluation: relation $t \longrightarrow t'$ for one evaluation step

E-ProjNew
$$\frac{\text{fields}(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)).f_i \longrightarrow v_i}$$

E-InvkNew
$$\frac{mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)}{(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)).m(u_1, \dots)}$$

 $\longrightarrow t[\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)/\text{this}, u_1, \dots/x_1, \dots]$

E-CASTNEW
$$\frac{C <: D}{(D)(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)) \longrightarrow \text{new } C(v_1, \dots)}$$

◄□▶◀圖▶◀불▶◀불▶ 불 쒸٩○

Evaluation Steps in Context

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{E-Field} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t.f \longrightarrow t'.f} \\ \\ \text{E-Invk-Recv} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t.m(t_1,\ldots) \longrightarrow t'.m(t_1,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-Invk-Arg} \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{v.m(v_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots) \longrightarrow v.m(v_1,\ldots,t'_i,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-New-Arg} \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{\mathsf{new} \ C(v_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new} \ C(v_1,\ldots,t'_i,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-Cast} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{(C)t \longrightarrow (C)t'} \end{array}$$

4□ ト 4個 ト 4 重 ト 4 重 ト 9 へ (?)

Example: Evaluation Steps

```
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-New-Arg], [E-InvkNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new B(), new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-ProjNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new B(), new B()))).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-CastNew]
(new Pair (new B(), new B())).fst
// \rightarrow [E-ProjNew]
new B()
```

31 / 40

Typing Rules

Typing Rules

Overview of typing judgments

- C <: D</p>
 C is subtype of D
- A ⊢ t : C
 Under type assumption A, the expression t has type C.
- F m(C₁ x₁,...) {return t; } OK in C Method declaration is accepted in class C.
- ► class C extends D {C₁ f₁; ... K M₁...} OK Class declaration is accepted
- Type assumptions defined by

$$A ::= \emptyset \mid A, x : C$$



Accepted Class Declaration

$$K = C(D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots) \ \{ \mathbf{super}(g_1, \ldots); \mathbf{this}. f_1 = f_1; \ldots \}$$

$$fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1 \ldots$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \ \mathsf{OK} \ \mathsf{in} \ C$$

$$\mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{ C_1 \ f_1; \ldots \ K \ M_1 \ldots \}$$

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めらゆ

Accepted Method Declaration

$$x_1: C_1, \ldots, \text{this}: C \vdash t: E$$
 $E \lt: F$
 $CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \ldots$
 $override(m, D, (C_1, \ldots) \rightarrow F)$
 $F m(C_1 x_1, \ldots) \text{ {return } } t; \text{ } OK \text{ in } C$



Expression Has Type

$$T-VAR \frac{x: C \in A}{A \vdash x: C}$$

$$T-FIELD \frac{A \vdash t: C \quad fields(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{A \vdash t. f_i: C_i}$$

$$F-INVK \frac{A \vdash t: C \quad (\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i: C_i \quad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i}{A \vdash t. m(t_1, \dots) : D}$$

$$F-NEW \frac{(\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i: C_i \quad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i}{A \vdash new \ C(t_1, \dots) : C}$$

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q P

Type Rules for Type Casts

T-UCAST
$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \quad D <: C}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$
T-DCAST $\frac{A \vdash t : D \quad C <: D \quad C \neq D}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$

◆ロト ◆団ト ◆意ト ◆意ト ・意 ・ 夕久で

Type Safety for Featherweight Java

- "Preservation" and "Progress" yields type safety
- ▶ "Preservation": If $A \vdash t : C$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $A \vdash t' : C'$ with $C' \lt: C$.
- ▶ "Progress": (short version) If $A \vdash t : C$, then $t \longrightarrow t'$, for some t', or $t \equiv v$ is a value, or t contains a subexpression e'

$$e'\equiv (C)({\sf new}\ D(v_1,\dots))$$

with $D \nleq C$.



- ▶ All method calls and field accesses evaluate without errors.
- Type casts can fail.



Problems in the Preservation Proof

Type casts destroy preservation

- ► Consider the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- It holds that ∅ ⊢(A) ((Object)new B()): A
- ▶ It holds that (A) ((Object)new B()) → (A) (new B())
- ▶ But (A) (new B()) has no type!

Problems in the Preservation Proof

Type casts destroy preservation

- Consider the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- It holds that ∅ ⊢(A) ((Object)new B()): A
- It holds that (A) ((Object)new B()) → (A) (new B())
- But (A) (new B()) has no type!
- Workaround: add additional rule for this case (stupid cast) —subsequent evaluation step fails

T-SCAST
$$A \vdash t : D$$
 $C \nleq D$ $D \nleq C$

▶ We can prove preservation with this rule.

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q P

Statement of Type Safety

If $A \vdash t : C$, then one of the following cases applies:

1. t does not terminate i.e., there exists an infinite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

2. t evaluates to a value v after a finite number of evaluation steps i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow t_n = v$$

3. t gets stuck at a failing cast i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow t_n$$

where t_n contains a subterm (C) (**new** $D(v_1, ...)$) such that $D \nleq C$.