Softwaretechnik

Lecture 04: Featherweight Java

Peter Thiemann

Universität Freiburg, Germany

SS 2008

Contents

Featherweight Java

The language shown in examples Formal Definition Operational Semantics Typing Rules

Type Safety of Java

- ▶ 1995 public presentation of Java
- obtain importance very fast
- Questions
 - type safety?
 - What does Java mean?
- ▶ 1997/98 resolved
 - Drossopoulou/Eisenbach
 - ► Flatt/Krishnamurthi/Felleisen
 - ▶ Igarashi/Pierce/Wadler (Featherweight Java, FJ)

Featherweight Java

- Construction of a formal model: consideration of completeness and compactness
- ▶ FJ: minimal model (compactness)
- complete definition: one page
- ambition:
 - the most important language features
 - short prove of type soundness
 - ightharpoonup FJ \subseteq Java

The Language FJ

- class definition
- object creation new
- method call (dynamic dispatch), recursion with this
- ▶ field access
- type cast
- override of methods
- subtypes

Omitted

- assignments
- interfaces
- overload
- **super**-calls
- null-references
- primitive types
- abstract methods
- inner classes
- shadowing of fields of super classes
- access control (private, public, protected)
- exceptions
- concurrency
- reflections

Example Programs

```
class A extends Object { A() { super (); } }
class B extends Object { B() { super (); } }
class Pair extends Object {
  Object fst:
  Object snd;
  // Constructor
  Pair (Object fst, Object snd) {
    super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
  // Method definition
  Pair setfst (Object newfst) {
    return new Pair (newfst, this.snd);
```

- class definition: always define super class
- constructors:
 - one per class, always defined
 - arguments correspond to fields
 - always the same form: super-call, then copy the arguments into the fields
- field accesses and method calls always with recipient object
- method body: always in the form return...

method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

type cast

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd
```

- ▶ includes type cast (Pair)
- ▶ It's needed, because new Pair (...).fst has the type Object.

field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
```

yields a substitution

```
[\mathsf{new}\ \mathsf{B}()/\mathsf{newfst},\quad \mathsf{new}\ \mathsf{Pair}\ (\mathsf{new}\ \mathsf{A}(),\ \mathsf{new}\ \mathsf{B}())/\mathsf{this}]
```

We have to evaluate the method body **new** Pair (newfst, **this**.snd) under this this substitution. The substitution yields

```
new Pair (new B(), new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd)
```

type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// wird ausgewertet nach
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

runtime check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// wird ausgewertet nach
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

runtime check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

call-by-Value evaluation

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd
// →
((Pair) new Pair (new A(), new B ())).snd
// →
new Pair (new A(), new B ()).snd
// →
new B()
```

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

new A().fst

no value, no evaluation rule matchs

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

new A().fst

no value, no evaluation rule matchs

call of non existing method

new A().setfst (new B())

no value, no evaluation rule matchs

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

new A().fst

no value, no evaluation rule matchs

call of non existing method

new A().setfst (new B())

no value, no evaluation rule matchs

illegal type cast

(B)new A ()

- ► A is not subtype of B
- ⇒ no value, no evaluation rule matchs



Guarantees of Javas Type System

If a Java program is type correct, then

- access to non existing fields is not possible
- call of non existing methods is not possible, but
- illegal type casts are possible.

Formal Definition

Syntax

```
CI ::=
                                              class definition
           class C extends D \{C_1 f_1; ... K M_1 ...\}
K
                                       constructor defintion
           C(C_1 \ f_1, \dots) \ \{ super(g_1, \dots); this. f_1 = f_1; \dots \}
Μ
                                         methode definition
           C m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ return t; \}
                                                  expressions
                                                      variable
           Х
           t.f
                                                   field access
           t.m(t_1,...)
                                                  method call
           new C(t_1, \ldots)
                                              object creation
           (C) t
                                                     type cast
                                                        values
           new C(v_1, \dots)
                                              object creation
```

Syntax—Conventions

- this
 - special variable, do not use it as field name or parameter
 - implicit bound in each method body
- sequences of field names, parameter names and method names include no repetition
- ▶ class C extends D { C_1 f_1 ; . . . K M_1 . . . }
 - defines class C as subclass of D
 - fields $f_1 \dots$ with types $C_1 \dots$
 - constructor K
 - ightharpoonup methods $M_1 \dots$
 - ▶ fields from *D* will be added to *C*, shadowing is not supported

Syntax—Conventions

- ▶ $C(D_1 g_1, ..., C_1 f_1, ...)$ {super($g_1, ...$); this. $f_1 = f_1; ...$ }
 - define the constructor of class C
 - It's fully specified from the fields from C and the fields from the super classes.
 - ▶ The count of parameters is equal to the count of fields in *C* and all its super classes.
 - body start with $\mathbf{super}(g_1, \dots)$, where g_1, \dots corresponds to the fields of the super classes
- $D m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ return t; \}$
 - defines method m
 - result type D
 - ightharpoonup parameter $x_1 \dots$ with types $C_1 \dots$
 - body is a return statement



Class Table

- ▶ The *class table CT* is a map from class names to class definitions
 - ⇒ each class has exactly one definition
 - the CT is global, it corresponds to the program
 - "arbitrary but fixed"
- each class except Object has a super class
 - Object is not part of CT
 - Object has no fields
 - ▶ Object has no methods (≠ Java)
- ▶ The class table defines a subtype relation *C* <: *D* over class names
 - the reflexive and transitive closure of subclass definitions.

Subtype Relation

REFL
$$\overline{C <: C}$$

TRANS $\overline{C <: D \quad D <: E}$
 $C <: E$

EXT $\overline{C <: C}$

Consistency of CT

- 1. CT(C) =**class** $C \dots$ for all $C \in dom(CT)$
- 2. Object ∉ dom(CT)
- For each class name C, that is mentioned in CT:
 C ∈ dom(CT) ∪ {Object}
- 4. The relation <: is antisymmetric (no cycles)

Example: Classes Do Refer to Each Other

```
class Author extends Object {
  String name; Book bk;
  Author (String name, Book bk) {
    super();
    this.name = name;
    this.bk = bk;
class Book extends Object {
  String title; Author ath;
  Book (String title, Author ath) {
    super();
    this.title = title:
    this.ath = ath;
```

collect Fields of classes

$$fields(Object) = \bullet$$

$$CT(C) = class \ C \ extends \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1, \dots$$

$$fields(C) = D_1 \ g_1, \dots, C_1 \ f_1, \dots$$

- ▶ — empty list
- fields(Author) = String name; Book bk;
- Usage: evaluation steps, typing rules



Auxiliary Definitions

detect type of methods

$$CT(C) =$$
class C extends D $\{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$
 $M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{$ return $t; \}$
 $mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$
 $CT(C) =$ class C extends D $\{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$
 $(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{$ return $t; \}$
 $mtype(m, D) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$
 $mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$

Usage: typing rules

◆□ > ◆圖 > ◆불 > ◆불 > · 불 · ∽ Q ○

determine body of method

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \textbf{ extends } D \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$mbody(m, D) = (y_1 \dots, u)$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (y_1 \dots, u)$$

Usage: evaluation steps

| **イロト 4回 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト - 恵 - り**90で

Auxiliary Definitions

correct overriding of methods

$$override(m, Object, (E_1 ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \ \textbf{extends } D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; ... \ K \ M_1 ...\}$$

$$M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, ...) \ \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1 ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \ \textbf{extends } D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; ... \ K \ M_1 ...\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, ...) \ \{\textbf{return } t; \}$$

$$override(m, D, (E_1, ...) \rightarrow E)$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1, ...) \rightarrow E)$$

Usage: typing rules

マロンマラン イヨンマヨン ヨー かりぐ

Example

```
class Recording extends Object {
    int high; int today; int low;
    Recording (int high, int today, int low) { ... }
    int dHigh() { return this.high; }
    int dLow() { return this.low }
    String unit() { return "not set"; }
    String asString() {
        return String.valueOf(high)
             .concat("-")
             .concat (String.valueOf(low))
             .concat (unit());
class Temperature extends ARecording {
  Temperature (int high, int today, int low) { super(high, today, low); }
  String unit() { return "°C"; }
```

- ▶ fields(Object) = •
- fields(Temperature) = fields(Recording) = int high; int today; int low;
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Recording) = () \rightarrow String$
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Temperature) = () \rightarrow String$
- mtype(dHigh, Recording) = () → int
- ▶ $mtype(dHigh, Temperature) = () \rightarrow int$
- verride(dHigh, Object, () → int)
- verride(dHigh, Recording, () → int)
- ightharpoonup override(dHigh, Temperature, () \rightarrow int)
- ▶ $mbody(unit, Recording) = (\varepsilon, "not set")$
- ▶ $mtype(unit, Temperature) = (\varepsilon, "\circ C")$

Operational Semantics (definition of the evaluation steps)

Direct Evaluation Steps

ightharpoonup evaluation: relation $t \longrightarrow t'$ for one evaluation step

E-ProjNew
$$\frac{\text{fields}(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)).f_i \longrightarrow v_i}$$

E-InvkNew
$$\frac{mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)}{(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)).m(u_1, \dots)}$$

 $\longrightarrow t[\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)/\text{this}, u_1, \dots/x_1, \dots]$

E-CASTNEW
$$\frac{C <: D}{(D)(\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)) \longrightarrow \text{new } C(v_1, \dots)}$$

Evaluation Steps in Context

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{E-Field} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t.f \longrightarrow t'.f} \\ \\ \text{E-Invk-Recv} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t.m(t_1,\ldots) \longrightarrow t'.m(t_1,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-Invk-Arg} \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{v.m(v_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots) \longrightarrow v.m(v_1,\ldots,t'_i,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-New-Arg} \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{\mathsf{new} \ C(v_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new} \ C(v_1,\ldots,t'_i,\ldots)} \\ \\ \text{E-Cast} \ \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{(C)t \longrightarrow (C)t'} \end{array}$$

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Example: Evaluation Steps

```
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-New-Arg], [E-InvkNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new B(), new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-ProjNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new B(), new B()))).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-CastNew]
(new Pair (new B(), new B())).fst
// \rightarrow [E-ProjNew]
new B()
```

Typing Rules

Typing Rules

involved type judgments

- ► C <: D C is subtype of D
- \triangleright A \vdash t \cdot C The expression t has under type assumption A the type C.
- $ightharpoonup F m(C_1 x_1,...)$ {return t; } OK in CMethod declaration is accepted in class C.
- ▶ class C extends $D \{C_1 f_1; ... K M_1 ...\}$ OK Class declaration is accepted
- with

$$A ::= \emptyset \mid A, x : C$$



Accepted Class Declaration

$$K = C(D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots) \ \{ \mathbf{super}(g_1, \ldots); \mathbf{this}. f_1 = f_1; \ldots \}$$

$$fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1 \ldots$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \ \mathsf{OK} \ \mathsf{in} \ C$$

$$\mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{ C_1 \ f_1; \ldots \ K \ M_1 \ldots \}$$

Accepted Method Declaration

$$x_1: C_1, \ldots, \text{this}: C \vdash t: E$$
 $E \lt: F$
 $CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \ldots$
 $override(m, D, (C_1, \ldots) \rightarrow F)$
 $F m(C_1 x_1, \ldots) \text{ {return } t; } OK \text{ in } C$

Accepted Expressions Has a Type

$$T-VAR \frac{x: C \in A}{A \vdash x: C}$$

$$T-FIELD \frac{A \vdash t: C \quad fields(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{A \vdash t.f_i: C_i}$$

$$F-INVK \frac{A \vdash t: C \quad (\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i: C_i \quad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i}{A \vdash t.m(t_1, \dots) : D}$$

$$F-NEW \frac{(\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i: C_i \quad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i}{A \vdash new \ C(t_1, \dots) : C}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● めぬぐ

Type Rules for Type Casts

T-UCAST
$$A \vdash t : D \qquad D <: C$$
 $A \vdash (C)t : C$

T-DCAST
$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \quad C \leq D \quad C \neq D}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

Type Safety for Featherweight Java

- "Preservation" and "Progress" yields type safety
- "Preservation":

If
$$A \vdash t : C$$
 and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $A \vdash t' : C'$ with $C' \lt : C$.

"Progress": (short version) If $A \vdash t : C$, then $t \equiv v$ is a value or t contains a subexpression e'

$$e'\equiv (C)(\mathbf{new}\ D(v_1,\dots))$$

with $D \not<: C$.



- All method calls and field accesses evaluate without errors.
- Type casts can fail.

Problems in the Preservation Prove

Type casts destroy Preservation

- ► Lock at the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- ▶ It holds $\emptyset \vdash (A) ((Object)new B()): A$
- ▶ It holds (A) $((Object)new B()) \longrightarrow (A) (new B())$
- ▶ But (A) (new B()) has no type!

Problems in the Preservation Prove

Type casts destroy Preservation

- ► Lock at the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- ▶ It holds $\emptyset \vdash (A)$ ((Object)new B()): A
- ▶ It holds (A) ((Object)new B()) \longrightarrow (A) (new B())
- But (A) (new B()) has no type!
- workaround: add additional rule for this case (stupid cast) —next evaluation step fail

T-SCAST
$$A \vdash t : D \quad C \nleq D \quad D \nleq C$$

▶ We can prove preservation with this rule.



Statement of Type Safety

If $A \vdash t : C$, then one of the following cases applies:

1. t does not terminate i.e. there exists an infinite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

2. t returns a value v after finite evaluation steps i.e. there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow t_n = v$$

3. after finite evaluation steps t contains a sub term e, where

$$e \equiv (C)(\text{new } D(v_1,\dots))$$

with $D \not<: C$.

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q P