## Software Engineering

Lecture 18: Featherweight Java

Peter Thiemann

University of Freiburg, Germany

15.07.2013

#### Contents

#### Featherweight Java

The language shown in examples Formal Definition Operational Semantics Typing Rules

## Type Safety of Java

- 1995 public presentation of Java
- Obtained importance very quickly
- Questions
  - Type safety?
  - Semantics of Java?
- ▶ 1997/98 resolved
  - Drossopoulou/Eisenbach
  - ► Flatt/Krishnamurthi/Felleisen
  - Igarashi/Pierce/Wadler (Featherweight Java, FJ)

## Featherweight Java

- Construction of a formal model: consideration of completeness and compactness
- ► FJ: minimal model (compactness)
- complete definition: one page
- ambition:
  - the most important language features
  - short proof of type soundness
  - ► FJ ⊆ Java

## The Language FJ

- class definition
- object creation new
- method call (dynamic dispatch), recursion with this
- field access
- type cast
- method override
- subtypes

#### **Omitted**

- assignment
- interfaces
- overloading
- **super**-calls
- null-references
- primitive types
- abstract methods
- inner classes
- shadowing of fields of super classes
- access control (private, public, protected)
- exceptions
- concurrency
- reflection, generics, variable argument lists



## **Example Programs**

```
class A extends Object { A() { super (); } }
class B extends Object { B() { super (); } }
class Pair extends Object {
  Object fst:
  Object snd;
  // Constructor
  Pair (Object fst, Object snd) {
    super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
  // Method definition
  Pair setfst (Object newfst) {
    return new Pair (newfst, this.snd);
```

### Explanation

- Class definition: always define super class
- Constructors:
  - one per class, always defined
  - arguments correspond to fields
  - always the same form: super-call, then copy the arguments into the fields
- field accesses and method calls always with receiver object
- method body: always in the form return...

#### Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

#### Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

#### Type cast

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd
```

▶ Type cast (Pair) is needed, because new Pair (...).fst has the type Object.

#### Field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

#### Field access

```
new Pair (new A (), new B ()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

#### Method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
```

vields a substitution

```
[new B()/newfst, new Pair (new A(), new B())/this]
```

Evaluate the method body new Pair (newfst, this.snd) under this substitution. The substitution yields

```
new Pair (new B(), new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd)
```

15.07.2013

#### Type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

Run-time check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

#### Type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

Run-time check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

#### Call-by-value evaluation

```
((Pair) new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B ()), new A()).fst).snd // \rightarrow ((Pair) new Pair (new A(), new B ())).snd // \rightarrow new Pair (new A(), new B ()).snd // \rightarrow new B()
```

11 / 41

#### Runtime Errors

#### Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

#### Runtime Errors

#### Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

#### Call of non-existing method

new A().setfst (new B())

No value, no evaluation rule matches

#### Runtime Errors

#### Access to non existing field

new A().fst

No value, no evaluation rule matches

#### Call of non-existing method

new A().setfst (new B())

No value, no evaluation rule matches

#### Failing type cast

(B)new A ()

- A is not subtype of B
- ⇒ no value, no evaluation rule matches

12 / 41

## Guarantees of Java's Type System

If a Java program is type correct, then

- all field accesses refer to existing fields
- all method calls refer to existing methods,
- but failing type casts are possible.

## Formal Definition

## Syntax

## Syntax—Conventions

- this
  - special variable, do not use it as field name or parameter
  - implicit bound in each method body
- sequences of field names, parameter names and method names include no repetition
- **▶** class *C* extends *D* { *C*<sub>1</sub> *f*<sub>1</sub>; . . . *K M*<sub>1</sub> . . . }
  - defines class C as subclass of D
  - fields  $f_1 \dots$  with types  $C_1 \dots$
  - constructor K
  - methods  $M_1 \dots$
  - ▶ fields from *D* will be added to *C*, shadowing is not supported



## Syntax—Conventions

- ▶  $C(D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots) \ \{super(g_1, \ldots); this. f_1 = f_1; \ldots\}$ 
  - define the constructor of class C
  - fully specified by the fields of C and the fields of the super classes.
  - number of parameters is equal to number of fields in C and all its super classes.
  - **b** body start with  $\mathbf{super}(g_1, \dots)$ , where  $g_1, \dots$  corresponds to the fields of the super classes
- $D m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ \mathbf{return} \ t; \}$ 
  - defines method m
  - ► result type *D*
  - ightharpoonup parameter  $x_1 \dots$  with types  $C_1 \dots$
  - body is a return statement

- ▶ The class table CT is a map from class names to class definitions
  - ⇒ each class has exactly one definition
    - the CT is global, it corresponds to the program
    - "arbitrary but fixed"
- Each class except Object has a superclass
  - Object is not part of CT
  - Object has no fields
  - ▶ Object has no methods (≠ Java)
- ▶ The class table defines a subtype relation *C* <: *D* over class names
  - the reflexive and transitive closure of subclass definitions.

## Subtype Relation

REFL 
$$C <: C$$

TRANS
$$C <: D \qquad D <: E$$

$$C <: E$$

$$\frac{CT(C) = class \ C \ extends \ D \dots}{C <: D}$$

## Subtype Relation

REFL 
$$C <: C$$

TRANS
$$C <: D \qquad D <: E$$

$$C <: E$$

$$\frac{CT(C) = class \ C \ extends \ D \dots}{C <: D}$$

## Java: Assignment compatibility

If C <: D, then

- ▶ a C-value can be assigned to a D-variable and
- ▶ a *C*-value can be passed as a *D*-parameter.

4□ > 4団 > 4豆 > 4豆 > 豆 り

## Intermezzo: Extension for Interfaces

Not part of FJ

$$\frac{IMPL}{CT(C) = class \ C \ implements \ I...}{C <: \ I}$$

$$\frac{IEXT}{CT(I) = interface \ I \ extends \ J...}{I <: \ J}$$

## Consistency of CT

- 1. CT(C) =class C ... for all  $C \in dom(CT)$
- 2. Object  $\notin dom(CT)$
- 3. For each class name C mentioned in CT:  $C \in dom(CT) \cup \{Object\}$
- 4. The relation <: is antisymmetric (no cycles)

## Example: Classes Do Refer to Each Other

```
class Author extends Object {
  String name; Book bk;
  Author (String name, Book bk) {
    super();
    this.name = name;
    this.bk = bk;
class Book extends Object {
  String title; Author ath;
  Book (String title, Author ath) {
    super();
    this.title = title:
    this.ath = ath;
```

22 / 41

Collect fields of classes

$$fields(Object) = ullet$$
 $CT(C) = {f class} \ C \ {f extends} \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \ldots \ K \ M_1 \ldots\}$ 
 $fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1, \ldots$ 
 $fields(C) = D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots$ 

- ▶ — empty list
- fields(Author) = String name; Book bk;
- Usage: evaluation steps, typing rules



#### Compute type of a method

$$\frac{CT(C) = \mathbf{class} \ C \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}}{M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{\mathbf{return} \ t; \}}$$

$$mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \to E$$

$$CT(C) = class \ C \ extends \ D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{return \ t; \} \qquad mtype(m, D) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$$

$$mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E$$

Usage: typing rules

#### Determine body of a method

$$CT(C) =$$
class  $C$  extends  $D \{C_1 f_1; ... K M_1 ...\}$ 

$$M_j = E m(E_1 x_1, ...) \{ \text{return } t; \}$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (x_1 ..., t)$$

$$CT(C) = class C extends D \{C_1 f_1; ... K M_1 ...\}$$

$$(\forall j) M_j \neq F m(F_1 x_1, ...) \{return t; \} mbody(m, D) = (y_1 ..., u)$$

$$mbody(m, C) = (y_1 ..., u)$$

Usage: evaluation steps

- ◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ 差 → ~ 差 · · · · ○ へ ○

Correct overriding of a method

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \ \textbf{extends } D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$\underline{M_j = E \ m(E_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{\textbf{return } t; \}}$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1 \dots) \to E)$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \ \textbf{extends } D \ \{C_1 \ f_1; \dots \ K \ M_1 \dots\}$$

$$\underline{(\forall j) \ M_j \neq F \ m(F_1 \ x_1, \dots) \ \{\textbf{return } t; \}} \quad override(m, D, (E_1, \dots) \to E)$$

$$override(m, C, (E_1, \dots) \to E)$$

override(m, Object,  $(E_1 \dots) \rightarrow E$ )

Usage: typing rules

- **◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 豆 ▶ ◆ 豆 ● ◆ ○ ○ ○** 

## Example

```
class Recording extends Object {
    int high; int today; int low;
    Recording (int high, int today, int low) { ... }
    int dHigh() { return this.high; }
    int dLow() { return this.low }
    String unit() { return "not set"; }
    String asString() {
        return String.valueOf(high)
             .concat("-")
             .concat (String.valueOf(low))
             .concat (unit());
class Temperature extends ARecording {
  Temperature (int high, int today, int low) { super(high, today, low); }
  String unit() { return "°C"; }
```

Featherweight Java

- fields(Object) = •
- fields(Temperature) = fields(Recording) = int high; int today; int low;
- $ightharpoonup mtype(unit, Recording) = () \rightarrow String$
- ▶  $mtype(unit, Temperature) = () \rightarrow String$
- ▶  $mtype(dHigh, Recording) = () \rightarrow int$
- $ightharpoonup mtvpe(dHigh, Temperature) = () <math>\rightarrow$  int
- ▶ override(dHigh, Object, () → int)
- $ightharpoonup override(dHigh, Recording, () <math>\rightarrow int)$
- ightharpoonup override(dHigh, Temperature, ()  $\rightarrow$  int)
- $mbody(unit, Recording) = (\varepsilon, "not set")$
- $mtype(unit, Temperature) = (\varepsilon, \circ C)$

# Operational Semantics (definition of the evaluation steps)

## Direct Evaluation Steps

ightharpoonup Evaluation: relation  $t \longrightarrow t'$  for one evaluation step

$$\frac{\text{E-ProjNew}}{\text{fields}(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots} \frac{\text{fields}(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{(\mathsf{new} \ C(v_1, \dots)).f_i \longrightarrow v_i}$$

$$\frac{\text{E-InvkNew}}{\text{mbody}(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)} \frac{\text{mbody}(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)}{(\mathsf{new} \ C(v_1, \dots)).m(u_1, \dots)} \frac{\text{Te-CastNew}}{\text{E-CastNew}} \frac{C <: D}{(D)(\mathsf{new} \ C(v_1, \dots)) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new} \ C(v_1, \dots)}$$

#### Evaluation Steps in Context

$$\frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t.f \longrightarrow t'.f}$$

$$\frac{\text{E-Invk-Recv}}{t.\textit{m}(t_1,\dots)\longrightarrow t'.\textit{m}(t_1,\dots)}$$

$$\frac{\text{E-Invk-Arg}}{v.m(v_1,\ldots,t_i,\ldots)\longrightarrow v.m(v_1,\ldots,t_i',\ldots)}$$

$$rac{t_i \longrightarrow t_i'}{\mathsf{new} \ \mathit{C}(\mathit{v}_1, \dots, t_i, \dots) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new} \ \mathit{C}(\mathit{v}_1, \dots, t_i', \dots)}$$

$$\frac{\text{E-CAST}}{t \longrightarrow t'}$$
$$\frac{(C)t \longrightarrow (C)t}$$

(ㅁㅏㅓ@ㅏㅓㅌㅏㅓㅌㅏ ㅌ \_\_\_\_\_ 원 \_ ()

#### Example: Evaluation Steps

```
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-New-Arg], [E-InvkNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new Pair (new B(), new B()), new B()).fst)).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field]. [E-Cast]. [E-ProjNew]
((Pair) (new Pair (new B(), new B()))).fst
// \rightarrow [E-Field], [E-CastNew]
(new Pair (new B(), new B())).fst
// \rightarrow [E-ProjNew]
new B()
```

# Typing Rules

33 / 41

### Typing Rules

#### Overview of typing judgments

- C <: D

  C is subtype of D
- A ⊢ t : C Under type assumption A, the expression t has type C.
- F m(C₁ x₁,...) {return t; } OK in C Method declaration is accepted in class C.
- ► class C extends D {C<sub>1</sub> f<sub>1</sub>; ... K M<sub>1</sub>...} OK Class declaration is accepted
- Type assumptions defined by

$$A ::= \emptyset \mid A, x : C$$



### Accepted Class Declaration

$$\frac{\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}(D_1 \ g_1, \ldots, C_1 \ f_1, \ldots) \ \{ \mathbf{super}(g_1, \ldots); \mathbf{this}. f_1 = f_1; \ldots \}}{ fields(D) = D_1 \ g_1 \ldots \qquad (\forall j) \ M_j \ \mathsf{OK} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathcal{C}}{ \mathbf{class} \ \mathcal{C} \ \mathbf{extends} \ D \ \{ \mathcal{C}_1 \ f_1; \ldots \ \mathcal{K} \ M_1 \ldots \}}$$

# Accepted Method Declaration

$$x_1: C_1, \dots, \text{this}: C \vdash t: E \qquad E <: F$$

$$CT(C) = \textbf{class } C \text{ extends } D \dots \qquad override(m, D, (C_1, \dots) \to F)$$

$$F m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \text{ freturn } t; \text{ OK in } C$$

### Expression Has Type

$$\frac{\text{T-VAR}}{x: C \in A}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash x: C}{A \vdash x: C}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash t : C \quad fields(C) = C_1 \ f_1, \dots}{A \vdash t : f_i : C_i}$$

F-Invk
$$A \vdash t : C \qquad (\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i : C_i \qquad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i$$

$$mtype(m, C) = (D_1, \dots) \to D$$

$$A \vdash t.m(t_1, \dots) : D$$

$$\frac{\text{F-NeW}}{(\forall i) \ A \vdash t_i : C_i \qquad (\forall i) \ C_i <: D_i \qquad \textit{fields}(C) = D_1 \ f_1, \dots}{A \vdash \mathbf{new} \ C(t_1, \dots) : C}$$

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg) Software Engineering 15.07.2013 37 / 41

# Type Rules for Type Casts

$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \qquad D <: C}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \qquad C <: D}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \qquad C <: D \qquad C \neq D}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

# Type Safety for Featherweight Java

- "Preservation" and "Progress" yields type safety
- "Preservation":

If  $A \vdash t : C$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $A \vdash t' : C'$  with  $C' \lt : C$ .

"Progress": (short version) If  $A \vdash t : C$ , then  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , for some t', or  $t \equiv v$  is a value, or t contains a subexpression e'

$$e'\equiv (C)({\sf new}\ D(v_1,\dots))$$

with  $D \not <: C$ .

- ⇒ All method calls and field accesses evaluate without errors.
  - Type casts can fail.



#### Problems in the Preservation Proof

#### Type casts destroy preservation

- ► Consider the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- It holds that ∅ ⊢(A) ((Object)new B()): A
- ▶ It holds that (A) ((Object)new B()) → (A) (new B())
- ▶ But (A) (new B()) has no type!

#### Problems in the Preservation Proof

#### Type casts destroy preservation

- Consider the expression (A) ((Object)new B())
- It holds that ∅ ⊢(A) ((Object)new B()): A
- ▶ It holds that (A) ((Object)new B())  $\longrightarrow$  (A) (new B())
- ▶ But (A) (new B()) has no type!
- Workaround: add additional rule for this case "stupid cast"
   —subsequent evaluation step fails

$$\frac{A \vdash t : D \qquad C \nleq D \qquad D \nleq C}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

▶ We can prove preservation with this rule.



# Statement of Type Safety

If  $A \vdash t : C$ , then one of the following cases applies:

1. t does not terminate i.e., there exists an infinite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

2. t evaluates to a value v after a finite number of evaluation steps i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow t_n = v$$

3. t gets stuck at a failing cast i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow t_n$$

where  $t_n$  contains a subterm (C) (**new**  $D(v_1, ...)$ ) such that  $D \nleq C$ .