

Mandates

PH 126: Introduction to Health Economics and Policy
UC Berkeley

March 6, 2008

Candidate videos

▶ Ohio Democratic Debate, February 26, 2008: Part 1

(from 3:25)

▶ Ohio Democratic Debate, February 26, 2008: Part 2

▶ John McCain with the Kaiser Family Foundation

(to 3:55)

The logic of mandates

Why should health care be mandatory?

- Adverse selection
- Free-rider problem

The logic of mandates

Why should health care be mandatory?

- Adverse selection
- Free-rider problem

The logic of mandates

Why should health care be mandatory?

- Adverse selection
- Free-rider problem

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information:* Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information*: Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information*: Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information*: Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information*: Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Adverse selection

Four assumptions are needed to generate adverse selection.

- *Asymmetric information*: Patients know more about their health status than insurers.
- Risks vary across the population
- Risks are pooled and patients are charged an average price
- Purchasing insurance is voluntary

Idea:

[M]ost insurance prices are based upon an average rate for an entire class or group. Some insureds within each class will be [healthier] than average and some [sicker] than average ... [T]hose persons who know they are [sicker] than average will be most likely to desire the insurance contract.

Adverse selection: An example

Adverse selection: An example

Adverse selection: An example

Let's do a numerical example.

Adverse selection: An example

Let's do a numerical example.

Imagine a world with four types of people; one type has no medical costs, another has \$4 in costs, the third has \$8, and the last group has \$12. The groups are equally-sized, each containing 10 people.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

What would the actuarially fair price be if everyone bought insurance?

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

What would the actuarially fair price be if everyone bought insurance?

$$\frac{10 \times \$0 + 10 \times \$4 + 10 \times \$8 + 10 \times \$12}{10 + 10 + 10 + 10} = \$6$$

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the actuarially fair price of \$6*, who actually buys the insurance?

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the actuarially fair price of \$6*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$6.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the actuarially fair price of \$6*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$6.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the actuarially fair price of \$6*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$6.

What would the actuarially fair price be if *these patients* bought insurance?

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the actuarially fair price of \$6*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$6.

What would the actuarially fair price be if *these patients* bought insurance?

$$\frac{10 \times \$8 + 10 \times \$12}{10 + 10} = \$10$$

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$10.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$10.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$10.

What would the actuarially fair price be if *these patients* bought insurance?

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$10.

What would the actuarially fair price be if *these patients* bought insurance?

$$\frac{10 \times \$12}{10} = \$12$$

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

But, *given the new actuarially fair price of \$10*, who actually buys the insurance?

Only those patients who expect to have medical care costs of at least \$10.

What would the actuarially fair price be if *these patients* bought insurance?

$$\frac{10 \times \$12}{10} = \$12$$

So, patients with the highest health care costs could buy insurance, *if the price was actuarially fair*.

Adverse selection: An example

Number of people	10	10	10	10
Medical costs	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$12

Since we expect insurance to be sold for prices above the actuarially fair rate, **no one buys health insurance.**

Adverse selection: An example

If health insurance was mandatory, the actuarially fair price would be \$6. The two “healthy” groups would be forced to buy health insurance against their will. This allows the health insurance market to form, as the healthy people subsidize the “sick” people.

Adverse selection: An example

If health insurance was mandatory, the actuarially fair price would be \$6. The two “healthy” groups would be forced to buy health insurance against their will. This allows the health insurance market to form, as the healthy people subsidize the “sick” people.

Income is redistributed from the healthy to the sick; the sick are winners and the healthy are losers.

Adverse selection: An example

If health insurance was mandatory, the actuarially fair price would be \$6. The two “healthy” groups would be forced to buy health insurance against their will. This allows the health insurance market to form, as the healthy people subsidize the “sick” people.

Income is redistributed from the healthy to the sick; the sick are winners and the healthy are losers.

Is this good?

- What if the sick people are mostly poor and the healthy mostly rich?
- What if people can control their health?

Adverse selection: An example

If health insurance was mandatory, the actuarially fair price would be \$6. The two “healthy” groups would be forced to buy health insurance against their will. This allows the health insurance market to form, as the healthy people subsidize the “sick” people.

Income is redistributed from the healthy to the sick; the sick are winners and the healthy are losers.

Is this good?

- What if the sick people are mostly poor and the healthy mostly rich?
- What if people can control their health?

Adverse selection: An example

If health insurance was mandatory, the actuarially fair price would be \$6. The two “healthy” groups would be forced to buy health insurance against their will. This allows the health insurance market to form, as the healthy people subsidize the “sick” people.

Income is redistributed from the healthy to the sick; the sick are winners and the healthy are losers.

Is this good?

- What if the sick people are mostly poor and the healthy mostly rich?
- What if people can control their health?

An alternative formulation

Do we believe this result?

An alternative formulation

Do we believe this result?

It can't be entirely true—insurance markets exist.

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

For a given individual, risk reduction and insurance are substitutes.

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

For a given individual, risk reduction and insurance are substitutes.

Adverse selection: Patients reduce their risk, but premiums do not fall. Hence, they are less likely to buy insurance.

An alternative formulation

Let's add some additional assumptions.

- Patients can take actions that knowingly change their risk (i.e., quit smoking, eat healthier)
- Patients have different tastes for risk

For a given individual, risk reduction and insurance are substitutes.

Adverse selection: Patients reduce their risk, but premiums do not fall. Hence, they are less likely to buy insurance.

Moral hazard: Patients buy insurance, but, because they avoid risk via the coverage, they do not take actions to reduce risk.

An alternative formulation

Now, let's compare people with different levels of risk aversion.

An alternative formulation

Now, let's compare people with different levels of risk aversion.

For example, if you really care about your health (i.e., you are risk averse), then you will both reduce your risk and buy insurance.

An alternative formulation

Now, let's compare people with different levels of risk aversion.

For example, if you really care about your health (i.e., you are risk averse), then you will both reduce your risk and buy insurance.

On the other hand, if risk doesn't bother you that much, you won't buy insurance and you won't act to reduce your risk.

An alternative formulation

Now, let's compare people with different levels of risk aversion.

For example, if you really care about your health (i.e., you are risk averse), then you will both reduce your risk and buy insurance.

On the other hand, if risk doesn't bother you that much, you won't buy insurance and you won't act to reduce your risk.

Hence, people who buy insurance are more likely to be *low risk* individuals. This is called *propitious selection*.

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

For hospitalized motorcyclists:

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

For hospitalized motorcyclists: 46% had no insurance.

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

For hospitalized motorcyclists: 46% had no insurance.

In Austin, 27% of hospitalized motorcyclists who were wearing helmets had no insurance.

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

For hospitalized motorcyclists: 46% had no insurance.

In Austin, 27% of hospitalized motorcyclists who were wearing helmets had no insurance. For non-helmeted motorcyclists:

An alternative formulation

Some suggestive evidence:

Propitious selection would say that risk takers are less likely to buy health insurance.

At Mass General in Boston, 7% of patients admitted to the emergency room lacked insurance.

For hospitalized motorcyclists: 46% had no insurance.

In Austin, 27% of hospitalized motorcyclists who were wearing helmets had no insurance. For non-helmeted motorcyclists: 41% had no insurance.

An alternative formulation

More on propitious selection:

Hemenway, David. 1990. “Propitious Selection.” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 104(4): 1063–1069.

Free-rider problem

Hospitals must provide some minimal level to all patients, irrespective of their ability to pay.

Free-rider problem

Hospitals must provide some minimal level to all patients, irrespective of their ability to pay.

If poor, uninsured patients are unable to pay for their care, the costs are passed on to the paying users of the hospital's facilities.

Free-rider problem

Hospitals must provide some minimal level to all patients, irrespective of their ability to pay.

If poor, uninsured patients are unable to pay for their care, the costs are passed on to the paying users of the hospital's facilities.

If these patients are covered, then these health care costs won't be passed on to everyone else.

Free-rider problem

But who is paying for this expanded coverage?

Free-rider problem

But who is paying for this expanded coverage?

It depends.

Free-rider problem

But who is paying for this expanded coverage?

It depends.

- How is the burden of coverage spread under the status quo?
- How does this burden change if coverage is paid for via an income tax?

Free-rider problem

But who is paying for this expanded coverage?

It depends.

- How is the burden of coverage spread under the status quo?
- How does this burden change if coverage is paid for via an income tax?