

## Lecture 5

 $\mathcal{X}$ : Bernoulli

$$\text{let } \Theta = \{0.1, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 0.9\} \quad X = \{0, 1\}$$

$$\text{then } P(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0.1 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.75 \\ 0.9 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{all} \\ \text{w/} \\ \text{prob.} \end{matrix} \quad \frac{1}{5} \quad \text{by "principle of indifference"}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X | \theta_1 = 0.1) &= (0.9)(0.1)^2 = 0.009 \\ P(X | \theta_2 = 0.25) &= (0.75)(0.25)^2 = 0.047 \\ P(X | \theta_3 = 0.50) &= (0.5)(0.5)^2 = 0.125 \\ P(X | \theta_4 = 0.75) &= (0.25)(0.75)^2 = 0.141 \\ P(X | \theta_5 = 0.90) &= (0.1)(0.9)^2 = 0.081 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{each is in proportion to} \\ \frac{1}{5} \text{ of each respective } \theta \end{array} \right\}$$

$$P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^5 P(X | \theta_i) P(\theta_i) \quad * \text{ we can solve } P(\theta_i | X) \text{ similar to previous.}$$

- point estimation: best guess of  $\theta$   
 $X = \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Note } \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{2}{3} \quad (\text{frequentism})$$

for Bayesian perspective we use largest  $P(\theta | X)$

$$* \text{ since } P(\theta | X) = \frac{P(X | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(X)}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P(\theta) \text{ is constant for all } \theta \\ P(X) \text{ is also the same for all } \theta \end{array} \right\} \text{ so } P(\theta | X) \propto P(X | \theta)$$

$$\text{so } \hat{\theta}_{MAP} := \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \{P(\theta | X)\} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \frac{P(X | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(X)} \right\} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \{P(X | \theta)\} = \hat{\theta}_{MLE}$$

(maximum a posteriori) ↑ uninformative prior ↑  $\{ \theta; X \}$  (from earlier)

$$\left[ P(\theta_i | X) = \frac{P(X | \theta_i) P(\theta_i)}{P(X)} = \frac{P(X | \theta_i) P(\theta_i)}{\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(X | \theta) P(\theta)} = \frac{P(X | \theta_i)}{\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(X | \theta)} \right]$$

↑ under principle of indifference  $P(\theta_i) = P(\theta_k)$

\* each  $\theta \in \Theta$  has a (%) of occurring, the largest is the  $\hat{\theta}_{MAP}$

$$P(\theta = 0.75 | X = \{0, 1\}) = 37\%$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = 0.75 ; \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 0.66 \quad \hat{\theta}_{MAP} \neq \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \quad \text{b/c } \theta_0 \neq \Theta$$

The prior didn't give probability of entire parameter space, we want a uniform prior to range over all  $\theta$



- Utilizing bayesian

Again  $X = \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle$

$\Theta_0 = \{0.25, 0.75\}$  and  $\Theta \sim \text{Uniform}(\Theta_0)$

- start with  $x_1$  or  $X_1 = 0$

$$P(\theta_1 = 0.25 | x_1 = 0) = \frac{P(x_1 = 0 | \theta = 0.25) P(\theta_1)}{P(x_1 = 0 | \theta = 0.25) + P(x_1 = 0 | \theta = 0.75)} = \frac{0.75 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{0.75 + 0.25} = 0.75$$

$$P(\theta_2 = 0.75 | x_1 = 0) = 1 - \theta_1 = 1 - 0.75 = 0.25$$

value of  $P(\theta)$  changes based on the new info.

- add next data point.  $x_2$  or  $X_2 = 1$

$$P(\theta_1 = 0.25 | x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1) = \frac{P(x_2 = 1 | \theta_1) P(\theta_1 | x_1)}{P(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{(0.25) \cdot (0.75)}{P(x_2 = 1 | \theta_1) P(\theta_1 | x_1) + P(x_2 = 1 | \theta_2) P(\theta_2 | x_1)} = \frac{0.25 \cdot 0.75}{0.25(0.75) + (0.75)(0.25)} = 0.5$$

$$P(\theta_2 = 0.75 | x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1) = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5$$

- Next data point  $x_3$  or  $X_3 = 1$

$$P(\theta_1 = 0.25 | x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1) = \frac{P(x_3 = 1 | \theta_1) P(\theta_1 | x_1, x_2)}{P(x_1, x_2, x_3)} = \frac{(0.25)(0.5)}{(0.25)(.5) + (0.75)(.5)} = 0.25$$

$$P(x_3 = 1 | \theta_1) P(\theta_1 | x_1, x_2) + P(x_3 = 1 | \theta_2) P(\theta_2 | x_1, x_2)$$

$$P(\theta_2 = 0.75 | \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle) = 0.75$$

\* these values are almost the same as find  $P(\theta | X = \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle)$  directly where instead we'd need to calculate  $P(X | \theta)$  each time here we simply update the prior.



Proof/  $P(\theta | X) = \frac{P(X|\theta) P(\theta)}{P(X)}$   $\mathcal{X} = \text{Bernoulli iid}$

$$P(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{P(x_1 | \theta) P(x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_1) P(x_2, \dots, x_n | x_1)}$$

definition of conditional prob.

1st event

$$= \frac{P(x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{P(x_2, \dots, x_n | x_1)} \cdot \left[ \frac{P(x_1 | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_1)} \right] \rightarrow P(\theta | x_1)$$

2nd event

$$= \frac{P(x_3, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{P(x_3, \dots, x_n | x_1, x_2)} \cdot \left[ \frac{P(x_2 | \theta) P(x_1 | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_2 | x_1) P(x_1)} \right] \rightarrow \frac{P(x_2, x_1 | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_1, x_2)} \rightarrow P(\theta | x_1, x_2)$$

... and so on

• What about  $x_4$ ? $x_4$  distributionwith  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$  $x_4 \sim \text{Bern}(\theta)$ 

$$P(x_4 | \theta) = \theta^{x_4} (1-\theta)^{1-x_4}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 0.66$$

but  $\theta$  is unknown

But too certain

Needs uncertainty b/c  $x_4$  is unknownby Bayesian selection we can find  $P(x_4 | x_1, x_2, x_3)$ 

$\theta   x_1, x_2, x_3$	$x_4$	$P(x_4   \theta   x_1, x_2, x_3)$
0.25	1	0.0625
0.25	0	0.1875
0.75	1	0.5625
0.75	0	0.1875
		0.625 = $P(x_4 = 1   x_1, x_2, x_3)$
		0.375 = $P(x_4 = 0   x_1, x_2, x_3)$

So  $x_4 | x_1, x_2, x_3 \sim \text{Bern}(0.625)$ [possibility of getting  $x_4 = 1$ ]

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_4 | x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x_4 | \theta | x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x_4 | \theta, x_1, x_2, x_3) P(\theta | x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x_4 | \theta) P(\theta | x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{aligned}$$