

# The estimators

Hiro Kasahara

December 3, 2018

## 1 Estimators

The estimators assume that the conditional choice probabilities are correctly estimated in the first step. Therefore, we obtain the consistent estimator of  $F$  and  $P$ :  $\hat{F}$  and  $\hat{P}$  from the data. We define the mappings as  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_V$  and  $\Lambda : \mathcal{B}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_P$ . The estimators construct different  $\Phi$  mappings.

- Hotz-Miller estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{HM}(P; \theta) &= (I - \beta F^e(P))^{-1}(u^e(P; \theta) + e^e(P)), \\ \text{where } F^e(P) &= \left[ \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} p(d, z) f(z'|z, d) \right]_{z, z' \in \mathcal{Z}} \quad \text{and } u^e(P; \theta) = \left[ \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} p(d, z) u(d, z; \theta) \right]_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \quad (1) \\ \Psi_{HM}(P; \theta) &= \Lambda(\Phi_{HM}(P; \theta))\end{aligned}$$

- Euler equation estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{EE}(P; \theta) &= (I - \beta F(0))^{-1}(u(0; \theta) + e(0, P)) \\ \Psi_{EE}(P; \theta) &= \Lambda(\Phi_{EE}(P; \theta))\end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- Finite Dependence

$$\Psi_{FD}(d, x; \theta, P) = \frac{u(d, x; \theta) + \beta \sum_{x'|x} f(x'|x, d)(u(0, x'; \theta) + e(0, x, P))}{\sum_{d'} u(d', x; \theta) + \beta \sum_{x'|x} f(x'|x, d')(u(0, x'; \theta) + e(0, x, P))}$$

## 2 Two-step estimators

- In the first step, obtain non-parametric estimator of the conditional choice probabilities  $\hat{p}(d, x)$ .
- In the second step, use the maximum likelihood estimator and obtain  $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \Psi(d_{it}, x_{it}, \theta, \hat{P})$ .