

## Assignment 5

Due December 6, 2017 at 11 am

### Part 1: Indexes /40

The last thing we'll be doing with our employee database is adding a few indexes. After adding each index, run the associated query/queries and record the performance (planning time and execution time). Also look at the explain plan of the queries. *You'll probably need to rewrite the queries slightly to fit your database (if there are different columns or tables).*

Index 1: Add an index to the employee\_histories table first\_name and last\_name fields.

Index 2: Add an index to the employee\_jobs table employee\_id and job\_id fields.

Index 3: Add an index to the employees table birthdate field.

For each index, answer the following questions:

- a. Fill out the tables below describing how adding the index affected the planning and execution timings.
- b. Did adding the index change the explain plans? What changed?
- c. Was this what you expected to happen for the timing and the execution plans? What is a possible reason for this change (or lack of change)?

#### Index 1

Execution Time	Without index	With index
Query 1		
Query 2		

#### Index 2

Execution Time	Without index	With index
Query 3		

#### Index 3

Execution Time	Without index	With index
Query 4		

### Part 2: Normalization /40

Let's pretend that the company whose employees we've been managing so far is an engineering firm. The company manages multiple projects at a time, and assigns its employees to tasks on the different projects. Only one employee can be assigned to a project task. Below is some un-normalized data used to manage projects in a company. After analyzing this sample data, structure it in 1<sup>st</sup> normal, 2<sup>nd</sup> normal, and 3<sup>rd</sup> normal form one step at a time, showing the results of each step. So you should have 3 diagram – one for your data in 1<sup>st</sup> normal, one for 2<sup>nd</sup> normal, and one for 3<sup>rd</sup> normal.

Team Member Id	Team Member First Name	Team Member Last Name	Project Code	Project Name	Project Status	Project Manager	Task Number	Task Status
1	John	Smith	DDL	Darren & Darren Ltd	Active	Garth Butler	10 132 133 134	Resolved In Progress Not Started In Progress
2	Dave	Richter	DDL	Darren & Darren Ltd	Active	Garth Butler	100 110	In Progress Not Started
			KMI	Kristen Motors Inc.	Active	Jim David	10 13	Not Started Resolved
3	Janie	Klotter	KMI	Kristen Motors Inc.	Active	Jim David	1 2 15	In Progress Resolved Resolved

### Part 3: Concurrency /20

1. Scenario – Transaction A and B are being run concurrently in separate sessions.

Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run

Account Number	Account Nickname	Account Balance
1	Chequing	450
2	Chequing	200

Transaction A	Transaction B
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED; BEGIN  SELECT a.account_number, a.account_nickname, a.account_balance FROM accounts;  UPDATE accounts SET account_balance = 0 WHERE account_number = 2;          END; COMMIT;	SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED; BEGIN          SELECT a.account_number, a.account_nickname, a.account_balance FROM accounts;  UPDATE accounts SET account_balance = account_balance – 100 WHERE account_number = 1;  UPDATE accounts SET account_balance = account_balance + 100 WHERE account_number = 2;    END; COMMIT;

a. What would the Accounts table look like after these transactions are finished?

Account Number	Account Nickname	Account Balance

- b. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?

2. Transaction C and D are being run concurrently in separate sessions

Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run:

Account Number	Account Nickname	Account Balance
1	Chequing	450
2	Chequing	200

Transaction C	Transaction D
<pre>SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ COMMITTED; BEGIN  SELECT   a.account_number,   a.account_nickname,   a.account_balance FROM accounts;  SELECT   a.account_number,   a.account_nickname,   a.account_balance FROM accounts;  END; COMMIT;</pre>	<pre>SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ COMMITTED; BEGIN  INSERT INTO accounts (account_number, account_nickname, account_balance) VALUES(3, 'Savings', 50);  UPDATE accounts SET account_balance = 300 WHERE account_number = 1;  END; COMMIT;</pre>

- a. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?

3. Transaction E and F are being run concurrently in separate sessions

Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run:

Account Number	Account Nickname	Account Balance
1	Chequing	450
2	Chequing	200

Transaction E	Transaction F
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL UNCOMMITTED READ; BEGIN  SELECT a.account_number, a.account_nickname, a.account_balance FROM accounts;  UPDATE accounts SET account_balance = 300 WHERE account_number = 1;  SELECT a.account_number, a.account_nickname, a.account_balance FROM accounts;  END; ROLLBACK ;	SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL UNCOMMITTED READ;  BEGIN        SELECT a.account_number, a.account_nickname, a.account_balance FROM accounts;        INSERT INTO accounts (account_number, account_nickname, account_balance) VALUES(3, 'Savings', 50);  END; COMMIT;

- a. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?

