

# EAS506 - Statistical Data Mining I

## Homework 1 – Question 2

Paul M Girdler

09/14/18

## **Abstract**

This report summarizes the steps taken to perform multiple-linear-regression to create various models to predict *First Period Grades* (variables  $G1.x$ , and  $G1.y$ ) . It examines any significant predictors, and interactions. From these recommendations are made to aid students in improving their grades.

## Content

|     |                                       |   |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1   | Introduction.....                     | 4 |
| 2   | Method.....                           | 4 |
| 2.1 | Initialization Steps.....             | 4 |
| 2.2 | Create Linear Regression Models ..... | 4 |
| 3   | Discussion .....                      | 5 |
| 3.1 | Part A.....                           | 5 |
| 3.2 | Part B.....                           | 6 |
| 3.3 | Part C.....                           | 6 |

# 1 Introduction

The *Student Performance Dataset* is based upon two datasets of the academic performance of Portuguese students in two different classes: Math and Portuguese. The dataset is available on the **UCI machine learning repository**.

This report follows on from the previous report detailing the preprocessing, analysis and preparation of the data. This report summarizes the steps taken to perform multiple-linear-regression to create various models to predict *First Period Grades* (variables  $G1.x$ , and  $G1.y$ ) .

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Initialization Steps

- Clear the memory
- Install and load all required libraries.
- Import and merge data.
- Briefly examine the data.

### 2.2 Create Linear Regression Models

- A number of Multiple-Linear-Regression Models were created to predict First Period Grades ( $G1.x$ , and  $G1.y$ ) in a number of flavours

| Model Type                                   | Feature Space  |
|--|--|
| "Kitchen-Sink" Model                         | all 27 variables   |
| "Kitchen-Sink" Model (with all interactions) | all 27 variables with interactions   |
| "Trimmed" Model                              | feature selection with only most significant variables.  |
| "Trimmed" Model                              | feature selection (with all interactions): feature selection with only most significant variables. |

See R code for detailed summary of every model.

### 3 Discussion

#### 3.1 Part A

*Which predictors appear to have a significant relationship to the response?*

Upon inspection of the Corrpplots of numeric variables the following observations are evident:

- studytime and Medu appear to have the most significant positive correlation.
- Failures, Dalc, and absences appear to have the most significant negative correlation.

Look at the “**Kitchen Sink**” models created (with no interactions) similar correlations are noted. The following significant correlations can be noted.

##### G1.x Maths

Coefficients:

|            | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t )     |
|------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|
| Medu       | 0.41310  | 0.15096    | 2.737   | 0.006509 **  |
| failures.x | -1.42994 | 0.23438    | -6.101  | 2.67e-09 *** |
| sexM       | 1.24597  | 0.33771    | 3.689   | 0.000259 *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

NOTE: sexM was not noted in the corrpplot as it is not a numeric variable

##### G1.y Portugese

Coefficients:

|            | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t )     |
|------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|
| studytime  | 0.38575  | 0.13981    | 2.759   | 0.006084 **  |
| Medu       | 0.38391  | 0.10616    | 3.616   | 0.000340 *** |
| failures.y | -0.58943 | 0.23508    | -2.507  | 0.012592 *   |
| Dalc       | -0.40210 | 0.13108    | -3.068  | 0.002318 **  |
| higheryes  | 1.98276  | 0.55304    | 3.585   | 0.000382 *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

NOTE: higher was not noted in the corrpplot as it is not a numeric variable.

### 3.2 Part B

*What suggestions would you make to a first-year student trying to achieve good grades?*

Looking at the significance of each variable in the “**Kitchen Sink**” models (see above), and also looking at what is actionable, I would suggest the following:

- Students finding themselves failing in class should get back on track as quickly as possible.
- Parents especially Mother's may have a positive role to play in helping children achieve good grades.
- Drinking alcohol on school nights may negative impact on your grades.
- Female students may need extra support in achieving good Mathematics grades.
- Students who are unsure of whether they want to pursue higher education may need extra support.

### 3.3 Part C

*Use the \* and : symbols to fit models with interactions. Are there any interactions that are significant?*

The most notable interactions were a minor interaction with Medu (Mother's Education Level), had with address (Urban or Rural), and also absences from class.

Additionally, there was a significant relationship between Dalc, (Weekday Alcohol Consumption), and school.

Before speculating what these relationships are it would be prudent to explore them further at another time.

**First Period Grade (Maths) “Kitchen-Sink” Model (with all interactions)**

- Medu:addressU \*
- Dalc:schoolMS:sexM \*\*
- Medu:absences.y \*

Signif. codes: 0 ‘\*\*\*’ 0.001 ‘\*\*’ 0.01 ‘\*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

**First Period Grade (Port) “Kitchen-Sink” Model (with all interactions)**

- Medu:addressU \*
- Dalc:schoolMS:sexM \*\*
- Medu:absences.y \*

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1