

MIT Doctoral Dissertation  
PUBLISH ABSTRACT ONLY  
INFORMATION\_\_\_\_\_  
Abstract No.***DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE***

Vol/Issue \_\_\_\_\_

School Code \_\_\_\_\_

Advisor \_\_\_\_\_

***PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT***

## PERSONAL DATA

1. Full name (as it appears on dissertation title page)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(last) (first) (middle)

2. Year of birth (optional) \_\_\_\_\_

3. Present mailing address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Future mailing address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Effective date of future mailing address \_\_\_\_\_

Home telephone \_\_\_\_\_ Business telephone \_\_\_\_\_

## DOCTORAL DEGREE DATA

4. Full name of university conferring degree
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology

5. Degree awarded (check one)      Ph.D.      Sc.D.

6. Year degree awarded \_\_\_\_\_

7. IMPORTANT: Attach a copy of your dissertation title page and abstract to this form. Please be certain that the name of your dissertation supervisor is included on both.

8. Subject categories for your dissertation. Enter 4-digit code from 'Subject Categories' list found on the opposite side of this form, and write in the category selected. You may enter two additional codes and categories on the lines provided.

Code \_\_\_\_\_ Category \_\_\_\_\_

Code \_\_\_\_\_ Category \_\_\_\_\_

Code \_\_\_\_\_ Category \_\_\_\_\_

(Optional) List up to five additional words from your dissertation not already found in ***either*** your title ***or*** abstract which would be useful for database access.

a. \_\_\_\_\_ b. \_\_\_\_\_ c. \_\_\_\_\_

d. \_\_\_\_\_ e. \_\_\_\_\_



[**TODO:** Spellcheck the following words: BuildEagerIdentT, CPSed, Coq's, Encodings, Gallina, Haswell, IdentifierParameters, Isomorphism, OCaml, Peano, PreLemmas, codomain, cryptographic, dissapointing, encodings, isomorphism, lefthand, logics, parsers, reimplementation, representated, righthand, runtime, simp, tradeoffs, unary, unsubstituted][**TODO:** Make sure to exclude no files (not rewriting)]



# Performance Engineering of Proof-Based Software Systems at Scale

by

Jason S. Gross

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer  
Science

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science and Engineering  
at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

June 2021

© Jason S. Gross, MMXXI. All rights reserved.

The author hereby grants to MIT permission to reproduce and to  
distribute publicly paper and electronic copies of this thesis document  
in whole or in part in any medium now known or hereafter created.

Author .....  
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
August 19, 2015

Certified by .....  
Adam Chlipala  
Associate Professor of Computer Science  
Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by .....  
Leslie A. Kolodziejski  
Chair, Department Committee on Graduate Students



# Performance Engineering of Proof-Based Software Systems at Scale

by  
Jason S. Gross

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
on August 19, 2015, in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science and Engineering

## Abstract

Formally verified proofs are important. Unfortunately, large-scale proofs, especially automated ones, in Coq, can be quite slow.

This thesis aims to be a partial guide to resolving the issue of slowness. We present a survey of the landscape of slowness in Coq, with a number of micro- and macro-benchmarks. We describe various metrics that allow prediction of slowness, such as term size, goal size, and number of binders, and note the occasional surprise-lack-of-bottleneck for some factors, such as total proof term size.

We identify three main categories of workarounds and partial solutions to slowness: design of APIs of Gallina libraries; changes to Coq’s type theory, implementation, or tooling; and automation design patterns, including proof by reflection. We present lessons drawn from the case-studies of a category-theory library, a proof-producing parser-generator, and a verified compiler and code generator for low-level cryptographic primitives.

The central new contribution presented by this thesis, beyond hopefully providing a roadmap to avoid slowness in large Coq developments, is a reflective framework for partial evaluation and rewriting which, in addition to being used to compile a code-generator for field arithmetic cryptographic primitives which generates the code currently used in Google Chrome, can serve as a template for a possibly replacement for tactics such as `rewrite`, `rewrite_strat`, `autorewrite`, `simpl`, and `cbn` which achieves much better performance by running in Coq’s VM while still allowing the flexibility of equational reasoning.

Thesis Supervisor: Adam Chlipala  
Title: Associate Professor of Computer Science

