

MIT Doctoral Dissertation PUBLISH ABSTRACT ONLY INFORMATION

Δ	hetract	Nο

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

Scho	Issue ool Code		
Advi	PLEAS	SE TYPE OR PRINT	
PER	SONAL DATA		
1.	. Full name (as it appears on dissertation	ı title page)	
	(last)	(first)	(middle)
2.	Year of birth (optional)		
3.	Present mailing address		
	Future mailing address		
	Effective date of future mailing address Home telephone	<u> </u>	one
DOC	CTORAL DEGREE DATA		
4.	Full name of university conferring degree	ee <u>Massachusetts Instit</u>	ute of Technology
5.	Degree awarded (check one) Ph.D.	. Sc.D.	
6.	Year degree awarded		
7.	IMPORTANT: Attach a copy of your certain that the name of your dissertation		
8.	Subject categories for your dissertation. opposite side of this form, and write in categories on the lines provided.	the category selected. You r	may enter two additional codes and
	Code Category		
	(Optional) List up to five additional w title or abstract which would be useful	ords from your dissertation	
	a b		c
	d e		

[TODO: Spellcheck the following words: Georges's, MathComp][TODO: Make sure to exclude no files (not rewriting)]

Performance Engineering of Proof-Based Software Systems at Scale

by

Jason S. Gross

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science and Engineering at the MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY February 2021

(C)	Massac	husetts	Institute	of	Techno	ology	2021.	All	rights	reserved	l.
-----	--------	---------	-----------	----	--------	-------	-------	-----	--------	----------	----

Author Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (draft)
Certified by
Accepted by Leslie A. Kolodziejski Chair, Department Committee on Graduate Students

Performance Engineering of Proof-Based Software Systems at Scale

by Jason S. Gross

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science on (draft), in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science and Engineering

Abstract

Formal verification is increasingly valuable as our world comes to rely more on software for critical infrastructure. A significant and under-studied cost of developing mechanized proofs, especially at scale, is the computer performance of proof generation. This thesis aims to be a partial guide to identifying and resolving performance bottlenecks in dependently typed tactic-driven proof assistants such as Coq.

We present a survey of the landscape of performance issues in Coq, with a number of micro- and macro-benchmarks. We describe various metrics that allow prediction of performance, such as term size, goal size, and number of binders, and note the occasional surprise-lack-of-bottleneck for some factors, such as total proof term size. To our knowledge such a roadmap to performance bottlenecks is a new contribution of this thesis.

We identify three main categories of workarounds and partial solutions to performance problems: design of APIs of Gallina libraries; changes to Coq's type theory, implementation, or tooling; and automation design patterns, including proof by reflection. We present lessons drawn from the case studies of a category-theory library, a proof-producing parser generator, and a verified compiler and code generator for low-level cryptographic primitives.

The central new technical contribution presented by this thesis is a reflective framework for partial evaluation and rewriting, already used to compile a code generator for field-arithmetic cryptographic primitives which generates code currently used in Google Chrome. We believe this prototype is the first scalably performant realization of an approach for code specialization which does not require adding to the trusted code base. Our extensible engine, which combines the traditional concepts of tailored term reduction and automatic rewriting from hint databases with on-the-fly generation of inductive codes for constants, is also of interest to replace these ingredients in proof assistants' proof checkers and tactic engines. Additionally, we use the development of this framework itself as a case study for the various performance issues that can arise when designing large proof libraries.

Finally, we present a novel method of simple and fast reification, developed and published during the course of doctoral study.

Thesis Supervisor: Adam Chlipala

Title: Associate Professor of Computer Science