

# Weierstrass' Approximation Theorem

Kexing Ying

## Abstract

We will provide a proof for Weierstrass' approximation theorem using the law of large numbers.

The *Weierstrass' approximation theorem* is a powerful theorem that showed that algebraic polynomials are dense in set of continuous real-valued functions. We will prove this fact here.

We shall first consider the following lemmas.

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_n$  be a sequence of independently and identically distributed random variables following the Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $x$  and let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then*

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] = B_n(x)$$

where  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , and

$$B_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

*Proof.* As  $S_n$  is defined to be the sum of  $n$  i.i.d Bernoulli random variables, it follows a binomial distribution with parameter  $n$  and  $x$  (prove it!). Thus,  $S_n$  has a probability mass function,

$$p_{S_n}(k) = \Pr(S_n = k) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

Then, by the *law of the unconscious statistician* (cite this), we have

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] = \sum_{k \in \text{supp} \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right)} f(k) \Pr \left( \frac{S_n}{n} = k \right),$$

where  $\text{supp} \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right)$  denotes the support of  $\frac{S_n}{n}$ .

Now as,  $S_n$  is the sum of  $n$  Bernoulli random variables,  $S_n$  can take valued from  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , and thus  $\text{supp} \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] &= \sum_{k \in \text{supp} \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right)} f(k) \Pr \left( \frac{S_n}{n} = k \right) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \text{supp} \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right)} f(k) \Pr (S_n = nk) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 1\}} f(k) \binom{n}{nk} x^{nk} (1-x)^{n-nk} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n f \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) \binom{n}{i} x^i (1-x)^{n-i} = B_n(x)
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

**Lemma 0.2.** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right| \right] < \epsilon$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c}$  is the indicator function for  $(A_n)^c$  and

$$A_n(\delta) = \left\{ \omega : \left| \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - x \right| \geq \delta \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let us fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is continuous on a compact interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $f$  is uniformly continuous (cite this), thus, there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ .

Then we see, that, if  $\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c$ , we have  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n(\delta)^c} = 1$  and as

$$\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c = \left\{ \omega : \neg \left| \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - x \right| \geq \delta \right\} = \left\{ \omega : \left| \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - x \right| < \delta \right\},$$

i.e.  $\left| x - \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \delta$ , and thus, by the construction of  $\delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f \left( \frac{S_n}{n} \right)| < \epsilon$  and hence,

$$\mathbf{1}_{A_n(\delta)^c} \left| x - \frac{S_n}{n} \right| = \left| x - \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, if  $\omega \notin A_n(\delta)^c$ , we have  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n(\delta)^c} = 0$ , so

$$\mathbf{1}_{A_n(\delta)^c} \left| x - \frac{S_n}{n} \right| = 0.$$

Now, as the events of  $\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c$  and the event  $\omega \notin A_n(\delta)^c$  partitions the sample space by the *law of excluded middle* (cite this), by the *total law of expectation*, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right] &= \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right) \mid \omega \in A_n(\delta)^c \right] \Pr(\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right) \mid \omega \notin A_n(\delta)^c \right] \Pr(\omega \notin A_n(\delta)^c) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right) \mid \omega \in A_n(\delta)^c \right] \Pr(\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c) \\ &< \epsilon \Pr(\omega \in A_n(\delta)^c) \leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

Now armed with the above two lemmas, we can finally prove the *Weierstrass' approximation theorem*.

**Theorem 1** (Weierstrass' approximation theorem). *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $P_n$  such that*

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - P_n(x)| < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , then by lemma 0.2, there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon &> \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{A_n(\delta)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{1}_{(A_n)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \right| \right) \mid A_n(\delta)^c \right] \Pr(A_n(\delta)^c), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_n(\delta)$  is defined the same way as lemma 0.2.

By considering the *weak law of large numbers*, we have  $P(A_n(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|P(A_n(\delta))| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, consider by triangle inequality, for any discrete random variable  $Y$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}(|Y|) = \sum |y| \Pr(Y = y) \geq \left| \sum y \Pr(Y = y) \right| = |\mathbf{E}(Y)|,$$

where the second equality is due to the law of the unconscious statistician.

Thus, with this, we can establish the following inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{1}_{(A_N)^c} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right) \right| \right) \mid (A_N)^c \right] \Pr((A_N)^c) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[ \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right) \right| \mid (A_N)^c \right] \Pr((A_N)^c) \\ &\geq \left| \mathbf{E} \left[ f(x) - f\left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right) \mid (A_N)^c \right] \right| \Pr((A_N)^c) \\ &= \left| f(x) - \mathbf{E} \left[ f\left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right) \mid (A_N)^c \right] \right| \Pr((A_N)^c). \end{aligned}$$

However, by lemma 0.1, we have  $\mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_N}{N} \right) \right] = B_N$ , thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - \mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_N}{N} \right) \right] \right| \Pr((A_N)^c) &= |f(x) - B_N(x)| \Pr((A_N)^c) \\ &= |f(x) - B_N(x)| (1 - \Pr(A_N)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as we have choosen  $N$ , such that  $\Pr(A_N) < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $1 - \Pr(A_N) > \frac{1}{2}$ , so

$$|f(x) - B_N(x)| (1 - \Pr(A_N)) > \frac{1}{2} |f(x) - B_N(x)|,$$

and hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon > \frac{1}{2} |f(x) - B_N(x)| \implies \epsilon > |f(x) - B_N(x)|.$$

As  $B_N$  is a polynomial, we have found polynomial that is at most  $\epsilon$  distance away from  $f$ , as required.  $\square$