

The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem and its Formalisation

Kexing Ying

Notations and Variables

Throughout this poster, we will let X be a compact metric space, M to be the set of all bounded and continuous functions from X to \mathbb{R} , M_0 a subset of M , \bar{M}_0 the closure of M_0 under lattice operations and uniform convergence to the limit and unless otherwise specified, we let all functions from X to \mathbb{R} be bounded and continuous.

We say M_0 *separates points* if and only if for all distinct $x, y \in X$, there exists some $f \in M_0$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Lattice Operations

While we do not form a complete lattice on the set of bounded continuous functions, we define two lattice operations $\vee, \wedge : (X \rightarrow \mathbb{R})^2 \rightarrow (X \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ such that, for all $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where f, g are bounded continuous functions

$$f \vee g = \max\{f, g\},$$

and

$$f \wedge g = \min\{f, g\}.$$

R -algebra and Subalgebra

While in many literature (cite) algebras over a field are used to examine the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it is in fact not necessary to examine algebras over field. As we will demonstrate, algebras over a commutative ring (or R -algebras) will suffice.

An R -algebra is a mathematical object consisting of a commutative ring R and a semi-ring A such that there exists scalar multiplication $\cdot : R \times A \rightarrow A$ and a homomorphism from R to A , $\phi : R \rightarrow A$ such that

$$r \cdot a = \phi(r) \times a,$$

and

$$\phi(r) \times a = a \times \phi(r)$$

are satisfied for all $r \in R$ and $a \in A$ (cite).

A *subalgebra* S of an R -algebra A is a subset of A that's closed under the induced operations carried from A .

It can be shown that both M and \mathbb{R}^2 form a R -algebra over \mathbb{R} (cite my own proof?).

The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

The *Stone-Weierstrass theorem* states that, given a subalgebra of M , M_0 that is closed under lattice operations and separates points, $\bar{M}_0 = M$, and we say M_0 is dense in M .

Outline of the proof

The theorem relies on two crucial lemmas:

Lemma 1. For all $f \in M$, $f \in \bar{M}_0$ if and only if for all $x, y \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in M_0$ such that $|f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ and $|f(y) - g(y)| < \epsilon$, i.e. there M_0 has a function arbitrarily close to f at x and y .

Lemma 2. Given S , a subalgebra of \mathbb{R}^2 , S must be $\{(0, 0)\}$, $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\{(z, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$, or \mathbb{R}^2 itself.

By considering *lemma 1*, it can be deduced that, for M_0, M_1 , closed subalgebras of M under lattice operations and uniform convergence to the limit, $M_0 = M_1$ if and only if at for all distinct x, y , M_0 and M_1 have the same boundary points (the boundary points of M_i at x, y is defined to be $\{(f(x), f(y)) \mid f \in M_i\}$).

Now by considering the fact that the boundary points of M_0 form a subalgebra of \mathbb{R}^2 , we can utilise *lemma 2* to deduce that the boundary points must either be $\{(z, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$, or \mathbb{R}^2 (the first three possibilities in lemma 2 are not possible since $(1, 1)$ is in the boundary points). Now, if M_0 separates points then there must exist $f \in M_0$, $f(x) \neq f(y)$ so that excludes $\{(z, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and hence the boundary points is \mathbb{R}^2 and the theorem follows.

Approximation Theorem

Formalisation

The procedure in which the formalisation was achieved is similar to the outline, the source code of which can be found in my GitHub repository: http://github.com/JasonKYi/weierstrass_approximation

Lemma 1 was formalised and is represented in Lean as `in_closure2_iff_dense_at_points` in `main.lean` the method of which we will discuss below. The forward direction of the proof is trivial so let us consider the reverse.

Let us fix x and ϵ and define a mapping to set of X

$$S : X \rightarrow \text{set } X := \lambda y, \{z \mid |f(z) - g_y(z)| < \epsilon\},$$

where g_y was chosen such that $|f(x) - g_y(x)| < \epsilon$ and $|f(y) - g_y(y)| < \epsilon$. Then for all $y \in X$, $y \in S(y)$ so $\bigcup_{y \in X} S(y) = X$. But as X is compact, $\bigcup_{y \in X} S(y)$ admits a finite subcover (cite); so, there exists a finite index set I such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} S(y_i) = X$. Thus, by letting $p_x = \bigvee_{i \in I} g_{y_i}$, we have constructed a function $p_x \in \bar{M}_0$ such that

$$p_x(z) \geq g_{y_i}(z) > f(z) - \epsilon$$

for all $z \in X$ and $i \in I$. Now, by defining a similar mapping to set of X ,

$$T : X \rightarrow \text{set } X := \lambda x, \{z \mid |p_x(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon\},$$

we again create a finite subcover of X and thus can create the required function with $\bigwedge_{j \in J} p_{x_j}$ where J is the index set such that $\bigcup_{j \in J} T(p_{x_j}) = X$.

Lemma 2 was also formalised and is represented in Lean as `subalgebra_of_R2` and can be found in `ralgebra.lean`. The proof this lemma is rather tedious and follows directly by evoking the law of the excluded middle on different propositions multiple times.

Thus, with both lemma 1 and lemma 2 in our arsenal, it was shown that given two subalgebra of M , M_0 and M_1 which are closed under lattice operations, $M_0 = M_1$ if and only if they have the same boundary points with `eq_iff_boundary_points_eq` by constructing the notion of `closure'` in `definitions.lean` for sets of points in \mathbb{R}^2 .

With that, by defining the notion of `has_separate_points` in `definitions.lean` the Stone-Weierstrass theorem was proved with the method described in the outline with theorem statement in Lean being

```
theorem weierstrass_stone {M0' : subalgebra ℝ (X → ℝ)} (hc : closure0 M0'.carrier = M0'.carrier) (hsep : has_separate_points M0'.carrier) : closure2 M0'.carrier = univ
```

with the `M0'.carrier` notation referring to the underlying subset of M_0' the subalgebra and `hc` indicating `M0'.carrier` is closed under lattice operations.

Conclusions

References

- [1] D. Stirzaker. Elementary Probability. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] S. Ross. A First Course in Probability. Prentice Hall, 2001.
- [3] G. Grimmett. Probability and Random Processes. Oxford University Press, 2001.