—

Homework #1

1. Program the central FD method for the self-adjoint BVP

$$(\beta(x)u')' - \gamma(x)u(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

 $u(0) = u_a, \quad au(1) + bu'(1) = c,$

using a uniform grid and the central FD scheme

$$\frac{\beta_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(U_{i+1} - U_i)/h - \beta_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(U_i - U_{i-1})/h}{h} - \gamma(x_i)U_i = f(x_i) . \tag{1}$$

Test your code for the case where

$$\beta(x) = 1 + x^2, \quad \gamma(x) = x, \quad a = 2, \quad b = -3,$$
 (2)

and the other functions or parameters are determined from the exact solution

$$u(x) = e^{-x}(x-1)^2. (3)$$

Plot the computed solution and the exact solution, and the errors for a particular grid n=80. Do the grid refinement analysis, to determine the order of accuracy of the global solution. Also try to answer the following questions:

- Can your code handle the case when a = 0 or b = 0?
- If the central finite difference scheme is used for the equivalent differential equation

$$\beta u'' + \beta' u' - \gamma u = f , \qquad (4)$$

what are the advantages or disadvantages?

2. Modify the Matlab code $non_tp.m$ to apply the finite difference method and Newton's non-linear solver to find a numerical solution to the non-linear pendulum model

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + K\sin\theta = 0, \qquad 0 < \theta < 2\pi,
\theta(0) = \theta_1, \qquad \theta(2\pi) = \theta_2,$$
(5)

where K, θ_1 and θ_2 are parameters. Compare the solution with the linearized model $\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + K\theta = 0$.

3. Implement and compare the Gauss-Seidel, and the SOR (trying to find the best ω by testing), methods for the following elliptic problem:

$$u_{xx} + p(x, y)u_{yy} + r(x, y)u(x, y) = f(x, y)$$

 $a < x < b;$ $c < y < d,$

with the following boundary conditions:

$$u(a,y) = 0$$
, $u(x,c) = 0$, $u(x,d) = 0$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(b,y) = -\pi \sin(\pi y)$.

Test and debug your code for the case $0 \le x, y \le 1$, and

$$p(x,y) = (1 + x^2 + y^2),$$
 $r(x,y) = -xy.$

The source term f(x,y) is determined from the exact solution

$$u(x, y) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y).$$

Do the grid refinement analysis for n=16, n=32, and n=64 (if possible) in the infinity norm (**Hint:** In Matlab, use $\max(\max(abs(e)))$). Take the tolerance as 10^{-5} . Does the method behave like a second order method? Compare also the number of iterations and test the optimal relaxation factor ω . Plot the solution and the error for n=32.

Having made sure that you code is working correctly, try your code with a point source $f(x,y) = \delta(c-0.5)\delta(y-0.5)$ and $u_x = -1$ at x = 1, with p(x,y) = 1 and r(x,y) = 0. Note that the u(x,y) can be interpreted as the steady state temperature distribution of a room with insulated wall on three sides, a constant heat flow in from one side, and a point source (a heater for example) in the room. Note that the heat source can be expressed as $f(n/2, n/2) = 1/h^2$ and f(i,j) = 0 for other grid points. Use the mesh and contour plots to visualize the solution for n = 36(mesh(x, y, u), contour(x, y, u, 30)).