

Common Security Advisory Framework (CSAF) TC Monthly Meeting

Meeting Date: February 27, 2019

Time: 1:04 pm US EDT

1. Call to Order and Welcome

Meeting called to order @ 1:04 PM US EDT

2. Roll call

All participants recorded their attendance on the OASIS meeting calendar - quorum was not reached. All participants were kindly encouraged to register themselves to optimize the use of the shared time during the meeting in one of two ways:

- Clicking the link with the text "*Register my attendance*" on the top of the event page
- Or directly visiting the per event direct "*record my attendance link*"

2.1 Participants

Company	Name	Role
Kaiser Permanente	Bitle, Jonathan	Voting Member
Cisco Systems	Fridley, Troy	Voting Member
Cisco Systems	Gorski, Mike	Member
TIBCO Software Inc.	Johnson, Eric	Voting Member
Cisco Systems	Levy, Rhonda	Member
Carnegie Mellon University	MANION, Art	Member
TIBCO Software Inc.	Page, Denny	Voting Member
Red Hat	Rock, Langley	Observer
Cisco Systems	Ronnau, Louis	Voting Member
Cisco Systems	Santos, Omar	Chair
FireEye, Inc.	Semrau, Jared	Voting Member
Carnegie Mellon University	Shick, Deana	Observer
Tenable, Inc	Tamagna-Darr, Lucas	Member
Hitachi, Ltd.	Terada, Masato	Member
Microsoft	West, Dan	Member

Note: Voting right changes effective after the meeting and it is automatically calculated by the Kavi OASIS tool.

Note: Observers of this committee that are ready to become Members should follow the specific instructions displayed the [OASIS Open Notices](#) tab.

3. Approval of Agenda

Quorum was not reached

4. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meetings

Quorum was not reached

5. Meeting Notes

- Omar:
 - Updates about meeting next week during RSA; no space available to meet even in hallways and outside it is impossible or too costly. Perhaps we can see each other during the information meeting.
 - The first meeting that we will be attending is PSIRT that Cisco represents; and then Red Hat will be presenting. May be easier to get together then. Will send an update later today. Specifically, for PSIRT teams.
 - How to resurrect monthly meetings, shall we meet more regularly or other suggestions? One goal for this ask is to help with participation.
 - Art Manion – notes that we have not had a quorum for several meetings. Sees that some core people attend, and some do not; some signed up who are not available or interested. Shortening the list to those who are actively engaged would be a good idea.
 - Omar agrees to shortening list of voting members to those who are engaged. Action: **Will follow up with Robin and discuss, and track to maintain voting members.**
 - Omar: Suggests communicating via emails or be more active in email reminders and have working sessions? Perhaps, bi-weekly meetings?
 - Eric Johnson two things:
 - not doing a great job articulating driving factors. Reflecting on call yesterday with CIRT, challenge that Art highlighted - CDEs, CERT and MIDOR will search for more data, not racing for more and we have no deadline. MIDOR finds something sooner than us so our work may not be useful. No external deadlines put on ourselves. No one is speaking up. A real convergence is here.

- Second observation, meet more frequently you get more work done. Meeting once a month, you tend to forget about the meeting after 3 weeks. If we meet more consistently it may make a difference overtime. Likes what Omar said about working sessions.
- Eric if we meet every two weeks we would prune the voting members quicker.
- Omar:
 - **Will work with Rhonda to schedule biweekly meetings.** No need to vote, from there.
 - Second point, what is the intended goal? Having deadlines? Do we want to implement a goal for the next revision by a certain date?
 - We can show some progress and that we are one of the major contributors regarding vulnerabilities.
 - Re: changes to API formats, MIDOR, JSON do we want to take a step back and see what the customers asking? What is going on in the industry that we must address?
 - CCEF document put into core agenda of next meeting. If this time works for international audience, we will try at this time. **Action: Will send a doodle about the working sessions.** So, we are thinking about two working sessions per month and this administrative meeting.
 - Eric – observations
 - external activities, can we use CVRF to use MIDOR format or a new format? Points to what does JSON have to do? Start looking at a profile of what must be in a CVRF document, then we are closer to closing the loop.
 - Likes this kind of focus. If a company must write new code, why do we have to do that?
 - Art – generally agrees.
 - We would love to work toward or to have a wider advisory format that is universal; and we can invest time on it.
 - If this group decides to do maintenance vs. something more active, fine. Perhaps one reason why there is no activity is because there is no clear or large enough direction?
 - Do we have things that we have to accomplish for adoption; e.g., clean up integrations, CVRF, CVE etc.? if a group of vendors changes we should too.
 - Omar, good points.
 - Vendors like Cisco do not have a lot of options, we look at customers' demands.

- CVRF industry peers are different. Some companies are more established and have budgets and different standards. Typical customers probably don't care about spend vs. hand-held support. In a large environment, you have usage to create translation from CVRFs to MIDOR and is an effort that we do.
 - o Art - to your point, there is not a lot of demand for CVRF and CVE, that is not about format.
- Post accuracy at the completion of the data and maintenance with CVRF, may be something to consider as the first goal that we all should vote on. Should we pursue or go into maintenance mode? We should have a common goal.
- Art – not advocating one or the other. If maintenance yes, let's move forward and not fall back.
- Eric – JSON effort – open source let's iterate quickly, equivalent to the current data model and can define extension points. Maybe that's all we should do? If we do that, then we can ask people what extensions they want. Sort of maintenance, and in conjunction we can do some minor updates.
- Omar good point, no answer right now. Could be the first thing to discuss via email. **Action: Eric would you send a summary from your perspective from your alias.** Will work on it during our first working session, and then go to larger group for a vote. Then we can get a consensus for the next step. Based on progress from MIDOR. **Eric is happy to take on the task. Busy next week but will send an email based on what just said.**
- Omar:
 - reiterated that this is just an informational meeting only.
 - Looking forward to seeing you all at RSA.

6. Next Meeting

Next Meeting will be on Wednesday, March 27, 2019 at 1:00 PM US EDT

Event page: https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/csaf/event.php?event_id=47738

Self-Registration link (available from approx. 15 minutes before meeting start):

https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/csaf/record_my_attendance.php?event_id=47738&confirmed=1

Note: All monthly meetings take place on the last Wednesday of each month at 1:00 PM US EDT.

7. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 1:33 PM US EDT.