research_proposal_v2.md 2025-09-22

Project Proposal: A Hybrid Stylometric and Semantic Fluctuation Approach for Detecting Al-Generated Media News

Motivation:

- Large Language Models (LLMs) pose a direct threat to the integrity of public information as vast quantities of convincing but false media news can be generated at scale.
- Existing AI text detectors often rely on content-based classifiers that are vulnerable to adversarial attacks and out-of-distribution data, creating a need for more robust detection methods.
- Recent work shows that contrastive learning and multi-level analysis can improve detection robustness (Chen et al., 2024).

Research Question: Can a hybrid model combining statistical stylometry with semantic fluctuation analysis achieve greater accuracy and robustness in detecting Al-generated news compared to standard content-based classifiers, particularly in out-of-distribution scenarios?

Proposed Approach:

1. Dataset Construction:

- o Scrape human-written news sources (Reuters, AP, BBC) as human baseline
- o Generate synthetic counterparts using multiple LLMs (GPT-4o, Claude, Gemini) on same topics
- Create adversarial dataset by having humans paraphrase Al-generated articles to simulate evasion tactics

2. Feature Engineering - Stylometric Analysis:

- Lexical diversity metrics (type-token ratio, hapax legomena)
- Sentence complexity patterns (parse tree depth, dependency distances)
- N-gram frequency distributions
- Punctuation and formatting patterns

3. Feature Engineering - Semantic Fluctuation Analysis (Novel Contribution):

- Topic Coherence Drift: Measure semantic similarity between consecutive paragraphs using sentence embeddings, tracking unusual coherence patterns
- **Entity Consistency Score:** Analyze how consistently named entities and their attributes are referenced throughout the article
- Temporal Logic Patterns: Detect inconsistencies in temporal references and event sequencing
- Source Attribution Patterns: Analyze frequency and specificity of source citations and quotes
- Factual Grounding Metrics: Measure the density and specificity of verifiable claims vs. vague statements

4. Model Development:

- Implement ensemble classifier combining stylometric and semantic features
- Use contrastive learning approach inspired by DeTeCtive framework for robust feature extraction

research_proposal_v2.md 2025-09-22

Apply multi-task learning to simultaneously detect Al content and identify source model

5. Evaluation:

- Benchmark against existing detectors (GPTZero, OpenAl's classifier)
- Test on out-of-distribution data from new LLMs
- Evaluate robustness against adversarial paraphrasing

Timeline (6 weeks):

Week 1: Data Collection & Preparation

 Week 1: Set up web scraping infrastructure, collect 1,000 human-written articles; Generate Al counterparts using 3 different LLMs, create initial dataset splits

Week 2: Feature Development

• Week 2: Implement stylometric feature extractors, validate on sample data; Develop semantic fluctuation analyzers, test feature discriminative power

Week 3-4: Model Training & Optimization

- Week 3: Train baseline models, implement ensemble approach
- Week 4: Hyperparameter tuning, implement contrastive learning components

Week 5: Adversarial Testing

- Create human-paraphrased adversarial dataset
- Test model robustness and identify failure modes

Week 6: Evaluation & Documentation

- Comprehensive benchmarking against existing methods
- · Statistical analysis of results
- Final report preparation

Expected Outcomes:

- Demonstrated improvement over existing methods on adversarial examples
- Open-source toolkit for Al-generated news detection
- Analysis of most discriminative features for detection

References:

Chen, J., et al. (2024). "DeTeCtive: Detecting Al-generated Text via Multi-Level Contrastive Learning." *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:2410.20964.

Gehrmann, S., Strobelt, H., & Rush, A. M. (2019). "GLTR: Statistical Detection and Visualization of Generated Text." *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, 111-116.

Ippolito, D., et al. (2020). "Automatic Detection of Generated Text is Easiest when Humans are Fooled." *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1808-1822.

research_proposal_v2.md 2025-09-22

Jawahar, G., Abdul-Mageed, M., & Lakshmanan, L. V. (2020). "Automatic Detection of Machine Generated Text: A Critical Survey." *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, 2296-2309.

Mitchell, E., et al. (2023). "DetectGPT: Zero-Shot Machine-Generated Text Detection using Probability Curvature." *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 24950-24962.

Sadasivan, V. S., et al. (2024). "Can Al-Generated Text be Reliably Detected?" *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11156*.

Zellers, R., et al. (2019). "Defending Against Neural Fake News." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 32.