Test Exercise 4

Jason 25/01/2018

Questions

A challenging and very relevant economic problem is the measurement of the returns to schooling. In this question we will use the following variables on 3010 US men:

- logw: log wage
- educ: number of years of schooling
- age: age of the individual in years
- exper: working experience in years
- smsa: dummy indicating whether the individual lived in a metropolitan area
- south: dummy indicating whether the individual lived in the south
- nearc: dummy indicating whether the individual lived near a 4-year college
- dadeduc: education of the individual's father (in years)
- momeduc: education of the individual's mother (in years)

This data is a selection of the data used by D. Card (1995)1

Question 1

Use OLS to estimate the parameters of the model

```
logw = 1 + 2educ + 3exper + 4exper2 + 5smsa + 6south + .
```

Give an interpretation to the estimated 2 coefficient

```
wageData$experSquared <- (wageData$exper)^2
olsModel <- lm(logw ~ educ + exper + experSquared + smsa + south, data = wageData)
olsModel$coefficients</pre>
```

```
## (Intercept) educ exper experSquared smsa
## 4.611014446 0.081579706 0.083835685 -0.002202115 0.150800573
## south
## -0.175176080
```

The coefficient of 2 which is 0.084, indicates that for every additional year of schooling the individual has, the value of log wage is expected to increase by 0.084.

Question 2

OLS may be inconsistent in this case as educ and exper may be endogenous. Give a reason why this may be the case. Also indicate whether the estimate in part (a) is still useful.

Variables *educ* and *exper* are likely to be endogenous as they may be influence by other factors such as cost of education and motivation to study/work. There may also be a correlation between *educ* and *exper* such that longer education time means less experience in the workforce.

As such, if the variables are not exogenous, they cause OLS to be inconsistent.

Question 3

Give a motivation why age and age^2 can be used as instruments for exper and $exper^2$.

age and age^2 can be used as instruments as they are both exogneous, have strong correlation with exper and exper2 and have no correlation with ϵ

Question 4

Run the first-stage regression for educ for the two-stage least squares estimation of the parameters in the model above when age, age2, nearc, dadeduc, and momeduc are used as additional instruments. What do you conclude about the suitability of these instruments for schooling?

```
wageData$ageSquared <- (wageData$age)^2
model1Stage <- lm(educ ~ age + ageSquared + nearc + daded + momed, data = wageData)</pre>
```

Based on the t-statistic of variables age, age^2 , nearc, daded and momed, they are all correlated with the endogenous variable educ with daded and momed the having the strongest correlation. This makes sense since parents that have strong educational backgrounds will more than likely have a strong influence on the education of their child. As such, they are all suitable to be used as instruments.

Question 5

Estimate the parameters of the model for log wage using two-stage least squares where you correct for the endogeneity of education and experience. Compare your result to the estimate in part (a).

```
model2SLS <- ivreg(logw ~ educ + exper + experSquared + smsa + south | age + ageSquared + nearc + daded
model2SLS$coefficients

## (Intercept) educ exper experSquared smsa</pre>
```

```
## (Intercept) educ exper expersquared smsa

## 4.416903899 0.099842919 0.072866858 -0.001639293 0.134937031

## south

## -0.158986861
```

Comparing this model to (a), variables *educ*, *exper*, *smsa* still have a positive effect on the log wage value with the positive effect of *educ* slightly stronger then the previous model. All other variables still have a similar negative effect on log wage.

Question 6

Perform the Sargan test for validity of the instruments. What is your conclusion?

```
summary(model2SLS, diagnostics = TRUE)
##
```

```
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = logw ~ educ + exper + experSquared + smsa + south |
## age + ageSquared + nearc + daded + momed + smsa + south,
## data = wageData)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -1.7494 -0.2360 0.0266 0.2498 1.3468
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
##
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                 4.4169039 0.1154208
## (Intercept)
                                      38.268
## educ
                 0.0998429
                            0.0065738
                                       15.188
                                              < 2e-16 ***
## exper
                 0.0728669
                            0.0167134
                                        4.360 1.35e-05 ***
## experSquared -0.0016393 0.0008381
                                       -1.956
                                                0.0506 .
## smsa
                 0.1349370 0.0167695
                                        8.047 1.21e-15 ***
## south
                -0.1589869 0.0156854 -10.136 < 2e-16 ***
##
## Diagnostic tests:
                                        df2 statistic p-value
                                    df1
                                               145.511 < 2e-16 ***
## Weak instruments (educ)
                                      5 3002
## Weak instruments (exper)
                                      5 3002
                                              1257.258 < 2e-16 ***
                                              1098.430 < 2e-16 ***
## Weak instruments (experSquared)
                                      5 3002
                                      2 3002
                                                 5.709 0.00335 **
## Wu-Hausman
## Sargan
                                      2
                                          NA
                                                 3.702 0.15705
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.3844 on 3004 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.2512, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2499
## Wald test: 175.9 on 5 and 3004 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
qchisq(0.05, df=5, lower.tail = FALSE)
```

[1] 11.0705

The Sargan test statistic which is 3.702 is lower than the 5% of chi square distribution with 5 degrees of freedom which is 11.07. As such we cannot reject the null hypothesis, and therefore the instruments are valid.

Appendix

```
summary(olsModel)
```

```
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = logw ~ educ + exper + experSquared + smsa + south,
##
       data = wageData)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
  -1.71487 -0.22987
                     0.02268
                              0.24898
                                       1.38552
##
## Coefficients:
##
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                 4.6110144 0.0678950
                                      67.914 < 2e-16 ***
                                       23.315 < 2e-16 ***
## educ
                 0.0815797
                           0.0034990
## exper
                 0.0838357
                            0.0067735
                                       12.377
                                              < 2e-16 ***
## experSquared -0.0022021
                                       -6.800 1.26e-11 ***
                            0.0003238
## smsa
                 0.1508006
                           0.0158360
                                        9.523
                                              < 2e-16 ***
## south
                -0.1751761 0.0146486 -11.959 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
## Residual standard error: 0.3813 on 3004 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2632, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2619
## F-statistic: 214.6 on 5 and 3004 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
summary(model1Stage)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = educ ~ age + ageSquared + nearc + daded + momed,
##
      data = wageData)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                    Median
                                           Max
                                   30
## -11.4573 -1.4968 -0.2734 1.6843
                                        7.5636
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                         4.010502 -1.477 0.139796
## (Intercept) -5.923273
                        0.281060
                                   3.531 0.000419 ***
## age
               0.992550
## ageSquared -0.017075
                          0.004878 -3.500 0.000472 ***
## nearc
               0.528751
                          0.092698
                                   5.704 1.28e-08 ***
## daded
               0.202048
                          0.015665 12.898 < 2e-16 ***
## momed
               0.248379
                          0.017036 14.580 < 2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.346 on 3004 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.233, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2317
## F-statistic: 182.5 on 5 and 3004 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
summary(model2SLS)
##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = logw ~ educ + exper + experSquared + smsa + south |
      age + ageSquared + nearc + daded + momed + smsa + south,
##
      data = wageData)
##
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -1.7494 -0.2360 0.0266 0.2498 1.3468
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                4.4169039 0.1154208 38.268 < 2e-16 ***
                0.0998429 0.0065738 15.188 < 2e-16 ***
## educ
                0.0728669 0.0167134
                                       4.360 1.35e-05 ***
## exper
## experSquared -0.0016393 0.0008381 -1.956 0.0506.
## smsa
                0.1349370 0.0167695
                                      8.047 1.21e-15 ***
## south
               -0.1589869 0.0156854 -10.136 < 2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Residual standard error: 0.3844 on 3004 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.2512, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2499 ## Wald test: 175.9 on 5 and 3004 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16