## a) i)

. regress fgp	a satv						
Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	1.09400606 127.691683	1 607		100606 365211		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0229 = 0.0085
Total	128.785689	608	. 2118	318567		Root MSE	= .45866
fgpa	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
satv _cons	.0630859 2.441732	.0276		2.28 15.75	0.023 0.000	.0087578 2.137209	.1174139 2.746256

- Coefficient of SATV = 0.063
- Standard error of SATV = 0.027
- p-value of SATV = 0.023

ii)

- Confidence interval of SATV = b + 2 SE<sub>b</sub> and b 2 SE<sub>b</sub>
- Therefore b = 0.007 and 0.119

b) i)

3	oa satv satm f						
Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	10.6846655 118.101023	3 605	3.561 .1952	55518 08303		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.0830
Total	128.785689	608	. 2118	18567		Root MSE	= .44182
fgpa	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
satv satm fem _cons	.0141619 .1727359 .2002716 1.557048	.027 .0319 .0373 .2160	267 809	0.51 5.41 5.36 7.21	0.612 0.000 0.000 0.000	0406837 .1100352 .1268596 1.13266	.0690075 .2354365 .2736836 1.981437

- Coefficient of SATV = 0.014
- Standard error of SATV = 0.027
- p-value of SATV = 0.612

ii)

- Confidence interval of SATV =  $b + 2 SE_b$  and  $b 2 SE_b$
- Therefore b = -0.042 and 0.070

c)

Below is a correlation matrix for all variables in the model. Numbers are Pearson correlation coefficients, go from -1 to 1. Closer to 1 means strong correlation. A negative value indicates an inverse relationship (roughly, when one goes up the other goes down).

. pwcorr fgpa satv satm fem								
	fgpa	satv	satm	fem				
fgpa satv satm fem	1.0000 0.0922 0.1950 0.1765	1.0000 0.2878 0.0336	1.0000 -0.1627	1.0000				

Although, the coefficient of SATV is statistically significant in the part-A, however, in part B the coefficient of SATV is <u>not</u> statistically significant. This difference can be explained by the correlation matrix:-

The model in part A leaves out variables such as SATM and FEM, which are included in the error term. The error term is correlated with the independent variable SATV.... (SATV-SATM = (0.28) and SATV-FEM = 0.03).

Hence, model A explains the total effect (direct + indirect) of satv on fgpa.

i)

```
. test satv
( 1) satv = 0

F( 1, 605) = 0.26
    Prob > F = 0.6123
```

The p-value is 0.6123, we <u>do not</u> reject the null. We conclude that SATV does not have any significant effect on FGPA

ii)

 $F^2 = t$ 

- F value from above = 0.26
- T value from part B of SATV = 0.51

Therefore, 0.51<sup>2 =</sup> 0.2601