# Schubert polynomials

Jasper Ty

These are notes based on my study of Schubert polynomials. My main references are [KnutsonSP] and [MacdonaldSP].

## **Contents**

I	Not	ation and conventions	)
	I.I	Sets	J
	1.2	Partitions and compositions	2
	1.3	Rings, polynomials, and formal power series	2
	1.4	Permutations and the symmetric group	3
2	Schubert Polynomials		
	2.I	Divided difference operators	3
		2.I.I Definition	3
		2.I.2 Basic facts	4
	2.2	The definition of a Schubert polynomial	5
3	The ring of coinvariants of		
		Definition	6

## Notation and conventions

#### I.I Sets

We take  $\mathbb N$  to be the set of natural numbers *including* zero,

$$\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

We take  $\mathbb{P}$  to be the set of *positive integers*,

$$\mathbb{P} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

 $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  are defined as usual.

#### 1.2 Partitions and compositions

A *weak composition*  $\alpha$  of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is an infinite tuple of nonnegative integers

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots)$$

such that  $\sum_i \alpha_i = n$ . We define  $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i$  to have notation for recovering n given  $\alpha$ .

A partition  $\lambda$  of n is a weak composition whose entries are weakly decreasing. That a particular partition  $\lambda$  is a partition of a particular n is denoted  $\lambda \vdash n$ . We define  $|\lambda|$  the exact same way.

I use English notation when drawing diagrams and tableaux, meaning, row index increases *north to south*, and column index increases *west to east*.

#### 1.3 Rings, polynomials, and formal power series

The following notation is (mostly) in accordance with the notation in [GrinbergAC], with a few additions.

All rings considered are commutative and unital. An arbitrary ring will be denoted  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

 $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$  will denote the formal power series ring over  $\mathbb{K}$  in the indeterminate t.

We will fix notation for the following sets of indeterminates, which we will use when convenient:

- (a)  $X_N := (x_1, x_2, \dots x_N)$  for a set of N indeterminates.
- (b)  $X := (x_1, x_2, ...)$  for a set of countably many indeterminates.
- (c)  $Y, Y_N, Z, Z_N, Q, Q_N$  and so on are defined similarly.

With compositions, partitions, or otherwise any finitely supported tuple of non-negative integers  $\alpha$ , we define *multi-index notation* for compactly writing down monomials.

$$x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots$$

We will let  $[x^{\alpha}]f$  denote the coefficient of  $[x^{\alpha}]$  in the polynomial or formal power series f.

#### 1.4 Permutations and the symmetric group

 $S_n$  will denote the symmetric group on n letters.

I use cycle notation, so e.g the cycle that sends 1 to 7, 7 to 4, and 4 to 1 will be written as (174).

The simple transpositions  $(i \ i + 1)$  will be denoted  $s_i$ .

The length of a permutation w will be denoted  $\ell(w)$ .

Permutations will act on polynomials or power series by permuting *places*, meaning that if  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{K}[X_n]$ , we define

$$\sigma f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := f(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

## 2 Schubert Polynomials

Apparently these are "Schubert cycles in flag varieties".

#### 2.1 Divided difference operators

These strike me as a tool to measure how "unsymmetric" a polynomial is in a local sense, in two variables at a time.

#### 2.1.1 Definition

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let f be a polynomial in N indeterminates. We define the *divided difference operators*  $\partial_i$  by

$$\partial_i f := \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \tag{1}$$

**Example 2.1.2.** If  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1x_2$ , then

$$\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_3}{x_2 - x_3}$$
$$= x_1 \left( \frac{x_2 - x_3}{x_2 - x_3} \right)$$
$$= x_1.$$

#### 2.1.2 Basic facts

We have the following characterization of  $\partial_i$  that does not invoke division.

**Lemma 2.1.3.** Fix *i*. Consider some monomial  $f = \cdots x_i^a x_{i+1}^b \cdots$ . Then

$$\partial_i(\cdots x_i^a x_{i+1}^b \cdots) = \varepsilon_{ba} \sum_{\substack{u,v \geq \min\{a,b\}\\ u+v=a+b-1}} \cdots x_i^u x_{i+1}^v \cdots,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is defined to be

$$\varepsilon_{rs} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r = s \\ 1 & \text{if } r < s \\ -1 & \text{if } r > s \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is not hard but it's a slog. We compute

$$\partial_{i}(\cdots x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b} \cdots) = \frac{(\cdots x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b} \cdots) - (\cdots x_{i}^{b} x_{i+1}^{a} \cdots)}{x_{i} - x_{i+1}}$$
$$= (\cdots) \frac{x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b} - x_{i}^{b} x_{i+1}^{a}}{x_{i} - x_{i+1}}.$$

We recall our (well, mine) favorite high-school algebra identity

$$\frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} = x^{n-1}y^0 + x^{n-2}y^1 + \dots + x^1y^{n-2} + x^0y^{n-1},$$

which we will modify a little

$$\frac{x^{n+m}y^m - x^my^{n+m}}{x - y} = x^{m+n-1}y^m + x^{m+n-2}y^{m+1} + \dots + x^{m+1}y^{m+n-2}x^my^{m+n-1},$$

and we note that the pairs  $(u, v) \in \{(m+n-1, m), \dots, (m, m+n-1)\}$  are precisely those such that  $u, v \ge \min\{a, b\}$  and u + v = 2m + n - 1. We then put a = m + n and b = m, to get that

$$\frac{x^a y^b - x^a y^b}{x - y} = \sum_{\substack{u, v \ge \min\{a, b\}\\u+v = a+b-1}} x^u y^v, \quad \text{given } a \ge b.$$

Then, to forget  $a \ge b$ , we pick up a  $\varepsilon_{ba}$  term to keep track of sign. Applying this identity now to our computation, we finish the lemma.

Then the following properties of the operator  $\partial_i$  can be read off

**Corollary 2.1.4.** Let f be a polynomial.

- (a)  $\partial_i f$  is a polynomial. (b) If f is homogeneous of degree d, then  $\partial_i f$  is homogeneous of degree d-1.

Proof. Left to reader.

**Theorem 2.1.5.** The divided difference operators satsify the following relations

(a) The braid relation

$$\partial_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i = \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \tag{2}$$

(b) Far commutativity

$$\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$$
 whenever  $|i - j| > 1$ 

(c) Reflection by a simple

$$\partial_i s_i = -\partial_i$$

(d) Chain condition

$$\partial_i^2 = 0$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\partial_i = (x_i - x_{i+1})^{-1} (1 - s_i).$$

Then 

I wonder if  $\partial_i^2 = 0$  has to do with the Schuberts arising from a cohomology theory.

## The definition of a Schubert polynomial

**Definition 2.2.1.** The *Schubert polynomials*  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  are defined by the rules

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{w_0} := x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^1, \\ \partial_i \mathfrak{S}_w := \mathfrak{S}_{w s_i} \end{cases}$$

Actually, this definition is a theorem if we start with the "Representatives of cohomology classes of Schubert cycles in flag varieties" definition, but I don't understand that unfortunately.

# 3 The ring of coinvariants of

**Theorem 3.0.1.** The Schuberts form a basis for the coinvariant ring

#### 3.1 Definition

#### References

[StanleyEC2] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 2*, Cambridge University Press 2023.

[GrinbergAC] Darij Grinberg, An Introduction to Algebraic Combinatorics, http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/t/21s/lecs.pdf

[KnutsonSP] Allen Knutson, Schubert Polynomials and Symmetric Functions, https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~allenk/schubnotes.pdf

[MacdonaldSP] Ian Macdonald, Notes on Schubert Polynomials