# Noncommutative Schur functions

Jasper Ty

#### What is this?

This is (going to be) an "infinite napkin"-type set of notes I am taking about the Fomin-Greene theory of noncommutative Schur functions.

Note that this is distinct from the theory of the ring called NCSym, in which there exists structural analogues of monomial, elementary, homogeneous, power, and Schur functions. I am not currently aware of any connection between these two theories.

#### **Contents**

I	Idea	ls and words	3	
2	Noncommutative elementary and homogeneous symmetric functions			
	2.I	Newton's identities	4	
	2.2	When do the elementaries commute?	5	
	2.3	The map $\Psi_I$	6	
3	Noncommutative Schur functions			
	3.1	Cauchy kernel	8	
4	Applications			
	4.I	Recovering known results in the plactic algebra	8	
	4.2	Stanley symmetric functions via the nilCoxeter algebra	8	
	4.3	LLT polynomials via the algebra of Ribbon Schur operators	8	

5	Line	ear programming	8
6 Algebras of operators		ebras of operators	9
7	Switchboards		
8	Appendix		
	8.1	Formal power series	9
	8.2		9
	8.3	The Edelman-Greene correspondence	9

#### 1 Ideals and words

Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$  be a collection of noncommuting variables. Let  $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$  be the free semigroup on the generators  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then, let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$  denote the corresponding semigroup ring— the free associative ring generated by  $\mathbf{u}$ .

We will denote by  $\mathcal{U}^*$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by words in the alphabet  $\{1,\ldots,N\}$ .

We will have a fundamental pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$  given by making noncommutative monomials dual to words.

Now, if I is an ideal of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we define  $I^{\perp}$  by

$$I^{\perp} := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{U}^* \mid \langle I, \gamma \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a collection of commuting variables. We will define  $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{x}] := \mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$ , and for all ideals I of  $\mathcal{U}$ 

## 2 Noncommutative elementary and homogeneous symmetric functions

**Definition 2.1.** The noncommutative elementary symmetric function  $e_k(\mathbf{u})$  is defined to be

$$e_k(\mathbf{u}) := \underbrace{\sum_{i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_k} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_k}}_{\text{(decreasing!)}}.$$
 (1)

The noncommutative homogeneous symmetric function  $h_k(\mathbf{u})$  is defined to be

$$b_k(\mathbf{u}) := \underbrace{\sum_{i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_k}}_{\text{(increasing!)}}.$$
 (2)

We will have the convention that  $e_0(\mathbf{u}) = h_0(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ , and that  $e_k(\mathbf{u}) = h_k(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  if k < 0.

In symmetric function theory, the elementary and homogeneous symmetric functions can be seen as generating functions for semistandard Young tableaux whose shape is a single column and a single row respectively.

The same idea works here— except now one takes the *column word* of a tableau.

#### 2.1 Newton's identities

We define noncommutative analogues of standard generating functions for the elementary and homogeneous symmetric functions.

**Definition 2.2.** Define the following functions:

$$E(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{N} x^{k} e_{k}(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i=N}^{1} (1 + xu_{i})$$
 (3)

and

$$H(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k h_k(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - xu_i)^{-1}.$$
 (4)

in the ring  $\mathcal{U}[x]$ .

An immediate consequence of this definition is a noncommutative analogue of Newton's identities.

Proposition 2.3 (Noncommutative Newton-Girard formulas). We have

$$E(x)H(-x) = H(x)E(-x) = 1.$$
 (5)

In particular,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} e_{k}(\mathbf{u}) h_{n-k}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} h_{k}(\mathbf{u}) e_{n-k}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

for all n.

*Proof.* Putting together (3) and (4) immediately gives us (5)

$$E(x)H(-x) = \left[\prod_{i=N}^{1} (1 + xu_i)\right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 + xu_i)^{-1}\right]$$
$$= \left[\prod_{i=N}^{1} (1 + xu_i)\right] \left[\prod_{i=N}^{1} (1 + xu_i)\right]^{-1}$$

note reversal of product order!

= 1.

And H(x)E(-x) = 1 is proved exactly the same way.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let *I* be an ideal of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $E(x)E(y) \equiv_{I[x,y]} E(y)E(x)$  if and only if  $H(x)H(y) \equiv_{I[x,y]} H(y)H(x)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose E(x)E(y) = E(y)E(x) for all commuting x, y. Then H(x)H(y) = $E(-x)^{-1}E(-y)^{-1} = E(-y)^{-1}E(-x)^{-1} = H(y)H(x)$ . The reverse implication is proved identically. 

#### When do the elementaries commute? 2.2

**Lemma 2.5.** Let I be an ideal of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $E(x)E(y) \equiv_{I[x,y]} E(y)E(x)$ . (b)  $e_k(\mathbf{u})e_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv_I e_j(\mathbf{u})e_k(\mathbf{u})$  for all j,k.

*Proof.* Expand and compare coefficients.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let I be an ideal of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $e_k(\mathbf{u})e_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv_I e_j(\mathbf{u})e_k(\mathbf{u})$  for all j,k. (b)  $h_k(\mathbf{u})h_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv_I h_j(\mathbf{u})h_k(\mathbf{u})$  for all j,k.

Proof. Combine Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 2.5.

**Definition 2.7.** We define the ideal  $I_C$  to be the ideal consisting of exactly the elements

$$u_{b}^{2}u_{a} + u_{a}u_{b}u_{a} - u_{b}u_{a}u_{b} - u_{b}u_{a}^{2} \qquad (a < b), \qquad (6)$$

$$u_{b}u_{c}u_{a} + u_{a}u_{c}u_{b} - u_{b}u_{a}u_{c} - u_{c}u_{a}u_{b} \qquad (a < b < c), \qquad (7)$$

$$u_{c}u_{b}u_{c}u_{a} + u_{b}u_{c}u_{a}u_{c} - u_{c}u_{b}u_{a}u_{c} - u_{b}u_{c}^{2}u_{a} \qquad (a < b < c). \qquad (8)$$

$$u_b u_c u_a + u_a u_c u_b - u_b u_a u_c - u_c u_a u_b \qquad (a < b < c), \qquad (7)$$

$$u_{c}u_{b}u_{c}u_{a} + u_{b}u_{c}u_{a}u_{c} - u_{c}u_{b}u_{a}u_{c} - u_{b}u_{c}^{2}u_{a} \qquad (a < b < c).$$
 (8)

Compactly, these are the relations

$$[u_a u_b] u_a \equiv u_b [u_a u_b], \quad [u_a u_c] u_b \equiv u_b [u_a u_c], \quad [u_b u_c] [u_a u_c] \equiv 0.$$

for all a < b < c.

We now come to the key theorem about  $I_C$ — namely that it is the smallest ideal which allows the noncommutative elementaries to commute.

We will follow A.N Kirillov's proof [K16, Theorem 2.26]. Blasiak and Fomin also have a proof carried out in much higher generality [BF18].

**Theorem 2.8.** If 
$$I \supseteq I_C$$
, then  $e_k(\mathbf{u})e_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv_I e_j(\mathbf{u})e_k(\mathbf{u})$  for all  $j,k$ .

*Proof.* First we show that, in  $I_C$ , the elementaries commute. Define  $E_n(x) =$ 

#### 2.3 The map $\Psi_I$

**Theorem 2.9** (Fundamental theorem of symmetric functions). Let  $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$  denote the ring of symmetric polynomials in the commuting variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then the elementary symmetric functions in  $\mathbf{x}$  are algebraically independent, and moreover

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[e_1(\mathbf{x}), e_2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, e_n(\mathbf{x})].$$

*Proof.* See Theorem 7.4.4 in [EC2]. One can prove this via the *Gale-Ryser* theorem.

**Corollary 2.10.** If I contains  $I_C$ , then the map

$$\Psi_I: \Lambda_n(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{U}/I$$

$$e_k(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto e_k(\mathbf{u})$$

extends to a ring homomorphism.

Proof. Combine Theorems 2.9 and 2.8.

#### 3 Noncommutative Schur functions

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $I \supseteq I_C$ . The noncommutative Schur function  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{U}/I$  is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) e_{\lambda_1^\top + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{u}) e_{\lambda_2^\top + \pi(2) - 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots e_{\lambda_t^\top + \pi(t) - t}(\mathbf{u}),$$

6

where  $t = \lambda_1$  is the number of parts of  $\lambda^{T}$ . Alternatively, since the *b*'s commute

where 
$$t = \lambda_1$$
 is the number of parts of  $\lambda$ . Afternatively, since the  $h$ 's coin whenever the  $e$ 's do, 
$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{\pi \in S_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) h_{\lambda_1 + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{u}) h_{\lambda_2 + \pi(2) - 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots h_{\lambda_t + \pi(t) - t}(\mathbf{u}).$$

The first definition is based on the **Kostka-Naegelsbach identity** 

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \det \left( e_{\lambda_{i}^{\top} + j - i}(\mathbf{x}) \right)_{i, j=1}^{n},$$

and the second is based on the Jacobi-Trudi identity

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \det (h_{\lambda_i + j - i}(\mathbf{x}))_{i, j = 1}^n.$$

Since these are purely polynomials of elementary symmetric and complete homogeneous polynomials, one sees the following

**Definition 3.2.** If  $I \supseteq I_C$ , then

$$\Psi_I(s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})) \equiv \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \mod I.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \Psi_I \big( s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \big) &= \Psi_I \left( \det \big( e_{\lambda_i^\top + j - i}(\mathbf{x}) \big)_{i,j=1}^n \right) \\ &= \Psi_I \left( \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) h_{\pi_1 + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{x}) \cdots h_{\pi_n + \pi(n) - n}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) h_{\pi_1 + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots h_{\pi_n + \pi(n) - n}(\mathbf{u}) \mod I \\ &\equiv \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \mod I. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** If I contains  $I_C$ , then for all  $\gamma \in I_C^{\perp}$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=n}^{1} (1 + x_i u_j), \gamma \right\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \left\langle \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda^{\top}}(\mathbf{u}), \gamma \right\rangle.$$

Proof.

**Theorem 3.4** ([FG98], [BF16]). In the ideal  $I_{\emptyset}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda; N)} \mathbf{u}^{\text{colword } T}.$$

#### 3.1 Cauchy kernel

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2...)$  be a countable collection of commuting variables.

#### 4 Applications

#### 4.1 Recovering known results in the plactic algebra

Theorem 4.1 (Littlewood-Richardson rule).

#### 4.2 Stanley symmetric functions via the nilCoxeter algebra

The connection between Schubert polynomials and the nilCoxeter ideal was first explored by Richard Stanley and

- **Definition 4.2.** The nilCoxeter ideal
- 4.3 LLT polynomials via the algebra of Ribbon Schur operators

#### 5 Linear programming

Consider the positive cones  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{>0}^*$ .

### 6 Algebras of operators

**Definition 6.1.** A combinatorial representation of  $\mathcal{U}/I$  is

#### 7 Switchboards

#### 8 Appendix

#### 8.1 Formal power series

Corollary 8.1.

Proposition 8.2.

#### 8.2 Gessel's fundamental quasisymmetric function

**Definition 8.3.** Let  $w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a word. We define the **fundamental quasisymmetric function**  $Q_{\mathrm{Des}(w)}$  by

$$Q_{\mathrm{Des}(\mathsf{w})} \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_n \\ j \in \mathrm{Des}(\mathsf{w}) \implies i_j < i_{j+1}}} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}.$$

#### 8.3 The Edelman-Greene correspondence

#### References

- [EC2] Richard P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 2, Cambridge University Press 2023.
- [FG98] Sergey Fomin and Curtis Greene, *Noncommutative Schur functions and their applications*, Discrete Math. **193** (1998), 179-200.
- [BF16] Jonah Blasiak and Sergey Fomin, Noncommutative Schur functions, switchboards, and Schur positivity, Sel. Math. 23 (2017), 727-766.

Also available as arXiv:1510.00657.

[BF18] Jonah Blasiak and Sergey Fomin, *Rules of Three for commutation relations*, J. Algebra. **500** (2018), 193-220.

Also available as arXiv:1608.05042.

[A15] Sami Assaf, Dual equivalence graphs I: A new paradigm for Schur positivity, Forum. Math. Sigma 3 (2015), e12.

Also available as arXiv:1506.03798.

- [K16] Alexandre Kirillov, *Notes on Schubert, Grothendieck, and Key polynomials*, SIGMA 12 (2016) Also available as arXiv:1501.07337.
- [Lo4] Thomas Lam, *Ribbon Schur operators*, European J. Combin. **29** (2008), 343-359. Also available as arXiv:math/0409463.
- [FS91] Sergey Fomin and Richard P. Stanley, Schubert Polynomials and the NilCoxeter Algebra, Adv. Math. 103 (1994), 196-207.
- [M91] Ian G. Macdonald, Notes on Schubert Polynomials, LACIM, 1991.