

Group actions and representations

Jasper Ty

This are notes I took while going through Chapter 4 of Darij Grinberg’s “Introduction to the symmetric group algebra” [[GrinbergSGA](#)].

Contents

1	Group actions and G-sets	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Examples	3
1.3	Symmetric group actions	4
1.4	G -set morphisms	4
1.5	Combining G -sets	6
2	Linear group actions and representations of G	6
2.1	Linear actions, aka representations	6

1 Group actions and G -sets

1.1 Introduction

We start with one of the most famous applications of group actions.

Theorem 1.1.1 (Cayley’s theorem). Every group is isomorphic to a subgroup of some symmetric group.

Proof (sketch). Fix a group G . For all group elements $g \in G$, define the map

$$\begin{aligned} L_g : G &\rightarrow G \\ k &\mapsto gk, \end{aligned}$$

which is easily verified to be an element of S_G . It is also easily shown that $L_{gh} = L_g \circ L_h$, implying that the map $g \mapsto L_g$ is homomorphic. Then G is isomorphic to the image of the aforementioned map, a subgroup of S_G . \square

This is, at least, the standard proof. If you're cheating and already more or less know the idea of the proof ahead of time, an even terser proof sketch would go:

Proof (sketchier). G acts on itself via left multiplication. \square

In fact, G can act on *far, far more* than just itself.

Definition 1.1.2 (Left group actions). Let G be a group, and let X be a set. We call a binary operation

$$* : G \times X \rightarrow X$$

a *left action of G on X* , or a *left G -action of X* whenever it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g * (h * x) &= (gh) * x \\ 1_G * x &= x \end{aligned}$$

for any $g, h \in G, x \in X$.

Definition 1.1.3 (G -sets). A *left G -set* is a set X equipped with a left G -action of it.

We can define right actions and right G -sets analogously. But we won't.

Convention 1.1.4. All actions are left actions unless explicitly noted otherwise.

Now, we note that in Cayley's theorem, we expressed our group action as a map into S_G . This gives us an equivalent formulation of G -actions and G -sets.

Definition 1.1.5 (G -actions, curried form). A left G -action on X is a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : G &\rightarrow S_X \\ g &\mapsto \tau_g. \end{aligned}$$

We may go between the two different definitions by the relationships

$$g * x := \tau_g(x) \quad \tau_g := (x \mapsto g * x)$$

going between both curried and uncurried forms.

1.2 Examples

For brevity, I omit quantifiers— any formula written here is implicitly meant to be quantified *universally* over its free variables.

Example 1.2.1. Let G be a group. Then we have the following G -actions on G

(a) The *left regular G -action*;

$$g * b := gb.$$

(b) The *inverse right regular G -action*;

$$g * b := bg^{-1}.$$

(c) The *conjugation G -action*;

$$g * b := ghg^{-1}.$$

Example 1.2.2. Let G be a group and let X be any set. The *trivial G -action on X* is defined by

$$g * x = x.$$

Example 1.2.3. Let X be any set. The *natural action of S_X on X* is defined by

$$g * x = g(x).$$

Example 1.2.4. Let G be a group and let $H \leq G$. Define

$$G/H := \{\text{left cosets of } H \text{ in } G\} = \{gH : g \in G\}.$$

This set, as we know, is a group (with the Minkowski product) if and only if H is normal. Nevertheless, it can always be given the structure of a G -set, by defining

$$g * (uH) := (gu)H.$$

1.3 Symmetric group actions

The following two definitions have a particularly annoying mess of clashing conventions built on top of them, one which my advisor regularly asks me to be careful about.

Definition 1.3.1 (A Tale of Two Actions). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let X be a set. Consider the following actions on X^n .

(a) We have a S_X action given by

$$g * (x_1, \dots, x_n) := (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)).$$

(b) We have a S_n action given by

$$g * (x_1, \dots, x_n) := (x_{g(1)}, \dots, x_{g(n)}).$$

The former sends *values to values*, and is called the *entrywise action*, or the *action on entries*. The latter sends *positions to positions*, and is called the *place permutation action*, or the *action on places*.

It gets particularly confusing (to me!) when X itself is the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, then both the action on entries and places are S_n -actions!

1.4 G -set morphisms

G sets form a category (a **groupoid**, in particular), whose morphisms respect G -actions in the following way:

Definition 1.4.1. Let X and Y be two G -sets. Then a *G -set morphism* or a *G -equivariant map* is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$f(g * x) = g * f(x)$$

for any $g \in G, x \in X$.

Example 1.4.2. Let A be any set. Equip A with the trivial S_n -action, and A^n with

the place-permuting S_n -action. The map

$$f : A \rightarrow A^n$$

$$a \mapsto \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n \text{ times}} \right)$$

is then a S_n -set morphism, since if $g \in S_n$,

$$f(g * a) = f(a) = \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n \text{ times}} \right)$$

and

$$g * f(a) = g * \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n \text{ times}} \right) = \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n \text{ times}} \right).$$

More generally,

Example 1.4.3. Let X and Y be two G -sets, equipped with the trivial G -actions. Then *any* map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant.

Proposition 1.4.4. If f is a G -set morphism and its inverse f^{-1} exists, then f^{-1} is a G -set morphism.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. That f^{-1} is an inverse of f means that

$$f^{-1} \circ f = f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}.$$

That f is a G -set morphism means that, given the curried forms $\tau : X \rightarrow S_X$ and $\phi : Y \rightarrow S_Y$ of X and Y 's G -actions, for all elements $g \in G$

$$\phi_g \circ f = f \circ \tau_g.$$

Then

$$f^{-1} \circ (\phi_g \circ f) \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ (f \circ \tau_g) \circ f^{-1}$$

so

$$f^{-1} \circ \phi_g = \tau_g \circ f^{-1}.$$

which shows that f^{-1} is a G -set morphism. □

Definition 1.4.5. A *G-set isomorphism* is a *G-set morphism* that is invertible, i.e., it is a *G-set morphism* f for which there exists another *G-set morphism* f^{-1} such that

$$f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}.$$

If X and Y are two *G-sets* that have a *G-set isomorphism* between them, we say that X and Y are *isomorphic as G-sets*, and write $X \simeq Y$.

Example 1.4.6. G/G , as defined in 1.2.4 is isomorphic to $\{1\}$.

Example 1.4.7. $G/\{1_G\} \sim G$.

Example 1.4.8. Consider the S_n -action on $\mathcal{P}([n])$, and consider the place-permutation action of S_n on 2^n . Then $\mathcal{P}([n]) \simeq 2^n$.

Proof. Consider the bijection given by sending each element of $\mathcal{P}([n])$ to its corresponding indicator function in 2^n . \square

1.5 Combining *G-sets*

Definition 1.5.1. The *Cartesian product of G-sets*

2 Linear group actions and representations of G

2.1 Linear actions, aka representations

Definition 2.1.1. Let V be a \mathbf{k} -module, and let G be a group.

(a) A G -action on V is **\mathbf{k} -linear** if the map τ_g is \mathbf{k} -linear for all $g \in G$.

References

[GrinbergSGA] Darij Grinberg, *An introduction to the symmetric group algebra*,
<http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/t/24s/sga.pdf>