

Lie algebras

Jasper Ty

What is this?

These are notes based on my reading of Humphreys's "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory".

Contents

1	Basic definitions and examples	3
1.1	Lie algebras	3
1.2	Examples	5
1.2.1	Type A: the special linear algebra	5
1.2.2	Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
1.2.3	Type C: the symplectic algebra	7
1.2.4	Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
1.3	Lie algebras from algebras	8
1.4	Derivations, the adjoint representation	10
1.5	Abstract Lie algebras	12
2	Ideals and homomorphisms	13
2.1	Ideals	13
2.2	Homomorphisms	13
2.3	Isomorphism theorems	14
3	Automorphisms	17

4	Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras	17
4.1	The derived series, solvability	17
4.2	The descending central series, nilpotency	20
4.3	Engel’s theorem	20
5	Semisimple Lie algebras	23
5.1	Lie’s theorem	23
5.2	Cartan’s criterion	23
5.3	Killing form	23
5.4	\mathfrak{g} -modules	23
5.5	Weyl’s theorem	24
6	Representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 and the root space decomposition	24
7	Root systems	25
8	Appendix	25
8.1	Definitions	25
8.2	Some linear algebra	25
8.2.1	Definitions	25
8.2.2	Rank-nullity	26
8.2.3	The matrix representation	27
8.2.4	Change of basis	30
8.2.5	Trace	31

I Basic definitions and examples

Convention 1.0.1. All vector spaces considered are finite dimensional and no assumptions are made yet about underlying fields. We use V and \mathbb{K} to denote generic vector spaces and fields respectively.

We will often use \rightarrow to denote action in general, so if $v \in V$ and $x \in \text{End } V$, we will define

$$x \rightarrow v := x(v).$$

1.1 Lie algebras

Definition 1.1.1. A **Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} is a vector space equipped with a product

$$\begin{aligned} [-, -] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto [x, y], \end{aligned}$$

such that

(L1) $[-, -]$ is bilinear,

(L2) $[xx] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, and

(L3) $[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0$.

We refer to $[x, y]$ as the **bracket** or the **commutator** of x and y .

(L3) is referred to as the *Jacobi identity*.

As an exercise in using this definition, we show the following:

Proposition 1.1.2. Brackets are anticommutative, i.e

$$[x, y] = -[y, x]. \quad (\text{L2}')$$

is a relation in any Lie algebra.

Proof. By (L2), we have that

$$[x + y, x + y] = 0,$$

and by (L1),

$$[xx] + [xy] + [yx] + [yy] = 0.$$

By (L2) again,

$$[xy] + [yx] = 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

We will look at our first example of a Lie algebra, closely associated with the **general linear group** $GL(V)$ of invertible endomorphisms of a vector space V .

Definition 1.1.3 (**gl**, abstractly). Let V be a vector space. The **general linear algebra** $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is defined to be the Lie algebra with underlying vector space $\text{End } V$ and bracket given by

$$[xy] = xy - yx$$

defined with $\text{End } V$'s natural ring structure.

$\text{End } V$'s aforementioned ring structure is exactly that of $n \times n$ matrices, where $n = \dim V$. Then, the following definition gives us a more concrete avatar of **gl**, and is in a sense “the only” finite dimensional **gl**.

Definition 1.1.4 (**gl**, concretely). Let \mathbb{K} be some field and let n be a positive integer. The **general linear algebra** $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is defined

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}) := \mathfrak{gl}(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})).$$

In this setting, we can easily compute the bracket of **gl** relative to its standard basis:

Proposition 1.1.5. Let $\{e_{pq}\}_{p,q=0}^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then

$$[e_{pq}e_{rs}] = \delta_{qr}e_{ps} - \delta_{sr}e_{pq},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta.

Proof. Using the Iverson bracket (see Definition 8.1.1),

$$(e_{pq})_{ij} = [p = i \wedge q = j]^2 = [p = i]^2 [q = j]^2$$

and so

$$(e_{pq}e_{rs})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (e_{pq})_{ik} (e_{rs})_{kj}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^n [p = i \wedge q = k]^2 [r = k \wedge s = j]^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left([q = k]^2 [r = k]^2 \right) [p = i]^2 [s = j]^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [q = r = k]^2 \right) [p = i \wedge s = j]^2 \\
&= \delta_{qr} (e_{ps})_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $e_{pq}e_{rs} = \delta_{qr}e_{ps}$. Similarly, $e_{rs}e_{pq} = \delta_{sp}e_{rq}$. \square

Importantly, many Lie algebras, and in fact all the Lie algebras we are concerned with, occur as subalgebras of the general linear algebra—a **subalgebra** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a subspace of \mathfrak{g} that is closed under \mathfrak{g} 's bracket.

Definition 1.1.6. A **linear Lie algebra** is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for some n .

All finite dimensional Lie algebras are linear, in the sense that they are isomorphic to some linear Lie algebra.

1.2 Examples

We have four distinguished families of Lie algebras:

$$A_\ell, \quad B_\ell, \quad C_\ell, \quad D_\ell.$$

These are parameterized by a positive integer ℓ , and they classify all but five of the so-called **semisimple Lie algebras**.

1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra

Definition 1.2.1. Let V be a vector space with basis $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and dual basis $\mathbf{v}^* = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$. The **trace** $\text{tr } x$ of an endomorphism $x \in \text{End } V$ of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v^i(x(v_i)).$$

In other words, it is the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix representation of x . The trace is independent of the basis used to compute it (see Theorem 8.2.18 in the Appendix), hence it is a well defined quantity.

Definition 1.2.2 (The type A_ℓ Lie algebra). Let V have dimension $n = \ell + 1$. We define A_ℓ to be the **special linear algebra** $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$, the set of all **traceless** endomorphisms of V , which means

$$A_\ell := \mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0\}.$$

As is the case with $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$, we also define

$$A_\ell := \mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{K}) := \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{K}) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0\}$$

and will refer to them interchangeably.

This algebra is so named because of its connection with the **special linear group** $\operatorname{SL}(V)$, a distinguished subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(V)$. Unsurprisingly, $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ shares a similar relationship to $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proposition 1.2.3. $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. The trace is a linear operator $\operatorname{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Since the kernel of a linear operator is a subspace of its domain, we conclude that $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \ker \operatorname{tr}$ is a subspace of \mathfrak{gl} .

Finally, the fact that $\operatorname{tr}(xy - yx) = \operatorname{tr}(xy) - \operatorname{tr}(yx) = 0$ for *all* $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ means that $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$'s Lie bracket is closed in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K})$. \square

Lastly, we will compute the dimension of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$. Firstly, it has to be strictly less than that of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$'s, as it is a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Hence

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) < \dim \mathfrak{gl}(V) = (\ell + 1)^2.$$

So

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \leq (\ell + 1)^2 - 1 = \ell(\ell + 2)$$

However, we can explicitly name $\ell(\ell + 2)$ linearly independent elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K})$:

1. All the off-diagonal entries e_{ij} where $i \neq j$ —there are $(\ell + 1)^2 - (\ell + 1) = \ell^2 + \ell$ of these.
2. All of the elements $e_{ii} - e_{i+1, i+1}$, of which there are $(\ell + 1) - 1 = \ell$.

So,

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \geq \ell + 2 + \ell + \ell = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

And, putting it together, we have proven:

Proposition 1.2.4.

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_\ell = \dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

1.2.2 Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra

Definition 1.2.5. The **orthogonal algebra** $\mathfrak{o}_{2\ell+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined to be

1.2.3 Type C: the symplectic algebra

Definition 1.2.6. A **symplectic form** on a vector space V is a bilinear form ω such that

- (a) ω is bilinear,
- (b) $\omega(v, u) = -\omega(u, v)$, and
- (c) $\omega(v, u) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ implies that $u = 0$.

Definition 1.2.7 (The type C_ℓ Lie algebra). Let $\dim V = 2\ell$, and let V be endowed with a symplectic form ω .

We define C_ℓ to be the **symplectic algebra** $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$, the set of all $x \in \text{End } V$ such that

$$C_\ell := \mathfrak{sp}(V) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : \omega(x(-), -) + \omega(-, x(-)) = 0 \right\}$$

In matrix form, we define

$$C_\ell := \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{K}) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{K}) : Jx + x^T J = 0 \right\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ -I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{K}^{2\ell}$.

1.2.4 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra

Definition 1.2.8 (Type D Lie algebra). Let $\dim V = 2\ell$. We define \mathfrak{D} to be the **orthogonal algebra** $\mathfrak{o}(V)$, the set of all compatible bilinear transformations.

$$\mathfrak{D}_\ell := \mathfrak{o}(V) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : x + \right\}$$

1.3 Lie algebras from algebras

Definition 1.3.1 (Algebras over a field). Let \mathbb{K} be a field. An **algebra over \mathbb{K}** , or a **\mathbb{K} -algebra** is a \mathbb{K} -vector space equipped with a bilinear product.

We will use qualifiers like *associative* and *unital* to indicate that this product is associative and has unit respectively.

Put another way, a unital associative algebra over a field is

- a vector space with a compatible ring structure, (vector space + bilinear product)
- or a ring with a compatible vector space structure. (ring + bilinear scaling map)

For example, $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is a unital associative algebra over \mathbb{K} .

However, we don't in general expect algebras to have unit or to be associative— \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product is neither unital nor associative. Hence, the following is clear:

Proposition 1.3.2. Lie algebras are algebras, with the product given by the Lie bracket.

To go along with this definition, we have notion of a homomorphism of algebras.

Definition 1.3.3. An **algebra homomorphism** $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is a vector space homomorphism that respects the product, i.e

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

We say that an algebra homomorphism is an **algebra isomorphism** if it is also a vector space isomorphism.

For example, the determinant is an algebra homomorphism from $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ to \mathbb{K} . \mathbb{K} -algebras can be turned into Lie algebras by defining the bracket $[xy] := xy - yx$.

Definition 1.3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra. Then $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{A}]$ is defined to be the Lie algebra whose underlying vector space is \mathcal{A} and whose bracket is given by

$$[xy] := xy - yx$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

We can check the following nice fact:

Proposition 1.3.5. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two \mathbb{K} -algebras, and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an algebra homomorphism.

Then ϕ is also a *Lie algebra homomorphism* (see Definition 2.2.1) between $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{A}]$ and $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{B}]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([xy]) &= \phi(xy - yx) \\ &= \phi(xy) - \phi(yx) \\ &= \phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x) \\ &= [\phi(x)\phi(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence $\text{Lie}[-]$ is actually *functorial*, with mapping of arrows given by the identity map.

What happens when we consider $\text{Lie}[\mathfrak{g}]$, where \mathfrak{g} is *already* a Lie algebra?

Let the new bracket of $\text{Lie}[\mathfrak{g}]$ be denoted by $\llbracket -, - \rrbracket$. Then

$$\llbracket xy \rrbracket = [xy] - [yx] = [xy] + [xy] = 2[xy]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then $\llbracket -, - \rrbracket = 2[-, -]$. This fact actually characterizes Lie algebras.

Proposition 1.3.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra with product $*$. If $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{A}]$ has product $2*$, then \mathcal{A} is a Lie algebra with bracket given by $[xy] = x * y$.

Proof. The product is bilinear by definition, so we have (L1).

Next, we check (L2):

$$x * x = \frac{2(x * x)}{2} = \frac{[xx]}{2} = 0.$$

And finally, in the exact same way, we check the Jacobi identity, (L₃):

$$x * (y * z) + y * (z * x) + z * (x * y) = \frac{[x[yz]] + [y[xz]] + [z[xy]]}{4} = 0.$$

□

1.4 Derivations, the adjoint representation

Definition 1.4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra. A **derivation** of \mathcal{A} is a linear map $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$d(xy) = x(dy) + (dx)y.$$

The collection of all derivations of \mathcal{A} is denoted $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$.

Derivations play nicely with the vector space structure of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$ as well as with the bracket inherited from $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 1.4.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra. Then $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. If d and d' are two derivations, then

$$\begin{aligned} (ad + bd')(xy) &= (ad)(xy) + (bd')(xy) \\ &= x(ad y) + (adx)y + x(bd' y) + (bd' x)y \\ &= x(ad y + bd' y) + (adx + bd' x)y \\ &= x(ad + bd')(y) + (ad + bd')(x)y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $ad + bd' \in \text{Der } \mathcal{A}$, so $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [dd'](xy) &= (dd' - d'd)(xy) \\ &= (dd')(xy) - (d'd)(xy) \\ &= d(x(d'y) + (d'x)y) - d'(x(dy) + (dx)y) \\ &= d(x(d'y)) + d((d'x)y) - d'(x(dy)) - d'((dx)y) \\ &= xdd'y + dx d'y + d'xdy + dd'xy - x d'dy - d'xdy - dx d'y - d'dxy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= xdd'y + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'dx y \\
&= x(dd'y - d'dy) + (dd'x - d'dx)y \\
&= x((dd' - d'd)y) + ((dd' - d'd)x)y \\
&= x([dd']y) + ([dd']x)y.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$. \square

We have a special representation of *any* Lie algebra, which is given by its action on itself.

Definition 1.4.3. The **adjoint representation** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the mapping

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \\
x &\mapsto \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ is defined to be the linear map

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\
y &\mapsto [xy].
\end{aligned}$$

We will write $\text{ad } x$ for $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ unless there is any ambiguity.

As a set, we define $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g} := \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 1.4.4. $\text{ad } x$ is a derivation.

Proof. We start with the Jacobi identity (L3)

$$[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0,$$

which, using the anticommutation relations $[y[zx]] = -[y[xz]]$ and $[z[xy]] = -[[xy]z]$, is equivalent to

$$[x[yz]] = [y[xz]] + [[xy]z].$$

But this is saying that

$$\text{ad } x \mapsto [yz] = [y, \text{ad } x \mapsto z] + [\text{ad } x \mapsto y, z]$$

which is exactly the defining identity for derivations. \square

1.5 Abstract Lie algebras

Definition 1.5.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, and fix some basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} . We define \mathfrak{g} 's **structure constants** a_{ij}^k , relative to this basis to be the basis coefficients of the Lie brackets of basis elements— the numbers such that

$$[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k.$$

Definition 1.5.2. An **abelian** Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra with trivial bracket— $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 1.5.3. Let V be a vector space with basis x_1, \dots, x_n , and let a_{ij}^k be an array of structure coefficients. Then, the bracket defined by a_{ij}^k gives V a Lie algebra structure if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{ii}^k = 0 \\ a_{ij}^k + a_{ji}^k = 0 \\ \sum_k a_{ij}^k a_{kl}^m + a_{jl}^k a_{ki}^m + a_{li}^k a_{kj}^m = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any values of i, j, k, l, m .

We will classify all the Lie algebras of dimensions 1 and 2.

Proposition 1.5.4. There are only two Lie algebras of dimension two up to isomorphism:

- (a) The abelian two-dimensional Lie algebra,
- (b) and the Lie algebra with basis (x, y) and product $[x, y] = x$.

Proof. If \mathfrak{g} is nonabelian, then $[x, y] = ax + by$, where at least one of a, b is nonzero. Without loss of generality, let a be nonzero. Then

$$[[x, y], y] = [ax + by, y] = a[x, y].$$

Now put $u = [x, y]$ and $v = a^{-1}y$. Then

$$[uv] = [[x, y], (a^{-1}y)] = [x, y] = u.$$

□

2 Ideals and homomorphisms

2.1 Ideals

Definition 2.1.1. A subspace \mathfrak{i} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called an **ideal** of \mathfrak{g} if $[x y] \in \mathfrak{i}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{i}$.

The **sum** and the **bracket** of the ideals $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}$ are defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{j} := \{x + y : x \in \mathfrak{i}, y \in \mathfrak{j}\}, \quad [\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^r c_i [x_i y_i] : c_i \in \mathbb{K}, x_i \in \mathfrak{i}, y_i \in \mathfrak{j} \right\}.$$

Definition 2.1.2. The **quotient of a Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} by an ideal \mathfrak{i} , denoted $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$, is defined to be the quotient of \mathfrak{g} as a vector space by \mathfrak{i} as a subspace, equipped with the product

$$[x + \mathfrak{i}, y + \mathfrak{i}] := [xy] + \mathfrak{i}.$$

Proposition 2.1.3. $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof. These are all easy to check.

$$\begin{aligned} [ax + by + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}] &= ([ax + by, z]) + \mathfrak{i} \\ &= (a[x, z] + b[y, z]) + \mathfrak{i} \\ &= (a[x, z] + \mathfrak{i}) + (b[y, z] + \mathfrak{i}) \\ &= a[x + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}] + b[y + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}]. \end{aligned}$$

$$[x + \mathfrak{i}, x + \mathfrak{i}] = [xx] + \mathfrak{i} = 0 + \mathfrak{i}$$

□

2.2 Homomorphisms

There is a natural definition of a Lie algebra homomorphism— it's a map that respects brackets.

Definition 2.2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be two Lie algebras. We say that a map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a **Lie algebra homomorphism** if it is a linear map for which

$$\phi([xy]) = [\phi(x)\phi(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. A **Lie algebra isomorphism** is a Lie algebra homomorphism that is also an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Definition 2.2.2. A **representation** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ where V is some vector space.

2.3 Isomorphism theorems

Theorem 2.3.1 (Lie algebra isomorphism theorems). Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras.

- (a) If $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a homomorphism, then $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi$. If $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \ker \phi$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , there exists a unique homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathfrak{h} \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\phi} & \\ \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} & & \end{array}$$

- (b) If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, then $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ and there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}.$$

- (c) If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are ideals of \mathfrak{g} , there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} \simeq \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

Proof. (a) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi &\rightarrow \text{im } \phi \\ x + \ker \phi &\mapsto \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

is the desired isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi$. We verify that it is well defined: let $x + \ker \phi = x' + \ker \phi$. Then there exists $k, k' \in \ker \phi$ such that $x + k = x' + k'$, and we have that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x + k) = \phi(x + k') = \phi(x'),$$

so $\bar{\phi}$ is a well-defined function on the cosets in $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi$.

Next, we check that it respects brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}([x + \ker \phi, y + \ker \phi]) &= \bar{\phi}([xy] + \ker \phi) \\ &= \phi([xy]) \\ &= [\phi(x)\phi(y)] \\ &= [\bar{\phi}(x + \ker \phi), \bar{\phi}(y + \ker \phi)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is a homomorphism. To show that it is an isomorphism, we note that it has a trivial kernel, trivially:

$$\ker \bar{\phi} = \{x + \ker \phi : x + \ker \phi = \ker \phi\} = \{0 + \ker \phi\}.$$

Now, let \mathfrak{i} be an ideal of \mathfrak{g} contained in $\ker \phi$. We define in a similar way

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} &\rightarrow \text{im } \phi \\ x + \mathfrak{i} &\mapsto \phi(x), \end{aligned}$$

and via a similar argument as above, this map is well-defined. It is moreover clear that $\bar{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$ and that it is the only such homomorphism that has these properties.

(b) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. We define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \\ x + \mathfrak{b} &\mapsto x + \mathfrak{a}. \end{aligned}$$

This map is surjective. The kernel of this map is all the cosets $a + \mathfrak{b}$, namely the ideal $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$. Then, by (a),

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}.$$

(c) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of \mathfrak{g} . Define the map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : \mathfrak{a} &\rightarrow (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b}) \\ a &\mapsto a + \mathfrak{b}.\end{aligned}$$

This map is surjective, as, if $(a + b) + \mathfrak{b} \in (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b})$, then

$$\phi(a) = a + \mathfrak{b} = a + (b + \mathfrak{b}) = (a + b) + \mathfrak{b}.$$

Moreover, since

$$\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$$

we have that, by (a) again,

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} = \text{im } \phi \simeq \mathfrak{a}/\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

□

Theorem 2.3.2. The adjoint representation $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. ad is evidently linear. Next, we just check that it is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}[\text{ad } x, \text{ad } y] \rightarrow z &= (\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y - \text{ad } y \text{ ad } x) \rightarrow z \\ &= (\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y \rightarrow z) - (\text{ad } y \text{ ad } x \rightarrow z) \\ &= (\text{ad } x \rightarrow [yz]) - (\text{ad } y \rightarrow [xz]) \\ &= [x [yz]] - [y [xz]] \\ &= [x [yz]] + [y [zx]] \\ &= [[xy] z] \\ &= \text{ad } [xy] \rightarrow z.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3.3. Any simple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a linear Lie algebra.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. We have that

$$\ker \text{ad} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad } x = 0\} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [xy] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence, if \mathfrak{g} is simple, i.e if $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then ad has a trivial kernel, so it is an isomorphism.

□

3 Automorphisms

Definition 3.0.1. A **automorphism** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 3.0.2. Let V be a vector space and let $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. Then the map

$$x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. The aforementioned map is a vector space isomorphism, with explicit inverse

$$x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$$

and it is a homomorphism, as

$$\begin{aligned} g[x y]g^{-1} &= g(xy - yx)g^{-1} \\ &= (gxyg^{-1}) - (gyxg^{-1}) \\ &= (gxx^{-1}gyg^{-1}) - (gyg^{-1}gxx^{-1}) \\ &= [gxx^{-1}, gyg^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras

4.1 The derived series, solvability

Definition 4.1.1. The **derived series** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \dots$ defined

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}] \end{cases}.$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is all those elements of \mathfrak{g} which can be written as linear combinations of i “full binary trees” of brackets in \mathfrak{g} .

■ **Definition 4.1.2.** A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be **solvable** if $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$ for some n .

For example, abelian Lie algebras are solvable, whereas simple Lie algebras are never solvable.

■ **Proposition 4.1.3.** The Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices $\mathfrak{t}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is solvable.

Proof. We use the following definition of an upper triangular matrix:

$$(a_{ij}) \text{ is upper triangular} \iff a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 0.$$

Let (a_{ij}) and (b_{ij}) be two upper triangular matrices, and let $j - i < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (ab - ba)_{ij} &= (ab)_{ij} - (ba)_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}a_{kj} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^j a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}a_{kj} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} 0 \cdot b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^j a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^n a_{ik} \cdot 0 \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}a_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=i}^j a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}a_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=i}^j a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=i}^j b_{ik}a_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=i}^j (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i \\ a_{jj}b_{jj} - b_{jj}a_{jj} & \text{if } j = i \end{cases} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(ab - ba)$ is *strictly* upper triangular, so $[ab] \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{t}] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$.

Now suppose that, for some $l \geq 0$,

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < m.$$

Then, we can do a similar, in fact easier calculation to show that if $(a_{ij}), (b_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(m)}$ and $j - i < 2m$.

$$(ab - ba)_{ij} = \sum_{k=i+m}^{j-m} (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj}) = 0.$$

Hence, we have shown that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l+1)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2m.$$

Combined with our initial conditions, we have shown in general that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2^l.$$

Clearly, if l is large enough, (a_{ij}) is forced to be the zero matrix. Hence \mathfrak{n} is solvable, as $\mathfrak{n}^{(l)} = 0$ for some positive integer l . Then \mathfrak{t} is also solvable, as $\mathfrak{t}^{(l+1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{(l)} = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.1.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra.

- (a) If \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of \mathfrak{g} .
- (b) If \mathfrak{i} is a solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is also solvable, then \mathfrak{g} is solvable.
- (c) If $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}$ are solvable ideals of \mathfrak{g} , then so is $\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{j}$.

Proof. The first statement of (a) follows if we show that

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i)} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$$

for any subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} — this is an easy induction. Similarly, the second statement of (a) follows from

$$(\phi\mathfrak{g})^{(i)} = \phi(\mathfrak{g}^{(i)})$$

for any homomorphism ϕ . This is another easy induction.

For (b), we stack together $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ and \mathfrak{i} 's solvability— the former being solvable means that $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}$ for large enough n , but that means that $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{i} , for which $\mathfrak{i}^{(m)} = 0$ for large enough m , so we can “push in” \mathfrak{g} further, namely

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(n+m)} = \left(\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}\right)^{(m)} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}^{(m)} = 0.$$

\square

4.2 The descending central series, nilpotency

Definition 4.2.1. The **descending central series** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \dots$ defined to be

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^0 := \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^i := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}] \end{cases}.$$

Definition 4.2.2. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be **nilpotent** if $\mathfrak{g}^n = 0$ for some n .

Proposition 4.2.3. All nilpotent Lie algebras are solvable.

Definition 4.2.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. We say that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is **ad-nilpotent** if $(\text{ad } x)^n = 0$ for some n .

Theorem 4.2.5. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

4.3 Engel's theorem

We will prove **Engel's theorem**.

Theorem 4.3.1 (Engel). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent.
- (ii) All the elements of \mathfrak{g} are ad-nilpotent.

We will prove the following equivalent theorem:

Theorem 4.3.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, where V has positive dimension. If \mathfrak{g} consists only of nilpotent transformations, then there exists a nonzero vector $v \in V$ so that $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow v = 0$.

Proof. We induct on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

The $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$ case is trivial— \mathfrak{g} will only contain the zero transformation.

The $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$ case is also easy. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nonzero and nilpotent. Then we can find a nonzero vector $v \in V$ so that $x \rightarrow v = 0$, and so $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow v = \mathbb{K}x \rightarrow v = 0$.

Now suppose $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 1$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of positive dimension. Then,

$$\mathrm{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} := \left\{ \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(x + \mathfrak{h}) : x \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

is a Lie algebra—it is the homomorphic image of \mathfrak{g} under the composition

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}.$$

Moreover,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} > \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \geq \dim \mathrm{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},$$

as \mathfrak{h} has positive dimension. By the inductive hypothesis, we may find a nonzero vector $x + \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow (x + \mathfrak{h}) = 0 + \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} [bx] + \mathfrak{h} &= [b + \mathfrak{h}, x + \mathfrak{h}] \\ &= \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(b + \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow (x + \mathfrak{h}) \\ &= \mathfrak{h} \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in \mathfrak{h}$, so $x \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$.

But $x + \mathfrak{h}$ being nonzero in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ means exactly that $x \notin \mathfrak{h}$, so $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$. We will use this fact to produce a nontrivial maximal ideal of \mathfrak{g} .

We are always able to find a proper subalgebra of positive dimension—choose the span of any single element in \mathfrak{g} . Then, there must exist maximal proper subalgebras. Let \mathfrak{h} be maximal now. Then we have that $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{g}$, as otherwise $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a larger proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Hence, \mathfrak{h} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . We will show that it has codimension one. Suppose it has codimension at least two. Then, we can pull back a one-dimensional subalgebra of the quotient $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ along the projection map and obtain a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} that properly contains \mathfrak{h} , which is impossible.

Now, consider the subspace $W = \{v \in V : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow v = 0\}$ of V . Since \mathfrak{h} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{g} stabilizes W —for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $b \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $w \in W$, we have that

$$b \rightarrow g \rightarrow w = bg \rightarrow w$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (gb - [gb]) \rightarrow w \\
&= (g \rightarrow \underbrace{b \rightarrow w}_{=0}) + (\underbrace{[bg]}_{\in \mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow w) \\
&= (g \rightarrow 0) + 0 \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow W = W$.

Then, if we pick $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and restrict it to W , we have a nilpotent endomorphism of W , hence g has an eigenvector v in W .

Then, $(\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{K}g) \rightarrow v = 0$, completing the theorem. \square

Now, we can prove Engel's theorem:

Proof of Engel's theorem. As before, the $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$ cases are trivial. So, we induct on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra whose elements are all ad-nilpotent.

Then $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of nilpotent transformations, hence there exists a nonzero vector $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{ad } x \rightarrow x = 0$.

But, from the definition of ad , this means that $[gx] = 0$, hence $x \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, so $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ has positive dimension, and $\dim \mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) < \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Now, we want to show that $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ consists of ad-nilpotent elements. This follows from the observation that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad} \left(x + Z(\mathfrak{g}) \right) \rightarrow \left(y + Z(\mathfrak{g}) \right) &= [x + Z(\mathfrak{g}), y + Z(\mathfrak{g})] \\
&= [xy] + Z(\mathfrak{g}) \\
&= (\text{ad } x \rightarrow y) + Z(\mathfrak{g}),
\end{aligned}$$

hence it easily follows that $\text{ad} \left(x + Z(\mathfrak{g}) \right)$ is nilpotent given that $\text{ad } x$ is nilpotent.

Then, by the induction hypothesis, $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

By Theorem, \mathfrak{g} is a nilpotent Lie algebra, completing the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3.3.

5 Semisimple Lie algebras

5.1 Lie's theorem

Similar to Engel's theorem, which concerned *nilpotent* Lie algebras, we have **Lie's theorem**, which concerns *solvable* Lie algebras.

Theorem 5.1.1 (Lie's theorem). Let \mathfrak{g} be a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Then \mathfrak{g} stabilizes some flag in V .

In other words, relative to some basis of V , the matrix representation of all elements of \mathfrak{g} are upper triangular.

Again, we will prove it by proving an equivalent formulation in terms of the existence of a common eigenvector.

Theorem 5.1.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Then there exists $v \in V$ that is an eigenvector for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

5.2 Cartan's criterion

Theorem 5.2.1 (Cartan's criterion).

5.3 Killing form

Definition 5.3.1. The **Killing form**

5.4 \mathfrak{g} -modules

Definition 5.4.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A **\mathfrak{g} -module** is a vector space V equipped with a *scaling map*

$$\begin{aligned} - \cdot - : \mathfrak{g} \times V &\rightarrow V \\ (x, v) &\mapsto x \cdot v \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the following axioms:

$$(M_1) \quad (ax + by) \cdot v = ax \cdot v + by \cdot v,$$

$$(M_2) \quad x \cdot (av + bw) = ax \cdot v + bx \cdot w,$$

$$(M_3) \quad [xy] \cdot v = x \cdot y \cdot v - y \cdot x \cdot v.$$

Proposition 5.4.2. \mathfrak{g} -modules are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. Let V be a vector space, and let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. We will demonstrate a correspondence between \mathfrak{g} -module structures on V and representations of \mathfrak{g} in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{g} .

Define a \mathfrak{g} -module structure on V by

$$x.v := \phi(x) \rightarrow v.$$

Then, (M1) and (M2) follow easily from the fact that $\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Then, the fact that ϕ is a Lie algebra homomorphism shows (M3), as

$$\begin{aligned} [xy].v &= \phi([xy]) \rightarrow v \\ &= [\phi(x)\phi(y)] \rightarrow v \\ &= (\phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x)) \rightarrow v \\ &= (\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(y) \rightarrow v) - (\phi(y) \rightarrow \phi(x) \rightarrow v) \\ &= x.y.v - y.x.v. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that V has a \mathfrak{g} -module structure. Then for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we can define $\phi(x) \in \text{End } V$ by

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow v := x.v.$$

□

Theorem 5.4.3 (Schur's lemma).

5.5 Weyl's theorem

Theorem 5.5.1 (Weyl's theorem). If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple Lie algebra, then any representation of \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible.

6 Representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 and the root space decomposition

Theorem 6.0.1.

7 Root systems

Definition 7.0.1.

8 Appendix

8.1 Definitions

Definition 8.1.1. Let ψ be some statement that can be evaluated to be true or false. The **Iverson bracket** of ψ is

$$[\psi]^? := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \psi \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

a function of the free variables of ψ .

8.2 Some linear algebra

I never really got a chance to learn much foundational *abstract* linear algebra. Learning this material was a great way for me to brush up on a lot of this stuff, so here's a short dump of some important results.

8.2.1 Definitions

Definition 8.2.1. The **endomorphism ring** $\text{End } V$ of the vector space V is the collection of all linear maps from V to itself.

If $T \in \text{End } V$ and $v \in V$, we will write $T \mapsto v$ to denote $T(v)$.

Definition 8.2.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a field. The **$n \times n$ matrix ring** $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is defined to be the ring whose underlying set is $\mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ with pointwise scaling and addition, and with product given by matrix multiplication.

Definition 8.2.3. Let V be a vector space over the field \mathbb{K} . The **dual space** V^* of V is the collection of all linear maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

8.2.2 Rank-nullity

Theorem 8.2.4 (Rank-nullity). Let $x \in \text{End } V$. then

$$\text{rank } x + \text{nullity } x = \dim V,$$

where

$$\text{rank } x := \dim \text{im } x, \quad \text{nullity } x := \dim \ker x.$$

Proof. Let $n = \dim V$, $r = \text{rank } x$ and let $\ell = \text{nullity } x$.

Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell)$ be a basis for $\ker x$.

We may extend this into a basis of V by adjoining more vectors $\mathbf{q} = (q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n)$, so that $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell, q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n)$ is a basis of V .

Then, we claim that

$$x \rightarrow \mathbf{q} = (x \rightarrow q_{\ell+1}, \dots, x \rightarrow q_n)$$

is a basis for $\text{im } x$. We first show that it spans $\text{im } x$: let $v \in V$, then $v = a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_\ell p_\ell + a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow v &= x \rightarrow (a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_\ell p_\ell + a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n) \\ &= \underbrace{(x \rightarrow a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_\ell p_\ell)}_{=0} + (x \rightarrow a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n) \\ &= x \rightarrow (a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n) \\ &= a_{\ell+1} (x \rightarrow q_{\ell+1}) + \dots + a_n (x \rightarrow q_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \rightarrow v$ is in the span of $x \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$. Next, we show that it is linearly independent—suppose that there existed $a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_n$ such that

$$a_{\ell+1} (x \rightarrow q_{\ell+1}) + \dots + a_n (x \rightarrow q_n) \neq 0.$$

But this means that

$$x \rightarrow (a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n) \neq 0,$$

and so the vector $a_{\ell+1}q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_nq_n$ is in the kernel of x , however it is not in the kernel of x because it is not in the span of \mathbf{p} , a contradiction.

Hence $x \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ is linearly independent, completing our assertion that it is a basis of $\text{im } x$.

Then $r = \dim \text{im } x = n - \ell$, and so

$$r + \ell = n,$$

which proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 8.2.5. Let $x \in \text{End } V$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) x is injective.
- (b) x is surjective.
- (c) x is bijective.

Proof. We have the easily verifiable propositions:

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff x \text{ is injective}$$

$$\dim \text{im } x = \dim V \iff x \text{ is surjective}$$

And, by rank nullity,

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff \dim \text{im } x = \dim V,$$

hence x is injective if and only if it is surjective. \square

8.2.3 The matrix representation

We recall the definition of a tensor product:

Definition 8.2.6. Let V and W be two \mathbb{K} -vector spaces with bases $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ respectively.

The **tensor product of vector spaces** $V \otimes W$, is the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis

$$\{v_i \otimes w_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

As a structure, there isn't really "anything happening" with this construction. The following definition makes

Definition 8.2.7. Let V, W be \mathbb{K} -vector spaces as before.

Let $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n \in V$ and $w = b_1w_1 + \cdots + b_mw_m \in W$. The **tensor product of vectors** $v \otimes w$ is defined

$$v \otimes w = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j w_j \right) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j (v_i \otimes w_j).$$

This defines a map $i : V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$ given by $(v, w) \mapsto v \otimes w$.

Together, this pair of constructions satisfies a *universal property*:

Theorem 8.2.8. If U and V are two \mathbb{K} -vector spaces, then any bilinear map $f : U \times V \rightarrow W$ factors through $\otimes : U \times V \rightarrow U \otimes V$ —there exists a unique linear map \bar{f} that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times V & & \\ \downarrow i & \searrow f & \\ U \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & W \end{array}$$

Proof. Fix bases $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ of U and V .

Let $u = a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_nu_n$ and $v = b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_mv_m$.

Then, by bilinearity,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) &= f(a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_nu_n, b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_mv_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot f(u_i, b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_mv_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \cdot f(u_i, v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is completely determined by its values $f(u_i, v_j)$ where $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Conversely, any array $w_{ij} \in W$ defines a bilinear map by putting $(u_i, v_j) \mapsto w_{ij}$.

Pick some i, j . If $f = \bar{f} \circ i$, it must be that

$$f(u_i, v_j) = (\bar{f} \circ i)(u_i, v_j) = \bar{f}(u_i \otimes v_j).$$

□

Definition 8.2.9. Let U, V be \mathbb{K} -vector spaces, and let $f : U \rightarrow U$ and $g : V \rightarrow V$ be linear maps. We define the **tensor product of linear maps** $f \otimes g$ to be the map

$$f \otimes g : U \otimes V \rightarrow U \otimes V$$

$$\sum_i u_i \otimes v_i \mapsto \sum_i f(u_i) \otimes g(v_i).$$

Definition 8.2.10. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and fix a basis $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V with a dual basis $\mathbf{v}^* = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$ of the dual space V^* .

By abuse of notation, we define the corresponding elements

$$\mathbf{v} := \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \otimes v_i \in (\mathbb{K}^n)^* \otimes V, \quad \mathbf{v}^* := \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes e_i \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{K}^n$$

for the basis \mathbf{v} and dual basis \mathbf{v}^* .

Definition 8.2.11. Let U, V, W be vector spaces with bases $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$, then we define a product

$$(U^* \otimes V) \times (V^* \otimes W) \rightarrow U^* \otimes W$$

$$(u^i \otimes v_j)(v^k \otimes w_l) \mapsto v^k v_j(u^i \otimes w_l).$$

Theorem 8.2.12. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} of dimension n . Then

$$\text{End } V \simeq V^* \otimes V \simeq M_n(\mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. Fix a basis \mathbf{v} and dual basis \mathbf{v}^* of V .

Now, if U is a vector space and $x \in \text{End } V$, it has a linear action on $U^* \otimes V$ given by $u^i \otimes v_j \mapsto u^i \otimes x v_j$.

Now, we claim that the map $T \mapsto \mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v}$ is the desired isomorphism between $\text{End } V$ and $V^* \otimes V$.

Then, the map $v^i \otimes v_j \mapsto e_{ij}$ provides the isomorphism between $V^* \otimes V$ and $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. \square

8.2.4 Change of basis

Proposition 8.2.13. If \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are two bases of V , then

$$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbb{K}^n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n$$

encodes the change of basis matrix expressing coordinates in \mathbf{v} as coordinates in \mathbf{w} .

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{w}^*\mathbf{v} \in V^* \otimes V$$

encodes the linear map $v_i \mapsto w_i$.

Proof. Define the array S_{ij} to be the numbers for which

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n S_{ij} w_j.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^* &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^i \otimes v_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w^j \otimes e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w^j (v_i) (e_i \otimes e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n S_{ij} (e_i \otimes e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the map $T \in \text{End } V$ given by $w_i \mapsto v_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^* T \mathbf{w} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w^i \otimes (T \mapsto w_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n w^i \otimes v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} (w^i \otimes v_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (e^i \mapsto e_j) (w^i \otimes v_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w^i \otimes e_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e^j \otimes v_j \right) \\
&= \mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{v}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

8.2.5 Trace

Definition 8.2.14. Let V be a vector space with basis $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. The **trace** $\text{tr } x$ of an endomorphism $x \in \text{End } V$ of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v^i \left(x(v_i) \right).$$

Proposition 8.2.15. $\text{tr } x = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^* x \mathbf{v})_{ii}$

Theorem 8.2.16. The trace is a linear operator, i.e. if $x, y \in \text{End } V$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\text{tr}(ax + by) = a \text{tr } x + b \text{tr } y.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(ax + by) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \left((ax + by)(v_i) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \left(ax(v_i) + by(v_i) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n av^i \left(x(v_i) \right) + bv^i \left(y(v_i) \right) \\
&= a \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \left(x(v_i) \right) + b \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \left(y(v_i) \right) \\
&= a \text{tr } x + b \text{tr } y.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8.2.17. Let V be a vector space.

For all $x, y \in \text{End } V$, $\text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx)$.

Proof. Fix a basis $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(xy) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \rightarrow xy \rightarrow v_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (v^i \rightarrow x \rightarrow v_j) (v^j \rightarrow y \rightarrow v_i) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (v^j \rightarrow y \rightarrow v_i) (v^i \rightarrow x \rightarrow v_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \rightarrow yx \rightarrow v_i \\
 &= \text{tr}(yx).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8.2.18. The trace of a linear operator $x \in \text{End } V$ is basis invariant— its value is independent of the basis used to compute it.

Proof. Let \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} be two bases of $\text{End } V$. Then

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w} x \mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{v}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w} x) = \text{tr } x.$$

□