

Lie algebras

Jasper Ty

What is this?

These are notes based on my reading of Humphreys’s “Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory”.

Contents

1	Basic definitions and examples	3
1.1	Lie algebras	3
1.2	Examples	5
1.2.1	Type A: the special linear algebra	5
1.2.2	Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
1.2.3	Type C: the symplectic algebra	7
1.2.4	Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
1.3	Derivations, the adjoint representation	8
1.4	Abstract Lie algebras	9
2	Ideals and homomorphisms	10
2.1	Ideals	10
2.2	Homomorphisms	11
2.3	Isomorphism theorems	12
3	Automorphisms	14

- 4 Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras** **15**
 - 4.1 The derived series, solvability 15
 - 4.2 The descending central series, nilpotency 16
 - 4.3 Engel’s theorem 17

- 5 Solutions to exercises** **18**

- 6 Appendix** **21**
 - 6.1 Definitions 21
 - 6.2 Some linear algebra 22
 - 6.2.1 Definitions 22
 - 6.2.2 Rank-nullity 22
 - 6.2.3 The matrix representation 24
 - 6.2.4 Trace 28

I Basic definitions and examples

Convention 1.0.1. All vector spaces considered are finite dimensional and no assumptions are made yet about underlying fields. We use V and \mathbb{F} to denote generic vector spaces and fields respectively.

1.1 Lie algebras

Definition 1.1.1. A **Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} is a vector space equipped with a product

$$\begin{aligned} [_, _] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto [xy], \end{aligned}$$

such that

(L1) $[_, _]$ is bilinear,

(L2) $[xx] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, and

(L3) $[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0$.

We refer to $[xy]$ as the **bracket** or the **commutator** of x and y .

(L3) is referred to as the *Jacobi identity*.

As an exercise in using this definition, we show the following:

Proposition 1.1.2. Brackets are anticommutative, i.e

$$[xy] = -[yx]. \quad (\text{L2}')$$

is a relation in any Lie algebra.

Proof. By (L2), we have that

$$[x + y, x + y] = 0,$$

and by (L1),

$$[xx] + [xy] + [yx] + [yy] = 0.$$

By (L2) again,

$$[xy] + [yx] = 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

We will look at our first example of a Lie algebra— that closely associated with the **general linear group** $\text{GL}(V)$ of invertible endomorphisms of a vector space V .

Definition 1.1.3 (\mathfrak{gl} , abstractly). Let V be a vector space. The **general linear algebra** $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is defined to be the Lie algebra with underlying vector space $\text{End } V$ and bracket given by

$$[xy] = xy - yx$$

defined with $\text{End } V$'s natural ring structure.

$\text{End } V$'s aforementioned ring structure is exactly that of $n \times n$ matrices, where $n = \dim V$. Then, the following definition gives us a more concrete avatar of \mathfrak{gl} , and is in a sense “the only” finite dimensional general linear algebra.

Definition 1.1.4 (\mathfrak{gl} , concretely). Let \mathbb{F} be some field and let n be a positive integer. The **general linear algebra** $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is defined to be the Lie algebra with underlying vector space the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} , with bracket given by

$$[xy] = xy - yx.$$

In this setting, we can easily compute the bracket of \mathfrak{gl} relative to its standard basis:

Proposition 1.1.5. Let $\{e_{pq}\}_{p,q=0}^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then

$$[e_{pq}e_{rs}] = \delta_{qr}e_{ps} - \delta_{sp}e_{rq},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta.

Proof. Using the Iverson bracket,

$$(e_{pq})_{ij} = [p = i \wedge q = j]^?$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{pq}e_{rs})_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (e_{pq})_{ik} (e_{rs})_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n [p = i \wedge q = k]^? [r = k \wedge s = j]^? \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [q = r = k]^? \right) [p = i \wedge s = j]^? \end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta_{qr}(e_{ps})_{ij}.$$

So $e_{pq}e_{rs} = \delta_{qr}e_{ps}$. Similarly, $e_{rs}e_{pq} = \delta_{sp}e_{rq}$. \square

Importantly, many Lie algebras, and in fact all the Lie algebras we are concerned with, occur as subalgebras of the general linear algebra—a **subalgebra** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a subspace of \mathfrak{g} that is closed under \mathfrak{g} 's bracket.

Definition 1.1.6. A **linear Lie algebra** is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for some n .

All finite dimensional Lie algebras are linear, in the sense that they are isomorphic to some linear Lie algebra.

1.2 Examples

We have four distinguished families of Lie algebras:

$$A_\ell, \quad B_\ell, \quad C_\ell, \quad D_\ell.$$

These are parameterized by a positive integer ℓ , and they classify all but five of the so-called **semisimple Lie algebras**.

1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra

Definition 1.2.1. Let V be a \mathbb{F} -vector space, and fix a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with a dual basis $\{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$ of the dual space V^\vee . The **trace** $\text{tr } x$ of an endomorphism $x \in \text{End } V$ of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v^i(x(v_i)).$$

In other words, it is the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix representation of x . The trace is independent of the basis used to compute it (see Theorem 6.2.13 in the Appendix), hence it is a well defined quantity.

Definition 1.2.2 (The type A_ℓ Lie algebra). Let V have dimension $n = \ell + 1$. We define A_ℓ to be the **special linear algebra** $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$, the set of all **traceless** endomorphisms of V , which means

$$A_\ell := \mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : \text{tr } x = 0\}.$$

As is the case with $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$, we also define

$$A_\ell := \mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{F}) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{tr } x = 0 \right\}$$

and will refer to them interchangeably.

This algebra is so named because of its connection with the **special linear group** $\text{SL}(V)$, a distinguished subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$. Unsurprisingly, $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is also a substructure of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proposition 1.2.3. $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. The trace is a linear operator $\text{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Since the kernel of a linear operator is a subspace of its domain, we conclude that $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \ker \text{tr}$ is a subspace of \mathfrak{gl} .

Finally, the fact that $\text{tr}(xy - yx) = \text{tr}(xy) - \text{tr}(yx) = 0$ for *all* $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ means that $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$'s Lie bracket is closed in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$. \square

Lastly, we will compute the dimension of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$. Firstly, it has to be strictly less than that of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$'s, as it is a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Hence

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) < \dim \mathfrak{gl}(V) = (\ell + 1)^2.$$

So

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \leq (\ell + 1)^2 - 1 = \ell(\ell + 2)$$

However, we can explicitly name $\ell(\ell + 2)$ linearly independent elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$:

1. All the off-diagonal entries e_{ij} where $i \neq j$ — there are $(\ell + 1)^2 - (\ell + 1) = \ell^2 + \ell$ of these.
2. All of the elements $e_{ii} - e_{i+1, i+1}$, of which there are $(\ell + 1) - 1 = \ell$.

So,

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \geq \ell + 2 + \ell + \ell = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

And, putting it together, we have proven:

Proposition 1.2.4.

$$\dim A_\ell = \dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

1.2.2 Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra

Definition 1.2.5. The **orthogonal algebra** $\mathfrak{o}_{2\ell+1}(\mathbb{F})$ is defined to be

1.2.3 Type C: the symplectic algebra

Definition 1.2.6. A **symplectic form** on a vector space V is a bilinear form ω such that

- (a) ω is bilinear,
- (b) $\omega(v, u) = -\omega(u, v)$, and
- (c) $\omega(v, u) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ implies that $u = 0$.

Definition 1.2.7 (The type C_ℓ Lie algebra). Let $\dim V = 2\ell$, and let V be endowed with a symplectic form ω .

We define C_ℓ to be the **symplectic algebra** $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$, the set of all $x \in \text{End } V$ such that

$$C_\ell := \mathfrak{sp}(V) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : \omega(x(_), _) + \omega(_, x(_)) = 0 \right\}$$

In matrix form, we define

$$C_\ell := \mathfrak{sp}(V) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : Jx + x^\top J = 0 \right\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ -I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}$.

1.2.4 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra

Definition 1.2.8 (Type D Lie algebra). Let $\dim V = 2\ell$. We define D to be the **orthogonal algebra** $\mathfrak{o}(V)$, the set of all compatible bilinear transformations.

$$D_\ell := \mathfrak{o}(V) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : x + \right\}$$

1.3 Derivations, the adjoint representation

Definition 1.3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{F} -algebra. A **derivation** of \mathcal{A} is a linear map $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$d(xy) = x(d y) + (dx)y.$$

The collection of all derivations of \mathcal{A} is denoted $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$.

Derivations play nicely with the vector space structure of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$ as well as with the bracket inherited from $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 1.3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{F} -algebra. Then $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. If d and d' are two derivations, then

$$\begin{aligned} (ad + bd')(xy) &= (ad)(xy) + (bd')(xy) \\ &= x(ad y) + (adx)y + x(bd' y) + (bd' x)y \\ &= x(ad y + bd' y) + (adx + bd' x)y \\ &= x(ad + bd')(y) + (ad + bd')(x)y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $ad + bd' \in \text{Der } \mathcal{A}$, so $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [dd'](xy) &= (dd' - d'd)(xy) \\ &= (dd')(xy) - (d'd)(xy) \\ &= d(x(d' y) + (d' x)y) - d'(x(dy) + (dx)y) \\ &= d(x(d' y)) + d((d' x)y) - d'(x(dy)) - d'((dx)y) \\ &= xdd' y + dxd' y + d'xdy + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'xdy - dxd' y - d'dxy \\ &= xdd' y + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'dxy \\ &= x(dd' y - d'dy) + (dd'x - d'dx)y \\ &= x((dd' - d'd)y) + ((dd' - d'd)x)y \\ &= x([dd'] y) + ([dd'] x)y. \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Der } \mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$. □

Definition 1.3.3. The **adjoint representation** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \text{Der } \mathfrak{g} \\ x &\mapsto \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ is defined to be the linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ y &\mapsto [x, y].\end{aligned}$$

We will often write $\text{ad } x$ for $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ unless there is an ambiguity.

Proposition 1.3.4. $\text{ad } x$ is a derivation.

Proof. We start with the Jacobi identity (L₃)

$$[x [yz]] + [y [zx]] + [z [xy]] = 0,$$

which, using the anticommutation relations $[y [zx]] = -[y [xz]]$ and $[z [xy]] = -[[xy] z]$, is equivalent to

$$[x [yz]] = [y [xz]] + [[xy] z].$$

But this is saying that

$$(\text{ad } x)([yz]) = [y, (\text{ad } x)(z)] + [(\text{ad } x)(y), z]$$

which is exactly the defining identity for derivations. □

1.4 Abstract Lie algebras

Definition 1.4.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, and fix some basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} . We define \mathfrak{g} 's **structure constants** a_{ij}^k , relative to this basis to be the basis coefficients of the Lie brackets of basis elements— the numbers such that

$$[x_i x_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k.$$

Definition 1.4.2. An **abelian** Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra with trivial bracket— $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 1.4.3. Let V be a vector space with basis x_1, \dots, x_n , and let a_{ij}^k be an array of structure coefficients. Then, the bracket defined by a_{ij}^k gives V a Lie algebra structure if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{ii}^k = 0 \\ a_{ij}^k + a_{ji}^k = 0 \\ \sum_k a_{ij}^k a_{kl}^m + a_{jl}^k a_{ki}^m + a_{li}^k a_{kj}^m = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any values of i, j, k, l, m .

We will classify all the Lie algebras of dimensions 1 and 2.

Proposition 1.4.4. There are only two Lie algebras of dimension two up to isomorphism:

- (a) The abelian two-dimensional Lie algebra,
- (b) and the Lie algebra with basis (x, y) and product $[x, y] = x$.

Proof. If \mathfrak{g} is nonabelian, then $[x, y] = ax + by$, where at least one of a, b is nonzero. Without loss of generality, let a be nonzero. Then

$$[[x, y], y] = [ax + by, y] = a[x, y].$$

Now put $u = [x, y]$ and $v = a^{-1}y$. Then

$$[uv] = [[x, y], (a^{-1}y)] = [x, y] = u.$$

□

2 Ideals and homomorphisms

2.1 Ideals

Definition 2.1.1. A subspace \mathfrak{i} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called an **ideal** of \mathfrak{g} if $[xy] \in \mathfrak{i}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{i}$.

The **sum** and the **bracket** of the ideals $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}$ are defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{j} := \{x + y : x \in \mathfrak{i}, y \in \mathfrak{j}\}, \quad [\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^r c_i [x_i y_i] : c_i \in \mathbb{F}, x_i \in \mathfrak{i}, y_i \in \mathfrak{j} \right\}.$$

Definition 2.1.2. The **quotient of a Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} by an ideal \mathfrak{i} , denoted $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$, is defined to be the quotient of \mathfrak{g} as a vector space by \mathfrak{i} as a subspace, equipped with the product

$$[x + \mathfrak{i}, y + \mathfrak{i}] := [xy] + \mathfrak{i}.$$

Proposition 2.1.3. $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof. These are all easy to check.

$$\begin{aligned} [ax + by + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}] &= ([ax + by, z]) + \mathfrak{i} \\ &= (a[x, z] + b[y, z]) + \mathfrak{i} \\ &= (a[x, z] + \mathfrak{i}) + (b[y, z] + \mathfrak{i}) \\ &= a[x + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}] + b[y + \mathfrak{i}, z + \mathfrak{i}]. \end{aligned}$$

$$[x + \mathfrak{i}, x + \mathfrak{i}] = [xx] + \mathfrak{i} = 0 + \mathfrak{i}$$

□

2.2 Homomorphisms

There is a natural definition of a Lie algebra homomorphism—it's a map that respects brackets.

Definition 2.2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be two Lie algebras. We say that a map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a **Lie algebra homomorphism** if it is a linear map for which

$$\phi([xy]) = [\phi(x)\phi(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. A **Lie algebra isomorphism** is a Lie algebra homomorphism that is also an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Definition 2.2.2. A **representation** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ where V is some vector space.

2.3 Isomorphism theorems

Theorem 2.3.1 (Lie algebra isomorphism theorems). Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras.

- (a) If $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a homomorphism, then $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi$. If $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \ker \phi$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , there exists a unique homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathfrak{h} \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\phi} & \\ \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} & & \end{array}$$

- (b) If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, then $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ and there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}.$$

- (c) If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are ideals of \mathfrak{g} , there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} \simeq \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

Proof. (a) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi &\rightarrow \text{im } \phi \\ x + \ker \phi &\mapsto \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

is the desired isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi$. We verify that it is well defined: let $x + \ker \phi = x' + \ker \phi$. Then there exists $k, k' \in \ker \phi$ such that $x + k = x' + k'$, and we have that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x + k) = \phi(x + k') = \phi(x'),$$

so $\bar{\phi}$ is a well-defined function on the cosets in $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi$.

Next, we check that it respects brackets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\phi}\left([x + \ker \phi, y + \ker \phi]\right) &= \overline{\phi}\left([xy] + \ker \phi\right) \\
 &= \phi\left([xy]\right) \\
 &= [\phi(x)\phi(y)] \\
 &= \left[\overline{\phi}(x + \ker \phi), \overline{\phi}(y + \ker \phi)\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is a homomorphism. To show that it is an isomorphism, we note that it has a trivial kernel, trivially:

$$\ker \overline{\phi} = \{x + \ker \phi : x + \ker \phi = \ker \phi\} = \{0 + \ker \phi\}.$$

Now, let \mathfrak{i} be an ideal of \mathfrak{g} contained in $\ker \phi$. We define in a similar way

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} &\rightarrow \text{im } \phi \\
 x + \mathfrak{i} &\mapsto \phi(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

and via a similar argument as above, this map is well-defined. It is moreover clear that $\overline{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$ and that it is the only such homomorphism that has these properties.

(b) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. We define the map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \\
 x + \mathfrak{b} &\mapsto x + \mathfrak{a}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This map is surjective. The kernel of this map is all the cosets $a + \mathfrak{b}$, namely the ideal $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$. Then, by (a),

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/\ker \phi \simeq \text{im } \phi = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}.$$

(c) Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of \mathfrak{g} . Define the map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi : \mathfrak{a} &\rightarrow (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b}) \\
 a &\mapsto a + \mathfrak{b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This map is surjective, as, if $(a + b) + \mathfrak{b} \in (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b})$, then

$$\phi(a) = a + \mathfrak{b} = a + (b + \mathfrak{b}) = (a + b) + \mathfrak{b}.$$

Moreover, since

$$\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$$

we have that, by (a) again,

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} = \text{im } \phi \simeq \mathfrak{a}/\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

□

Theorem 2.3.2. The adjoint representation $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. ad is evidently linear. Next, we just check that it is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{ad } x, \text{ad } y](z) &= (\text{ad } x \text{ad } y - \text{ad } y \text{ad } x)(z) \\ &= (\text{ad } x \text{ad } y)(z) - (\text{ad } y \text{ad } x)(z) \\ &= \text{ad } x [yz] - \text{ad } y [xz] \\ &= [x [yz]] - [y [xz]] \\ &= [x [yz]] + [y [zx]] \\ &= [[xy] z] \\ &= (\text{ad } [xy])(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3.3. Any simple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a linear Lie algebra.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. We have that

$$\ker \text{ad} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad } x = 0\} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [xy] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence, if \mathfrak{g} is simple, i.e if $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then ad has a trivial kernel, so it is an isomorphism.

□

3 Automorphisms

■ **Definition 3.0.1.** A **automorphism** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

■ **Proposition 3.0.2.** Let V be a vector space and let $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. Then the map

$$x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

■ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. The aforementioned map is a vector space isomorphism, with explicit inverse

$$x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$$

and it is a homomorphism, as

$$\begin{aligned} g [xy] g^{-1} &= g(xy - yx)g^{-1} \\ &= (gxyg^{-1}) - (gyxg^{-1}) \\ &= (gxx^{-1}gyg^{-1}) - (g yg^{-1}gxx^{-1}) \\ &= [gxx^{-1}, g yg^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras

4.1 The derived series, solvability

■ **Definition 4.1.1.** The **derived series** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \dots$ defined

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}] \end{cases}.$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is all those elements of \mathfrak{g} which can be written as linear combinations of i “full binary trees” of brackets in \mathfrak{g} .

■ **Definition 4.1.2.** A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be **solvable** if $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$ for some n .

For example, abelian Lie algebras are solvable, whereas simple Lie algebras are never solvable.

■ **Proposition 4.1.3.** The Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices $\mathfrak{t}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is solvable.

Proof. **TODO: boring proof— the diagonal keeps receding every time you do a commutator** □

■ **Theorem 4.1.4.** Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra.

- (a) If \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of \mathfrak{g} .
- (b) If \mathfrak{i} is a solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is also solvable, then \mathfrak{g} is solvable.
- (c) If $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}$ are solvable ideals of \mathfrak{g} , then so is $\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{j}$.

Proof. The first statement of (a) follows if we show that

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i)} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$$

for any subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} — this is an easy induction. Similarly, the second statement of (a) follows from

$$(\phi\mathfrak{g})^{(i)} = \phi(\mathfrak{g}^{(i)})$$

for any homomorphism ϕ . This is another easy induction.

For (b), we stack together L/I and I 's solvability— the former being solvable means that $L^{(i)} \subseteq I$ eventually, but that means that $L^{(i)}$ is a subalgebra of a solvable Lie algebra I , so it is itself solvable and we can go further.

Specifically, if $L^{(n)} \subseteq I$, and $I^{(m)} = 0$, then

$$L^{(n+m)} = 0.$$

□

4.2 The descending central series, nilpotency

Definition 4.2.1. The **descending central series** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \dots$ defined to be

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^0 := \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^i := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}] \end{cases}.$$

Definition 4.2.2. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be **nilpotent** if $\mathfrak{g}^n = 0$ for some n .

Proposition 4.2.3. All nilpotent Lie algebras are solvable.

Definition 4.2.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. We say that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is **ad-nilpotent** if $(\text{ad } x)^n = 0$ for some n .

4.3 Engel's theorem

We will prove **Engel's theorem**.

Theorem 4.3.1 (Engel). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent.
- (ii) All the elements of \mathfrak{g} are ad-nilpotent.

We will prove the equivalent theorem:

Theorem 4.3.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, where V has positive dimension. If x is nilpotent for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, then there exists a nonzero vector $v \in V$ so that $\mathfrak{g}v = 0$.

Proof. We induct on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

The $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$ case is trivial— \mathfrak{g} will only contain the zero transformation.

The $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$ case is also easy. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nonzero and nilpotent. Then we can find a nonzero vector v so that $xv = 0$, and so $\mathfrak{g}v = (\mathbb{F}x)v = 0$.

Now suppose $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 1$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of positive dimension. Then the set

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \left\{ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b) : b \in \mathfrak{h} \right\}$$

is a Lie algebra—a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h})$ is also a Lie algebra. By the inductive hypothesis, we may find a nonzero vector $x + \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h})(x +$

$\mathfrak{h}) = 0$. This means that $(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} b)(x + \mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{h}$, so $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$. Hence $[bx] \in \mathfrak{h}$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{h}$, but $x \notin \mathfrak{h}$.

Now if \mathfrak{h} is maximal, then this means that $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{g}$, as otherwise $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a larger proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Hence, \mathfrak{h} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . We will show that it has codimension one. Suppose it has codimension at least two. Then, we can pull back a one-dimensional subalgebra of the quotient $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ along the projection map and obtain a proper subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} that properly contains \mathfrak{h} , which is impossible.

Now, consider the subspace $\mathcal{W} = \{v \in V : \mathfrak{h}v = 0\}$. Since \mathfrak{h} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{g} stabilizes \mathcal{W} —for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $b \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we have that

$$bgw = (gb - [gb])w = g(bw) + [bg]w = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Then, if we pick $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and restrict it to \mathcal{W} , we have a nilpotent endomorphism of \mathcal{W} , hence g has an eigenvector v in \mathcal{W} .

Then, $(\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{R}g)v = 0$, completing the theorem. \square

Proof of Engel's theorem. \square

Corollary 4.3.3.

5 Solutions to exercises

Exercise 5.1 (Humphreys 1.1). Verify that \mathbb{R}^3 with the bracket given by the *cross product*

$$[xy] := x \times y$$

is a Lie algebra, and write down its structure constants relative to the usual basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The cross product is defined

$$x \times y = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2 \\ x_3 y_1 - x_1 y_3 \\ x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we directly verify the Lie algebra axioms.

For (L1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ax + by) \times z &= \begin{pmatrix} (ax_2 + by_2)z_3 - (ax_3 + by_3)z_2 \\ (ax_3 + by_3)z_1 - (ax_1 + by_1)z_3 \\ (ax_1 + by_1)z_2 - (ax_2 + by_2)z_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (ax_2z_3 + by_2z_3) - (ax_3z_2 + by_3z_2) \\ (ax_3z_1 + by_3z_1) - (ax_1z_3 + by_1z_3) \\ (ax_1z_2 + by_1z_2) - (ax_2z_1 + by_2z_1) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} a(x_2z_3 - x_3z_2) + b(y_2z_3 - y_3z_2) \\ a(x_3z_1 - x_1z_3) + b(y_3z_1 - y_1z_3) \\ a(x_1z_2 - x_2z_1) + b(y_1z_2 - y_2z_1) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= a(x \times z) + b(y \times z).
 \end{aligned}$$

And, via an almost identical calculation,

$$x \times (ay + bz) = a(x \times y) + b(x \times z).$$

Next, we verify (L2)

$$x \times x = \begin{pmatrix} x_2x_3 - x_3x_2 \\ x_3x_1 - x_1x_3 \\ x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

And finally, we verify the Jacobi identity (L3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x \times (y \times z) + y \times (z \times x) + z \times (x \times y) \\
 &= \varepsilon_{ijk}x_j(y \times z)_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}y_j(z \times x)_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}z_j(x \times y)_k \\
 &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \left(x_j(y \times z)_k + y_j(z \times x)_k + z_j(x \times y)_k \right) \\
 &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \left(x_j(\varepsilon_{klm}y_lz_m) + y_j(\varepsilon_{klm}z_lx_m) + z_j(\varepsilon_{klm}x_ly_m) \right) \\
 &= \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{klm} \left(x_jy_lz_m + y_jz_lx_m + z_jx_ly_m \right) \\
 &= (\delta_{im}\delta_{lj} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jm}) \left(x_jy_lz_m + y_jz_lx_m + z_jx_ly_m \right) \\
 &= \delta_{im}\delta_{lj} \left(x_jy_lz_m + y_jz_lx_m + z_jx_ly_m \right) - \delta_{il}\delta_{jm} \left(x_jy_lz_m + y_jz_lx_m + z_jx_ly_m \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(x_l y_l z_i + y_l z_l x_i + z_l x_l y_i \right) - \left(x_m y_i z_m + y_m z_i x_m + z_m x_i y_m \right) \\
&= (y_l z_l - z_m y_m) x_i + (x_l y_l - y_m x_m) z_i + (z_l x_l - x_m z_m) y_i \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.2 (Humphreys 1.2). Verify that the following equations and those implied by (L2) (L1) define a Lie algebra structure on a three dimensional vector space with basis (x, y, z) : $[xy] = z$, $[xz] = y$, $[yz] = 0$.

The only $[_, _, _]$ terms consisting of basis elements that are nonzero are $[x [xy]]$ and $[x [xz]]$, so if

$$a = a_x x + a_y y + a_z z,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[a_x x + a_y y + a_z z [b_x x + b_y y + b_z z, c_x x + c_y y + c_z z]] \\
&= (a_x b_x c_z - a_x b_z c_x) z + (a_x b_x c_y - a_x b_y c_x) y
\end{aligned}$$

so, permuting indices,

$$(a_x b_x c_z - a_x b_z c_x) z + (a_x b_x c_y - a_x b_y c_x) y + (b_x c_x a_z - b_x c_z a_x) z + (b_x c_x a_y - b_x c_y a_x) y + (c_x a_x b_z - c_x a_z b_x) z$$

So the Jacobi identity is satisfied.

Exercise 5.3 (Humphreys 1.3). Let

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be an ordered basis for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{F})$. Compute the matrices of $\text{ad } e$, $\text{ad } f$, $\text{ad } b$ relative to this basis.

We will compute the structure constants relative to e, f, b —there are $3(3-1)/2 = 3$ brackets to check:

$$\begin{aligned}
[ef] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = b. \\
[be] &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2e. \\
[bf] &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2f.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we order this basis as, (e, f, b) , the matrix representing $\text{ad } e$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And similarly,

$$\text{ad } f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{ad } b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.4. Find a linear Lie algebra isomorphic to the nonabelian two dimensional algebra constructed in (1.4). [Hint: Look at the adjoint representation.]

We look at the adjoint representation at the Lie algebra given by x, y

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we verify that $[x, y] = x$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.5. Verify the assertions made in (1.2) about $\mathfrak{t}(n, \mathbb{F})$, $\mathfrak{d}(n, \mathbb{F})$, $\mathfrak{n}(n, \mathbb{F})$ and compute the dimension of each algebra, by exhibiting bases.

A basis for $\mathfrak{t}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is all e_{ij} where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

A basis for $\mathfrak{d}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is all e_{ii} where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

A basis for $\mathfrak{n}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is all e_{ij} where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

6 Appendix

6.1 Definitions

Definition 6.1.1. Let ψ be some statement that can be evaluated to be true or false. The **Iverson bracket** of ψ is

$$[\psi]^? := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \psi \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

a function of the free variables of ψ .

6.2 Some linear algebra

I never really got a chance to learn much foundational *abstract* linear algebra. Learning this material was a great way for me to brush up on a lot of this stuff, so here's a short dump of some important results.

6.2.1 Definitions

Definition 6.2.1. The **endomorphism ring** $\text{End } V$ of the vector space V is the collection of all linear maps from V to itself.

Definition 6.2.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a field. The **$n \times n$ matrix ring** $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ is defined to be the ring whose underlying set is $\mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ with pointwise scaling and addition, and with product given by matrix multiplication.

Definition 6.2.3. Let V be a vector space over the field \mathbb{K} . The **dual space** V^\vee of V is the collection of all linear maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

6.2.2 Rank-nullity

Theorem 6.2.4 (Rank-nullity). Let $x \in \text{End } V$. then

$$\text{rank } x + \text{nullity } x = \dim V,$$

where

$$\text{rank } x := \dim \text{im } x, \quad \text{nullity } x := \dim \ker x.$$

Proof. Let $n = \dim V$, $r = \text{rank } x$ and let $\ell = \text{nullity } x$.

Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell\}$ be a basis for $\ker x$.

We may extend this into a basis of V by adjoining more vectors $q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n$, so that $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell, q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n\}$ is a basis of V .

Then, we claim that the set $\{x(q_{\ell+1}), \dots, x(q_n)\}$ is a basis of $\text{im } x$.

Evidently, it spans $\text{im } x$, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{im } x \\
 &= \{x(v) : v \in V\} \\
 &= \{x(a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_\ell p_\ell + a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n) : a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}\} \\
 &= \left\{ \underbrace{x(a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_\ell p_\ell)}_{=0} + x(a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n) : a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \\
 &= \{x(a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n) : a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}\} \\
 &= \{a_{\ell+1} x(q_{\ell+1}) + \cdots + a_n x(q_n) : a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}\} \\
 &= \text{span} \{x(q_{\ell+1}), \dots, x(q_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is linearly independent— suppose that there existed $a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_n$ such that

$$a_{\ell+1} x(q_{\ell+1}) + \cdots + a_n x(q_n) \neq 0.$$

But this means that

$$x(a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n) \neq 0,$$

and so the vector $a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n$ is in the kernel of x , however it is not in the span of $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$, which contradicts the fact that p_1, \dots, p_ℓ is a basis for $\ker x$.

Hence $\{x(q_{\ell+1}), \dots, x(q_n)\}$ is linearly independent, and thus we have proved that it is a basis of $\text{im } x$.

Then $r = \dim \text{im } x = n - \ell$, and so

$$r + \ell = n,$$

which proves the theorem. □

Corollary 6.2.5. Let $x \in \text{End } V$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) x is injective.
- (b) x is surjective.
- (c) x is bijective.

Proof. We have the easily verifiable propositions:

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff x \text{ is injective}$$

$$\dim \operatorname{im} x = \dim V \iff x \text{ is surjective}$$

And, by rank nullity,

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff \dim \operatorname{im} x = \dim V,$$

hence x is injective if and only if it is surjective. \square

6.2.3 The matrix representation

Definition 6.2.6. Let V be a vector space and fix a basis $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with a dual basis $\mathbf{v}^* = \{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$ of the dual space V^* .

By abuse of notation, we define the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} : \mathbb{K}^n &\rightarrow V \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\mapsto a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n, \end{aligned}$$

and the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^* : V &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n \\ u &\mapsto (v^1(u), \dots, v^n(u)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^* : \mathbb{K}^n &\rightarrow V^* \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\mapsto a_1 v^1 + \dots + a_n v^n, \end{aligned}$$

Evidently $\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v} = \operatorname{id}_V$ and $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^* = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{K}^n}$.

It's also clear that both maps have trivial kernel, so by rank-nullity they are both vector isomorphisms.

Let e_{ij} be the standard basis for $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $v_{i \rightarrow j}$ denote the map $x \mapsto v^i(x)v_j$.

Then

$$\mathbf{v} e_{ij} \mathbf{v}^* = v_{i \rightarrow j}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}^* v_{i \rightarrow j} \mathbf{v} = e_{ij}$$

Moreover

Proposition 6.2.7.

$$(\mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v}^* x) = \mathbf{v}^* T x$$

We also have the map

$$\text{id} \otimes \mathbf{v}$$

which embeds $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ in $V^* \otimes V$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(v^1 \otimes (c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n}) + \dots + v^n \otimes (c_{n1}, \dots, c_{nn}) \right) \\ \mapsto & \left(v^1 \otimes (c_{11}v_1 + \dots + c_{1n}v_n) + \dots + v^n \otimes (c_{n1}v_1 + \dots + c_{nn}v_n) \right) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} (v^i \otimes v_j). \end{aligned}$$

And the map

$$\mathbf{v}^* \otimes \text{id}$$

goes backwards.

Then if $T \in \text{End } V$,

$$\text{id} \otimes T$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(v^1 \otimes (c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n}) + \dots + v^n \otimes (c_{n1}, \dots, c_{nn}) \right) \\ \mapsto & \left(v^1 \otimes (c_{11}v_1 + \dots + c_{1n}v_n) + \dots + v^n \otimes (c_{n1}v_1 + \dots + c_{nn}v_n) \right) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} (v^i \otimes v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.2.8. Let V be a vector space and fix a basis $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with a dual basis $\mathbf{v}^* = \{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$ of the dual space V^* .

The **matrix representation** of a linear map $T \in \text{End } V$ is the matrix a_{ij} defined by

$$= v^i \left(x(v_j) \right) (e^i \otimes e_j)$$

$$\mathbf{V} : V \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$$

$$u \mapsto \mathbf{v}^* \otimes u$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}^* : V^* \otimes V &\rightarrow V^* \otimes V \\ u &\mapsto \sum_i v^i \otimes u\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.2.9. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} of dimension n . Then

$$\text{End } V \simeq V^* \otimes V \simeq M_n(\mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. Fix a basis \mathbf{v} and dual basis \mathbf{v}^* of V . The tensor product $V^* \otimes V$ has the basis

$$\left\{ v^i \otimes v_j : v^i \in \mathbf{v}^*, v_j \in \mathbf{v} \right\}.$$

Now consider the spaces $V^* \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{K} \otimes V$. By abuse of language, let \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v}^* denote

$$\mathbf{v} := 1 \otimes v_1 + \cdots + 1 \otimes v_n, \quad \mathbf{v}^* := v^1 \otimes 1 + \cdots + v^n \otimes 1$$

We can endow an action of V on $V^* \otimes V$:

$$x(v^i \otimes v_j) = v^i x \otimes v_j.$$

And similarly for V^* :

$$(v^i \otimes v_j)x = v^i \otimes x(v_j).$$

and we can also endow an action of $V^* \otimes V$ on V :

$$(v^i \otimes v_j)x = v^i(x)v_j.$$

Now, by abuse of language, let \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v}^* denote

$$\mathbf{v} := v_1 + \cdots + v_n, \quad \mathbf{v}^* := v^1 + \cdots + v^n$$

Then

$$\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes v_i.$$

We can turn $V^* \otimes V$ into an algebra by defining

$$(v^i \otimes v_j)(v^k \otimes v_l) = v^k v_j (v^i \otimes v_l).$$

Note that this means $\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v}$ is the identity element, making $V^* \otimes V$ unital. Now define more generally

$$\mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v} := \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes T v_i.$$

So

$$\mathbf{v}^* A B \mathbf{v} := \mathbf{v}^* \otimes A B \mathbf{v}.$$

Which we expand: Also, define

$$AB = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} v^i \otimes v_j$$

□

Proposition 6.2.10. Let $T, S \in \text{End } V$. Then

$$(\mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^* T S \mathbf{v}.$$

Proof. Let $T = (t_{ij})$ and let $S = (s_{ij})$. Then

$$\mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} v^i \otimes v_j, \quad \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} v^i \otimes v_j.$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{v}^* T \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v}) &= \left(\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} v^i \otimes v_j \right) \left(\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} v^i \otimes v_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} t_{ij} s_{kl} (v^k v_j) (v^i \otimes v_l) \\ &= \sum_{i,l} \left(\sum_k t_{ik} s_{kl} \right) (v^i \otimes v_l) \\ &= \sum_{i,l} v^i \otimes \left(\sum_k t_{ik} s_{kl} \right) v_l \\ &= \sum_{i,l} v^i \otimes T S v_l. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} v^i \otimes TSv_j &= \sum_{i,j} v^i \otimes T \left(\sum_k s_{jk} v_k \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} s_{jk} v^i \otimes Tv_k \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} s_{jk} v^i \otimes \left(\sum_l t_{kl} v_l \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k,l} s_{jk} t_{kl} (v^i \otimes v_l)
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.2.4 Trace

Definition 6.2.11. Let V be a vector space and fix a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with a dual basis $\{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$ of the dual space V^\vee . The **trace** $\text{tr } x$ of an endomorphism $x \in \text{End } V$ of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v^i(x(v_i)).$$

Theorem 6.2.12. The trace is a linear operator, i.e. if $x, y \in \text{End } V$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\text{tr}(ax + by) = a \text{tr } x + b \text{tr } y.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(ax + by) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i((ax + by)(v_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i(ax(v_i) + by(v_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n av^i(x(v_i)) + bv^i(y(v_i)) \\
&= a \sum_{i=1}^n v^i(x(v_i)) + b \sum_{i=1}^n v^i(y(v_i))
\end{aligned}$$

$$= a \operatorname{tr} x + b \operatorname{tr} y.$$

□

Theorem 6.2.13. The trace of a linear operator $x \in \operatorname{End} V$ is basis invariant—its value is independent of the basis used to compute it.

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be two bases of V , and let $\{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$ and $\{w^1, \dots, w^n\}$ be the corresponding dual bases of V^\vee .

We write the transition coefficients S_{ij} and S^{ij} , which record the expansions of w_i and w^i in terms of v_j and v^j respectively.

$$w_i = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{ki} v_k, \quad w^i = \sum_{k=1}^n S^{ik} v^k.$$

Importantly,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ij} &= w^i w_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S^{ik} v^k \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^n S_{jl} v_l \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n S^{ik} S_{jl} v^k v_l \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n S^{ik} S_{jl} \delta_{kl} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n S_{jk} S^{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n w^i (x(w_i)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S^{ik} v^k \right) \left(x \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S_{ji} v_j \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S^{ik} v^k \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S_{ji} x(v_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n S_{ji} S^{ik} v^i (x(v_j)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n S_{ji} S^{ik} \right) v^k(x(v_j)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{jk} v^k(x(v_j)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n v^j(x(v_j)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the trace gives the same value regardless of basis. □