Noncommutative Schur functions

Jasper Ty

What is this?

This is (going to be) an "infinite napkin" set of notes I am taking about the Fomin-Greene theory of noncommutative Schur functions.

Contents

| I | Ideals and words | 2 |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 2 | Noncommutative e 's and b 's 2.1 The ideal I_C | 2 |
| 3 | Noncommutative Schur functions 3.1 Cauchy kernel | 3 |
| 4 | Applications | 5 |
| 5 | Linear programming | 5 |
| 6 | Algebras of operators | 5 |
| 7 | Appendix 7.1 Gessel's fundamental quasisymmetric function | 5 |

Ideals and words T

Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ be a collection of variables. Let $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$ be the free semigroup on the generators **u**. Then, let $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$ denote the corresponding semigroup ring—the free associative ring generated by **u**.

We will denote by \mathcal{U}^* the \mathbb{Z} -module spanned by words in the alphabet $\{1,\ldots,N\}$.

We will have a fundamental pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ given by making noncommutative monomials dual to words.

Now, if I is an ideal of \mathcal{U} , we define I^{\perp} by

$$I^{\perp} \coloneqq \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{U}^* \mid \langle I, \gamma \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Noncommutative e's and b's 2

Definition 2.1. The noncommutative elementary symmetric function $e_k(\mathbf{u})$ is defined to be

$$e_k(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_k} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_k}.$$

The noncommutative complete homogeneous symmetric function $h_k(\mathbf{u})$ is defined to be

$$b_k(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{i_1 \ge i_2 \ge \cdots \ge i_k} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_k}.$$

The ideal I_C **2.**I

Lemma 2.2. Let I be an ideal of \mathcal{U} . The following are equivalent:

(a)
$$e_k(\mathbf{u})e_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv e_j(\mathbf{u})e_k(\mathbf{u}) \mod I$$
 for all j, k .

(a)
$$e_k(\mathbf{u})e_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv e_j(\mathbf{u})e_k(\mathbf{u}) \mod I$$
 for all j,k .
(b) $h_k(\mathbf{u})h_j(\mathbf{u}) \equiv h_j(\mathbf{u})h_k(\mathbf{u}) \mod I$ for all j,k .

Definition 2.3. We define the ideal I_C to be the ideal consisting of exactly the elements

$$u_b^2 u_a + u_a u_b u_a - u_b u_a u_b - u_b u_a^2 (a < b), (1)$$

$$u_b u_c u_a + u_a u_c u_b - u_b u_a u_c - u_c u_a u_b$$
 (a < b < c), (2)

$$u_c u_b u_c u_a + u_b u_c u_a u_c - u_c u_b u_a u_c - u_b u_c^2 u_a$$
 $(a < b < c).$ (3)

Compactly, these are the relations

$$[u_a u_b] u_a \equiv u_b [u_a u_b], \quad [u_a u_c] u_b \equiv u_b [u_a u_c], \quad [u_c u_b] u_c u_a \equiv [u_c u_b] u_a u_c$$

for all a < b < c.

Theorem 2.4. I_C is the smallest ideal in which the elementary symmetric functions $e_k(\mathbf{u}_S)$ and $e_\ell(\mathbf{u}_S)$ commute for any k, ℓ, S .

2.2 The map Ψ_I

Theorem 2.5 (Fundamental theorem of symmetric functions). Let $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ denote the ring of symmetric polynomials in the commuting variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[e_1(\mathbf{x}), e_2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, e_n(\mathbf{x})].$$

Proof. See Theorem 7.4.4 in [EC2]. One checks that products of the form. One can prove this via the *Gale-Ryser* theorem.

Corollary 2.6. If I contains I_C , then the map

$$\Psi_I:\Lambda_n(\mathbf{x})\to\mathcal{U}/I$$

$$e_k(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto e_k(\mathbf{u})$$

extends to a ring homomorphism.

Proof. Combine Theorems 2.5 and 2.4.

3 Noncommutative Schur functions

Definition 3.1. Let $I \supseteq I_C$. The noncommutative Schur function $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{U}/I$ is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\pi \in S_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) e_{\lambda_1^\top + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{u}) e_{\lambda_2^\top + \pi(2) - 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots e_{\lambda_t^\top + \pi(t) - t}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where $t = \lambda_1$ is the number of parts of λ^{T} . Alternatively, since the *b*'s commute

where
$$t = \lambda_1$$
 is the number of parts of λ . Afternatively, since the b 's conwhenever the e 's do,
$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) h_{\lambda_1 + \pi(1) - 1}(\mathbf{u}) h_{\lambda_2 + \pi(2) - 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots h_{\lambda_t + \pi(t) - t}(\mathbf{u}).$$

The first definition is based on the **Kostka-Naegelsbach identity**

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \det \left(e_{\lambda_i^{\top} + j - i}(\mathbf{x}) \right)_{i,j=1}^n,$$

and the second is based on the Jacobi-Trudi identity

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \det (h_{\lambda_i + j - i}(\mathbf{x}))_{i, j=1}^n.$$

Since these are purely polynomials of elementary symmetric and complete homogeneous polynomials, one sees the following

Definition 3.2. If $I \supseteq I_C$, then

$$\Psi_I(s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})) \equiv \mathfrak{J}_\lambda(\mathbf{u}) \mod I.$$

Proof.

$$\Psi_{I}(s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})) = \Psi_{I}\left(\det\left(e_{\lambda_{i}^{\top}+j-i}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i,j=1}^{n}\right)$$

$$= \Psi_{I}\left(\sum_{\pi \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)h_{\pi_{1}+\pi(1)-1}(\mathbf{x}) \cdots h_{\pi_{n}+\pi(n)-n}(\mathbf{x})\right)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\pi \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)h_{\pi_{1}+\pi(1)-1}(\mathbf{u}) \cdots h_{\pi_{n}+\pi(n)-n}(\mathbf{u}) \mod I$$

$$\equiv \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \mod I.$$

Theorem 3.3. If I contains I_C , then for all $\gamma \in I_C^{\perp}$,

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=n}^{1} (1 + x_{i} u_{j}), \gamma \right\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \left\langle \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda^{\top}}(\mathbf{u}), \gamma \right\rangle.$$

4

Theorem 3.4 ([FG98], [BF16]). In the ideal I_{\varnothing} ,

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda; N)} \mathbf{u}^{\text{colword } T}.$$

3.1 Cauchy kernel

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2...)$ be a countable collection of commuting variables.

4 Applications

5 Linear programming

Consider the positive cones $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{>0}^*$.

6 Algebras of operators

Definition 6.1. A combinatorial representation of \mathcal{U}/I is

Definition 6.2.

7 Appendix

7.1 Gessel's fundamental quasisymmetric function

Definition 7.1. Let w be a word. We define the **fundamental quasisymmetric function** $Q_{\mathrm{Des}(\mathsf{w})}$ by

$$Q_{\mathrm{Des}(\mathsf{w})} \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_n \\ j \in \mathrm{Des}(\mathsf{w}) \implies \mathsf{i}_j < \mathsf{i}_{\mathsf{j}+1}}} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}.$$

7.2 The Edelman-Greene correspondence

References

- [EC2] Richard P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 2, Cambridge University Press 2023.
- [FG98] Sergey Fomin and Curtis Greene, *Noncommutative Schur functions and their applications*, Discrete Math. **193** (1998), 179-200.
- [BF16] Jonah Blasiak and Sergey Fomin, Noncommutative Schur functions, switchboards, and Schur positivity, Sel. Math. 23 (2017), 727-766.
 Also available as arXiv: 1510.00657.
- [A15] Sami Assaf, Dual equivalence graphs I: A new paradigm for Schur positivity, Forum. Math. Sigma 3 (2015), e12.

 Also available as arXiv: 1506.03798.
- [Lo4] Thomas Lam, *Ribbon Schur operators*, European J. Combin. **29** (2008), 343-359. Also available as arXiv:math/0409463.