# Lie algebras

Jasper Ty

# What is this?

These are notes based on my reading of Humphreys's "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory".

# **Contents**

I	Basi	ic definitions and examples	3
	I.I	Lie algebras	3
	1.2	Examples	9
		1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra	9
		1.2.2 Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
		Type C: the symplectic algebra	7
		1.2.4 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra	7
	1.3	Lie algebras from algebras	8
	1.4	Derivations, the adjoint representation	IC
	1.5	Abstract Lie algebras	12
2	Idea	als and homomorphisms	13
	2.I	Ideals	13
	2.2	Homomorphisms	13
	2.3	Isomorphism theorems	14
3	Aut	comorphisms	17

4	Solv	able and nilpotent Lie algebras	17		
	<b>4.</b> I	The derived series, solvability	17		
	4.2	The descending central series, nilpotency	20		
	4.3	Engel's theorem	20		
5	Semisimple Lie algebras				
	5.1	Lie's theorem	23		
	5.2	Cartan's criterion	23		
	5.3	Killing form	23		
	5.4	g-modules	23		
	5.5	Weyl's theorem	24		
6	Rep	presentations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the root space decomposition	24		
7	Roo	ot systems	25		
8	App	pendix	25		
	8.1	Definitions	25		
	8.2	Some linear algebra	25		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25		
		8.2.1 Definitions	-		
		8.2.1 Definitions	25		
		8.2.1 Definitions	25 26		

JASPER TY LIE ALGEBRAS

#### Basic definitions and examples I

Convention 1.0.1. All vector spaces considered are finite dimensional and no assumptions are made yet about underlying fields. We use V and  $\mathbb K$  to denote generic vector spaces and fields respectively.

We will often use  $\rightarrow$  to denote action in general, so if  $v \in V$  and  $x \in \text{End } V$ , we will define

$$x \rightharpoonup v \coloneqq x(v)$$

# Lie algebras

**Definition 1.1.1.** A Lie algebra g is a vector space equipped with a product

$$[-,-]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},$$
  
 $(x, y) \mapsto [xy].$ 

such that

(L<sub>3</sub>) 
$$[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0.$$

such that  $(L_{1}) [-,-] \text{ is bilinear,}$   $(L_{2}) [xx] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}, \text{ and}$   $(L_{3}) [x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0.$ We refer to [xy] as the **bracket** or the **commutator** of x and y.

(L<sub>3</sub>) is referred to as the *Jacobi identity*.

As an exercise in using this definition, we show the following:

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Brackets are anticommutative, i.e

$$[xy] = -[yx]. \tag{L2'}$$

is a relation in any Lie algebra.

*Proof.* By (L<sub>2</sub>), we have that

$$\left[x+y,x+y\right]=0,$$

and by (L<sub>I</sub>),

$$[xx] + [xy] + [yx] + [yy] = 0.$$

By (L2) again,

$$[xy] + [yx] = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

We will look at our first example of a Lie algebra, closely associated with the **general** linear group GL(V) of invertible endomorphisms of a vector space V.

**Definition 1.1.3** (gI, abstractly). Let V be a vector space. The **general linear algebra** gI(V) is defined to be the Lie algebra with underlying vector space  $\operatorname{End} V$  and bracket given by

$$[xy] = xy - yx$$

defined with End V's natural ring structure.

End V's aforementioned ring structure is exactly that of  $n \times n$  matrices, where  $n = \dim V$ . Then, the following definition gives us a more concrete avatar of  $\mathfrak{gI}$ , and is in a sense "the only" finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{gI}$ .

**Definition 1.1.4** ( $\mathfrak{gl}$ , concretely). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be some field and let n be a positive integer. The **general linear algebra**  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$  is defined

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}) \coloneqq \mathfrak{gl}(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})).$$

In this setting, we can easily compute the bracket of  $\mathfrak{gl}$  relative to its standard basis:

**Proposition 1.1.5.** Let  $\{e_{pq}\}_{p,q=0}^n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ . Then

$$\left[e_{pq}e_{rs}\right] = \delta_{qr}e_{ps} - \delta_{sp}e_{rq},$$

where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta.

*Proof.* Using the Iverson bracket (see Definition 8.1.1),

$$(e_{pq})_{ij} = [p = i \land q = j]^? = [p = i]^? [q = j]^?$$

and so

$$(e_{pq}e_{rs})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (e_{pq})_{ik}(e_{rs})_{kj}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ p = i \land q = k \right]^{?} \left[ r = k \land s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \left[ q = k \right]^{?} \left[ r = k \right]^{?} \right) \left[ p = i \right]^{?} \left[ s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ q = r = k \right]^{?} \right) \left[ p = i \land s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \delta_{qr}(e_{ps})_{ij}. \end{split}$$

So 
$$e_{pq}e_{rs} = \delta_{qr}e_{ps}$$
. Similarly,  $e_{rs}e_{pq} = \delta_{sp}e_{rq}$ .

Importantly, many Lie algebras, and in fact all the Lie algebras we are concerned with, occur as subalgebras of the general linear algebra— a **subalgebra** of a Lie algebra g is a subspace of g that is closed under g's bracket.

**Definition 1.1.6.** A linear Lie algebra is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$  for some n.

All finite dimensional Lie algebras are linear, in the sense that they are isomorphic to some linear Lie algebra.

### 1.2 Examples

We have four distinguished families of Lie algebras:

$$A_{\ell}, \qquad B_{\ell}, \qquad C_{\ell}, \qquad D_{\ell}.$$

These are parameterized by a positive integer  $\ell$ , and they classify all but five of the so-called **semisimple Lie algebras**.

## 1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let V be a vector space with basis  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  and dual basis  $\mathbf{v}^* = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$  The **trace** tr x of an endomorphism  $x \in \text{End } V$  of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( x(v_{i}) \Big).$$

In other words, it is the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix representation of x. The trace is independent of the basis used to compute it (see Theorem 8.2.18 in the Appendix), hence it is a well defined quantity.

**Definition 1.2.2** (The type  $A_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let V have dimension  $n = \ell + 1$ . We define  $A_{\ell}$  to be the **special linear algebra**  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , the set of all **traceless** endomorphisms of V, which means

$$A_\ell \coloneqq \mathfrak{sI}(V) \coloneqq \Big\{ x \in \mathfrak{gI}(V) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0 \Big\}.$$

As is the case with  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}),$  we also define

$$A_{\ell} \coloneqq \mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{K}) \coloneqq \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{K}) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0 \right\}$$

and will refer to them interchangeably.

This algebra is so named because of its connection with the **special linear group** SL(V), a distinguished subgroup of GL(V). Unsurprisingly,  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  shares a similar relationship to  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

### **Proposition 1.2.3.** $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

*Proof.* The trace is a linear operator  $tr: \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{K}$ . Since the kernel of a linear operator is a subspace of its domain, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \ker \operatorname{tr}$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{gl}$ .

Finally, the fact that  $\operatorname{tr}(xy-yx)=\operatorname{tr}(xy)-\operatorname{tr}(yx)=0$  for all  $x,y\in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$  means that  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ 's Lie bracket is closed in  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ .

Lastly, we will compute the dimension of  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ . Firstly, it has to be strictly less than that of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ 's, as it is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Hence

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) < \dim \mathfrak{gl}(V) = (\ell + 1)^2.$$

So

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \le (\ell+1)^2 - 1 = \ell(\ell+2)$$

However, we can explicitly name  $\ell(\ell+2)$  linearly independent elements of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K})$ :

- I. All the off-diagonal entries  $e_{ij}$  where  $i \neq j$ —there are  $(\ell+1)^2 (\ell+1) = \ell^2 + \ell$  of these.
- 2. All of the elements  $e_{ii} e_{i+1,i+1}$ , of which there are  $(\ell + 1) 1 = \ell$ .

So,

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \ge \ell + 2 + \ell + \ell = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

And, putting it together, we have proven:

JASPER TY LIE ALGEBRAS

#### Proposition 1.2.4.

$$\dim A_{\ell} = \dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \ell(\ell+2).$$

### Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra

**Definition 1.2.5.** The **orthogonal algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}_{2\ell+1}(\mathbb{K})$  is defined to be

### Type C: the symplectic algebra

**Definition 1.2.6.** A symplectic form on a vector space V is a bilinear form  $\omega$ such that

- (a)  $\omega$  is bilinear, (b)  $\omega(v, u) = -\omega(u, v)$ , and
- (c)  $\omega(v, u) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$  implies that u = 0.

**Definition 1.2.7** (The type  $C_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let dim  $V=2\ell$ , and let V be endowed with a symplectic form  $\omega$ .

We define  $C_{\ell}$  to be the **symplectic algebra**  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ , the set of all  $x \in \operatorname{End} V$ such that

$$\mathsf{C}_\ell \coloneqq \mathfrak{sp}(V) \coloneqq \Big\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : \omega\Big(x(-), -\Big) + \omega\Big(-, x(-)\Big) = 0 \Big\}$$

In matrix form, we define

$$\mathsf{C}_{\ell} \coloneqq \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{K}) \coloneqq \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{K}) : Jx + x^{\top}J = 0 \right\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{\ell} \\ -I_{\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{K}^{2\ell}$ .

# 1.2.4 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra

**Definition 1.2.8** (Type D Lie algebra). Let dim  $V = 2\ell$ . We define D to be the **orthogonal algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ , the set of all compatible bilinear transformations.

$$\mathsf{D}_\ell \coloneqq \mathfrak{o}(V) \coloneqq \Big\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : x + \Big\}$$

## 1.3 Lie algebras from algebras

**Definition 1.3.1** (Algebras over a field). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field. An **algebra over**  $\mathbb{K}$ , or a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space equipped with a bilinear product.

We will use qualifiers like *associative* and *unital* to indicate that this product is associative and has unit respectively.

Put another way, a unital associative algebra over a field is

- a vector space with a compatible ring structure, (vector space + bilinear product)
- or a ring with a compatible vector space structure. (ring + bilinear scaling map)

For example,  $Mat_n(\mathbb{K})$  is a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

However, we don't in general expect algebras to have unit or to be associative— $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the cross product is neither unital nor associative. Hence, the following is clear:

**Proposition 1.3.2.** Lie algebras are algebras, with the product given by the Lie bracket.

To go along with this definition, we have notion of a homomorphism of algebras.

**Definition 1.3.3.** An algebra homomorphism  $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  between two algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a vector space homomorphism that respects the product, i.e

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ 

We say that an algebra homomorphism is an **algebra isomorphism** if it is also a vector space isomorphism.

For example, the determinant is an algebra homomorphism from  $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$  to  $\mathbb{K}$ .  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras can be turned into Lie algebras by defining the bracket  $[x\,y] := x\,y - yx$ .

**Definition 1.3.4.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb K$ -algebra. Then  $\mathrm{Lie}[\mathscr A]$  is defined to be the Lie algebra whose underlying vector space is  $\mathscr A$  and whose bracket is given by

$$[xy] \coloneqq xy - yx$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We can check the following nice fact:

**Proposition 1.3.5.** Let  $\mathscr A$  and  $\mathscr B$  be two  $\mathbb K$ -algebras, and let  $\phi:\mathscr A\to\mathscr B$  be an algebra homomorphism.

Then  $\phi$  is also a *Lie algebra homomorphism* (see Definition 2.2.1) between  $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{A}]$  and  $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{B}]$ .

Proof.

$$\phi([xy]) = \phi(xy - yx)$$

$$= \phi(xy) - \phi(yx)$$

$$= \phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x)$$

$$= [\phi(x)\phi(y)].$$

Hence Lie[-] is actually *functorial*, with mapping of arrows given by the identity map.

What happens when we consider Lie[ $\mathfrak{g}$ ], where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *already* a Lie algebra? Let the new bracket of Lie[ $\mathfrak{g}$ ] be denoted by [-, -]. Then

$$\llbracket xy \rrbracket = \llbracket xy \rrbracket - \llbracket yx \rrbracket = \llbracket xy \rrbracket + \llbracket xy \rrbracket = 2 \llbracket xy \rrbracket$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Then  $[\![-,-]\!]=2[-,-]$ . This fact actually characterizes Lie algebras.

**Proposition 1.3.6.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb K$ -algebra with product \*. If Lie[ $\mathscr A$ ] has product 2\*, then  $\mathscr A$  is a Lie algebra with bracket given by  $\lceil xy \rceil = x*y$ .

*Proof.* The product is bilinear by definition, so we have (L<sub>I</sub>). Next, we check (L<sub>2</sub>):

$$x * x = \frac{2(x * x)}{2} = \frac{[xx]}{2} = 0.$$

And finally, in the exact same way, we check the Jacobi identity, (L<sub>3</sub>):

$$x*(y*z)+y*(z*x)+z*(x*y)=\frac{\left[x\left[yz\right]\right]+\left[y\left[xz\right]\right]+\left[z\left[xy\right]\right]}{4}=0.$$

The number 2 is special here—this appears when we consider actions on the *adjoint representation* of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### 1.4 Derivations, the adjoint representation

**Definition 1.4.1.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb K$ -algebra. A **derivation** of  $\mathscr A$  is a linear map  $d: \mathscr A \to \mathscr A$  which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$d(xy) = x(dy) + (dx)y.$$

The collection of all derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted Der  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Derivations play nicely with the vector space structure of End  $\mathcal{A}$  as well as with the bracket inherited from  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proposition 1.4.2.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb K$ -algebra. Then Der  $\mathscr A$  is a subspace of End  $\mathscr A$ . Moreover, it is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathscr A)$ 

*Proof.* If d and d' are two derivations, then

$$(ad + bd')(xy) = (ad)(xy) + (bd')(xy)$$

$$= x(ady) + (adx)y + x(bd'y) + (bd'x)y$$

$$= x(ady + bd'y) + (adx + bd'x)y$$

$$= x(ad + bd')(y) + (ad + bd')(x)y.$$

Hence  $ad + bd' \in Der \mathcal{A}$ , so  $Der \mathcal{A}$  is a subspace of End  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,

$$[dd'](xy)$$

$$= (dd' - d'd)(xy)$$

$$= (dd')(xy) - (d'd)(xy)$$

$$= d(x(d'y) + (d'x)y) - d'(x(dy) + (dx)y)$$

$$= d(x(d'y)) + d((d'x)y) - d'(x(dy)) - d'((dx)y)$$

$$= xdd'y + dxd'y + d'xdy + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'xdy - dxd'y - d'dxy$$

$$= xdd'y + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'dxy$$

$$= x(dd'y - d'dy) + (dd'x - d'dx)y$$

$$= x((dd' - d'd)y) + ((dd' - d'd)x)y$$

$$= x((dd')y) + ((dd')x)y.$$

So Der  $\mathscr{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathscr{A})$ .

We have a special representation of *any* Lie algebra, which is given by its action on itself.

**Definition 1.4.3.** The **adjoint representation** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the mapping

$$ad_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$$
  
 $x \mapsto ad_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ 

where  $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$  is defined to be the linear map

$$ad_{\mathfrak{g}} x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$
  
 $y \mapsto [xy].$ 

We will write ad x for ad $\mathfrak{g}$  x unless there is any ambiguity.

As a set, we define  $ad \mathfrak{g} := ad_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

# **Proposition 1.4.4.** ad x is a derivation.

*Proof.* We start with the Jacobi identity (L<sub>3</sub>)

$$[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0,$$

which, using the anticommutation relations [y[zx]] = -[y[xz]] and [z[xy]] = -[[xy]z], is equivalent to

$$[x[yz]] = [y[xz]] + [[xy]z].$$

But this is saying that

ad 
$$x \rightarrow [yz] = [y, \operatorname{ad} x \rightarrow z] + [\operatorname{ad} x \rightarrow y, z]$$

which is exactly the defining identity for derivations.

# 1.5 Abstract Lie algebras

**Definition 1.5.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra, and fix some basis  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We define  $\mathfrak{g}$ 's **structure constants**  $a_{ij}^k$  relative to this basis to be the basis coefficients of the Lie brackets of basis elements— the numbers such that

$$\left[x_i x_j\right] = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k.$$

**Definition 1.5.2.** An **abelian** Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra with trivial bracket—[x y] = 0 for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 1.5.3.** Let V be a vector space with basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , and let  $a_{ij}^k$  be an array of structure coefficients. Then, the bracket defined by  $a_{ij}^k$  gives V a Lie algebra structure if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{ii}^k = 0 \\ a_{ij}^k + a_{ji}^k = 0 \\ \sum_k a_{ij}^k a_{kl}^m + a_{jl}^k a_{ki}^m + a_l^k a_{kij}^m = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any values of i, j, k, l, m.

We will classify all the Lie algebras of dimensions 1 and 2.

**Proposition 1.5.4.** There are only two Lie algebras of dimension two up to isomorphism:

- (a) The abelian two-dimensonal Lie algebra,
- (b) and the Lie algebra with basis (x, y) and product [x, y] = x.

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nonabelian, then [xy] = ax + by, where at least one of a, b is nonzero. Without loss of generality, let a be nonzero. Then

$$\left[ \left[ xy \right] y \right] = \left[ ax + by, y \right] = a \left[ xy \right].$$

Now put u = [xy] and  $v = a^{-1}y$ . Then

$$[uv] = [[xy], (a^{-1}y)] = [xy] = u.$$

# 2 Ideals and homomorphisms

#### 2.1 Ideals

**Definition 2.1.1.** A subspace  $\mathfrak{i}$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called an **ideal** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  if  $[xy] \in \mathfrak{i}$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

The **sum** and the **bracket** of the ideals  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$  are defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} := \left\{ x + y : x \in \mathbf{i}, y \in \mathbf{j} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i \left[ x_i y_i \right] : c_i \in \mathbb{K}, x_i \in \mathbf{i}, y_i \in \mathbf{j} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.2.** The quotient of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  by an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$ , denoted  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , is defined to be the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a vector space by  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a subspace, equipped with the product

$$[x + i, y + i] := [xy] + i.$$

### **Proposition 2.1.3.** $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof. These are all easy to check.

$$[ax + by + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}] = ([ax + by, z]) + \mathbf{i}$$

$$= (a[x, z] + b[y, z]) + \mathbf{i}$$

$$= (a[x, z] + \mathbf{i}) + (b[y, z] + \mathbf{i})$$

$$= a[x + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}] + b[y + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}].$$

$$[x + \mathbf{i}, x + \mathbf{i}] = [xx] + \mathbf{i} = 0 + \mathbf{i}$$

# 2.2 Homomorphisms

There is a natural definition of a Lie algebra homomorphism— it's a map that respects brackets.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak g$  and  $\mathfrak h$  be two Lie algebras. We say that a map  $\phi:\mathfrak g\to\mathfrak h$  is a **Lie algebra homomorphism** if it is a linear map for which

$$\phi\Big(\left[xy\right]\Big) = \left[\phi(x)\phi(y)\right]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . A **Lie algebra isomorphism** is a Lie algebra homomorphism that is also an isomorphism of vector spaces.

**Definition 2.2.2.** A **representation** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  where V is some vector space.

# 2.3 Isomorphism theorems

**Theorem 2.3.1** (Lie algebra isomorphism theorems). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be Lie algebras.

(a) If  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$  is a homomorphism, then  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \cong \operatorname{im} \phi$ . If  $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \ker \phi$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \to \mathfrak{h}$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{h} \\
\downarrow^{\pi} & \downarrow^{\overline{\phi}} \\
\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} & & & \\
\end{array}$$

(b) If  $\mathfrak a$  and  $\mathfrak b$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak g$  such that  $\mathfrak b\subseteq \mathfrak a$ , then  $\mathfrak a/\mathfrak b$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak b$  and there is a natural isomorphism

$$(g/b)/(a/b) \simeq g/a$$
.

(c) If  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a natural isomorphism

$$(a + b)/b \simeq a/(a \cap b).$$

Proof. (a) The map

$$\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \to \operatorname{im} \phi$$
  
 $x + \ker \phi \mapsto \phi(x)$ 

is the desired isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi$ . We verify that it is well defined: let  $x + \ker \phi = x' + \ker \phi$ . Then there exists  $k, k' \in \ker \phi$  such that x + k = x' + k', and we have that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x+k) = \phi(x+k') = \phi(x'),$$

so  $\overline{\phi}$  is a well-defined function on the cosets in  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker\phi$ .

Next, we check that it respects brackets:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\phi}\Big(\left[x+\ker\phi,y+\ker\phi\right]\Big) &= \overline{\phi}\Big(\left[x\,y\right]+\ker\phi\Big) \\ &= \phi\Big(\left[x\,y\right]\Big) \\ &= \left[\phi(x)\phi(y)\right] \\ &= \left[\overline{\phi}\Big(x+\ker\phi\Big),\overline{\phi}\Big(y+\ker\phi\Big)\right]. \end{split}$$

Then, it is a homomorphism. To show that it is an isomorphism, we note that it has a trival kernel, trivially:

$$\ker \overline{\phi} = \{x + \ker \phi : x + \ker \phi = \ker \phi\} = \{0 + \ker \phi\}.$$

Now, let i be an ideal of g contained in ker  $\phi$ . We define in a similar way

$$\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \to \operatorname{im} \phi$$
  
 $x + \mathfrak{i} \mapsto \phi(x),$ 

and via a similar argument as above, this map is well-defined. It is moreover clear that  $\overline{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$  and that it is the only such homomorphism that has these properties.

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . We define the map

$$\phi: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$$
$$x + \mathfrak{b} \mapsto x + \mathfrak{a}.$$

This map is surjective. The kernel of this map is all the cosets  $a + \mathfrak{b}$ , namely the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ . Then, by (a),

$$(g/b)(a/b) = (g/b)/\ker \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi = g/a.$$

(c) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define the map

$$\phi: \mathfrak{a} \to (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b})$$
$$a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{b}.$$

This map is surjective, as, if  $(a + b) + b \in (a + b)/(b)$ , then

$$\phi(a) = a + b = a + (b + b) = (a + b) + b.$$

Moreover, since

$$\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$$

we have that, by (a) again,

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} = \operatorname{im} \phi \simeq \mathfrak{a}/\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

**Theorem 2.3.2.** The adjoint representation ad :  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* ad is evidently linear. Next, we just check that it is a homomorphism:

$$[\operatorname{ad} x, \operatorname{ad} y] \rightharpoonup z = \left(\operatorname{ad} x \operatorname{ad} y - \operatorname{ad} y \operatorname{ad} x\right) \rightharpoonup z$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{ad} x \operatorname{ad} y \rightharpoonup z\right) - \left(\operatorname{ad} y \operatorname{ad} x \rightharpoonup z\right)$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{ad} x \rightharpoonup [yz]\right) - \left(\operatorname{ad} y \rightharpoonup [xz]\right)$$

$$= \left[x \left[yz\right]\right] - \left[y \left[xz\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[x \left[yz\right]\right] + \left[y \left[zx\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[xy\right]z\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{ad} \left[xy\right] \rightharpoonup z.$$

Corollary 2.3.3. Any simple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a linear Lie algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We have that

$$\ker \operatorname{ad} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g} : \operatorname{ad} x = 0 \right\} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g} : [xy] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g} \right\} = Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence, if  $\mathfrak g$  is simple, i.e if  $Z(\mathfrak g)=0$ , then ad has a trivial kernel, so it is an isomorphism.

# 3 Automorphisms

**Definition 3.0.1.** A **automorphism** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 3.0.2.** Let V be a vector space and let  $g \in GL(V)$ . Then the map

$$x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

*Proof.* The aforementioned map is a vector space isomorphism, with explicit inverse

$$x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$$

and it is a homomorphism, as

$$g[xy]g^{-1} = g(xy - yx)g^{-1}$$

$$= (gxyg^{-1}) - (gyxg^{-1})$$

$$= (gxg^{-1}gyg^{-1}) - (gyg^{-1}gxg^{-1})$$

$$= [gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1}].$$

4 Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras

# 4.1 The derived series, solvability

**Definition 4.1.1.** The **derived series** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a sequence of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)},\mathfrak{g}^{(1)},\ldots$  defined

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \coloneqq \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \coloneqq \left[ \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)} \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)} \right] \end{cases}.$$

In other words,  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$  is all those elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which can be written as linear combinations of i "full binary trees" of brackets in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

17

**Definition 4.1.2.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be **solvable** if  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$  for some n.

For example, abelian Lie algebras are solvable, whereas simple Lie algebras are never solvable.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** The Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices  $t_n(\mathbb{K})$  is solvable.

*Proof.* We use the following definition of an upper triangular matrix:

$$(a_{ij})$$
 is upper triangular  $\iff a_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i < 0$ .

Let  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(b_{ij})$  be two upper triangular matrices, and let j - i < 1, then

$$(ab - ba)_{ij} = (ab)_{ij} - (ba)_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} 0 \cdot b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ik} \cdot 0\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=i}^{j} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj})$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i \\ a_{jj}b_{jj} - b_{jj}a_{jj} & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence, (ab - ba) is *strictly* upper triangular, so  $[ab] \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{t}] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ . Now suppose that, for some  $l \geq 0$ ,

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < m.$$

LIE ALGEBRAS JASPER TY

Then, we can do a similar, in fact easier calculation to show that if  $(a_{ij}), (b_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(m)}$ and j - i < 2m.

$$(ab - ba)_{ij} = \sum_{k=i+m}^{j-m} (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj}) = 0.$$

Hence, we have shown that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l+1)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2m.$$

Combined with our initial conditions, we have shown in general that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2^l.$$

Clearly, if l is large enough,  $(a_{ij})$  is forced to be the zero matrix. Hence  $\mathfrak n$  is solvable, as  $\mathfrak{n}^{(l)} = 0$  for some positive integer l. Then t is also solvable, as  $\mathfrak{t}^{(l+1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{(l)} = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.4.** Let g be a Lie algebra.

- (a) If  $\mathfrak g$  is solvable, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of  $\mathfrak g$ . (b) If  $\mathfrak i$  is a solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak g$  such that  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i$  is also solvable, then  $\mathfrak g$  is solvable. (c) If  $\mathfrak i$ ,  $\mathfrak j$  are solvable ideals of  $\mathfrak g$ , then so is  $\mathfrak i+\mathfrak j$ .

*Proof.* The first statement of (a) follows if we show that

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i)}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$$

for any subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ — this is an easy induction. Similarly, the second statement of (a) follows from

$$(\phi \mathfrak{g})^{(i)} = \phi \Big( \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \Big)$$

for any homomorphism  $\phi$ . This is another easy induction.

For (b), we stack together g/i and i's solvability—the former being solvable means that  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}$  for large enough n, but that means that  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{i}$ , for which  $\mathbf{i}^{(m)} = 0$  for large enough m, so we can "push in"  $\mathfrak{g}$  further, namely

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(n+m)} = \left(\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}\right)^{(m)} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}^{(m)} = 0.$$

# 4.2 The descending central series, nilpotency

**Definition 4.2.1.** The **descending central series** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a sequence of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \ldots$  defined to be

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^0 \coloneqq \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^i \coloneqq \left[ \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}^{i-1} \right] \end{cases}.$$

- **Definition 4.2.2.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be **nilpotent** if  $\mathfrak{g}^n = 0$  for some n.
- **Proposition 4.2.3.** All nilpotent Lie algebras are solvable.

**Definition 4.2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We say that  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is **ad-nilpotent** if  $(\operatorname{ad} x)^n = 0$  for some n.

**Theorem 4.2.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

# 4.3 Engel's theorem

We will prove **Engel's theorem**.

**Theorem 4.3.1** (Engel). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) g is nilpotent
- (ii) All the elments of  ${\mathfrak g}$  are ad-nilpotent.

We will prove the following equivalent theorem:

**Theorem 4.3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak g\mathfrak l(V)$ , where V has positive dimension. If  $\mathfrak g$  consists only of nilpotent transformations, then there exists a nonzero vector  $v \in V$  so that  $\mathfrak g \rightharpoonup v = 0$ .

*Proof.* We induct on dim g.

The dim g = 0 case is trivial— g will only contain the zero transformation.

The dim  $\mathfrak{g}=1$  case is also easy. Let  $x\in\mathfrak{g}$  be nonzero and nilpotent. Then we can find a nonzero vector  $v\in V$  so that  $x\rightharpoonup v=0$ , and so  $\mathfrak{g}\rightharpoonup v=\mathbb{K}x\rightharpoonup v=0$ .

Now supppose dim  $\mathfrak{g}>1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of positive dimension. Then,

$$\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} := \left\{ \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(x+\mathfrak{h}) : x \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

is a Lie algebra— it is the homomorphic image of g under the composition

$$g \xrightarrow{\pi} g/h \xrightarrow{ad} ad g/h$$
.

Moreover,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} > \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},$$

as  $\mathfrak h$  has positive dimension. By the inductive hypothesis, we may find a nonzero vector  $x + \mathfrak h \in \mathfrak g/\mathfrak h$  such that

$$\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightharpoonup (x + \mathfrak{h}) = 0 + \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}.$$

This means that

$$[hx] + \mathfrak{h} = [h + \mathfrak{h}, x + \mathfrak{h}]$$

$$= \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(h + \mathfrak{h}) \rightharpoonup (x + \mathfrak{h})$$

$$= \mathfrak{h}$$

for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $x \in N_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

But  $x + \mathfrak{h}$  being nonzero in  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  means exactly that  $x \notin \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ . We will use this fact to produce a nontrivial maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We are always able to find a proper subalgebra of positive dimension—choose the span of any single element in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, there must exist maximal proper subalgebras. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be maximal now. Then we have that  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{g}$ , as otherwise  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$  is a larger proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Hence,  $\mathfrak h$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak g$ . We will show that it has codimension one. Suppose it has codimension at least two. Then, we can pull back a one-dimensional subalgebra of the quotient  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak h$  along the projection map and obtain a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak g$  that properly contains  $\mathfrak h$ , which is impossible.

Now, consider the subspace  $W = \{v \in V : \mathfrak{h} \rightharpoonup v = 0\}$  of V. Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  stabilizes W— for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and  $w \in W$ , we have that

$$h \rightharpoonup g \rightharpoonup w = hg \rightharpoonup w$$

$$= (gh - [gh]) \rightarrow w$$

$$= (g \rightarrow \underbrace{b \rightarrow w}) + (\underbrace{[bg]} \rightarrow w)$$

$$= (g \rightarrow 0) + 0$$

$$= 0,$$

hence  $\mathfrak{g} \rightharpoonup W = W$ .

Then, if we pick  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  and restrict it to W, we have a nilpotent endomorphism of W, hence g has an eigenvector v in W.

Then, 
$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{K}g) \rightharpoonup v = 0$$
, completing the theorem.

Now, we can prove Engel's theorem:

*Proof of Engel's theorem.* As before, the dim  $\mathfrak{g}=0$  and dim  $\mathfrak{g}=1$  cases are trivial. So, we induct on dim  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra whose elements are all ad-nilpotent.

Then ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  consisting of nilpotent transformations, hence there exists a nonzero vector  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that ad  $\mathfrak{g} \rightharpoonup x = 0$ .

But, from the definition of ad, this means that  $[\mathfrak{g}x] = 0$ , hence  $x \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ , so  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  has positive dimension, and dim  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ .

Now, we want to show that  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$  consists of ad-nilpotent elements. This follows from the observation that

$$\operatorname{ad}\left(x + Z(\mathfrak{g})\right) \rightharpoonup \left(y + Z(\mathfrak{g})\right) = \left[x + Z(\mathfrak{g}), y + Z(\mathfrak{g})\right]$$
$$= \left[xy\right] + Z(\mathfrak{g})$$
$$= \left(\operatorname{ad} x \rightharpoonup y\right) + Z(\mathfrak{g}),$$

hence it easily follows that ad  $(x + Z(\mathfrak{g}))$  is nilpotent given that ad x is nilpotent.

Then, by the induction hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

By Theorem,  $\mathfrak g$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra, completing the proof.

### Corollary 4.3.3.

JASPER TY LIE ALGEBRAS

#### Semisimple Lie algebras 5

#### Lie's theorem

Similar to Engel's theorem, which concerned *nilpotent* Lie algebras, we have Lie's theorem, which concerns solvable Lie algebras.

**Theorem 5.1.1** (Lie's theorem). Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then  $\mathfrak g$ stabilizes some flag in V.

In other words, relative to some basis of V, the matrix representation of all elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are upper triangular.

Again, we will prove it by proving an equivalent formulation in terms of the existence of a common eigenvector.

**Theorem 5.1.2.** Let g be a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then there exists  $v \in V$ that is an eigenvector for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

#### 5.2 Cartan's criterion

Theorem 5.2.1 (Cartan's criterion).

### 5.3 Killing form

# **Definition 5.3.1.** The Killing form

#### g-modules 5.4

**Definition 5.4.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is a vector space V equipped with a scaling map

$$-.-: \mathfrak{g} \times V \to V$$
$$(x,v) \mapsto x.v$$

which satisfies the following axioms:

(M<sub>I</sub>) 
$$(ax + by).v = ax.v + by.v$$

(M<sub>1</sub>) 
$$(ax + by).v = ax.v + by.v$$
,  
(M<sub>2</sub>)  $x.(av + bw) = ax.v + bx.w$ ,  
(M<sub>3</sub>)  $[xy].v = x.y.v - y.x.v$ .

$$(M_3) \left[ xy \right] .v = x.y.v - y.x.v.$$

**Proposition 5.4.2.**  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Let V be a vector space, and let  $\mathfrak g$  be a Lie algebra. We will demonstrate a correspondence between  $\mathfrak g$ -module structures on V and representations of  $\mathfrak g$  in  $\mathfrak g\mathfrak l(V)$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Define a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V by

$$x.v := \phi(x) \rightharpoonup v.$$

Then, (M1) and (M2) follow easily from the fact that  $\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then, the fact that  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism shows (M3), as

$$[xy] \cdot v = \phi([xy]) \rightarrow v$$

$$= [\phi(x)\phi(y)] \rightarrow v$$

$$= (\phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x)) \rightarrow v$$

$$= (\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(y) \rightarrow v) - (\phi(y) \rightarrow \phi(x) \rightarrow v)$$

$$= x \cdot y \cdot v - y \cdot x \cdot v.$$

Conversely, suppose that V has a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure. Then for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  we can define  $\phi(x) \in \operatorname{End} V$  by

$$\phi(x) \rightharpoonup v \coloneqq x.v.$$

Theorem 5.4.3 (Schur's lemma).

# 5.5 Weyl's theorem

**Theorem 5.5.1** (Weyl's theorem). If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple Lie algebra, then any representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely reducible.

6 Representations of 5l<sub>2</sub> and the root space decomposition

Theorem 6.0.1.

# 7 Root systems

Definition 7.0.1.

# 8 Appendix

#### 8.1 Definitions

**Definition 8.1.1.** Let  $\psi$  be some statement that can be evaluated to be true or false. The **Iverson bracket** of  $\psi$  is

$$[\psi]^? := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \psi \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

a function of the free variables of  $\psi$ .

# 8.2 Some linear algebra

I never really got a chance to learn much foundational *abstract* linear algebra. Learning this material was a great way for me to brush up on a lot of this stuff, so here's a short dump of some important results.

#### 8.2.1 Definitions

**Definition 8.2.1.** The **endomorphism ring** End V of the vector space V is the collection of all linear maps from V to itself.

If  $T \in \operatorname{End} V$  and  $v \in V$ , we will write  $T \rightharpoonup v$  to denote T(v).

**Definition 8.2.2.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field. The  $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$  matrix ring  $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$  is defined to be the ring whose underlying set is  $\mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  with pointwise scaling and addition, and with product given by matrix multiplication.

**Definition 8.2.3.** Let V be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . The **dual space**  $V^*$  of V is the collection of all linear maps  $V \to \mathbb{K}$ .

#### 8.2.2 Rank-nullity

**Theorem 8.2.4** (Rank-nullity). Let  $x \in \text{End } V$ . xhen

$$\operatorname{rank} x + \operatorname{nullity} x = \dim V$$
,

where

rank x := dim im x, nullity x := dim ker x.

*Proof.* Let  $n = \dim V$ ,  $r = \operatorname{rank} x$  and let  $\ell = \operatorname{nullity} x$ .

Let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell)$  be a basis for ker x.

We may extend this into a basis of V by adjoining more vectors  $\mathbf{q} = (q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n)$ , so that  $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell, q_{\ell+1}, \dots, q_n)$  is a basis of V.

Then, we claim that

$$x \rightharpoonup \mathbf{q} = (x \rightharpoonup q_{\ell+1}, \cdots x \rightharpoonup q_n,)$$

is a basis for im x. We first show that it spans im x: let  $v \in V$ , then  $v = a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_\ell p_\ell + a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_n q_n$ . So

$$x \rightarrow v = x \rightarrow \left(a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_{\ell} p_{\ell} + a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(x \rightarrow a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_{\ell} p_{\ell}\right)}_{=0} + \left(x \rightarrow a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n\right)$$

$$= x \rightarrow \left(a_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+1} + \dots + a_n q_n\right)$$

$$= a_{\ell+1} \left(x \rightarrow q_{\ell+1}\right) + \dots + a_n \left(x \rightarrow q_n\right).$$

Hence  $x \to v$  is in the span of  $x \to \mathbf{q}$ . Next, we show that it is linearly independent—suppose that there existed  $a_{\ell+1}, \ldots, a_n$  such that

$$a_{\ell+1}(x \rightharpoonup q_{\ell+1}) + \cdots + a_n(x \rightharpoonup q_n) \neq 0.$$

But this means that

$$x \rightharpoonup \left(a_{\ell+1}q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_nq_n\right) \neq 0,$$

and so the vector  $a_{\ell+1}q_{\ell+1} + \cdots + a_nq_n$  is in the kernel of x, however it is not in the kernel of x because it is not in the span of  $\mathbf{p}$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $x \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$  is linearly independent, completing our assertion that it is a basis of im x.

Then  $r = \dim \operatorname{im} x = n - \ell$ , and so

$$r + \ell = n$$

which proves the theorem.

**Corollary 8.2.5.** Let  $x \in \text{End } V$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a) x is injective.
- (b) x is surjective
- (c) x is bijective

*Proof.* We have the easily verifiable propositions:

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff x \text{ is injective}$$

 $\dim \operatorname{im} x = \dim V \iff x \text{ is surjective}$ 

And, by rank nullity,

$$\dim \ker x = 0 \iff \dim \operatorname{im} x = \dim V$$
,

hence *x* is injective if and only if it is surjective.

# 8.2.3 The matrix representation

We recall the definition of a tensor product:

**Definition 8.2.6.** Let V and W be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces with bases  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$  respectively.

The tensor product of vector spaces  $V \otimes W$ , is the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with basis

$$\left\{v_i\otimes w_j:1\leq i\leq n,1\leq j\leq m\right\}.$$

As a structure, there isn't really "anything happening" with this construction. The following definition makes

**Definition 8.2.7.** Let V, W be  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces as before.

Let  $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n \in V$  and  $w = b_1w_1 + \cdots + b_mw_m \in W$ . The **tensor product of vectors**  $v \otimes w$  is defined

$$v\otimes w = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j w_j\right) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j (v_i \otimes w_j).$$

This defines a map  $i: V \times W \to V \otimes W$  given by  $(v, w) \mapsto v \otimes w$ .

Together, this pair of constructions satisfies a universal property:

**Theorem 8.2.8.** If U and V are two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces, then any bilinear map  $f: U \times V \to W$  factors through  $\otimes: U \times V \to U \otimes V$ — there exists a unique linear map  $\overline{f}$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$U \times V$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad f$$

$$U \otimes V \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} W$$

*Proof.* Fix bases  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots v_n)$  of U and V. Let  $u = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n$  and  $v = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m$ . Then, by bilinearity,

$$f(u,v) = f(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n, b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot f(u_i, b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_ib_j \cdot f(u_i, v_j).$$

Hence, f is completely determined by its values  $f(u_i, v_j)$  where  $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m$ . Conversely, any array  $w_{ij} \in V$  defines a bilinear map by putting  $(u_i, v_j) \mapsto w_{ij}$ .

Pick some i, j. If  $f = \overline{f} \circ i$ , it must be that

$$f(u_i,v_j)=\Big(\overline{f}\circ i\Big)(u_i,v_j)=\overline{f}(u_i\otimes v_j).$$

**Definition 8.2.9.** Let U, V be  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces, and let  $f: U \to U$  and  $g: V \to V$  be linear maps. We define the **tensor product of linear maps**  $f \otimes g$  to be the map

$$f \otimes g : U \otimes V \to U \otimes V$$
$$\sum_{i} u_{i} \otimes v_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i} f(u_{i}) \otimes g(v_{i}).$$

**Definition 8.2.10.** Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and fix a basis  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of V with a dual basis  $\mathbf{v}^* = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of the dual space  $V^*$ .

By abuse of notation, we define the corresponding elements

$$\mathbf{v} \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \otimes v_i \in (\mathbb{K}^n)^* \otimes V, \qquad \mathbf{v}^* \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes e_i \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{K}^n$$

for the basis  $\mathbf{v}$  and dual basis  $\mathbf{v}^*$ .

**Definition 8.2.11.** Let U, V, W be vector spaces with bases  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ , then we define a product

$$(U^* \otimes V) \times (V^* \otimes W) \to U^* \otimes W$$
$$(u^i \otimes v_j)(v^k \otimes w_l) \mapsto v^k v_j(u^i \otimes w_l).$$

**Theorem 8.2.12.** Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  of dimension n. Then

End 
$$V \simeq V^* \otimes V \simeq M_n(\mathbb{K})$$
.

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $\mathbf{v}$  and dual basis  $\mathbf{v}^*$  of V.

Now, if U is a vector space and  $x \in \text{End } V$ , it has a linear action on  $U^* \otimes V$  given by  $u^i \otimes v_j \mapsto u^i \otimes xv_j$ .

Now, we claim that the map  $T\mapsto \mathbf{v}^*T\mathbf{v}$  is the desired isomorphism between End V and  $V^*\otimes V$ .

Then, the map  $v^i \otimes v_j \mapsto e_{ij}$  provides the isomorphism between  $V^* \otimes V$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ .

### 8.2.4 Change of basis

**Proposition 8.2.13.** If  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are two bases of V, then

$$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbb{K}^n \otimes \mathbb{K}^n$$

encodes the change of basis matrix expressing coordinates in  ${\bf v}$  as coordinates in  ${\bf w}$ . Similarly,

$$\mathbf{w}^*\mathbf{v} \in V^* \otimes V$$

encodes the linear map  $v_i \mapsto w_i$ .

*Proof.* Define the array  $S_{ij}$  to be the numbers for which

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n S_{ij} w_j.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^i \otimes v_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w^j \otimes e_j\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w^j(v_i)(e_i \otimes e_j)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n S_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j).$$

Now, consider the map  $T \in \text{End } V$  given by  $w_i \mapsto v_i$ . Then

$$\mathbf{w}^* T \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n w^i \otimes (T \rightharpoonup w_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n w^i \otimes v_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} (w^i \otimes v_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (e^i \rightharpoonup e_j) (w^i \otimes v_j)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w^{i} \otimes e_{i}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{j} \otimes v_{j}\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{v}.$$

8.2.5 Trace

**Definition 8.2.14.** Let V be a vector space with basis  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  The **trace** tr x of an endomorphism  $x \in \text{End } V$  of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( x(v_{i}) \Big).$$

**Proposition 8.2.15.** tr  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{v}^{*} x \mathbf{v})_{ii}$ 

**Theorem 8.2.16.** The trace is a linear operator, i.e if  $x, y \in \text{End } V$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$tr(ax + by) = a tr x + b tr y.$$

Proof.

$$\operatorname{tr}(ax + by) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( (ax + by)(v_{i}) \Big)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( ax(v_{i}) + by(v_{i}) \Big)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} av^{i} \Big( x(v_{i}) \Big) + bv^{i} \Big( y(v_{i}) \Big)$$

$$= a \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( x(v_{i}) \Big) + b \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \Big( y(v_{i}) \Big)$$

$$= a \operatorname{tr} x + b \operatorname{tr} y.$$

31

**Theorem 8.2.17.** Let V be a vector space. For all  $x, y \in \text{End } V$ , tr(xy) = tr(yx).

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of V.

$$\operatorname{tr}(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \to xy \to v_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( v^{i} \to x \to v_{j} \right) \left( v^{j} \to y \to v_{i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( v^{j} \to y \to v_{i} \right) \left( v^{i} \to x \to v_{j} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{i} \to yx \to v_{i}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(yx).$$

**Theorem 8.2.18.** The trace of a linear operator  $x \in \text{End } V$  is basis invariant—its value is independent of the basis used to compute it.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  be two bases of End V. Then

$$tr(\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{w}x\mathbf{w}^*\mathbf{v}) = tr(\mathbf{w}^*\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{w}x) = tr x.$$

32