# Lie algebras

Jasper Ty

## What is this?

These are notes based on my reading of Humphreys's "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory".

## **Contents**

I	Basi	c definitions and examples	4
	I.I	Lie algebras	4
	1.2	Examples	6
		1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra	6
		1.2.2 The rest; bilinear forms	8
		1.2.3 Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra	8
		1.2.4 Type C: the symplectic algebra	9
		1.2.5 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra	IO
	1.3	Lie algebras from algebras	IO
	1.4	Derivations, the adjoint representation	12
	1.5	Abstract Lie algebras	14
2	Idea	ls and homomorphisms	15
	2.I	Ideals	15
	2.2	Homomorphisms	16
	2.3	Isomorphism theorems	17
3	Aut	omorphisms	20

4	Solv	able and nilpotent Lie algebras	2			
	4.I	The derived series, solvability	2			
	4.2	The descending central series, nilpotency	24			
	4.3	Engel's theorem	24			
5	Semisimple Lie algebras 2					
	<b>5.</b> I	Lie's theorem	27			
	5.2	Jordan-Chevalley decomposition	30			
	5.3	Cartan's criterion	3			
	5.4	Killing form	32			
	5.5	g-modules	33			
	5.6	Weyl's theorem	34			
6	The root space decomposition					
	6. <sub>I</sub>	Maximal toral subalgebras	34			
7	Roc	ot systems	34			

## Table of notation

A wildcard variable

[n] The set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ 

 $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  The set of nonnegative integers

 $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  The set of positive integers

V A generic vector space

F A generic field

 $\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  The ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ 

 $e_{ij}$  The standard basis of  $Mat_n$ 

x.v The action of x on v.

 $\delta_{ij}$  The Kronecker delta

[-]? The Iverson bracket

gI The general linear Lie algebra

51 The special linear Lie algebra

The orthogonal Lie algebra

sp The symplectic Lie algebra

t The Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices

 $\mathfrak n$  The Lie algebra of strictly upper triangular matrices

#### Basic definitions and examples I

Convention 1.0.1. All vector spaces considered are finite dimensional and no assumptions are made yet about underlying fields. We use V and  $\mathbb F$  to denote generic vector spaces and fields respectively.

We will often use . to denote action in general, so if  $v \in V$  and  $x \in \text{End } V$ , we will define

$$x.v \coloneqq x(v).$$

# Lie algebras

**Definition 1.1.1.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space equipped with a product

$$[-,-]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},$$
  
 $(x, y) \mapsto [xy].$ 

such that

(L1) [-,-] is bilinear, (L2) [xx] = 0 for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and (L3) [x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0. We refer to [xy] as the **bracket** or the **commutator** of x and y.

(L<sub>3</sub>) is referred to as the *Jacobi identity*.

As an exercise in using this definition, we show the following:

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Brackets are anticommutative, i.e.

$$[xy] = -[yx]. (L2')$$

is a relation in any Lie algebra.

*Proof.* By (L<sub>2</sub>), we have that

$$[x + y, x + y] = 0,$$

and by (L1),

$$[xx] + [xy] + [yx] + [yy] = 0.$$

By (L2) again,

$$[x\,y] + [\,yx] = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

We will look at our first example of a Lie algebra, closely associated with the **general** linear group GL(V) of invertible endomorphisms of a vector space V.

**Definition 1.1.3** (gI, abstractly). Let V be a vector space. The **general linear algebra** gI(V) is defined to be the Lie algebra with underlying vector space End V and bracket given by

$$[xy] = xy - yx$$

defined with End V's natural ring structure.

End V's aforementioned ring structure is exactly that of  $n \times n$  matrices, where  $n = \dim V$ . Then, the following definition gives us a more concrete avatar of  $\mathfrak{gI}$ , and is in a sense "the only" finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{gI}$ .

**Definition 1.1.4** ( $\mathfrak{gl}$ , concretely). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be some field and let n be a positive integer. The **general linear algebra**  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is defined

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}) \coloneqq \mathfrak{gl}(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})).$$

In this setting, we can easily compute the bracket of gI relative to its standard basis:

**Proposition 1.1.5.** Let  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=0}^n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then

$$[e_{ij}e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{li}e_{kj},$$

where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta.

Proof. Using the Iverson bracket,

$$(e_{pq})_{ij} = \left[p = i \land q = j\right]^? = \left[p = i\right]^? \left[q = j\right]^?$$

and so

$$(e_{pq}e_{rs})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (e_{pq})_{ik}(e_{rs})_{kj}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ p = i \land q = k \right]^{?} \left[ r = k \land s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \left[ q = k \right]^{?} \left[ r = k \right]^{?} \right) \left[ p = i \right]^{?} \left[ s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ q = r = k \right]^{?} \right) \left[ p = i \land s = j \right]^{?} \\ &= \delta_{qr}(e_{ps})_{ij}. \end{split}$$

So 
$$e_{pq}e_{rs} = \delta_{qr}e_{ps}$$
. Similarly,  $e_{rs}e_{pq} = \delta_{sp}e_{rq}$ .

Importantly, many Lie algebras, and in fact all the Lie algebras we are concerned with, occur as subalgebras of the general linear algebra— a **subalgebra** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak g$  that is closed under  $\mathfrak g$ 's bracket.

**Definition 1.1.6.** A linear Lie algebra is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  for some n.

All finite dimensional Lie algebras are linear, in the sense that they are isomorphic to some linear Lie algebra.

#### 1.2 Examples

We have four distinguished families of Lie algebras:

$$A_{\ell}$$
,  $B_{\ell}$ ,  $C_{\ell}$ ,  $D_{\ell}$ .

These are parameterized by a positive integer  $\ell$ , and they classify all but five of the so-called **semisimple Lie algebras**.

#### 1.2.1 Type A: the special linear algebra

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let V be a vector space with basis  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  and dual basis  $\mathbf{v}^* = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$  The **trace** tr x of an endomorphism  $x \in \text{End } V$  of V is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v^i.x.v_i.$$

In other words, it is the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix representation of x. The trace is independent of the basis used to compute it, hence it is a well defined quantity.

**Definition 1.2.2** (The type  $A_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let V have dimension  $n = \ell + 1$ . We define  $A_{\ell}$  to be the **special linear algebra**  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , the set of all **traceless** endomorphisms of V, which means

$$A_\ell \coloneqq \mathfrak{sI}(V) \coloneqq \Big\{ x \in \mathfrak{gI}(V) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0 \Big\}.$$

As is the case with  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , we also define

$$A_{\ell} \coloneqq \mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{F}) \coloneqq \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{F}) : \operatorname{tr} x = 0 \right\}$$

and will refer to them interchangeably.

This algebra is so named because of its connection with the **special linear group** SL(V), a distinguished subgroup of GL(V). Unsurprisingly,  $\mathfrak{sI}(V)$  shares a similar relationship to  $\mathfrak{gI}(V)$ .

#### **Proposition 1.2.3.** $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

*Proof.* The trace is a linear operator  $\mathrm{tr}:\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})\to\mathbb{F}$ . Since the kernel of a linear operator is a subspace of its domain, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})=\ker\operatorname{tr}$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{gl}$ .

Finally, the fact that  $\operatorname{tr}(xy-yx)=\operatorname{tr}(xy)-\operatorname{tr}(yx)=0$  for all  $x,y\in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  means that  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ 's Lie bracket is closed in  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

Lastly, we will compute the dimension of  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ . Firstly, it has to be strictly less than that of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ 's, as it is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Hence

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) < \dim \mathfrak{gl}(V) = (\ell + 1)^2.$$

So

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \le (\ell + 1)^2 - 1 = \ell(\ell + 2)$$

However, we can explicitly name  $\ell(\ell+2)$  linearly independent elements of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ :

- I. All the off-diagonal entries  $e_{ij}$  where  $i \neq j$ —there are  $(\ell+1)^2 (\ell+1) = \ell^2 + \ell$  of these.
- 2. All of the elements  $e_{ii} e_{i+1,i+1}$ , of which there are  $(\ell + 1) 1 = \ell$ .

So,

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) \ge \ell + 2 + \ell + \ell = \ell(\ell + 2).$$

And, putting it together, we have proven:

#### Proposition 1.2.4.

$$\dim A_{\ell} = \dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \ell(\ell+2).$$

#### 1.2.2 The rest; bilinear forms

Types B, C, and D are all defined with regards to certain bilinear forms.

**Definition 1.2.5.** Let V be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

A **bilinear form** is a function  $\omega: V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$  that is bilinear, i.e linear in each argument separately.

**Definition 1.2.6.** Let V be a vector space with a bilinear form  $\omega$ .

If x is an endomorphism of V, we say that x is  $\omega$ -skew if

$$\omega(x.u,v) + \omega(u,x.v) = 0$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ .

We denote the set of all  $\omega$ -skew endomorphisms of V by  $\mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V)$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V)$ , and let  $u, v \in V$ .

$$\omega([xy].u,v) + \omega(u,[xy].v)$$

$$= \omega((xy - yx).u,v) + \omega(u,(xy - yx).v)$$

$$= (\omega(xy.u,v) + \omega(u,xy.v)) - (\omega(yx.u,v) + \omega(u,yx.v))$$

$$= (\omega(xy.u,v) + \omega(u,xy.v)) - (\omega(u,xy.v) + \omega(xy.u,v))$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence  $[xy] \in \mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V)$ .

## 1.2.3 Type B: the odd-dimensional orthogonal algebra

**Definition 1.2.8.** A symmetric nondegenerate form on a vector space V is a bilinear form  $\omega: V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$  such that

- (a)  $\omega(v,u)=\omega(u,v)$ , and (b)  $\omega(v,u)=0$  for all  $v\in V$  implies that u=0.

**Definition 1.2.9** (The type  $B_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let dim  $V = 2\ell + 1$ , and let V be endowed with a symmetric nondegenerate form  $\omega$ .

We define  $B_{\ell}$  to be the **orthogonal algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ :

$$\mathsf{B}_\ell \coloneqq \mathfrak{o}(V) \coloneqq \mathfrak{o}_\omega(V).$$

#### 1.2.4 Type C: the symplectic algebra

**Definition 1.2.10.** A symplectic form on a vector space V is a function form

- (a)  $\omega$  is bilinear, (b)  $\omega(v,u) = -\omega(u,v)$ , and (c)  $\omega(v,u) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$  implies that u = 0.

**Definition 1.2.11** (The type  $C_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let dim  $V=2\ell$ , and let V be endowed with a symplectic form  $\omega$ .

We define  $C_{\ell}$  to be the **symplectic algebra**  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ :

$$C_{\ell} := \mathfrak{sp}(V) := \mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V).$$

In matrix form, we define

$$C_{\ell} := \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{F}) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{F}) : Jx + x^{\top}J = 0 \right\}$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{\ell} \\ -I_{\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{F}^{2\ell}$ .

#### 1.2.5 Type D: the even-dimensional orthogonal algebra

**Definition 1.2.12** (The type  $D_{\ell}$  Lie algebra). Let dim  $V = 2\ell + 1$ , and let V be endowed with a symmetric nondegenerate form  $\omega$ .

We define  $D_{\ell}$  to be the **orthogonal algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ :

$$\mathsf{D}_{\ell} \coloneqq \mathfrak{o}(V) \coloneqq \mathfrak{o}_{\omega}(V).$$

## 1.3 Lie algebras from algebras

**Definition 1.3.1** (Algebras over a field). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. An **algebra over**  $\mathbb{F}$ , or a  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra is a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space equipped with a bilinear product.

We will use qualifiers like *associative* and *unital* to indicate that this product is associative and has unit respectively.

Put another way, a unital associative algebra over a field is

- a vector space with a compatible ring structure, (vector space + bilinear product)
- or a ring with a compatible vector space structure. (ring + bilinear scaling map)

For example,  $\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

However, we don't in general expect algebras to have unit or to be associative—  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the cross product is neither unital nor associative. Hence, the following is clear:

**Proposition 1.3.2.** Lie algebras are algebras, with the product given by the Lie bracket.

To go along with this definition, we have notion of a homomorphism of algebras.

**Definition 1.3.3.** An algebra homomorphism  $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  between two algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a vector space homomorphism that respects the product, i.e

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ 

We say that an algebra homomorphism is an **algebra isomorphism** if it is also a vector space isomorphism.

For example, the determinant is an algebra homomorphism from  $\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras can be turned into Lie algebras by defining the bracket [xy] := xy - yx.

**Definition 1.3.4.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb F$ -algebra. Then  $\operatorname{Lie}[\mathscr A]$  is defined to be the Lie algebra whose underlying vector space is  $\mathscr A$  and whose bracket is given by

$$[xy] \coloneqq xy - yx$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We can check the following nice fact:

**Proposition 1.3.5.** Let  $\mathscr A$  and  $\mathscr B$  be two  $\mathbb F$ -algebras, and let  $\phi:\mathscr A\to\mathscr B$  be an algebra homomorphism.

Then  $\phi$  is also a *Lie algebra homomorphism* (see Definition 2.2.1) between  $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{A}]$  and  $\text{Lie}[\mathcal{B}]$ .

Proof.

$$\phi([xy]) = \phi(xy - yx)$$

$$= \phi(xy) - \phi(yx)$$

$$= \phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x)$$

$$= [\phi(x)\phi(y)].$$

Hence Lie[-] is actually *functorial*, with mapping of arrows given by the identity map.

What happens when we consider Lie[ $\mathfrak{g}$ ], where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *already* a Lie algebra? Let the new bracket of Lie[ $\mathfrak{g}$ ] be denoted by [-,-]. Then

$$[xy] = [xy] - [yx] = [xy] + [xy] = 2[xy]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Then  $[\![-,-]\!]=2[-,-]$ . This fact actually characterizes Lie algebras.

**Proposition 1.3.6.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb F$ -algebra with product \*. If Lie[ $\mathscr A$ ] has product 2\*, then  $\mathscr A$  is a Lie algebra with bracket given by  $[x\,y] = x*y$ .

*Proof.* The product is bilinear by definition, so we have (L1). Next, we check (L2):

$$x * x = \frac{2(x * x)}{2} = \frac{[xx]}{2} = 0.$$

And finally, in the exact same way, we check the Jacobi identity, (L<sub>3</sub>):

$$x*(y*z) + y*(z*x) + z*(x*y) = \frac{[x[yz]] + [y[xz]] + [z[xy]]}{4} = 0.$$

## 1.4 Derivations, the adjoint representation

**Definition 1.4.1.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb F$ -algebra. A **derivation** of  $\mathscr A$  is a linear map  $d: \mathscr A \to \mathscr A$  which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$d(xy) = x(dy) + (dx)y.$$

The collection of all derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted Der  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Derivations play nicely with the vector space structure of End  $\mathscr{A}$  as well as with the bracket inherited from  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathscr{A})$ .

**Proposition 1.4.2.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be a  $\mathbb F$ -algebra. Then Der  $\mathscr A$  is a subspace of End  $\mathscr A$ . Moreover, it is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathscr A)$ 

*Proof.* If d and d' are two derivations, then

$$(ad + bd')(xy) = (ad)(xy) + (bd')(xy)$$

$$= x(ady) + (adx)y + x(bd'y) + (bd'x)y$$

$$= x(ady + bd'y) + (adx + bd'x)y$$

$$= x(ad + bd')(y) + (ad + bd')(x)y.$$

Hence  $ad + bd' \in Der \mathcal{A}$ , so  $Der \mathcal{A}$  is a subspace of End  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,

$$[dd'](xy) = (dd' - d'd)(xy)$$

$$= (dd')(xy) - (d'd)(xy)$$

$$= d(x(d'y) + (d'x)y) - d'(x(dy) + (dx)y)$$

$$= d(x(d'y)) + d((d'x)y) - d'(x(dy)) - d'((dx)y)$$

$$= xdd'y + dxd'y + d'xdy + dd'xy - xd'dy - d'xdy - dxd'y - d'dxy$$

$$= x dd' y + dd' x y - x d' dy - d' dx y$$

$$= x (dd' y - d' dy) + (dd' x - d' dx) y$$

$$= x ((dd' - d' d) y) + ((dd' - d' d) x) y$$

$$= x ([dd'] y) + ([dd'] x) y.$$

So Der  $\mathscr{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathscr{A})$ .

We have a special representation of *any* Lie algebra, which is given by its action on itself.

#### **Definition 1.4.3.** The **adjoint representation** of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the mapping

$$ad_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$$
  
 $x \mapsto ad_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ 

where  $ad_g x$  is defined to be the linear map

$$ad_{\mathfrak{g}} x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$
  
 $\gamma \mapsto [x \gamma].$ 

We will write ad x for  $ad_g x$  unless there is any ambiguity. As a set, we define  $ad g := ad_g(g) \subseteq gI(g)$ .

#### **Proposition 1.4.4.** ad x is a derivation.

*Proof.* We start with the Jacobi identity (L<sub>3</sub>)

$$[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0,$$

which, using the anticommutation relations [y[zx]] = -[y[xz]] and [z[xy]] = -[[xy]z], is equivalent to

$$[x[yz]] = [y[xz]] + [[xy]z].$$

But this is saying that

$$ad x.[yz] = [y, ad x.z] + [ad x.y, z]$$

which is exactly the defining identity for derivations.

#### Abstract Lie algebras

**Definition 1.5.1.** Let g be a Lie algebra, and fix some basis  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  of g. We define g's structure constants  $a_{ij}^k$  relative to this basis to be the basis coefficients of the Lie brackets of basis elements— the numbers such that

$$[x_i x_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k.$$

**Definition 1.5.2.** An abelian Lie algebra g is a Lie algebra with trivial bracket— [x y] = 0 for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 1.5.3.** Let V be a vector space with basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , and let  $a_{ij}^k$  be an array of structure coefficients. Then, the bracket defined by  $a_{ij}^k$  gives V a Lie algebra structure if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{ii}^{k} = 0 \\ a_{ij}^{k} + a_{ji}^{k} = 0 \\ \sum_{k} a_{ij}^{k} a_{kl}^{m} + a_{jl}^{k} a_{ki}^{m} + a_{l}^{k} a_{kij}^{m} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any values of i, j, k, l, m.

We will classify all the Lie algebras of dimensions 1 and 2.

**Proposition 1.5.4.** There are only two Lie algebras of dimension two up to iso-

- (a) The abelian two-dimensional Lie algebra, (b) and the Lie algebra with basis (x, y) and product [x, y] = x.

*Proof.* If **g** is nonabelian, then [x y] = ax + by, where at least one of a, b is nonzero. Without loss of generality, let a be nonzero. Then

$$[[xy]y] = [ax + by, y] = a[xy].$$

Now put u = [x y] and  $v = a^{-1} y$ . Then

$$[uv] = [[xy], (a^{-1}y)] = [xy] = u.$$

# 2 Ideals and homomorphisms

#### 2.1 Ideals

**Definition 2.1.1.** A subspace i of a Lie algebra g is called an **ideal** of g if  $[xy] \in i$  for all  $x \in g$  and  $y \in i$ .

**Convention 2.1.2.** In accordance with group theoretic notation, we write  $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$  whenever  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$  whenever  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The **sum** and the **bracket** of the ideals  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$  are defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} := \left\{ x + y : x \in \mathbf{i}, y \in \mathbf{j} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{i} [x_{i}y_{i}] : c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}, x_{i} \in \mathbf{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbf{j} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.3.** If  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are ideals of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then so are  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  and  $[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$ .

*Proof.* These are all easy to show.

 $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})$  Let  $a + b \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$[g, a+b] = \underbrace{[ga]}_{\in \mathfrak{a}} + \underbrace{[gb]}_{\in \mathfrak{h}}$$

So  $[g, a + b] \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ .

- $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  Let  $x \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We have that  $[gx] \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $[gx] \in \mathfrak{b}$  since  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{b}$  respectively. So  $[gx] \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ .
- ([ $\mathfrak{ab}$ ]) Let  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ , and  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We have that [ab]  $\in [\mathfrak{ab}]$ , and by the Jacobi identity,

$$[g[ab]] = [a[gb]] + [[ga]b],$$

hence  $[g[ab]] \in [ab]$ . Linearity extends this to the general case.

As a nice consequence, we have effectively shown the following:

**Proposition 2.1.4.** Ideals of a Lie algebra form a lattice, with order given by containment and whose join and meet correspond to sums and intersections of ideals respectively.

*Proof.* Ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By the previous theorem, it's clear that the set of ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are a *sublattice* of the set of subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 2.1.5.** The **quotient of a Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{g}$  by an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$ , denoted  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , is defined to be the quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a vector space by  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a subspace, equipped with the product

$$[x + i, y + i] := [xy] + i.$$

**Proposition 2.1.6.**  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is a Lie algebra.

*Proof.* These are all easy to check.

$$[ax + by + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}] = ([ax + by, z]) + \mathbf{i}$$

$$= (a[x, z] + b[y, z]) + \mathbf{i}$$

$$= (a[x, z] + \mathbf{i}) + (b[y, z] + \mathbf{i})$$

$$= a[x + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}] + b[y + \mathbf{i}, z + \mathbf{i}].$$

$$[x + \mathbf{i}, x + \mathbf{i}] = [xx] + \mathbf{i} = 0 + \mathbf{i}$$

## 2.2 Homomorphisms

There is a natural definition of a Lie algebra homomorphism—it's a map that respects brackets.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak g$  and  $\mathfrak h$  be two Lie algebras. We say that a map  $\phi:\mathfrak g\to\mathfrak h$  is a **Lie algebra homomorphism** if it is a linear map for which

$$\phi\Big([xy]\Big) = [\phi(x)\phi(y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . A **Lie algebra isomorphism** is a Lie algebra homomorphism that is also an isomorphism of vector spaces.

**Definition 2.2.2.** A **representation** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  where V is some vector space.

## 2.3 Isomorphism theorems

**Theorem 2.3.1** (Lie algebra isomorphism theorems). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be Lie algebras.

(a) If  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$  is a homomorphism, then  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi$ . If  $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \ker \phi$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \to \mathfrak{h}$  that makes the following diagram commute:



(b) If  $\mathfrak a$  and  $\mathfrak b$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak g$  such that  $\mathfrak b\subseteq \mathfrak a$ , then  $\mathfrak a/\mathfrak b$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak b$  and there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$$
.

(c) If  $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{g},$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} \simeq \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

Proof. (a) The map

$$\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \to \operatorname{im} \phi$$
  
 $x + \ker \phi \mapsto \phi(x)$ 

is the desired isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi$ . We verify that it is well defined: let  $x + \ker \phi = x' + \ker \phi$ . Then there exists  $k, k' \in \ker \phi$  such that x + k = x' + k', and we have that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x+k) = \phi(x+k') = \phi(x'),$$

so  $\overline{\phi}$  is a well-defined function on the cosets in  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker \phi$ .

Next, we check that it respects brackets:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\phi}\Big(\big[x+\ker\phi,\,y+\ker\phi\big]\Big) &= \overline{\phi}\Big(\big[x\,y\big]+\ker\phi\Big) \\ &= \phi\Big(\big[x\,y\big]\Big) \\ &= \big[\phi(x)\phi(y)\big] \\ &= \big[\overline{\phi}\Big(x+\ker\phi\Big),\overline{\phi}\Big(y+\ker\phi\Big)\big]. \end{split}$$

Then, it is a homomorphism. To show that it is an isomorphism, we note that it has a trival kernel, trivially:

$$\ker \overline{\phi} = \{x + \ker \phi : x + \ker \phi = \ker \phi\} = \{0 + \ker \phi\}.$$

Now, let i be an ideal of g contained in ker  $\phi$ . We define in a similar way

$$\overline{\phi}: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \to \operatorname{im} \phi$$
  
 $x + \mathfrak{i} \mapsto \phi(x),$ 

and via a similar argument as above, this map is well-defined. It is moreover clear that  $\overline{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$  and that it is the only such homomorphism that has these properties.

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . We define the map

$$\phi: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$$
$$x + \mathfrak{b} \mapsto x + \mathfrak{a}.$$

This map is surjective. The kernel of this map is all the cosets  $a + \mathfrak{b}$ , namely the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ . Then, by (a),

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})/\ker \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}.$$

(c) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define the map

$$\phi: \mathfrak{a} \to (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b})$$
  
 $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{b}.$ 

This map is surjective, as, if  $(a + b) + b \in (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/(\mathfrak{b})$ , then

$$\phi(a) = a + b = a + (b + b) = (a + b) + b.$$

Moreover, since

$$\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$$

we have that, by (a) again,

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} = \operatorname{im} \phi \simeq \mathfrak{a}/\ker \phi = \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}).$$

We have a useful theorem, usually considered a consequence of the third isomorphism theorem, which is important enough to state on its own:

**Theorem 2.3.2** (Correspondence theorem). Let  $\mathfrak{i} \, \trianglelefteq \, \mathfrak{g}$ . Then there is an order isomorphism

subalgebras of 
$$\mathfrak g$$
 containing  $\mathfrak i \leftrightarrow$  subalgebras of  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i$  
$$\mathfrak h \leftrightarrow \mathfrak h/\mathfrak i.$$

*Proof.* Similarly to Proposition 2.3.2, this is true on the level of a Lie algebra's vector space structure.

The maps

$$\mathfrak{h} \mapsto \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{i}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}\mapsto\bigcup_{b\in\mathfrak{h}}(b+\mathfrak{i})$$

are mutual inverses. Suppose  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Then Hence, it proves the bijection. TODO: details!

**Theorem 2.3.3.** The adjoint representation ad :  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* ad is evidently linear. Next, we just check that it is a homomorphism:

$$[\operatorname{ad} x, \operatorname{ad} y].z = \left(\operatorname{ad} x \operatorname{ad} y - \operatorname{ad} y \operatorname{ad} x\right).z$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{ad} x \operatorname{ad} y.z\right) - \left(\operatorname{ad} y \operatorname{ad} x.z\right)$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{ad} x.[yz]\right) - \left(\operatorname{ad} y.[xz]\right)$$

$$= [x[yz]] - [y[xz]]$$

$$= [x[yz]] + [y[zx]]$$
$$= [[xy]z]$$
$$= ad[xy].z.$$

Corollary 2.3.4. Any simple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a linear Lie algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We have that

$$\ker\operatorname{ad} = \Big\{x\in\mathfrak{g}:\operatorname{ad} x=0\Big\} = \Big\{x\in\mathfrak{g}:[xy]=0\text{ for all }y\in\mathfrak{g}\Big\} = Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence, if  $\mathfrak g$  is simple, i.e if  $Z(\mathfrak g)=0$ , then ad has a trivial kernel, so it is an isomorphism.

# 3 Automorphisms

**Definition 3.0.1.** A **automorphism** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 3.0.2.** Let V be a vector space and let  $g \in GL(V)$ . Then the map

$$x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

*Proof.* The aforementioned map is a vector space isomorphism, with explicit inverse

$$x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$$

and it is a homomorphism, as

$$g[xy]g^{-1} = g(xy - yx)g^{-1}$$

$$= (gxyg^{-1}) - (gyxg^{-1})$$

$$= (gxg^{-1}gyg^{-1}) - (gyg^{-1}gxg^{-1})$$

$$= [gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1}].$$

20

# 4 Solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras

## 4.1 The derived series, solvability

**Definition 4.1.1.** The **derived series** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a sequence of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \ldots$  defined

$$\begin{cases} g^{(0)} \coloneqq g \\ g^{(i)} \coloneqq [g^{(i-1)}g^{(i-1)}] \end{cases}.$$

In other words,  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$  is all those elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which can be written as linear combinations of i "full binary trees" of brackets in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 4.1.2.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be **solvable** if  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$  for some n.

For example, abelian Lie algebras are solvable, whereas simple Lie algebras are never solvable.

In group theory, solvable groups are precisely those which can be constructed with abelian extensions— solvable Lie algebras are analogous.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable if and only if there exists a filtration of ideals

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \rhd \mathfrak{g}_1, \rhd \cdots \rhd \mathfrak{g}_{k-1} \rhd \mathfrak{g}_k = \{0\}$$

such that  $g_i/g_{i+1}$  is abelian.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{h}/[\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{h}]$  is *always* abelian for *any* Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , it's clear that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable it suffices to take its derived series as the filtration, as

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}/\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)}=\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}\Big/[\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}].$$

On the flip side, if we have such a descending sequence of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_k$ , it must be that  $[\mathfrak{g}_i \mathfrak{g}_i] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ . Let  $[xy] \in [\mathfrak{g}_i \mathfrak{g}_i]$ . Then

$$[xy]+\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}=[x+\mathfrak{g}_i,\,y+\mathfrak{g}_i]=\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}.$$

Then, by an easy induction  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_i$ , which proves that the derived series terminates, since  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  does.

**Proposition 4.1.4.** The Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices  $\mathfrak{t}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is solvable.

*Proof.* We use the following definition of an upper triangular matrix:

$$(a_{ij})$$
 is upper triangular  $\iff a_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i < 0$ .

Let  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(b_{ij})$  be two upper triangular matrices, and let j - i < 1, then

$$(ab - ba)_{ij} = (ab)_{ij} - (ba)_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} 0 \cdot b_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ik} \cdot 0\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} a_{ik}b_{kj} - \sum_{k=i}^{j} b_{ik}a_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j} (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj})$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i \\ a_{jj}b_{jj} - b_{jj}a_{jj} & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence, (ab - ba) is *strictly* upper triangular, so  $[ab] \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{t}] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ . Now suppose that, for some  $l \geq 0$ ,

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < m.$$

Then, we can do a similar, in fact easier calculation to show that if  $(a_{ij}), (b_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(m)}$ 

and j - i < 2m.

$$(ab - ba)_{ij} = \sum_{k=i+m}^{j-m} (a_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ik}a_{kj}) = 0.$$

Hence, we have shown that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l+1)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2m.$$

Combined with our initial conditions, we have shown in general that

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(l)} \implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i < 2^l.$$

Clearly, if l is large enough,  $(a_{ij})$  is forced to be the zero matrix. Hence  $\mathfrak n$  is solvable, as  $\mathfrak{n}^{(l)}=0$  for some positive integer l. Then t is also solvable, as  $t^{(l+1)}\subseteq\mathfrak{n}^{(l)}=0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.

- (a) If  $\mathfrak g$  is solvable, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of  $\mathfrak g$ . (b) If  $\mathfrak i$  is a solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak g$  such that  $\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i$  is also solvable, then  $\mathfrak g$  is solvable. (c) If  $\mathfrak i$ ,  $\mathfrak j$  are solvable ideals of  $\mathfrak g$ , then so is  $\mathfrak i+\mathfrak j$ .

*Proof.* The first statement of (a) follows if we show that

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i)}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$$

for any subalgebra  $\mathfrak h$  of  $\mathfrak g$ — this is an easy induction. Similarly, the second statement of (a) follows from

$$(\phi \mathfrak{g})^{(i)} = \phi \Big( \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \Big)$$

for any homomorphism  $\phi$ . This is another easy induction.

For (b), we stack together g/i and i's solvability—the former being solvable means that  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$  for large enough n, but that means that  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{t}$ , for which  $\mathbf{i}^{(m)} = 0$  for large enough m, so we can "push in"  $\mathfrak{g}$  further, namely

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(n+m)} = \left(\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}\right)^{(m)} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}^{(m)} = 0.$$

The solvability of a Lie algebra measures how "structured" its nonabelianness is.

**Definition 4.1.6.** The **radical** rad  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined to be the maximal solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 4.1.7.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be **semisimple** if rad  $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ .

## 4.2 The descending central series, nilpotency

**Definition 4.2.1.** The **descending central series** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a sequence of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \ldots$  defined to be

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^0 \coloneqq \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathfrak{g}^i \coloneqq [\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}^{i-1}] \end{cases}.$$

**Definition 4.2.2.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be **nilpotent** if  $\mathfrak{g}^n = 0$  for some n.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** All nilpotent Lie algebras are solvable.

**Definition 4.2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We say that  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is **ad-nilpotent** if  $(\operatorname{ad} x)^n = 0$  for some n.

**Theorem 4.2.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.

- (a) If g is nilpotent, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of g.
- (b) If  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$  is nilpotent, then so is  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- (c) If  $\mathfrak g$  is nilpotent and nonzero, then  $Z(\mathfrak g)$  is nonzero.

#### 4.3 Engel's theorem

We will prove **Engel's theorem**.

**Theorem 4.3.1** (Engel). Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a Lie algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent.
- (ii) All the elments of  ${\mathfrak g}$  are ad-nilpotent.

We will prove the following equivalent theorem:

**Theorem 4.3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , where V has positive dimension. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  consists only of nilpotent transformations, then there exists a nonzero vector  $v \in V$  so that  $\mathfrak{g}.v = 0$ .

*Proof.* We induct on dim g.

The dim  $\mathfrak{g} = 0$  case is trivial— $\mathfrak{g}$  will only contain the zero transformation.

The dim  $\mathfrak{g}=1$  case is also easy. Let  $x\in\mathfrak{g}$  be nonzero and nilpotent. Then we can find a nonzero vector  $v\in V$  so that x.v=0, and so  $\mathfrak{g}.v=\mathbb{F}x.v=0$ .

Now supppose  $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 1$ . The induction step is very algebraic, so we will break it down

#### Step 1 Locate an ideal h of codimension one.

We will do this by demonstrating that subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are *not* self-normalizing, which will allow us to produce a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{h}$  of codimension one.

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of positive dimension. Then,

$$\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} := \left\{ \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(x+\mathfrak{h}) : x \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

is a Lie algebra— it is the homomorphic image of  $\mathfrak g$  under the composition

$$g \xrightarrow{\pi} g/h \xrightarrow{ad} adg/h$$
.

Moreover,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} > \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},$$

as  $\mathfrak{h}$  has positive dimension. By the inductive hypothesis, we may find a nonzero vector  $x + \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  such that

ad 
$$g/h.(x + h) = 0 + h = h$$
.

This means that

$$[bx] + \mathfrak{h} = [b + \mathfrak{h}, x + \mathfrak{h}]$$

$$= ad_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(b + \mathfrak{h}).(x + \mathfrak{h})$$

$$= \mathfrak{h}$$

for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $x \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

But  $x + \mathfrak{h}$  being nonzero in  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  means exactly that  $x \notin \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ . We will use this fact to produce a nontrivial maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We are always able to find a proper subalgebra of positive dimension— choose the span of any single element in  $\mathfrak g$ . Then, there must exist maximal proper subalgebras. Let  $\mathfrak h$  be maximal now. Then we have that  $N_{\mathfrak g}(\mathfrak h)=\mathfrak g$ , as otherwise  $N_{\mathfrak g}(\mathfrak h)$  is a larger proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak g$ .

Hence,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a proper ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  must contain a one-dimensional subalgebra. By Theorem 2.3.2, this one-dimensional subalgebra has the form  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{h}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \lhd \mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ . Now, it must be that  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ , as otherwise  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is one-dimensional. This shows that  $\mathfrak{h}$  has codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Now, consider the subspace  $W = \{v \in V : \mathfrak{h}.v = 0\}$  of V. Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  stabilizes W— for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and  $w \in W$ , we have that

$$h.g.w = hg.w$$

$$= (gh - [gh]).w$$

$$= (g.\underbrace{h.w}_{=0}) + (\underbrace{[hg]}_{\in \mathfrak{h}}.w)$$

$$= (g.0) + 0$$

$$= 0,$$

hence  $\mathfrak{g}.W \subseteq W$ .

Then, if we pick  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  and restrict it to W, we have a nilpotent endomorphism of W, hence g has an eigenvector v in W.

Then, 
$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{F}g).v = 0$$
, completing the theorem.

Now, we can prove Engel's theorem:

*Proof of Engel's theorem.* As before, the dim  $\mathfrak{g}=0$  and dim  $\mathfrak{g}=1$  cases are trivial. So, we induct on dim  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  ${\mathfrak g}$  be a Lie algebra whose elements are all ad-nilpotent.

Then ad  $\mathfrak g$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak g\mathfrak l(\mathfrak g)$  consisting of nilpotent transformations, hence there exists a nonzero vector  $x \in \mathfrak g$  such that ad  $\mathfrak g.x = 0$ .

But, from the definition of ad, this means that  $[\mathfrak{g}x] = 0$ , hence  $x \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ , so  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  has positive dimension, and dim  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ .

Now, we want to show that  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$  consists of ad-nilpotent elements. This follows from the observation that

$$\operatorname{ad}\Big(x+Z(\mathfrak{g})\Big).\Big(y+Z(\mathfrak{g})\Big)=\big[x+Z(\mathfrak{g}),\,y+Z(\mathfrak{g})\big]$$

$$= [xy] + Z(g)$$
$$= (ad x. y) + Z(g),$$

hence it easily follows that ad  $(x + Z(\mathfrak{g}))$  is nilpotent given that ad x is nilpotent.

Then, by the induction hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

By Theorem,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra, completing the proof.

**Corollary 4.3.3.** If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , then there exists a flag in V such that  $\mathfrak{g}.V_i \subseteq V_{i-1}$  for all i.

Namely, there exists a basis of V for which all the matrices of  $\mathfrak g$  are strictly upper triangular.

Proof.

# 5 Semisimple Lie algebras

**Convention 5.0.1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

#### 5.1 Lie's theorem

Similar to Engel's theorem, which concerned *nilpotent* Lie algebras, we have **Lie's theorem**, which concerns *solvable* Lie algebras.

**Theorem 5.1.1** (Lie's theorem). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  stabilizes some flag in V.

In other words, relative to some basis of V, the matrix representation of all elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are upper triangular.

Again, we will prove it by proving an equivalent formulation in terms of the existence of a common eigenvector.

**Theorem 5.1.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then there exists  $v \in V$  that is an eigenvector for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

In other words, there exists a linear functional  $\lambda:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{F}$  such that

$$x.v = \lambda(x)v$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* We will use a similar strategy as with the proof of Engel's theorem.

П

#### Step 1 LOCATE AN IDEAL h OF CODIMENSION ONE.

Since g is solvable, [gg] < g, and so g/[gg] has positive dimension.

Combined with the fact it is abelian, it then has an *ideal*  $\mathfrak{h}/[\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}] \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}]$  of codimension one, which, by the correspondence theorem (2.3.2), gives us an ideal  $\mathfrak{h} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  of codimension one.

#### **Step 2** Use induction to find a common eigenvector for h.

Suppose that the theorem were true for all  $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  such that dim  $\mathfrak{h} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ .

Then there exists a linear functional  $\lambda:\mathfrak{h}\to\mathbb{F}$  such that

$$x.v = \lambda(x)v.$$

Now, define the amalgamation of eigenspaces

$$W = \Big\{ w \in V : x.w = \lambda(x)w \Big\}.$$

#### Step 3 Prove that $\mathfrak g$ leaves W invariant.

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $w \in W$ . Then if  $x.w \in W$ , that means that for all  $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ 

$$y.x.w = \lambda(y)(x.w) = \lambda(y)x.w.$$

But also,

$$y.x.w = yx.w$$

$$= (xy - [xy]).w$$

$$= (xy.w) - ([xy].w)$$

$$= (x.\lambda(y)w) - \lambda([xy])w$$

$$= (\lambda(y)x.w) - \lambda([xy])w$$

Hence

$$\lambda(y)x.w = (\lambda(y)x.w) - \lambda([xy])w,$$

so it must be that  $\lambda([xy]) = 0$  if  $x.w \in W$ . We will show this directly. Let  $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Define

$$W_i := \operatorname{span}\{w, x.w, \dots, x^{i-1}.w\},\$$

and let n be the smallest integer for which  $W_n = W_{n+1}$ . We would like to show the following

 $zw^i.x \equiv_{W_i} \lambda(z)(w^i.x),$ 

which allows us to immediately conclude that the matrix representation of z acting on  $W_n$  is upper triangular, with diagonal entries  $\lambda(z)$ .

Hence,  $\operatorname{tr}_{W_n}(z) = n\lambda(z)$ .

Now, put z = [x y], we immediately see that

$$\operatorname{tr}_{W_n}\left([xy]\right) = n\lambda([xy]).$$

However,

$$[xy]\Big|_{W_n} = [x|_{W_n}, y|_{W_n}],$$

as x and y both stabilize  $W_n$ , hence

$$\operatorname{tr}_{W_n}\left([x\,y]\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left([x|_{W_n},\,y|_{W_n}]\right) = 0,$$

being the commutator of two elements of  $\mathfrak{gl}(W_n)$ .

Hence

$$n\lambda\Big([xy]\Big)=0,$$

which, because char  $\mathbb{F} = 0$ , implies that  $\lambda([xy]) = 0$ .

Hence y stabilizes W.

# Step 4 $\,$ Find an eigenvector in W for an endomorphism in $\mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{h}$

Now, write  $g = h + \mathbb{F}z$  for some  $z \in g$ .

Since z stabilizes W, and since  $\mathbb F$  is algebraically closed, it has an eigenvector  $v_0$  in W.

But, by definition of W,  $v_0$  is also an eigenvector for all endomorphisms in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence we conclude that  $v_0$  is a common eigenvector for all endomorphisms in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now, we can carry out the proof of Lie's theorem.

*Proof of Lie's theorem.* If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable, then it stabilizes the subspace  $\mathbb{F}v$ , where v is the eigenvector produced by Theorem (5.1.2).

Then, consider the orthogonal subspace

#### Jordan-Chevalley decomposition

We say that an endomorphism  $x \in \text{End } V$  where V is a vector space over an algebraically closed field is **semisimple** if all of its eigenvalues are distinct.

**Theorem 5.2.1** (Jordan-Chevalley decomposition). Let  $x \in \text{End } V$ . Then there exist unique  $x_s, x_n \in \text{End } V$  such that

- (a) x = x<sub>s</sub> + x<sub>n</sub>,
  (b) x<sub>s</sub> is semismple and x<sub>n</sub> is nilpotent.
  (c) x<sub>s</sub> and x<sub>n</sub> are polynomials in x.

*Proof.* We will explicitly construct  $x_s$  as a certain polynomial in x.

#### **Step 1** Break up V into x's generalized eigenspaces.

Let

$$p_x(t) = \prod_{i=1}^k (t - a_i)^{m_i}$$

be the characteristic polynomial of x.

Define the generalized eigenspace

$$V_i := \ker(x - a_i 1)^{m_i}$$

for each  $a_i$ . Then

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$
.

Since x stabilizes each  $V_i$ , we can consider the endomorphism  $x_i \in \text{End } V_i$  defined by restricting x to  $V_i$ . Then, clearly the characteristic polynomial of  $x_i$ 

$$p_i(t) \coloneqq p_{x_i}(t) = (t - a_i)^{m_i}.$$

#### Step 2 Use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to create an operator that IS DIAGONAL ON EACH EIGENSPACE.

The ideals generated by each  $p_i(t)$  is coprime, as the maximal ideals  $\langle t - a_i \rangle$  and  $\langle t - a_j \rangle$  are coprime, hence  $\langle (t - a_i)^{m_i} \rangle$  and  $\langle (t - a_j)^{m_j} \rangle$  are coprime.

Now, use the Chinese remainder theorem to locate a polynomial  $p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ that satisfies the congruences

$$p(t) \equiv a_i \mod p_i(t), \qquad p(t) \equiv 0 \mod t.$$

We will show that p(x) is semisimple, so put  $x_s := p(x)$ .

For all i,  $t - a_i$  annihilates  $x_s$  restricted to  $V_i$ :

$$(x_s - a_i)|_{V_i} = p(x_i) - a_i = [a_i + h(x_i)p_i(x_i)] - a_i = a_i - a_i = 0.$$

where we have used the fact that  $p(t) \equiv a_i \mod p_i(t)$  to write  $p(x_i) = a_i +$  $h(x_i) p_i(x_i)$  and that  $p_i(x_i) = 0$ .

## 5.3 Cartan's criterion

**Theorem 5.3.1** (Cartan's criterion). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . The following are equivalent

- (a)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable. (b) tr(xy) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $y \in [\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}]$ .

*Proof.* We will use Engel's theorem to demonstrate the nilpotence of the derived algebra [gg].

#### Step 1

Put x = s + n, where s is semisimple and n is nilpotent.

Fix a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  for which s has the matrix form diag $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ . Let E be the vector subspace of F (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) spanned by the eigenvalues  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ .

To show that x is nilpotent, we need to show that s = 0, and so we need to show that  $E = \{0\}$ , and so we need to show that the dual space (with the fundamental pairing)  $E^* = \{0\}.$ 

Let  $f: E \to \mathbb{Q}$  be an element of  $E^*$ , then consider  $y \in E$  such that the matrix of  $\gamma$  is diag  $(f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_m))$ . It is easy to compute the adjoint action of semisimple elements:

ad 
$$s(e_{ij}) = (a_i - a_j)e_{ij}$$
, ad  $y(e_{ij}) = (f(a_i) - f(a_j))e_{ij}$ .

Now, let  $r(T) \in F[T]$  be a polynomial such that  $r(a_i - a_j) = f(a_i) - f(a_j)$  for all pairs i, j. The existence of r(T) is given by Lagrange interpolation.

Now ad s is the semisimple part of ad x. ad s

31

## 5.4 Killing form

**Definition 5.4.1.** The **Killing form** of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the bilinear form defined by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} x, \operatorname{ad} y).$$

**Proposition 5.4.2.** A finite dimensional Lie algebra is semisimple if and only if its Killing form is nondegenerate.

Proof.

**Theorem 5.4.3.** The Killing form on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto 2n \cdot \operatorname{tr}(xy) - 2\operatorname{tr}(x)\operatorname{tr}(y).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , and put  $x = (x_{ij}), y = (y_{ij})$ . Then, by expanding the definition of matrix multiplication, we can see that

$$[xy]_{ij} = x_{ik}y_{kj} - y_{i\ell}x_{\ell j},$$

where here we are using the Einstein summation convention.

We can manipulate the right hand side as follows

$$x_{ik} \underbrace{y_{kj}}_{=\delta_{\ell_j} y_{k\ell}} - \underbrace{y_{i\ell}}_{=\delta_{ik} y_{k\ell}} x_{\ell_j}$$

$$= x_{ik} (\delta_{\ell_j} y_{k\ell}) - (\delta_{ik} y_{k\ell}) x_{\ell_j}$$

$$= y_{k\ell} \Big( x_{ik} \delta_{\ell_j} - \delta_{ik} x_{\ell_j} \Big).$$

Now define  $\hat{x}_{ij}^{k\ell} \coloneqq x_{ik} \delta_{\ell j} - \delta_{ik} x_{\ell j}$ . Then we have shown that  $\hat{x}_{ij}^{k\ell} y_{k\ell} = [xy]_{ij}$ , which is namely the fact  $\hat{x}_{ij}^{k\ell}$  is the matrix representation of ad x relative to the standard basis  $e_{ij}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

We now wish to know the value of  $tr(\hat{x}\hat{y})$ . This is given by the contraction

$$\hat{x}_{ij}^{k\ell}\,\hat{y}_{k\ell}^{ij},$$

which we easily compute:

$$\hat{x}_{ij}^{k\ell}\,\hat{y}_{k\ell}^{ij}$$

$$= \left(x_{ik}\delta_{\ell j} - \delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{j\ell} - \delta_{ki}y_{j\ell}\right)$$

$$= \left(x_{ik}\delta_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{j\ell}\right) + \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(\delta_{ki}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(x_{ik}\delta_{\ell j}\right)\left(\delta_{ki}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{j\ell}\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\delta_{j\ell}\delta_{\ell j}\right)x_{ik}y_{ki}}_{=\delta_{jj}=n} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=tr(xy)} - \underbrace{\left(x_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(x_{\ell j}\delta_{j\ell}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(x_{\ell j}\delta_{j\ell}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} + \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{ki}\right)x_{j\ell}y_{\ell j}}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{j\ell}\right) - \left(\delta_{ik}x_{\ell j}\right)\left(y_{ki}\delta_{ik}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{\ell j}\right) - \left(\delta_{\ell j}x_{\ell j}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx} - \underbrace{\left(\delta_{\ell j}y_{\ell j}\right) - \left(\delta_{\ell j}x_{\ell j}\right)}_{=x_{ii}=trx$$

#### 5.5 g-modules

**Definition 5.5.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is a vector space V equipped with a scaling map

$$-.-: \mathfrak{g} \times V \to V$$
$$(x, v) \mapsto x.v$$

which satisfies the following axioms:

(M<sub>I</sub>) 
$$(ax + by).v = ax.v + by.v$$
,

which satisfies the following axioms:  
(M<sub>1</sub>) 
$$(ax + by).v = ax.v + by.v$$
,  
(M<sub>2</sub>)  $x.(av + bw) = ax.v + bx.w$ ,  
(M<sub>3</sub>)  $[xy].v = x.y.v - y.x.v$ .

(M<sub>3</sub>) 
$$[xy].v = x.y.v - y.x.v.$$

**Proposition 5.5.2.** g-modules are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Let V be a vector space, and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We will demonstrate a correspondence between  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structures on V and representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Define a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V by

$$x.v \coloneqq \phi(x).v.$$

Then, (MI) and (M2) follow easily from the fact that  $\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

Then, the fact that  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism shows (M<sub>3</sub>), as

$$[xy].v = \phi([xy]).v$$

$$= [\phi(x)\phi(y)].v$$

$$= (\phi(x)\phi(y) - \phi(y)\phi(x)).v$$

$$= (\phi(x).\phi(y).v) - (\phi(y).\phi(x).v)$$

$$= x.y.v - y.x.v.$$

Conversely, suppose that V has a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure. Then for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  we can define  $\phi(x) \in \operatorname{End} V$  by

$$\phi(x).v \coloneqq x.v.$$

**Theorem 5.5.3** (Schur's lemma). Let

Proof.

## 5.6 Weyl's theorem

**Theorem 5.6.1** (Weyl's theorem). If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semisimple Lie algebra, then any representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely reducible.

# 6 The root space decomposition

#### 6.1 Maximal toral subalgebras

**Definition 6.1.1.** A **maximal toral subalgebra**  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an algebra for which ad  $x = \operatorname{ad} x_{\mathfrak{s}}$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

In other words, it is a subalgebra in which all elements act diagonally.

**Proposition 6.1.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a maximal toral subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We have an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$  induced by the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

# 7 Root systems

Definition 7.0.1.