

Principles of Mathematical Analysis Notes and Exercises

Jasper Ty

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | The Real and Complex Number Systems | 2 |
| 2 | Basic Topology | 2 |
| 3 | Numerical Sequences and Series | 2 |
| 4 | Continuity | 2 |
| 5 | Differentiation | 2 |
| 6 | The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral | 2 |
| 7 | Sequences and series of functions | 2 |
| 7.1 | Pointwise Convergence | 2 |
| 7.1.1 | Continuity is not preserved under pointwise convergence . | 2 |
| 7.2 | Uniform convergence | 4 |
| 7.3 | Equicontinuous families of functions | 4 |
| 7.4 | The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem | 10 |
| 7.5 | Equicontinuous families of functions | 23 |
| 7.6 | The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem | 29 |
| 8 | Some Special functions | 35 |

1 The Real and Complex Number Systems

2 Basic Topology

3 Numerical Sequences and Series

4 Continuity

5 Differentiation

6 The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

7 Sequences and series of functions

7.1 Pointwise Convergence

Definition 1

Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions. If f is a function such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in E$, then we say f_n converges *pointwise* to f .

This type of convergence is very *weak*. It guarantees very little in the way of actually *working with* the limit.

This definition is readily adapted to infinite sums of functions.

Definition 2

If f is a function such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in E$, then we say f is the *sum* of the series f_n .

An example of the weakness of pointwise convergence is

7.1.1 Continuity is not preserved under pointwise convergence

Example 1. Let $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$f_n(x) := x^n$$

Then $f := \lim f_n$ is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

by Theorem 3.20(e)

In this case, a sequence of continuous functions converges to a function that is eminently discontinuous. We use the preceding idea of “letting $f < 0$ sink and letting $f = 1$ float using the n^{th} power limit” to show the following.

Example 2. Integrability is not preserved under pointwise convergence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\cos m! \pi x)^{2n} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ irrational} \\ 1 & x \text{ rational} \end{cases}$$

If we let

$$f_m(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\cos m! \pi x)^{2n}$$

the above shows that a limit of integrable functions ($\int f_m dx = 0$ for all m) may fail to be integrable.

Proof

By a similar argument as in the previous example,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\cos m! x)^{2n} = \begin{cases} 0 & m!x \text{ is not an integer} \\ 1 & m!x \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$

Let $x = p/q$ be rational. Then $m!x$ is rational for all $m \geq q$. Let x be irrational, $m!x$ cannot be an integer for any m , otherwise we can show a contradiction. Then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \begin{cases} 0 & m!x \text{ is not an integer} \\ 1 & m!x \text{ is an integer} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ irrational} \\ 1 & x \text{ rational} \end{cases}$$

These two examples show that *properties* of f_n may not pass through the limit to f .

Next, we show that *operations* on f_n may not be passed through the limit to f .

Example 3. A limit of differentiated functions may not be the differentiated limit of functions

Let

$$f_n(x) := \frac{\sin nx}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Then,

$$0 = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right] \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{d}{dx} f_n \right] = \sqrt{n} \cos nx$$

Example 4. A limit of integrated functions may not be the integral of a limit of functions

Let

$$f_n(x) := nx(1 - x^2)^n$$

Then

$$0 = \int_0^1 \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right] \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^1 f_n \right] = \frac{1}{2}$$

7.2 Uniform convergence

Definition 3

Uniform convergence of functions

Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions.

If f is a function such that for all ε there exists N such that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all x , we say that f converges *uniformly*.

This definition carries over to sums of functions (the partial sums must converge to the limit function uniformly).

This is a *much stronger* notion of convergence, as it “tethers” together convergence of all points in the domain.

7.3 Equicontinuous families of functions

Now we go up another level. How do we *produce* these uniformly convergent sequences of functions out of existing sequences? The same way we have conditions (boundedness in \mathbb{R}^n) for squeezing out convergent sequences of numbers? First consider two different kinds of boundedness.

Definition 4

Pointwise and uniform boundedness

Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions.

f_n is *pointwise bounded* if for some $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f_n(x)| < \varphi(x)$$

f_n is *uniformly bounded* if for some $M \geq 0$,

$$|f_n(x)| < M$$

It is clear that uniform boundedness implies pointwise boundedness, by letting $\phi(x) := M$.

There are, however, no easy analogues, even when we put together all the restrictions we've considered so far:

Example 5. A uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions on a compact set without a convergent subsequence

Let $f_n : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined

$$f_n(x) := \sin nx$$

Suppose this sequence has a subsequence f_{n_k} that converges pointwise. Then it must be that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x) = 0$$

therefore it must be the case that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 = 0$$

By Lebesgue's theorem concerning integration of boundedly convergent sequences (Theorem 11.32), this implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 dx = 0$$

But

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 dx = 2\pi$$

which is a contradiction.

Even if we have a (pointwise) convergent sequence, we cannot produce a uniformly convergent subsequence necessarily, even with uniform boundedness on

a compact set.

Example 6. A uniformly bounded pointwise converging sequence on a compact set without a uniformly convergent subsequence

Let $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + (1 - nx)^2}$$

Then $|f_n| \leq 1$ for all n , and f_n is a uniformly bounded sequence. $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all x as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as well, so this sequence converges pointwise. However,

$$f_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 1$$

so that no subsequence can possibly converge uniformly.

However, we know that compact sets are separable (have a dense countable subset), hence the following consequence of pointwise boundedness will be useful:

Theorem 1

Every pointwise bounded sequence has a subsequence that converges pointwise on a countable set

Let f_n be defined on a countable set E . (Or define it on an arbitrary set, and let f_n be the restriction on a countable set E).

Then there exists a subsequence f_{n_k} of f_n such that f_{n_k} converges pointwise on E .

Proof

We will construct a table of f_n of which taking the diagonal will give us the subsequence we want. To do so, we introduce a lemma

Lemma 1

Lemma

Let s_n be a bounded sequence. Then it contains a convergent subsequence s_{n_k} that may be obtained by simply deleting entries in s_n .

This table will be defined row-by-row

Let x_i be an enumeration of E . Since f_n is pointwise bounded, we can produce a subsequence f_{n_k} such that $f_{n_k}(x_1)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Denote this sequence by f_k^1 .

Then in a similar fashion, we recursively construct the following sequences f_k^{i+1} out of f_k^i using the above lemma. This results in a table of functions

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f_1^1 & f_2^1 & f_3^1 & \cdots \\ f_1^2 & f_2^2 & f_3^2 & \cdots \\ f_1^3 & f_2^3 & f_3^3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

which satisfy the properties

- (a) f_k^{i+1} is a subsequence of f_k^i , moreover it is simply f_k^i with entries deleted.
- (b) $f_k^i(x_i)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$

Then, if we take the diagonal

$$f_1^1, f_2^2, f_3^3, \dots$$

(a) implies that this sequence is a subsequence of all f_k^i , thus (b) implies that this sequence converges for all x_i .

Now we introduce an important property

Definition 5

Equicontinuous families of functions

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of complex-valued functions f defined on a set E . \mathcal{F} is *equicontinuous* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$.

We get one result almost “for free” with this definition

Theorem 2

Uniformly convergent continuous function sequences on a compact domain form an equicontinuous family

Let K be a compact metric space, and let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a uniformly convergent sequence on K . Then f_n is equicontinuous on K

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f_n converges in $\mathcal{C}(K)$, we can choose N such that

$$\|f_n - f_N\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $n > N$. Now, use the fact that continuous functions on compact sets are uniformly continuous (Theorem 4.19) to find $\delta_N > 0$ such that

$$|f_N(x) - f_N(y)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $d(x, y) < \delta_N$. This alone evidently covers the case $n = N$. For $n > N$, we have the following inequality,

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(y)| + |f_N(y) - f_n(y)| < 3\varepsilon$$

Hence we have shown that δ_N suffices for $n \geq N$. For $n < N$, use uniform continuity for each f_n to get δ_n be such that $d(x, y) < \delta_n$ implies

$$|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \varepsilon$$

Finally, let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N\}$. This δ satisfies the condition for all $n > 0$. \square

The next result uses the earlier theorem about pointwise converging subsequences on a countable set.

Theorem 3

The Arzela-Ascoli theorem

Let K be a compact metric space. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a pointwise bounded and equicontinuous sequence on K . Then

- (a) f_n is uniformly bounded on K
- (b) f_n contains a uniformly convergent subsequence

Proof

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and choose $\delta > 0$. Since f_n is equicontinuous, choose δ such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon$$

for all n and $d(x, y) < \delta$. Since K is compact, we can cover it with finitely many δ -balls centered at p_1, \dots, p_r .

Since f_n is pointwise convergent, we may bound each $|f_n(p_i)|$ by some $\varphi(p_i)$. Since we have only finitely many p_i , we may take $M := \max\{\varphi(p_1), \dots, \varphi(p_r)\}$. Then $|f_n(p_i)| < M$ for all i , hence $|f_n(x)| < M + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$ by the triangle inequality. Hence f_n is uniformly bounded.

Now, for (b), let E be a countable dense subset of K . (Existence is guaranteed—compact metric spaces are separable)

Now use the previous theorem that tells us there is a subsequence f_{n_k} that converges pointwise on E .

Denote this subsequence by $g_k := f_{n_k}$. Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be the same as before. Since E is dense and K is compact, we may cover K with finitely many open balls $B(x_i; \delta)$ centered at points x_1, \dots, x_m of radius δ . In other words,

$$K \subset B(x_1; \delta) \cup \dots \cup B(x_m; \delta)$$

Then we can use x_i as a bridge to connect $g_j(x)$ and $g_k(x)$: By the pointwise convergence of g_k , we know that we can choose N such that $j, k \geq N$ implies

$$|g_j(x_i) - g_k(x_i)| < \varepsilon$$

Let x belong to $B(x_i; \delta)$, then

$$|g_j(x) - g_j(x_i)| < \varepsilon$$

Then we construct a big triangle inequality:

$$|g_j(x) - g_k(x)| \leq |g_j(x) - g_j(x_i)| + |g_j(x_i) - g_k(x_i)| + |g_k(x_i) - g_k(x)| < 3\varepsilon$$

Which proves that $\|g_j - g_k\| < 3\varepsilon$, hence g_k is uniformly convergent.

WOOHOO!

Now we approach the big theorem this chapter is leading up to: the *Stone-Weierstrass Theorem*.

7.4 The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 4

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials P_n such that $P_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. Moreover, if f is real, then each P_n is real.

First, some housekeeping is in order.

Theorem 5

Proof of W.A.T, Part 0: Setup

It suffices to prove the theorem for functions $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ such that

- (a) $[a, b] = [0, 1]$
- (b) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$

to prove the theorem in general.

Proof

The first is justified via horizontal shifts and dilations, the second is justified by shears on the y axis.

Then, we start the proof

Plan 1. Proof of W.A.T, Part 1: Strategy

We use *convolution*, which is a tool that analyzes a function f by multiplying it with a function g at the point of interest.

One use of convolution is to isolate segments of f by using a g that is zero, or very close to zero, outside a neighborhood of the point we wish to study. An example of this would be using very tall Gaussians. Moreover, if the integral of this g is 1, the value of the convolution integral will almost be $f(x)$ itself!

Luckily, there is a sequence of polynomials Q_n which do this task well. More luckily, the convolution of *any function at all* with a polynomial results in a polynomial! This gives us an on-the-nose way of *constructing* the polynomials we want—taking the convolution of f and Q_n .

Finally, we show that this sequence really works— that it really does converge to f in the uniform limit.

We have to define convolution

Definition 6

Convolution

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}([c, d])$. Assume that f and g are defined to be 0 outside their domains. The *convolution* $f * g$ is defined to be

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_a^b f(t)g(x - t)dt$$

This is guaranteed to exist, by Theorem 6.13.

Theorem 6

Proof of W.A.T, Part 2: Constructing the sequence

Define the polynomial $Q_n := c_n(1 - x^2)^n$, where c_n is chosen such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x) dx = 1$$

Then define

$$P_n := f * Q_n$$

Then P_n is a sequence of polynomials.

Proof

Lemma 2

Convolution with a polynomial is a polynomial

Let $P \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$ be a polynomial, and $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$, then $f * P$ is a polynomial. **Proof**

By linearity of convolution, we can consider the case $P(x) := x^n$ without loss of generality. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f * P) &= \int_0^1 f(t)(x - t)^n dt = \int_0^1 f(t) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^n (-t)^{n-k} \right] dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n x^n \left[\binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 f(t) (-t)^{n-k} dt \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n x^n \left[(-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 f(t) t^{n-k} dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

Which is evidently a polynomial. The coefficient is guaranteed to exist, as $f(t) \cdot t^{n-k}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, hence the integral in the brackets is defined.

Theorem 7

Proof of W.A.T, Part 3: Convergence

$P_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

Proof

By symmetry of convolution (change of variables), we have that

$$P_n = \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t)dt$$

Then,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t) - f(x) \right|$$

Since $\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)dt = 1$,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t)dt - \left[\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)dt \right] f(x) \right|$$

Hence

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)[f(x-t) - f(x)]dt \right|$$

Using the Schwarz inequality and the fact that Q_n is nonnegative,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)|f(x-t) - f(x)|dt$$

The idea now is to divide this integral, which measures the error of the polynomial approximation, into a “local” and a “non-local” part. The local error’s can be bounded by f ’s uniform continuity, the non-local part can be bounded by Q_n shrinking outside of t .

Now, choose $\varepsilon > 0$. We can find δ such that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all x, y such that $|y - x| < \delta$. This tells us that

$$|f(x-t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Hence

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} Q_n(t)dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

This is our “local” error. Our “non-local” error is then

$$\int_{-1}^{-\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt + \int_{\delta}^1 |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt$$

Consequence 1. The book uses the inequality $c_n < \sqrt{n}$ to derive

$$Q_n(x) \leq \sqrt{n}(1 - \delta^2)^n$$

Which we will just use at face-value because life is too short. Letting $M = \sup |f(x)|$, we have that $|f(x - t) - f(x)| \leq 2M$, hence, taking it all together,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^{-\delta} |f(x - t) - f(x)| Q_n(t) dt + \int_{\delta}^1 |f(x - t) - f(x)| Q_n(t) dt \\ & \leq 2M \int_{-1}^{-\delta} Q_n(t) dt + 2M \int_{\delta}^1 Q_n(t) dt \\ & \leq 4M \sqrt{n} (1 - \delta^2)^n \end{aligned}$$

Which can be made to be less than $\varepsilon/2$ by making n large enough.

A special case of the above is used to prove Stone's generalization of the Weierstrass approximation theorem.

Theorem 8

Polynomial approximation of absolute value

For every interval $[-a, a]$, there is a sequence of real polynomials P_n such that $P_n(0) = 0$ and such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = |x|$$

uniformly on $[-a, a]$

Proof

Let $P_n^* \rightarrow |x|$ uniformly using the previous theorem. Let

$$P_n(x) := P_n^*(x) - P_n^*(0)$$

Then $P_n(0) = 0$, and $P_n \rightarrow |x|$ uniformly, as can be read off from the inequality

$$|P_n(x) - |x|| \leq |P_n^*(0)| + |P_n^*(x) - |x||$$

and the fact $P_n^*(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Now we define the spaces for which the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem applies.

Definition 8

Algebras of complex functions

A family \mathcal{A} of complex-valued functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an *algebra* if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ implies

Definition 8

- (i) $f + g \in \mathcal{A}$
- (ii) $fg \in \mathcal{A}$
- (iii) $cf \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$

For example, the set of all polynomials is an algebra.

Definition 9

Uniformly closed algebras and uniform closures

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly implies $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is *uniformly closed*.

Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all functions which are limits of uniformly convergent sequences in \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{B} is called the *uniform closure* of \mathcal{A} .

The Weierstrass theorem can be rephrased to mean that the set of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ is the uniform closure of the set of polynomials on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 9

Uniform closures of bounded algebras are uniformly closed

Let \mathcal{B} be the uniform closure of an algebra \mathcal{A} of bounded functions. Then \mathcal{B} is uniformly closed.

Proof

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist sequences $f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly. Since the functions are bounded, limits pass through easily.

Definition 10

Separating and non-vanishing families of functions

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of functions on a set E . If, for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$, then \mathcal{A} is said to *separate points on E*

If, for all $x \in E$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$, then \mathcal{A} *vanishes at no point of E*

These two conditions allow us to “stitch together” functions to take on values we want at the points we want. More precisely

Theorem 10

A f

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on E that separates points and vanishes at no point. Let x_1, x_2 be distinct points of E , and let c_1, c_2 be any two constants. Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$f(x_1) = c_1 \quad f(x_2) = c_2$$

Proof

Since \mathcal{A} separates points, let g be a function such that

$$g(x_1) \neq g(x_2)$$

The “trick” now is to consider the expression $d_{ij} := g(x_i) - g(x_j)$. Clearly, d_{ij} is zero if $i = j$ and nonzero if $i \neq j$. Let’s try to normalize it to obtain a function

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i \neq j \\ 0 & i = j \end{cases}$$

$\delta_{ij} := d_{ij}/d_{12}$ works. However, we have a problem— we’ve done *addition by a constant* in defining d_{ij} . That’s not one of the actions we can generally do in an algebra. Here, we use \mathcal{A} ’s non-vanishing to our advantage. Let h_1 and h_2 be functions such that

$$h_1(x_1) \neq 0 \quad h_2(x_2) \neq 0$$

Then, we can add a layer of indirection. Since

The following are useful criteria for uniform convergence. These hint at the idea of being able to make sense of the idea of “distance” between two functions, which Rudin makes precise later. The first one tells us that uniform convergence of functions corresponds to convergence (via the Cauchy criterion) with respect

to a certain kind of metric.

Theorem 11

Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence

f_n converges uniformly on E if and only if there exists an integer N such that for all $m, n \geq N$,

$$\sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

Proof

For the forward implication, let $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then choose N such that $n \geq N$ implies

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Then use the triangle inequality.

For the converse, we note that the above criterion is strong enough itself to guarantee $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, hence if we take the inequality

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

and let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we recover the original condition for uniform convergence

The next criterion is just a rephrasing of the first definition of uniform convergence. It tells us that uniform convergence corresponds to the “distance” between two functions vanishing.

Theorem 12

Supremum criterion for uniform convergence

$f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E if and only if

$$\sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Next, we show that uniform convergence does not share the same failures as pointwise convergence when it comes to passing through important properties and operations. We first take continuity.

Theorem 13

Continuity is preserved under uniform convergence

Let f_n be a sequence of continuous functions and let $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then f is continuous.

Proof

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x \in E$. Consider the inequality

$$|f(x) - f(t)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(t)| + |f_n(t) - f(t)|$$

First use uniform convergence to choose n such that $|f(x) - f_n(x)|$ and $|f(t) - f_n(t)|$ are arbitrarily small.

Then use continuity to choose δ such that $|f_n(x) - f_n(t)|$ can be made arbitrarily small by letting $|x - t| \leq \delta$.

This however is not an “if and only if”. A continuous function can be the non-uniform limit of continuous functions. In one case, however, we can use compactness and monotonicity to take enough control such that a sequence of functions can *only* converge uniformly.

Example 7. Inferring uniform convergence from pointwise convergence

Let $f_n : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of continuous functions, and let $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. Let f be continuous. Impose the two conditions

- Let K be compact
- Let $f_n(x) \geq f_{n+1}(x)$ for all x and all n

Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Proof

We must show that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$$

To do this, we choose ε and argue that the set of points for which $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$ can be made empty if we take n large enough. Let this set of exceptional points be K_n .

K 's compactness tells us that K_n is compact:

- Because $f_n - f$ is a continuous function and $[\varepsilon, \infty)$ is closed, $K_n = (f_n - f)^{-1}([\varepsilon, \infty))$ is closed. (Theorem 4.8; inverse images of closed sets under continuous functions are closed)
- Because K_n is closed, and K is compact, K_n is compact. (Theorem 2.35; closed subsets of compact spaces are compact).

Moreover, because of the monotonicity of f_n , $K_n \supseteq K_{n+1}$. Hence K_n is a sequence of nested compact sets.

It must be that $\bigcap K_n$ is empty, as f_n converges pointwise to f , and so for each x , the fact that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for some n means there must be some K_n it is not a member of.

But an intersubsection of nonempty nested compact sets must be nonempty (Theorem 2.36), so there has to be an empty set somewhere in the sequence. Let this set be K_N . Then for all $n \geq N$, K_n is empty. This is precisely the N we need to keep the distance between f_n and f below ε .

Restricting our study to continuous, bounded functions (the functions above were bounded because K was compact) gives rise to a very nice structure, one we're already very familiar with: a metric space. (More importantly, a vector space!)

The concept of distance here corresponds with how it has been used in the previous theorems and examples.

Definition 11

The space of continuous and bounded functions on X

Let X be a metric space. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denote the set of all continuous, bounded, complex-valued functions on X .

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Define the *supremum norm* as follows.

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

Let $d(f, g)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ be induced by the norm; i.e

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|$$

This turns $\mathcal{C}(X)$ into a metric space.

This space is complete, and the fact that it is so can be bootstrapped with the previous theorems.

Consequence 2. $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is complete Proof

Let f_n be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Then f_n converges uniformly to a function f . Moreover, since each f_n is continuous, f is continuous. That f is bounded follows from the fact that $f = f_n + (f - f_n)$, so $\|f\| \leq \|f_n\| + \|f - f_n\| = \|f_n\| + \varepsilon$.

Hence $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $\|f - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Next, we tackle integration. Uniform convergence allows us to bound f in a, well, uniform way. This, along with a version of the squeeze theorem for integrals, shows us that uniform convergence plays nicely with integration.

Theorem 14

The integral of a uniformly convergent limit is a uniformly convergent limit of integrals

Let $f_n \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ on $[a, b]$ for all n . Let $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, and

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n d\alpha$$

Proof

The idea is that you can “squeeze” f with two f_n shaped calipers, and that the gap decreases as n goes to infinity.

Let this gap be

$$\varepsilon_n = \|f_n - f\|$$

Then

$$f_n - \varepsilon_n \leq f \leq f_n + \varepsilon_n$$

Then this bounds f ’s lower and upper integrals.

$$\int_a^b (f_n - \varepsilon_n) d\alpha \leq \int f d\alpha \leq \overline{\int} f d\alpha \leq \int_a^b (f_n + \varepsilon_n) d\alpha$$

Then

$$0 \leq \overline{\int} f d\alpha - \int f d\alpha \leq 2\varepsilon(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$$

So $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$. From the inequality we can also read off

$$\left| \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b f_n d\alpha \right| \leq \varepsilon_n(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$$

Which proves the equality of the integral and the limit.

Finally, we look at differentiation.

Theorem 15

A sequence of functions whose derivatives converge uniformly converges uniformly to a limit with the correct derivative

Let $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of differentiable functions that converge pointwise for at least *some* point x_0 . Then if f'_n converge uniformly, there is a function f such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly and such that $f'_n \rightarrow f'$.

Proof

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Use the Cauchy criterion for both ordinary sequences in \mathbb{R} and for uniformly convergent sequences of functions to produce N such that $n, m \geq N$ implies

$$|f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\|f'_n - f'_m\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

Consider the function $f_n - f_m$, whose derivative is $f'_n - f'_m$. The mean value theorem gives us a c with which we can show

$$\left| \frac{[f_n(x) - f_m(x)] - [f_n(y) - f_m(y)]}{x - y} \right| = |f'_n(c) - f'_m(c)| \leq \|f'_n - f'_m\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

Then, since $a \leq x < y \leq b$

$$|[f_n(x) - f_m(x)] - [f_n(y) - f_m(y)]| \leq \frac{\varepsilon|x - y|}{2(b-a)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for any $x, y \in [a, b]$. Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq |[f_n(x) - f_m(x)] - [f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)]| + |f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon$$

Then f_n converges uniformly by the Cauchy criterion.

Next we prove that the derivative of the limit is the limit of the derivatives. Let $f_n \rightarrow f$. Define the difference quotients

$$\phi_n(t) := \frac{f_n(t) - f_n(x)}{t - x}$$

$$\phi(t) := \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}$$

Reusing the same inequality, we know that for $n, m \geq N$

$$|\phi_n(t) - \phi_m(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

So that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly. Then, by Theorem 7.11, the limits we are interested in commute:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t) \stackrel{2.2}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \phi_n(t)$$

The left hand side is $f'(x)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \phi(t) = f'(x)$$

The right hand side is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x)$$

Now with the machinery of limits of function sequences, we can explore a wider variety of functions. As an example.

Example 8. A nowhere differentiable continuous function

Define the periodic triangle function φ to be

$$\varphi(x) := |x|$$

for $0 < x \leq 1$, and let its periodicity be defined by

$$\varphi(x + 2) := \varphi(x)$$

Then φ is continuous. Define f as

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \varphi(4^n x)$$

By Theorem 7.10, the series converges uniformly. Then f is continuous, as it is a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions. To prove it is not differentiable, we construct a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ such that the difference quotient diverges. I haven't looked into it deeply yet.

7.5 Equicontinuous families of functions

Now we go up another level. How do we *produce* these uniformly convergent sequences of functions out of existing sequences? The same way we have conditions (boundedness in \mathbb{R}^n) for squeezing out convergent sequences of numbers? First consider two different kinds of boundedness.

Definition 12

Pointwise and uniform boundedness

Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions.

f_n is *pointwise bounded* if for some $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f_n(x)| < \varphi(x)$$

f_n is *uniformly bounded* if for some $M \geq 0$,

$$|f_n(x)| < M$$

It is clear that uniform boundedness implies pointwise boundedness, by letting $\phi(x) := M$.

There are, however, no easy analogues, even when we put together all the restrictions we've considered so far:

Example 9. A uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions on a compact set without a convergent subsequence

Let $f_n : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined

$$f_n(x) := \sin nx$$

Suppose this sequence has a subsequence f_{n_k} that converges pointwise. Then it must be that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x) = 0$$

therefore it must be the case that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 = 0$$

By Lebesgue's theorem concerning integration of boundedly convergent sequences (Theorem 11.32), this implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 dx = 0$$

But

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (\sin n_k x - \sin n_{k+1} x)^2 dx = 2\pi$$

which is a contradiction.

Even if we have a (pointwise) convergent sequence, we cannot produce a uniformly convergent subsequence necessarily, even with uniform boundedness on a compact set.

Example 10. A uniformly bounded pointwise converging sequence on a compact set without a uniformly convergent subsequence

Let $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + (1 - nx)^2}$$

Then $|f_n| \leq 1$ for all n , and f_n is a uniformly bounded sequence. $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all x as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as well, so this sequence converges pointwise. However,

$$f_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 1$$

so that no subsequence can possibly converge uniformly.

However, we know that compact sets are separable (have a dense countable

subset), hence the following consequence of pointwise boundedness will be useful:

Theorem 16

Every pointwise bounded sequence has a subsequence that converges pointwise on a countable set

Let f_n be defined on a countable set E . (Or define it on an arbitrary set, and let f_n be the restriction on a countable set E).

Then there exists a subsequence f_{n_k} of f_n such that f_{n_k} converges pointwise on E .

Proof

We will construct a table of f_n of which taking the diagonal will give us the subsequence we want. To do so, we introduce a lemma

Lemma 3

Lemma

Let s_n be a bounded sequence. Then it contains a convergent subsequence s_{n_k} that may be obtained by simply deleting entries in s_n .

This table will be defined row-by-row

Let x_i be an enumeration of E . Since f_n is pointwise bounded, we can produce a subsequence f_{n_k} such that $f_{n_k}(x_1)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Denote this sequence by f_k^1 .

Then in a similar fashion, we recursively construct the following sequences f_k^{i+1} out of f_k^i using the above lemma. This results in a table of functions

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f_1^1 & f_2^1 & f_3^1 & \cdots \\ f_1^2 & f_2^2 & f_3^2 & \cdots \\ f_1^3 & f_2^3 & f_3^3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

which satisfy the properties

- (a) f_k^{i+1} is a subsequence of f_k^i , moreover it is simply f_k^i with entries deleted.
- (b) $f_k^i(x_i)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$

Then, if we take the diagonal

$$f_1^1, f_2^2, f_3^3, \dots$$

(a) implies that this sequence is a subsequence of all f_k^i , thus (b) implies that this sequence converges for all x_i .

Now we introduce an important property

Definition 13

Equicontinuous families of functions

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of complex-valued functions f defined on a set E . \mathcal{F} is *equicontinuous* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$.

We get one result almost “for free” with this definition

Theorem 17

Uniformly convergent continuous function sequences on a compact domain form an equicontinuous family

Let K be a compact metric space, and let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a uniformly convergent sequence on K . Then f_n is equicontinuous on K

Proof

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f_n converges in $\mathcal{C}(K)$, we can choose N such that

$$\|f_n - f_N\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $n > N$. Now, use the fact that continuous functions on compact sets are uniformly continuous (Theorem 4.19) to find $\delta_N > 0$ such that

$$|f_N(x) - f_N(y)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $d(x, y) < \delta_N$. This alone evidently covers the case $n = N$. For $n > N$, we have the following inequality,

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(y)| + |f_N(y) - f_n(y)| < 3\varepsilon$$

Hence we have shown that δ_N suffices for $n \geq N$. For $n < N$, use uniform continuity for each f_n to get δ_n be such that $d(x, y) < \delta_n$ implies

$$|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \varepsilon$$

Finally, let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N\}$. This δ satisfies the condition for all $n > 0$.

The next result uses the earlier theorem about pointwise converging subsequences on a countable set.

Theorem 18

Arzelà-Ascoli

Let K be a compact metric space. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a pointwise bounded and equicontinuous sequence on K . Then

- (a) f_n is uniformly bounded on K
- (b) f_n contains a uniformly convergent subsequence

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and choose $\delta > 0$. Since f_n is equicontinuous, choose δ such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon$$

for all n and $d(x, y) < \delta$. Since K is compact, we can cover it with finitely many δ -balls centered at p_1, \dots, p_r .

Since f_n is pointwise convergent, we may bound each $|f_n(p_i)|$ by some $\varphi(p_i)$. Since we have only finitely many p_i , we may take $M := \max\{\varphi(p_1), \dots, \varphi(p_r)\}$. Then $|f_n(p_i)| < M$ for all i , hence $|f_n(x)| < M + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$ by the triangle inequality. Hence f_n is uniformly bounded.

Now, for (b), let E be a countable dense subset of K . (Existence is guaranteed—compact metric spaces are separable)

Now use the previous theorem that tells us there is a subsequence f_{n_k} that converges pointwise on E .

Denote this subsequence by $g_k := f_{n_k}$. Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be the same as before. Since E is dense and K is compact, we may cover K with finitely many open balls $B(x_i; \delta)$ centered at points x_1, \dots, x_m of radius δ . In other words,

$$K \subset B(x_1; \delta) \cup \dots \cup B(x_m; \delta)$$

Then we can use x_i as a bridge to connect $g_j(x)$ and $g_k(x)$: By the pointwise convergence of g_k , we know that we can choose N such that $j, k \geq N$ implies

$$|g_j(x_i) - g_k(x_i)| < \varepsilon$$

Let x belong to $B(x_i; \delta)$, then

$$|g_j(x) - g_j(x_i)| < \varepsilon$$

Then we construct a big triangle inequality:

$$|g_j(x) - g_k(x)| \leq |g_j(x) - g_j(x_i)| + |g_j(x_i) - g_k(x_i)| + |g_k(x_i) - g_k(x)| < 3\varepsilon$$

Which proves that $\|g_j - g_k\| < 3\varepsilon$, hence g_k is uniformly convergent. \square

7.6 The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 19

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials P_n such that $P_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. Moreover, if f is real, then each P_n is real.

First, some housekeeping is in order.

Theorem 20

Proof of W.A.T, Part 0: Setup

It suffices to prove the theorem for functions $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ such that

- (a) $[a, b] = [0, 1]$
- (b) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$

to prove the theorem in general.

Proof

The first is justified via horizontal shifts and dilations, the second is justified by shears on the y axis.

Then, we start the proof

Plan 2. Proof of W.A.T, Part 1: Strategy

We use *convolution*, which is a tool that analyzes a function f by multiplying it with a function g at the point of interest.

One use of convolution is to isolate segments of f by using a g that is zero, or very close to zero, outside a neighborhood of the point we wish to study. An example of this would be using very tall Gaussians. Moreover, if the integral of this g is 1, the value of the convolution integral will almost be $f(x)$ itself!

Luckily, there is a sequence of polynomials Q_n which do this task well. More luckily, the convolution of *any function at all* with a polynomial results in a polynomial! This gives us an on-the-nose way of *constructing* the polynomials we want—taking the convolution of f and Q_n .

Finally, we show that this sequence really works— that it really does converge to f in the uniform limit.

We have to define convolution

Definition 14

Convolution

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}([c, d])$. Assume that f and g are defined to be 0 outside their domains. The *convolution* $f * g$ is defined to be

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_a^b f(t)g(x - t)dt$$

This is guaranteed to exist, by Theorem 6.13.

Theorem 21

Proof of W.A.T, Part 2: Constructing the sequence

Define the polynomial $Q_n := c_n(1 - x^2)^n$, where c_n is chosen such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x) dx = 1$$

Then define

$$P_n := f * Q_n$$

Then P_n is a sequence of polynomials.

Proof
Lemma 4

Convolution with a polynomial is a polynomial

Let $P \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$ be a polynomial, and $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$, then $f * P$ is a polynomial. **Proof**

By linearity of convolution, we can consider the case $P(x) := x^n$ without loss of generality. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f * P) &= \int_0^1 f(t)(x - t)^n dt = \int_0^1 f(t) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^n (-t)^{n-k} \right] dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n x^n \left[\binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 f(t) (-t)^{n-k} dt \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n x^n \left[(-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 f(t) t^{n-k} dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

Which is evidently a polynomial. The coefficient is guaranteed to exist, as $f(t) \cdot t^{n-k}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, hence the integral in the brackets is defined.

Theorem 22

Proof of W.A.T, Part 3: Convergence

$P_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

Proof

By symmetry of convolution (change of variables), we have that

$$P_n = \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t)dt$$

Then,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t) - f(x) \right|$$

Since $\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)dt = 1$,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)f(x-t)dt - \left[\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)dt \right] f(x) \right|$$

Hence

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)[f(x-t) - f(x)]dt \right|$$

Using the Schwarz inequality and the fact that Q_n is nonnegative,

$$|P_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t)|f(x-t) - f(x)|dt$$

The idea now is to divide this integral, which measures the error of the polynomial approximation, into a “local” and a “non-local” part. The local error’s can be bounded by f ’s uniform continuity, the non-local part can be bounded by Q_n shrinking outside of t .

Now, choose $\varepsilon > 0$. We can find δ such that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all x, y such that $|y - x| < \delta$. This tells us that

$$|f(x-t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Hence

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} Q_n(t)dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

This is our “local” error. Our “non-local” error is then

$$\int_{-1}^{-\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt + \int_{\delta}^1 |f(x-t) - f(x)|Q_n(t)dt$$

Proposition 1

The book uses the inequality $c_n < \sqrt{n}$ to derive

$$Q_n(x) \leq \sqrt{n}(1 - \delta^2)^n$$

Which we will just use at face-value because life is too short. Letting $M = \sup |f(x)|$, we have that $|f(x - t) - f(x)| \leq 2M$, hence, taking it all together,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^{-\delta} |f(x - t) - f(x)| Q_n(t) dt + \int_{\delta}^1 |f(x - t) - f(x)| Q_n(t) dt \\ & \leq 2M \int_{-1}^{-\delta} Q_n(t) dt + 2M \int_{\delta}^1 Q_n(t) dt \\ & \leq 4M \sqrt{n}(1 - \delta^2)^n \end{aligned}$$

Which can be made to be less than $\varepsilon/2$ by making n large enough.

A special case of the above is used to prove Stone's generalization of the Weierstrass approximation theorem.

Theorem 23

Polynomial approximation of absolute value

For every interval $[-a, a]$, there is a sequence of real polynomials P_n such that $P_n(0) = 0$ and such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = |x|$$

uniformly on $[-a, a]$

Proof

Let $P_n^* \rightarrow |x|$ uniformly using the previous theorem. Let

$$P_n(x) := P_n^*(x) - P_n^*(0)$$

Then $P_n(0) = 0$, and $P_n \rightarrow |x|$ uniformly, as can be read off from the inequality

$$|P_n(x) - |x|| \leq |P_n^*(0)| + |P_n^*(x) - |x||$$

and the fact $P_n^*(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Now we define the spaces for which the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem applies.

Definition 15

Algebras of complex functions

A family \mathcal{A} of complex-valued functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an *algebra* if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ implies

- (i) $f + g \in \mathcal{A}$
- (ii) $fg \in \mathcal{A}$
- (iii) $cf \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$

For example, the set of all polynomials is an algebra.

Definition 16

Uniformly closed algebras and uniform closures

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly implies $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is *uniformly closed*.

Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all functions which are limits of uniformly convergent sequences in \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{B} is called the *uniform closure* of \mathcal{A} .

The Weierstrass theorem can be rephrased to mean that the set of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ is the uniform closure of the set of polynomials on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 24

Uniform closures of bounded algebras are uniformly closed

Let \mathcal{B} be the uniform closure of an algebra \mathcal{A} of bounded functions. Then \mathcal{B} is uniformly closed.

Proof

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist sequences $f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly. Since the functions are bounded, limits pass through easily.

Definition 17

Separating and non-vanishing families of functions

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of functions on a set E . If, for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$, then \mathcal{A} is said to *separate points on E*

If, for all $x \in E$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$, then \mathcal{A} *vanishes at no point of E*

These two conditions allow us to “stitch together” functions to take on values we want at the points we want. More precisely

Theorem 25

A function that takes two specific values at two points

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on E that separates points and vanishes at no point. Let x_1, x_2 be distinct points of E , and let c_1, c_2 be any two constants. Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$f(x_1) = c_1 \quad f(x_2) = c_2$$

Proof

Since \mathcal{A} separates points, let g be a function such that

$$g(x_1) \neq g(x_2)$$

The “trick” now is to consider the expression $d_{ij} := g(x_i) - g(x_j)$. Clearly, d_{ij} is zero if $i = j$ and nonzero if $i \neq j$. Let’s try to normalize it to obtain a function

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i \neq j \\ 0 & i = j \end{cases}$$

$\delta_{ij} := d_{ij}/d_{12}$ works. However, we have a problem— we’ve done *addition by a constant* in defining d_{ij} . That’s not one of the actions we can generally do in an algebra. Here, we use \mathcal{A} ’s non-vanishing to our advantage. Let h_1 and h_2 be functions such that

$$h_1(x_1) \neq 0 \quad h_2(x_2) \neq 0$$

Then, we can add a layer of indirection. Since

8 Some Special functions