VE414 Lecture 12

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

June 20, 2019

- According to the IEEE Computer Society Journal, the 10 algorithms below:
 - Metropolis Algorithm for Monte Carlo
 - Simplex Method for Linear Programming
 - Krylov Subspace Iteration Methods
 - The Decompositional Approach to Matrix Computations
 - The Fortran Optimizing Compiler
 - QR Algorithm for Computing Eigenvalues
 - Quicksort Algorithm for Sorting
 - Fast Fourier Transform
 - Integer Relation Detection
 - Fast Multipole Method

had the greatest influence on the development and practice of science and engineering in the 20th century. The list is in chronological order.

• Metropolis-Hastings is a modern generalisation of Metropolis algorithm.

- Recall of the main reason that rejection sampling is not feasible for a large p, where $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the support of the target distribution $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$, is that it is often very difficult to come up with suitable proposal distribution $g_{\mathbf{Y}}$.
- The breakthrough parallel to Gibbs is to think **locally**! That is, instead of coming up with a proposal g_Y works for every where in \mathcal{D} for every iteration, we consider conditional proposal distributions,

$$g_{\cdot \mid \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)}}$$

that changes from iteration to iteration depending on where we are, $\mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)}$.

• The price to pay in return of this convenience is having only a Markov Chain

$$\{\mathbf{y}^{(1)},\mathbf{y}^{(2)},\cdots,\mathbf{y}^{(t)},\cdots,\mathbf{y}^{(n)}\}$$

instead of a sample of independent realisations of Y from f_Y .

Q: Can you see why Metropolis-Hastings could ever be better than Gibbs?

Algorithm 1: Metropolis-Hastings

```
Input: function f_{\mathbf{Y}}, and g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}, initial value \mathbf{y}^{(0)}, size n
    Output: sample array [\mathbf{y}^{(t)}]_{n \times n}
<sup>1</sup> Function MH(f_{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{y}^{(0)}, n):
            for t \leftarrow 1 to n do
                    \mathbf{z} \sim g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^* = \mathbf{v}^{(t-1)}} ;
                                                                                                /* draw from the proposal */
                \alpha \leftarrow \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*} \left( \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \mid \mathbf{z} \right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}} \left( \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*} \left( \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \right)} \right\}
                  v \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1);
                                                                                                                          /* draw uniform */
              if v \leq \alpha then
                \mathbf{v}^{(t)} \leftarrow \mathbf{z}:
                                                                                                                     /* accept the new */
                  else
                    \mathbf{v}^{(t)} \leftarrow \mathbf{v}^{(t-1)}:
                                                                                                                     /* reject the new */
                    end if
            end for
            return [\mathbf{y}^{(t)}]_{n \times n};
12
                                                                                                                                       /* samples */
13 end
```

Q: What does the quantity α in the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm represent?

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^*} \left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \mid \mathbf{z} \right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}} \left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^*} \left(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \right)} \right\}$$

• It represents the probability of accepting

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(t)} = \mathbf{z}$$

given we have drawn \mathbf{z} from the conditional proposal and $\mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)} = \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}$.

- Notice we will add another copy of $\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}$ if we reject the new value \mathbf{z} .
- Secondly note it does not depend on the normalisation constant, i.e.

$$\begin{split} \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\mid\mathbf{y}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}\mid\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)} &= \frac{\frac{1}{A}q_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\mid\mathbf{y}\right)}{\frac{1}{A}q_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}\mid\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)} \\ &= \frac{q_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\mid\mathbf{y}\right)}{q_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y}\mid\mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}\mid\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)} \end{split}$$

where $A = \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\mathbf{Y}} d\mathbf{y}$ and $Af_{\mathbf{Y}} = q_{\mathbf{Y}}$ is the normalisation constant.

- Q: Intuitively, why do you think Metropolis-Hastings provides samples from $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$?
- If we can sample from $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$, then there is no need to use conditional proposals, we will simply sample from $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$, and Metropolis-Hastings will accept all draws

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right) \cdot f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right)} \right\} = 1$$

Q: What would we have if we sample uniformly and accept ${f z}$ if

$$v \leq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)} \right\}$$
 where $v \sim \text{Uniform}\left(0, 1\right)$

ullet We would end up with a Markov Chain that "travels" on the support of $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ in such a way the amount of "time" spend in each "location" in the support

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}$$

is directly proportional to the "height" of the density function as $n \to \infty$.

 Now, of course, we do not expect a uniform conditional proposal to be any good, so let us relax it by considering any conditional proposal such that

$$g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{y}^*) = g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}^* \mid \mathbf{y})$$

to simplify the situation before Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in general.

• When the conditional proposal distribution satisfies

$$g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{y}^*) = g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}^* \mid \mathbf{y})$$

then the distribution $g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}$ is known as symmetric, and

$$v \leq \min \left\{1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \mid \mathbf{z}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)}\right\} \\ = \min \left\{1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)}\right\}$$

which reduces Metropolis-Hastings to the original Metropolis algorithm.

ullet Similarly, it can be show that it has the joint $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ as the invariant distribution.

Q: Intuitively, why do we have the following acceptance probability

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{z}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)} \mid \mathbf{z}\right)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)} \right\}$$

when we sample from a non-symmetric conditional proposal?

• The goal is still to have a Markov Chain that "travels" on the support of $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ in such a way the amount of "time" spend at each "location" in the support

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}$$

is directly proportional to the "height" of the density function as $n \to \infty$.

- Intuitively, using asymmetric conditional proposal allows the Markov Chain to explore more region in \mathcal{D} , doing so can help prevent the Markov Chain being trapped in some region of \mathcal{D} , thus is particularly useful when the joint has many local peaks.
- ullet The additional term in lpha makes sure the invariant distribution is the joint.

Notice the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(\mathsf{accept} \mid \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)} = \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) = \alpha\left(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)$$

is a function of ${\bf z}$ and ${\bf y}^{(t-1)}$ given a new value ${\bf Z}={\bf z}$ and ${\bf Y}^{(t-1)}={\bf y}^{(t-1)}.$

ullet The probability of accepting any new value given only $\mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)}$ is given by

$$\Pr\left(\mathsf{accept} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \alpha\left(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^*}\left(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \, d\mathbf{z}$$

• The transition kernel of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is

$$\begin{split} \kappa\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{y}^{(t)}\right) &= \alpha \cdot g_{\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Y}^*}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t)} \mid \mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 - \Pr\left(\mathsf{accept} \mid \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)}\right)\right) \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t)}\right) \end{split}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{v}^{(t-1)}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t)}\right)$ denotes Dirac-delta function .

Theorem

The Metropolis-Hastings kernel satisfies the detailed balance equation

$$\kappa\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)},\mathbf{y}^{(t)}\right)f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right) = \kappa\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t)},\mathbf{y}^{(t-1)}\right)f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(t)}\right)$$

so $f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}\right)$ is the invariant distribution of the Markov Chain. If the joint being positive $f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}\right), f_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{*}\right) > 0$ guarantees the conditional proposal is also positive

$$g_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{Y}^*}\left(\mathbf{y}\mid\mathbf{y}^*\right) > 0$$

for all $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}^* \in \mathcal{D}$ of the joint distribution, then the sequence

$$\{f_{\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}},f_{\mathbf{Y}^{(2)}},\ldots\}$$

corresponding to the Metropolis-Hastings converges to $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ for every $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} h\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(t)}\right) \to \mathbb{E}\left[h\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]$$

- Recall, Gibbs will fail in practice when the joint density function $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ that we need to sample from does not satisfy the positivity condition.
- Q: Can you think of an example that Metropolis-Hastings will fail?

$$Y \sim \text{Uniform} (\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_1 = [0,1]$ and $\mathcal{I}_2 = [2,3]$, and

Uniform
$$\left(y^{(t-1)} - \delta, y^{(t-1)} + \delta\right)$$

• If $\delta \leq 1$, then the Markov Chain

$$\{Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}, \ldots\}$$

generated by Metropolis-Hastings will not converge, that is, the distributions

$$\{f_{V^{(1)}}, f_{V^{(2)}}, \ldots\}$$

will not converge to the joint density f_Y .

- Q: When should we use Metropolis-Hastings/Gibbs?
 - In its original form, Gibbs sampling is the simplest of the MCMC algorithms, and it is our first choice for largely conditionally conjugate models,

$$\begin{split} f_{\lambda_1|\{X_1,...X_n,\lambda_2,K\}} &\sim \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i, \beta_1 + k\right) \\ f_{\lambda_2|\{X_1,...X_n,\lambda_1,K\}} &\sim \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i, \beta_2 + n - k\right) \\ f_{K|\{X_1,...X_n,\lambda_1,\lambda_2\}} &\propto \lambda_1^{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i} \lambda_2^{\sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i} \exp\left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdot k\right) \end{split}$$

where we can easily sample each conditional posterior distribution.

- Metropolis-Hastings is used for models that are not conditionally conjugate.
- It also used in complicated models where the joint is unlikely to be unimodal.
- For a big complicated models, various combinations of the two are used.
- Q: Can you see Gibbs is a special kind of Metropolis-Hastings?