

Summary:

The report satisfied most of the requirements, and it also well documented with all implement details, methodology, explanations and conclusions. The only weakness is that the performance part is not reliable enough since no cross-validation is performed to get a standard error of accuracy.

Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing

1. Is the report clearly written ?

5 points

2. Is there a good use of examples and figures?

2 points, the color used in figures could be improved

3. Is it well organized?

5 points

4. Are there problems with style and grammar?

5 points

5. Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.?

not enough reference

Evaluation on Technical Quality

1. Are the results technically sound?

5 points

2. Are there obvious flaws in the reasoning?

5 points

3. Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results?

5 points

4. Are the experiments well thought out and convincing?

3 points

5. Will it be possible for other researchers to replicate these results?

5 points

6. Is the evaluation appropriate?

2 points

7. Did the authors clearly assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their approach?

5 points

8. Are relevant papers cited, discussed, and compared to the presented work?

not enough reference

Overall rating: 4

Confidence on your assessment: 2