

# CS281A-Problem Set#4

Juanyan Li

10/30/2015

## 1. K-Means

### 1) Keeping $\mu_j$ fixed for any $i$

$$\min_{z_i^j} J = \sum_{i=1}^N \min_{z_i^j} \sum_{j=1}^K z_i^j \|x_i - \mu_j\|^2$$

Since  $z_i = [z_i^1, \dots, z_i^j, \dots, z_i^K]$  with only one element to be 1, others to be zero, minimizing  $J$  with fixed  $\mu_j$  yields that  $z_i^j = 1$  only when  $\|x_i - \mu_j\|$  is the smallest among all  $i$ . This is the same as:

$$z_i^j := 1[j = \arg \min_k \|x_i - \mu_k\|]$$

### 2) Keeping $z_i^j$ for any $j$

$$\min_{\mu_j} J = \sum_{j=1}^K \min_{\mu_j} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^j \|x_i - \mu_j\|^2$$

Taking first derivative of  $J$  respect to  $\mu_j$ , and assigning it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mu_j} = -2 \sum_i z_i^j (x_i - \mu_j) = 0$$

$$\mu_j = \frac{\sum_i z_i^j x_i}{\sum_i z_i^j}$$

## 2. IPF

By implementing IPF algorithm (see *Appendix*) on `hw4data.data`, I got a result like the following:

	Model1	Model2	Model3
$\psi_{3,4}(x_3, x_4)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 11.6498 & 6.9329 \\ 0.9661 & 2.1663 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.0350 & 2.0743 \\ 1.2814 & 5.4192 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.9353 & 2.0758 \\ 1.9525 & 4.3465 \end{bmatrix}$
$l(\theta \mathcal{D})$	-3285.051	-3316.203	-3319.577

Note that initialization of  $\psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)$  for any pair clique  $i, j$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , since this would affect the final result.

Computation of the log likelihood for each of the model shows that the first one yields the largest value. I think this indicates that the first model best fits the data in the “maximum likelihood” sense.

### 3. Max Likelihood Tree

**(a) Every node in  $T$  of degree  $d$  appears as the separator set of  $d - 1$  edges in the junction tree for  $T$ .**

Proof: The statement holds, when there's one node in the tree. Assume that the statement is still true for tree  $T$  with  $N - 1$  nodes. Now consider the case of tree  $T$  with  $N$  nodes. The newly added node must appear as the leaf in the tree, with 1 degree. The node and its parent have formed a new clique in the junction tree. For this node, the statement is true because it is not a separator ( $S = \emptyset, d - 1 = 0$ ). Now take a look at its parent, which had degree  $d_\pi$  and appeared as the separator set of  $d_\pi - 1$  edges. After attaching the new node, the parent now has degree  $d_\pi + 1$  and is the separator set of  $d_\pi$  edges. Therefore, the statement is proved to be true.

**(b) Maximize the likelihood by choosing potential**

Proof: According to Chapter 9, first derivative of the log likelihood for tree  $T$  can be expressed as :

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)} = N \left[ \frac{\tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)} - \frac{p(x_i, x_j)}{\psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)} \right]$$

Besides, now that we choose the potential as:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x_i) &= \tilde{p}(x_i) \\ \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) &= \frac{\tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\tilde{p}(x_i)\tilde{p}(x_j)} \\ Z &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Joint probability can be transformed accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in V} \psi_i(x_i) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E} \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i \in V} \tilde{p}(x_i) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\prod_{i \in V} \tilde{p}(x_i)^{d_i}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\{i,j\} \in E} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\prod_{i \in V} \tilde{p}(x_i)^{d_i - 1}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the formula above has incorporated the conclusion proved in (a).

Computing marginal  $p(x_i, x_j)$  from the joint probability, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \notin E} \frac{\prod_{\{i,j\} \in E} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\prod_{i \in V} \tilde{p}(x_i)^{d_i - 1}} \\ &= \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by choosing appropriate  $\psi_i(x_i)$  and  $\psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)$ , the likelihood is maximized.

### (c) Marginal entropies

Based on the joint probability derived in (b):

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\theta; \mathcal{D}) &= \sum_{x_{\mathcal{V}}} m(x_{\mathcal{V}}) \left( \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \log \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) - \sum_{i \in V} (d_i - 1) \log \tilde{p}(x_i) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \sum_{x_{\mathcal{V}}} m(x_{\mathcal{V}}) \log \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) - \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_{\mathcal{V}}} m(x_{\mathcal{V}}) \log \tilde{p}(x_i) \\
&= N \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \sum_{x_i, x_j} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) \log \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) - N \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} (d_i - 1) \tilde{p}(x_i) \log \tilde{p}(x_i) \\
&= -N \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} H(\tilde{p}_{i,j}) + N \sum_{i \in V} (d_i - 1) H(\tilde{p}_i)
\end{aligned}$$

### (d) Maximum weight spanning tree

$$I(i, j) = \sum_{x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{X}} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) \log \frac{\tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\tilde{p}(x_i) \tilde{p}(x_j)}$$

Note that log likelihood in (c) can also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\theta; \mathcal{D}) &= N \left( \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \tilde{p}(x_i) \log \tilde{p}(x_i) + \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \sum_{x_i, x_j} \tilde{p}(x_i, x_j) \log \frac{\tilde{p}(x_i, x_j)}{\tilde{p}(x_i) \tilde{p}(x_j)} \right) \\
&= N \left( \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \tilde{p}(x_i) \log \tilde{p}(x_i) + \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} I(i, j) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

When given an observed dataset, maximizing the log likelihood can be done by finding a maximum weight spanning tree with weight defined as  $I(i, j)$ .

### (e) Maximum weight spanning tree (Implementation)

Implementation of Kruskal's algorithm returns a maximum weight spanning tree, the edges are shown below:

Node u	Node v
1	7
5	7
1	4
3	7
2	6
1	2

## Appendix

hw4-IPF.R: Implementation of IPF algorithm on hw4data.data

```
setwd("E:/Berkeley/CS281A/ps4")
source("IPF_computation.R")

##Read data as data.frame
data <- read.table("hw4data.data")
dim(data) # 500 * 7

for(s in 1:3){
  ##Initialize
  potential <- init(s)
  nVal <- 4

  ##Compute counts for each clique(empirical dist on one node)
  count <- lapply(potential,pcount)

  ##IPF
  iter <- 40 # number of iterations
  #scene <- as.matrix(expand.grid(rep(list(c(1:nVal)),length(potential)-1)))
  #map <- matrix(0,ncol=dim(scene)[2],nrow=dim(scene)[1])
  n <- length(potential)
  uni <- unique(data)

  for(i in 1:iter){
    #Get all the marginal probabilities
    #Question: should we use the unique value to compute this?
    f <- rep(0,4)
    for(j in 1:n){
      phi_prod <- mapping(uni)
      clique <- potential[[j]][[1]] # current clique/pair index
      ind <- 1 + uni[,clique[1]] + 2*uni[,clique[2]]
      for(k in 1:nVal){
        f[k] = sum(phi_prod[which(ind==k)])
      }
      potential[[j]][[2]] = potential[[j]][[2]]*count[[j]]*(sum(f)/f)
    }
  }

  #Print potential for clique {3,4}
  for (i in 1 : n){
    if(potential[[i]][[1]][1] == 3 & potential[[i]][[1]][2] == 4){
      print(potential[[i]][[2]])
    }
  }

  #Compute the log likelihood
  prod <- mapping(data)
  pdist <- sum(log(prod/sum(prod)))

  print(pdist)
```

```
}
```

IPF\_computation.R: Functions used in IPF algorithms

```
#Initialization
init <- function(model=1){ # Graph models selection, default to be model 1
  nVal <- 4 # number of possible values in each clique potential
  phi <- c(1:nVal) # Initial assignment for clique(pair) potential
  if(model%%1==0) { # Check input argument
    if(model == 1){
      pairlist <- list(c(1,5),c(1,6),c(2,5),c(3,4),c(3,6),c(4,5),c(4,7),c(6,7)) # 8 pairs
      potential <- lapply(pairlist,list,phi)
    }
    else if(model==2){
      pairlist <- list(c(1,2),c(1,3),c(1,4),c(1,7),c(2,4),c(2,6),c(3,4),
                     c(3,5),c(3,7),c(4,6),c(5,7)) # 11 pairs
      potential <- lapply(pairlist,list,phi)
    }
    else if(model==3){
      pairlist <- list(c(1,2),c(1,3),c(1,4),c(1,5),c(1,6),c(1,7),c(2,3),
                     c(2,4),c(2,5),c(2,6),c(2,7),c(3,4),c(3,5),c(3,6),
                     c(3,7),c(4,5),c(4,6),c(4,7),c(5,6),c(5,7),c(6,7)) # 21 pairs
      potential <- lapply(pairlist,list,phi)
    }
    else{
      stop("Only 1,2 and 3 are accepted!")
    }
    return(potential)
  }
  else{
    stop("Invalid input!")
  }
}

#Computation of counts
pcount <- function(p){
  nObs <- dim(data)[1]
  count <- as.data.frame(table(data[p[[1]]]))[,3]/nObs
  return(count)
}

#Computation of marginal probability distribution
mapping<- function(dat){
  map <- matrix(0,ncol=n,nrow=dim(dat)[1])
  for(i in 1 : n){
    ind <- as.matrix(dat[,potential[[i]][[1]][1]] + 2*dat[,potential[[i]][[1]][2]]+1)
    map[,i] = potential[[i]][[2]][ind]
  }
  phi_prod <- apply(map,1,prod)
  return(phi_prod)
}
```

hw4-mwst.R: Implementation of Kruskal's algorithm to find maximum spanning tree based on hw4data.data

```
setwd("E:/Berkeley/CS281A/ps4")
source("kruskal.R")

##Read data as data.frame
data <- read.table("hw4data.data")
dim(data) # 500 * 7
nObs <- dim(data)[1]
nNodes <- dim(data)[2]
nPairs <- choose(nNodes,2)
pairlist <- list(c(1,2),c(1,3),c(1,4),c(1,5),c(1,6),c(1,7),c(2,3),
                c(2,4),c(2,5),c(2,6),c(2,7),c(3,4),c(3,5),c(3,6),
                c(3,7),c(4,5),c(4,6),c(4,7),c(5,6),c(5,7),c(6,7)) # 21 pairs

##Compute empirical marginals on nodes and pairs
pairCount <- lapply(pairlist,function(vec){
  as.data.frame(table(data[vec]))[,3]/nObs
})
nodeCount <- lapply(c(1:nNodes),function(val){
  as.data.frame(table(data[,val]))[,2]/nObs
})

##Compute the weight for each edge
weight <- rep(0,nPairs)
for(i in 1 : nPairs){
  first <- pairlist[[i]][1]
  second <- pairlist[[i]][2]
  denominator <- c(nodeCount[[first]][1]*nodeCount[[second]][1],
                    nodeCount[[first]][2]*nodeCount[[second]][1],
                    nodeCount[[first]][1]*nodeCount[[second]][2],
                    nodeCount[[first]][2]*nodeCount[[second]][2])
  weight[i] = sum(pairCount[[i]]*log(pairCount[[i]]/denominator))
}

df <- data.frame(cbind(t(matrix(unlist(pairlist),nrow=2)),weight))
order <- order(df$weight,decreasing=TRUE)

##Find the maximum spanning tree
s <- init(nNodes)
parent <- s[[1]]
rank <- s[[2]]

msTree <- list()

for (i in 1 : nPairs){
  u <- df[order[i],1]
  v <- df[order[i],2]
  if(find(u) != find(v)){
    msTree[[i]] <- c(u,v)
    union(u,v)
  }
}
```

```
msTree <- t(matrix(unlist(msTree),nrow=2))
```

kruskal.R: Source code for Kruskal's algorithm

```
#Kruskal's algorithm

init <- function(n){
  return(list(c(1:n),rep(0,n)))
}

find <- function(u){
  while(.GlobalEnv$parent[u] != u)
    u = .GlobalEnv$parent[u]
  return(u)
}

union <- function(u,v){
  ru <- find(u)
  rv <- find(v)
  if(ru == rv) {
    return
  }
  if(.GlobalEnv$rank[ru] > .GlobalEnv$rank[rv]){
    .GlobalEnv$parent[rv] = ru
  }
  else{
    .GlobalEnv$parent[ru] = rv
    if(.GlobalEnv$rank[ru] == .GlobalEnv$rank[rv]){
    }
  }
}
```