

REVIEW DOCUMENT			
Start Date: 15.05.2023			
Project Name: Vendomatic		Number of Pages: 2	
Product Name: Vendomatic		Product Definition: Software to improve vending machine experience/workflow	
Roles:	Review Leader:	All QA/QC	
	Reviewers:	1. Tolga Muratdağı	3. Taylan Işıkoğlu
		2. İsmail Şimşekoğlu	4. Murat Kandaz
Review Materials:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Glossary (G)</li> <li>• Use Case Model (U)</li> <li>• Project Plan (P)</li> <li>• Work Items List (W)</li> <li>• Iteration Plan (I)</li> <li>• Next Iteration Plan (NI)</li> <li>• Test Cases (Tc)</li> <li>• Test Script (Ts)</li> <li>• Architecture Notebook (AN)</li> <li>• Design (D)</li> </ul>		
Total Number of Errors:			
Assessment Status:	ACCEPTED ____ as it is <u>x</u> with rework	REJECTED ____ review again	
ERROR TYPES			
Error Type Number	Error Type Definition	Number of Errors Found	
1	Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc)		
2	Inconsistency with the standards		
3	Uncertain		
4	Incorrect		
5	Inapplicable		
6	Internal Inconsistency		
7	External Inconsistency		
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	2	
9	Other		
	<b>TOTAL</b>	2	
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found	
L	Little	1	
M	Medium		
I	Important	1	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	2	

No	Reviewed Material ID	Section	Page	Line/ No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	I	6	5	4	8	Important	Relevant section and subsections in Iteration 3 Plan are not updated at the end of this iteration.		
2	W	N/A	1	30-36	8	Little	Iteration 3 items should be indicated as “completed” or “backlog”, and Iteration 4 items should be indicated as “assigned” – in the work items list in the source docs. This is corrected in the google sheet though, hence deemed as of having little importance.		