REVIEW DOCUMENT			
Start Date: 04.04.2023			
Project Name: Vendomatic		Number of Pages: 2	
Product Name: Vendomatic		Product Definition: Software to improve vending machine experience/workflow	
Roles:	Review Leader:	All QA/QC	
	Reviewers:	*1. Tolga Muratdağı*	*3. Taylan Işıkoğlu*
		2. İsmail Şimşekoğlu	*4. Murat Kandaz*
Review Materials:	• *Vision (V)* • *Glossary (G)* • *Use Case Model (U)* • *System-Wide Requirements (S)* • *Risk List (R)* • *Project Plan (P)* • *Work Items List (W)* • *Next Iteration's Plan (N)*		
Total Number of Errors:			
Assessment Status:	ACCEPTED ___ as it is _x_ with rework	REJECTED ____ review again	

ERROR TYPES		
Error Type Number	Error Type Definition	Number of Errors Found
1	Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc)	
2	Inconsistency with the standards	1
3	Uncertain	1
4	Incorrect	
5	Inapplicable	
6	Internal Inconsistency	
7	External Inconsistency	1
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	1
9	Other	1
	TOTAL	
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found
L	Little	1
M	Medium	3
I	Important	1
	TOTAL	

No	Reviewed Material ID	Section	Page	Line / No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	Project Plan	2	4	-	8	Medium	Project organization structure is not given in the project plan. Also considering the vision document the organizational structure (matrix / functional / project-based) and reporting lines are unclear.	Accepted	
2	Vision	4.1	9	-	7	Medium	There is no use case for "Mobile Payment Options" and "Marketing and Promotional Features" needs stated in Vision Document. See also "Use Cases" document.	Rejected	
3	System-wide requirements	6	7	-	3	Important	System constraints can be more refined and detailed. For example which standards and best practices are expected to be followed, or a specific tool set or framework for the development can be chosen.	Accepted	
4	Use Case Model	1	4	-	2	Medium	Operator actor is a primary actor so it should be on the left-hand side. Also some possible supporting actors can be added to the diagram.	Accepted	
5	Use Cases	-	-	-	9	Little	It's not a mistake but defining actors in each use case decreases readability. If you could define actors in glossary it would improve the document. This is just a suggestion.	Accepted	