| REVIEW DOCUMENT | |
|---|---|
| Start Date: 04.04.2023 | |
| Project Name: Vendomatic | Number of Pages: 2 |
| Product Name: Vendomatic | Product Definition: Software to improve vending machine experience/workflow |

| Roles: | Review Leader: | All QA/QC | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Reviewers: | *1. Tolga Muratdağı* | *3. Taylan Işıkoğlu* |
| | | *2. İsmail Şimşekoğlu* | *4. Murat Kandaz* |

| Review Materials: | ● *Vision (V)*<br>● *Glossary (G)*<br>● *Use Case Model (U)*<br>● *System-Wide Requirements (S)*<br>● *Risk List (R)*<br>● *Project Plan (P)*<br>● *Work Items List (W)*<br>● *Next Iteration's Plan (N)* |
|---|---|

**Total Number of Errors:**

| Assessment Status: | ACCEPTED<br>___ as it is<br>_x_ with rework | REJECTED<br>____ review again |
|---|---|---|

## ERROR TYPES

| Error Type Number | Error Type Definition | Number of Errors Found |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | |
| 2 | Inconsistency with the standards | 1 |
| 3 | Uncertain | 1 |
| 4 | Incorrect | |
| 5 | Inapplicable | |
| 6 | Internal Inconsistency | |
| 7 | External Inconsistency | 1 |
| 8 | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | 1 |
| 9 | Other | 1 |
| | **TOTAL** | |
| Importance Types | Importance Type | Number of Errors Found |
| L | Little | 1 |
| M | Medium | 3 |
| I | Important | 1 |
| | **TOTAL** | 5 |

| No | Reviewed Material ID | Section | Page | Line/ No | Error Type | Importance | Explanation | Correction Status | Correction Explanation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | **Project Plan** | 2 | 4 | - | 8 | Medium | Project organization structure is not given in the project plan. Also considering the vision document the organizational structure (matrix / functional / project-based) and reporting lines are unclear. | | |
| 2 | **Vision** | 4.1 | 9 | - | 7 | Medium | There is no use case for "Mobile Payment Options" and "Marketing and Promotional Features" needs stated in Vision Document. See also "Use Cases" document. | | |
| 3 | **System-wide requirements** | 6 | 7 | - | 3 | Important | System constraints can be more refined and detailed. For example which standards and best practices are expected to be followed, or a specific tool set or framework for the development can be chosen. | | |
| 4 | **Use Case Model** | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | Medium | Operator actor is a primary actor so it should be on the left-hand side. Also some possible supporting actors can be added to the diagram. | | |
| 5 | **Use Cases** | - | - | - | 9 | Little | It's not a mistake but defining actors in each use case decreases readability. If you could define actors in glossary it would improve the document. This is just a suggestion. | | |