
3

# **Determinants**

3.2



- Theorem 3: Let A be a square matrix
  - a) If a multiple of one row of A is added to another row to produce a matrix B, then  $\det B = \det A$ .
  - b) If two rows of A are interchanged to produce B, then  $\det B = \det A$ .
  - c) If one row of A is multiplied by r to produce B, then  $\det B = r \cdot \det A$

We can express the theorem as follows:

If 
$$A$$
 is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $E$  is an  $n \times n$  elementary matrix, then 
$$\det EA = \alpha \quad (\det A)$$
 where 
$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \text{ is a row replacement} \\ -1 & \text{if } E \text{ is an interchange} \\ r & \text{if } E \text{ is a scale by } r \end{cases}$$

We refer to EA as B.

- The proof is done by induction on the size of A.
- For the case of 2\*2, the correctness is obvious.
- Assume that for n=k, the theorem holds. We prove its correctness for n=k+1.
- A row operation might affect 1 or 2 rows. So for n>2, there is at least one *unaffected* row (e.g., row i) in A.
- We perform co-factor expansion around row *i*.
- Sub-matrices  $A_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  are  $k^*k$ . Therefore, the induction assumption implies that:  $\det B_{ij} = \alpha \cdot \det A_{ij}$
- We ave:  $\det EA = a_{i1}(-1)^{i+1} \det B_{i1} + \dots + a_{in}(-1)^{i+n} \det B_{in}$  $= \alpha a_{i1}(-1)^{i+1} \det A_{i1} + \dots + \alpha a_{in}(-1)^{i+n} \det A_{in}$  $= \alpha \cdot \det A$

- Also using induction, we can show that  $\alpha = \det E$ .
- In other words, the determinant of an elementary matrix obtained by:
  - row replacement is 1
  - row interchange is -1
  - *r*-row scaling is *r*.

So, we have:

If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and E is an  $n \times n$  elementary matrix, then  $\det EA = (\det E)(\det A)$  where  $\det E = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \text{ is a row replacement} \\ -1 & \text{if } E \text{ is an interchange} \\ r & \text{if } E \text{ is a scale by } r \end{cases}$ 

- **Example 1** Compute det *A*, where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 \\ -2 & 8 & -9 \\ -1 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- **Solution** The strategy is to reduce *A* to echelon form and then to use the fact that the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal entries. The first two row replacements in column 1 do not change the determinant:

$$detA = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 \\ -2 & 8 & -9 \\ -1 & 7 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \\ -1 & 7 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

 An interchange of rows 2 and 3 reverses the sign of the determinant, so

$$det A = -\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{vmatrix} = -(1)(3)(-5) = 15$$

- Theorem 4: A square matrix A is invertible if and only if det  $A \neq 0$ .
- **Example 3** Compute det A, where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ -6 & 7 & -7 & 4 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$
- **Solution** Add 2 times row 1 to row 3 to obtain

$$det A = det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ \hline 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

because the second and third rows of the second matrix are equal.

## **COLUMN OPERATIONS**

- Theorem 5: If A is a  $n \times n$  matrix, then det  $A^{T} = \det A$ .
- **Proof**: The theorem is obvious for n = 1. Suppose the theorem is true for  $k \times k$  determinants and let n = k + 1.
- Then the cofactor of  $a_{1j}$  in A equals the cofactor of  $a_{j1}$  in A<sup>T</sup>, because the cofactors involve  $k \times k$ determinants.
- Hence the cofactor expansion of det A along the first row equals the cofactor expansion of det  $A^{T}$  down the first column. That is, A and  $A^{T}$  have equal determinants.
- Thus the theorem is true for n = 1, and the truth of the theorem for one value of n implies its truth for the next value of n. By the principle of induction, the theorem is true for all  $n \ge 1$ .

### DETERMINANTS AND MATRIX PRODUCTS

■ Theorem 6: If A and B are  $n \times n$  matrices, then det AB=  $(\det A)(\det A)$ .

**Example 5** Verify Theorem 6 for 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solution

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 20 \\ 14 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\det AB = 25 \cdot 13 - 20 \cdot 14 = 325 - 280 = 45$$

Since  $\det A = 9$  and  $\det B = 5$ ,

$$(\det A)(\det B) = 9 \cdot 5 = 45 = \det AB$$

#### PROOF OF THEOREM 6

• If A is not invertible, neither is AB (this is an exercise). So:

$$0 = \det A = \det AB = 0$$

If A is invertible, A is equivalent to In. Therefore:

$$A = E_p E_{p-1} \cdots E_1 \cdot I_n = E_p E_{p-1} \cdots E_1$$

- For brevity, we write |A| for  $\det A$ .
- We have:

$$|AB| = |E_p \cdots E_1 B| = |E_p| |E_{p-1} \cdots E_1 B| = \cdots$$
  
=  $|E_p| \cdots |E_1| |B| = \cdots = |E_p \cdots E_1| |B|$   
=  $|A| |B|$