

SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS & IT

Machine Learning for Decision Making

Understanding what drives customers to purchase more products

| Student Name | (Matric Number |): | (2202934B) |
|--------------|----------------|----|------------|
|--------------|----------------|----|------------|

Tutorial Group: P03

Tutor: Zaw Htet Wai

Submission Date: 12/11/2023

Declaration of Originality

I am the originator of this work and I have appropriately acknowledged all other original sources used as my references for this work.

I understand that Plagiarism is the act of taking and using the whole or any part of another person's work, including work generated by AI, and presenting it as my own.


I understand that Plagiarism is an academic offence


and if I am found to have committed or abetted the offence of plagiarism in relation to this submitted work, disciplinary action will be enforced.

Describe how you have used Generative AI tools such as ChatGPT or Dall.E-2 in your assignment.

Show snapshots of the conversations with the AI tool (i.e., the prompts you used and the response you get from the AI tool).

1. Problem framing with ChatGPT:







Generating Code to solve data structures and algorithms problem + Debugging: def evaluate_model(model, X, y): y_pred = model.predict(X) # Predict using the model and predictors print(f"R-squared (Goodness of Fit): {r2_score(y, y_pred):.2f}") # for Linear Regression if hasattr(model, 'intercept_') and hasattr(model, 'coef_'): intercept = model.intercept [0] coefficients = model.coef_[0] print(f"Intercept: {intercept:.2f}") print("Coefficients:") # print the intercept name: value // this part isnt working as intended for feature, coef in zip(X, coefficients): print(f"{feature}: {coef:.2f}") # for Decision Tree Regressor elif hasattr(model, 'feature_importances_'): feature_importance = model.feature_importances_ print("Feature Importance:") for feature, importance in zip(X.columns, feature_importance): print(f"{feature}: {importance:.2f}") # catch error print("Model type not supported for obtaining coefficients or feature importance.")

1. Introduction

Business Context and Requirement

Retail Hypermarket is a Singapore-based store aiming to build strong customer relationships to secure long-term success. They want to enhance customer engagement and plan to launch a sales campaign in the upcoming quarter. However, they are uncertain about the factors that drive customers to make more purchases. As a data scientist, my role is to uncover the key drivers of customer engagement and their financial impact, so that I can provide data-driven sales strategies that can be backed by historical customer transactions to be highly successful if implemented.

1.1 Problem Statement

"Develop predictive models to understand the key drivers of customer engagement and their financial impact for the upcoming quarter. The model should assist the business to make informed decisions on strategic planning."

1.2 Objective

Develop machine learning models to help my client understand how various factors, such as product type, pricing, and market trends for different quarters, influence the quantity of products purchased by different customers. These insights will enable the client to create data-driven

sales strategies that make customers buy more products, thereby increasing customer spending and generating more revenue for the company. These strategies will be implemented in the next quarter as part of a sales campaign to improve sales revenue by increasing the average customer purchase volume.

The models will be trained to **predict how many products a customer bought (Quantity) for a transaction** based on customer type and features related to the product, market trends, and quarter. Quantity of a customer's purchase is the metric to quantify customer engagement. Increasing customer engagement = increasing customer purchase volume, which leads to more products being sold hence more revenue for the store.

1.3 Goal for deployment

Understand which market trends and pricing for specific product types lead to the highest customer engagement among various customer types. This will enable the formulation of data-driven strategies to encourage customers to buy more products in the next quarter.

1.3.1 Whitebox:

- · Highly interpretable
- Rationale behind how the model derives a prediction can be clearly understood.

1.3.2 Blackbox:

- · Predictions are highly accurate.
- Rationale behind how the model derives its prediction can be understood.

1.4 Inputs for Models

Target: Quantity

Potential predictors:

- Customer type: To identify the different types of customers. Since different customer types could have different behavioral patterns, this could be a relevant feature for the machine to learn.
- Product type: Certain products are meant for bulk purchases by nature. Example:
 Stationeries like pen, pencil, and eraser. Hence the 'ideal sales' for different products vary and products need to be compared separately.
- Product pricing: Customers may get tempted to buy more when there is a discount, hence
 the pricing is a potential feature for the machine to learn the pricing patterns for different
 products, so that a pricing strategy to boost customer engagement could be engineered by
 using the model.
- Quarter: To capture market trends for different quarters of the year.

1.5 Considerations

 Predictors should be readily available before the occurrence of prediction to ensure the model is usable for predicting.

- Data used to train models should be recent, to ensure the patterns and intricacies the model works on match the current trend. This makes the model usable as the predictions are reliable.
- Models should be well-generalized to predict unseen future occurrences with similar accuracy as the training dataset; no overfitting on training data.
- Models should adhere to AI ethics and regulations, ensuring transparency, fairness, absence of bias, and non-discrimination.

1.6 Metrics for Model Evaluation

- 1.6.1 **Goodness of Fit: R^2** to analyse how well the predictions can be explained by the predictor values, to assess if the patterns and intricacies of how customers engage in purchase of various products have been captured by the model.
- 1.6.2 **Accuracy: Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** to assess the error of an average prediction; how far an average prediction deviates from the actual value. This metric is chosen for its simplistic interpretation and appropriateness for the Target's nature.

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) is not chosen due to its slightly complex interpretation and nature of amplifying small errors due to the squared term. As the quantity range is quite small ranging from 1 to 20, there is no need to penalize larger errors as outliers are an impossibility.

1.7 Success Criteria for Project

- Deploy 1 predictive model to be presented to Retail Hypermarket for usage.
- Before deployment, a model's MAE should be 2 or below to ensure highly accurate
 predictions with an average deviation from the truth of no more than 2 units. This is because
 the Quantity/engagement of a transaction only has a range of 1 to 20, which is very small.
 Hence, the margin for error should be relative to this range.

MAE of 0 is the best-case scenario. However, this may not be realistically achievable. MAE of 0 may also indicate overfitting and should checked and confirmed that the model is not overfitted before deployment.

A large MAE makes the model unreliable for predicting customer product purchases, casting doubt on the accuracy of the insights derived from the model.

- Model fit (R-Squared value) should be above 80%, to affirm model is explainable and its decisions are closely based on the truth of historical data; no underfitting, hence reliable.
- Goal of deployment (1.3) should be fulfilled.
- The black-box model should outperform the white-box model by a noticeable margin of minimally 5% if chosen for deployment, to ensure the choice of the more complex model is justified by significantly improved accuracy.

1.8 Environment

- New Products: I should refrain from adding precise details about a product, such a Product ID, to allow the models to forecast engagement on new products that exhibit similar qualities with existing products within the training dataset. For example, the new product is in an existing category. If the new product is in a newly created category not in training dataset, the model cannot be used for predicting customer engagement on that product. This ensures the usability of a model is sustainable for future usage and does not require frequent updates.
- New Customers: The models should able to predict the customer engagement for customer not within training dataset. This ensures the models are versatile and usable in real world scenario.

This means I cannot use features that specify the exact customer. For example, name or customer ID. This is also to avoid overfitting.

- Economic Status: Customer interests and spending behaviour may change during recessions or economic boom. These trends may not be captured and identified if they are not within the training dataset.
- Market Trends: The market trend and customers' spending behavior can change rapidly in this fast-paced society, and may differ from the training data.
- Seasonal changes: Unprecedented events like a virus outbreak, unexpected intense rainfall
 or scorching weather period, may deter customers from visiting Retail Hypermarket,
 resulting financial performance that cannot be explained by the models.
- Competition: New retail companies may emerge in the future and influence customers' decision on whether to patronize Retail Hypermarket, and the models are unable to account for such external factors.

In summary:

- 1. The training dataset must be recent and reflective of current trends and customer behaviour.
- 2. The Model's code and documentations should be clear, easy to understand, and adaptable for future modifications (e.g. changing dataset, random state).

1.9 Target leakage

Do not include:

- Features not available at the time of prediction as this makes the model unusable as the business would not have access to such information when making pricing decisions. E.g. Year.
- Features directly related unit price to prevent multicollinearity issues.
- Features with unrealistically high collinearity with unit price, which could be derived from the target and bias the model.
- **High cardinality columns** like ID to prevent overfitting and ensure model generalization with new, unseen data.

2. Data Attributes

2.1 Data Understanding

The dataset 'synthetic_data.csv' was provided by Retail Hypermarket, which contains the company's transaction records from 2022 onwards. A data dictionary, attached below, has also been provided for understanding what each column represents.

The dataset should be trusted as it was received directly from the client.

Data Dictionary: The dataset contains the following columns:

datetime: The date and time the transaction was made.

customer_id: The ID of the customer who made the transaction.

product_id: The ID of the product involved in the transaction.

category: The category of the product.

unit_price: The unit price of the product.

quantity: The quantity of the product sold.

Evaluation:

- 1. Customer Type is not given, but Customer ID is provided. Perhaps the Customer Type could be in the ID?
- 2. Category has similar meaning to Product Type where it provides detail about what the product is. Hence, category could represent the product type.
- 3. Product price is provided as unit_price.
- 4. Features related to market trend are absent from dataset. However, potential features like Day, Month, and Quarter could be derived from datetime to allow the model to capture market trends for different periods of the year.

2.2 Data Inspection

2.2.1 Importing libraries

```
In [1]: import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

SEED = 2202934 # admin number for random_state
```

2.2.2 Loading csv into DataFrame

```
In [2]: df = pd.read_csv('synthetic_data.csv')
print("Number of observations: ", len(df)) # count rows in df
```

Number of observations: 331664

There is an abundance of data. The 300K+ rows of data is enough for training and testing the models.

2.2.3 Exploring Dataset

Out[3]:

| | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID |
|---|------------|------------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------|
| 0 | 2022-01-07 | 10106959 | Stationery | 9 | 1.5798753892 | a225207859 |
| 1 | 2022-01-09 | 90097406 | Sports | 4 | 196.2533774599 | a225207859 |
| 2 | 2022-01-10 | 10010465 | Electronics | 1 | 825.3742907058 | a225207859 |
| 3 | 2022-01-14 | 10010510 | Electronics | 2 | 325.9650346646 | a225207859 |
| 4 | 2022-01-16 | 40049430 | Books | 1 | 22.6019194627 | a225207859 |

In [4]: df.tail()

Out[4]:

| | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID |
|--------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| 331659 | 2022-12-18 | 20026116 | Groceries | 5 | 5.3781616834 | c891387366 |
| 331660 | 2022-12-23 | 10018925 | Electronics | 1 | 1193.8285186999 | c891387366 |
| 331661 | 2022-12-27 | 20022820 | Groceries | 3 | 5.1754917125 | c891387366 |
| 331662 | 2022-12-27 | 40042985 | Books | 2 | 38.5985362367 | c891387366 |
| 331663 | 2022-12-31 | 20025163 | Groceries | 3 | 5.3977440791 | c891387366 |

Evaluation:

- 1. **Datetime is missing time**. There is nothing that can be done about missing time as I am only provided with this dataset.
- 2. There is **no columns like Quarter or Month**. However, these seasonality features can be derived from the date in Datetime.
- 3. Unit_Price is a non-terminating number, which is strange as its unconventional for prices at supermarkets to go beyond cents. Hence, **Unit_Price should be rounded off to 2 d.p.**
- 4. The records seem to be in **time-series**, and ends at 31 December 2022? (Continued at 2.3.2.b)

2.2.4 Are there duplicated records?

```
In [5]: df.duplicated(subset=['Datetime', 'Customer_ID']).sum() # sum up number of dupl
Out[5]: 29362
```

This indicates that **there are customers who make multiple transactions a day**, indicating that they bought different products at the same time.

To check if there are duplicated records, I should factor in Product_ID as Retail Hypermarket wouldn't seperate the same product into different transaction.

```
In [6]: df.duplicated(subset=['Datetime', 'Customer_ID', 'Product_ID']).sum() # sum up
Out[6]: 0
```

There are **no duplicated records in dataset**.

2.2.5 Check for data types and missing values

```
pd.set_option('display.float_format', '{:.3f}'.format) # revert df to round of
In [7]:
In [8]: | df.info()
        <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
        RangeIndex: 331664 entries, 0 to 331663
        Data columns (total 6 columns):
            Column
                         Non-Null Count
                                         Dtype
        --- -----
                         -----
         0
           Datetime
                         331664 non-null object
            Product_ID
                         331664 non-null int64
         1
           Category
                         331664 non-null object
         3 Quantity
                         331664 non-null int64
         4
            Unit_Price
                         331664 non-null float64
            Customer_ID 331664 non-null object
        dtypes: float64(1), int64(2), object(3)
        memory usage: 15.2+ MB
```

Takeaways:

- 1. No missing values for any columns because all columns have 331, 664 non-null values.
- 2. Datetime column is not in its proper format of a date datatype.

2.2.6 Summary statistics

```
In [9]: df.describe().loc[['min', 'mean', 'max']]
```

Out[9]:

| | Product_ID | Quantity | Unit_Price |
|------|--------------|----------|------------|
| min | 10010000.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 |
| mean | 30263284.237 | 9.125 | 124.090 |
| max | 90099999.000 | 20.000 | 1199.947 |

```
In [10]: df.nunique() # count of unique values in a column
Out[10]: Datetime
                            368
         Product_ID
                          75781
         Category
                            10
         Quantity
                             20
         Unit Price
                         331664
         Customer_ID
                           2648
         dtype: int64
In [11]: df.Category.unique() # identify all unique values in category col
Out[11]: array(['Stationery', 'Sports', 'Electronics', 'Books', 'Groceries',
                 'Health & Beauty', 'Furniture', 'Automotive', 'Clothing', 'Toys'],
               dtype=object)
```

Takeaways:

- 1. All Product IDs are 8 char.
- 2. Every transaction can only involve 1 to 20 of the same product.
- 3. Cheapest product is 1 dollar and most expensive is 1200 dollars. An average product costs 124 dollars per unit.
- 4. There are 10 types of product Categories.

Evaluation:

- 1. Datetime should not contain any anomalies if it can be successfully parsed into Date datatype.
- 2. Identifying anomaly values for Product_ID and Customer_ID can be tough as there are thousands of unique values; too many to manually inspect. Hence, I shall perform Exploratory Data Analysis to investigate for irregularities in these columns.
- 3. Category does not have any anomalies can all unique values are logical for a product category.
- 4. Quantity has no anomalies as all unique values are integer and within range of 1 to 20; no irregular values like 0.2 quantity or -1 quantity. This also suggests that there can be no partial purchases or refunds.

2.2.7 Do Products have a fixed unit price

```
In [12]: Product = df.sort_values(by=['Product_ID'])
Product.head(4)
```

Out[12]:

| | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID |
|--------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| 126708 | 2022-05-22 | 10010000 | Electronics | 2 | 850.915 | a704811449 |
| 326206 | 2022-05-26 | 10010000 | Electronics | 2 | 357.381 | c567078712 |
| 173955 | 2022-09-06 | 10010000 | Electronics | 1 | 495.939 | c849466466 |
| 105432 | 2022-11-07 | 10010000 | Electronics | 1 | 791.225 | d703549797 |

Analysis:

Price of product changes over time. This indicates that the store practices competitive pricing by changing prices to offer attractive deals that encourage customers to engage in purchases.

2.3 Data Cleaning

2.3.0 Rectifications based on Data Inspection:

- 1. Round off Unit_Price to 2 d.p.
- 2. Parse Datetime into date datatype.
- 3. Features extraction on Date to create Quarters.

2.3.1 Unit_Price:

```
In [13]: df['Unit_Price'] = round(df['Unit_Price'], 2)
```

2.3.2.a Parsing Datetime:

```
In [14]: df['Datetime'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Datetime'])
```

There are no anomalies in Datetime as all values conform to Date datatype format.

2.3.2.b Confirmation in data is in time-series

```
In [15]: df.sort_values(by='Datetime', inplace=True)
    df.tail(8)
```

Out[15]:

| | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID |
|--------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| 48824 | 2023-01-03 | 50056627 | Furniture | 1 | 927.850 | a793237418 |
| 150571 | 2023-01-03 | 70070603 | Toys | 9 | 24.140 | d407005726 |
| 151609 | 2023-01-03 | 40041605 | Books | 3 | 5.020 | a166502882 |
| 77889 | 2023-01-03 | 90093200 | Sports | 2 | 33.040 | a253267567 |
| 200653 | 2023-01-03 | 70077230 | Toys | 3 | 8.880 | a731741739 |
| 115899 | 2023-01-03 | 50050749 | Furniture | 2 | 985.720 | a555546365 |
| 229742 | 2023-01-03 | 10012069 | Electronics | 1 | 1112.050 | d112052977 |
| 95489 | 2023-01-03 | 30030537 | Clothing | 5 | 24.710 | b004263214 |

Analysis:

- It's strange that these transactions were placed randomly within the 2022 dataset rather than at the end of the dataset as expected.
- This raises concerns about the reliable of the Datetime column, especially when the time values are missing from this column.

Evaluation:

- The Datetime for 2023 records may be incorrect as these records do not follow the typical pattern of transactional record systems where new transactions are found at the end of the dataset.
- Since there are 300K rows of data, sufficient for training and validating the model, I shall
 exclude the 2023 records and train my model solely on 2022 data due to suspicions in
 reliability of 2023 records: because time is missing from Datetime, its possible that this
 column has problems.
- However, 2023 records could be used for testing of models.

2.3.3 Quarter:

Customer spending behavior can varies across seasons, hence I will create this column to allow user to predict prices for different quarters of the year.

```
In [18]: df['Quarter'] = df['Datetime'].dt.to_period('Q').astype(str).str[-1]
# Only need the Quarter number, hence index last number in Quarter
```

2.4 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

To delve into relationships between features and the Target, to identify potential data issues and whether the feature is relevant for predicting quantity.

2.4.1 Examine df:

In [19]: df.sample(12) # randomly sample df to analyse data

Out[19]:

| | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID | Quarter |
|--------|------------|------------|-----------------|----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| 309903 | 2022-08-19 | 20020838 | Groceries | 20 | 17.380 | c039605944 | 3 |
| 308913 | 2022-08-01 | 20029610 | Groceries | 11 | 11.190 | d842584597 | 3 |
| 121599 | 2022-07-20 | 20023955 | Groceries | 1 | 7.270 | c367344946 | 3 |
| 183628 | 2022-09-05 | 20028652 | Groceries | 16 | 15.120 | c432057148 | 3 |
| 14393 | 2022-01-12 | 20026397 | Groceries | 11 | 14.210 | b127986496 | 1 |
| 287939 | 2022-06-14 | 20024945 | Groceries | 10 | 5.230 | d634883375 | 2 |
| 81154 | 2022-10-07 | 10013922 | Electronics | 2 | 394.930 | c846992818 | 4 |
| 89120 | 2022-08-29 | 20020634 | Groceries | 13 | 13.790 | b469452779 | 3 |
| 299342 | 2022-09-01 | 20020205 | Groceries | 13 | 18.410 | b573562567 | 3 |
| 122780 | 2022-04-30 | 20024863 | Groceries | 15 | 15.880 | d839903612 | 2 |
| 108191 | 2022-07-27 | 20026858 | Groceries | 5 | 8.030 | c997234834 | 3 |
| 141305 | 2022-07-03 | 80088205 | Health & Beauty | 4 | 60.630 | d725223386 | 3 |

2.4.2 EDA Graph Plotter:

```
In [20]: def eda_plot(df, category, measure, plot_type='line', measurement='sum'):
             category_total = df.groupby(category)[measure].agg(measurement)
                                                                                   # Aggr
             plt.figure(figsize=(16, 6))
                                                                                   # Set
             # Toggle to the selected chart
             if plot type == 'line':
                 plt.plot(category_total.index, category_total.values, marker='o', lines
                                                                                   # turr
                 plt.grid(True)
             elif plot_type == 'bar':
                 category_total = category_total.sort_values(ascending=False)
                                                                                   # Sort
                 plt.bar(category_total.index, category_total.values)
                 print("Invalid plot_type. Please use 'line' or 'bar'.")
                                                                                   # errc
                 return
             # Remove ' ' for easier readibility of Legends
             measure = measure.replace('_', '')
             category = category.replace('_', ''')
             # Add labels above the data points
             for x, y in zip(category_total.index, category_total.values):
                 plt.text(x, y, f'{y:.2f}', ha='center', va='bottom')
             plt.title(f'{measurement.capitalize()} {measure} per {category}')
             plt.xlabel(category)
             plt.ylabel(measure)
             plt.xticks(category_total.index)
             plt.show()
```


Usage:

eda_plot(DataFrame, [Column in df], [measure, must be numerical], plot_type= [Type of Plot, line or bar, default=line], measurement=[measurement, sum/mean/median. default=sum])

2.4.3.a Engagement by Quarter

• Is there a discernable customer engagement pattern for each quarter?

In [21]: eda_plot(df, 'Quarter', 'Quantity', measurement='mean') # how much products an



A) Analysis:

- On average, a customer purchases 9 products per transaction.
- Average engagement of a customer is indifferent for all quarters; only small and insignificant different of 0.02 units.

B) Conclusion:

An average customer engages equally in a purchase throughout the year;

The average customer engagement for all quarters are the same with no significant variation.

2.4.3.b Market Trend by Quarter

Is there an underlying market trend for each quarter?

In [22]: df['Total_Spent'] = df['Unit_Price'] * df['Quantity'] # calculate to total eda_plot(df, 'Quarter', 'Total_Spent', measurement='sum') # total spending per

Sum Total Spent per Quarter

25320340.12

25224641.40

2482

2482

2482

2482

2483

2483

24951175.53

Quarter

A) Analysis:


The customer engagement for Retail Hypermarket during Q1 and Q2 is lower than the engagement during Q3 and Q4, as the total spendings of customers during Q1 and Q2 are below 25,000,000 dollars.


B) Conclusion:

This suggests that there could be an underlying market trend there are **more customers** who buy more and **spend more during the later half of the year**.

2.4.4 Relationship between quantity and quality

 Do customers purchase more when products are cheaper or buy fewer when prices are higher?





A) Analysis:

- 1. Each transaction can have a quantity of 1 to 20 of a product.
- 2. 3 Classes observed:
 - Customers usually only buy 1-2 of an expensive product.
 - Customers buy 3-9 of a mid-range priced product.
 - Customers buy cheap products below 20 dollars in bulks of 10-20.


B) Evaluation:


- Discounts isn't the explanation for customers buying more of a product as a discount of 88% (350/400) is illogical.
- Expensive products have poor customer engagement as customers only buy 1-2 of such products, while cheaper products below 50 dollars have higher engagement as customers buy such products in bulk purchases.

C) Conclusion:

• Unit Price is a potential predictor because there is a distinguishable engagement pattern between cheap, mid-range, and expensive products.

2.4.5 Engagement across different product categories





Calculating percentage of grocery purchases:

```
In [25]: # Sum quantity for all rows where category is groceries
    total_groceries = sum(df[df['Category'] == 'Groceries']['Quantity'])
# calculate percentage of purchases that groceries
    total_groceries / sum(df['Quantity'])
```

Out[25]: 0.8547962024705495

A) Analysis

- 85% of purchases are products under Groceries category.
- Products from expensive categories like Furniture and Stationery have the poorest customer engagement as customers only purchase 1-2 of such products per transaction.
- Products from cheap categories like Groceries and Stationery have high customer engagement as customers purchase 10-13 of these products at a time.

B) Evaluation

- Customers treat Retail Hypermarket as a grocery store as most products bought are groceries.
- Pricier products tend to get lesser customer engagement. This could be due to:
 - Nature of products: customers do not need multiple furnitures.
 - 2. Unaffordability: an **average customer cannot afford to spend 7000 dollars** to buy 10 electronic devices at a time.
- It's worth noting that there may be multicollinearity between the quantity of items purchased and the product category since the engagement patterns complement each other. This will be **investigated in 2.5**.

C) Conclusion

Category is a useful predictor because there is an observable pattern for customer engagement across different categories.


2.4.6.a Exploring relationship between Category and Product_ID

From 2.4.1, I discovered that the first 4 digits could represent something. To clarify my assumption, I shall investigate through visualisation.

```
In [26]: # Extract the first digit from 'Product_ID' and create a new column 'First_Digit'
df['4_digits'] = df['Product_ID'].astype(str).str[0:4]

# Group data by 'First_Digit' and 'Category' and count the occurrences
grouped = df.groupby(['4_digits', 'Category']).size().unstack(fill_value=0)

# Create a bar plot to visualize the relationship
grouped.plot(kind='bar', stacked=True, figsize=(16, 6))
plt.title("Product Categories by First 4 digits of Product ID")
plt.xlabel("First 4 digits of Product ID")
plt.ylabel("Count")
plt.show()
```



In [27]: df.Product_ID.nunique() # count of distinct values

Out[27]: 75725

A) Analysis

- First 4 digits of Product ID represents the category.
- Groceries are the most common products sold in Retail Hypermarket.

B) Evaluation

- There is no anomalous products that do not belong to a category.
- There are too many product IDs; high cardinality column.

2.4.6.b Could Product Type be within Product_ID?

Since product category could be found within Product_ID, could the Product_ID also tell us what type of product it is?

```
In [28]: df['Product_ID'].nunique() # how many product ids are there
```

Out[28]: 75725

```
In [29]: # Extract the 5th to 6th digits from the 'Product_ID'
    df['Product_Type_1'] = df['Product_ID'].astype(str).str[4:6]
    # Extract the 7th to 8th digits from the 'Product_ID'
    df['Product_Type_2'] = df['Product_ID'].astype(str).str[6:9]

In [30]: df['Product_Type_1'].nunique()

Out[30]: 100

In [31]: df['Product_Type_2'].nunique()

Out[31]: 100

In [32]: # How unique variations of products
    df['Product_Type_3'] = df['Product_ID'].astype(str).str[4:9]
    df['Product_Type_3'].nunique()
Out[32]: 10000
```

C) Assumptions

1.

- Product_Type_1 could represent the product type; what the product is.
- Product_Type_2 could represent the brand of the brand.

2.

Last 4 numbers could just be random variations to identify a product.

D) Conclusion


- Do not use Product ID as it could overfit model due to high cardinality.
- Risky to use Product Types as its impossible to validate what they represent due to insufficient information. Hence, it could violate the requirements of 'Need to be usable for new products' and it could also potential overfit the model due to high cardinality.


2.4.7 Customer analysis

 I suspect a similar pattern with Customer ID where Retail Hypermarket labels their customer and puts the customer type as the header of the ID. Hence, I investigated each customer class. In [33]: # Extract the first letter from 'Customer_ID' and create a new column 'Customer
df['Customer_Type'] = df['Customer_ID'].str[0].str.capitalize()

how many customer per class
eda_plot(df, 'Customer_Type', 'Quantity', 'bar', 'count')

average amount of products purchase per transaction for each customer type
eda_plot(df, 'Customer_Type', 'Quantity', 'bar', 'mean')





A) Analysis

- First letter of Product ID represents the customer class.
- Around 80K customers for each customer type; no class imbalance in customer type.
- All customer class purchase around 9 products per transaction; indifferent.
- Customer type B has most engagement while customer type C has least engagement.

B) Conclusion

Customer type is a weak feature as there is minimal variation in customer engagement across customer types.

2.5 Multivariate Analysis

To study 3 features at once, to analyse complex relationships between variables.


```
In [34]: def multi_analysis(df, x, y, color_by, subset_categories=None):
    dot_size = 150


    if subset_categories:
        df = df[~df[color_by].isin(subset_categories)]

    plt.figure(figsize=(16, 10))
    sns.scatterplot(x=df[x], y=df[y], hue=df[color_by], s=dot_size)
    plt.title(f"Relationship between {x}, {y}, and {color_by}")
    plt.xlabel(x)
    plt.ylabel(y)
    plt.legend(title=color_by)
    plt.show()
```


Usage: Input DataFrame, name of x column, name of y column, column that colors the dots, [Unique values in col that colors the dot to exclude].

2.5.1 Follow up for 2.4.5: How does Unit Price AND Category influence the pattern of customer engagement.





In [36]: multi_analysis(df,'Quantity','Unit_Price','Category', subset_categories=['Elect



Takeaways:

- 1. All products above 200 dollars are Electronics or Furnitures, and the maximum engagement these product types received was 2.
- 2. Furniture products are priced between 800 to 1000 dollars only.
- 3. Automotive has a maximum possible engagement of 4.
- 4. Sports, Books, and Clothing products have a maximum possible engagement of 5.
 - · Cheaper Books have tendency of higher engagement.
- 5. Health & Beauty and Toys products have a maximum possible engagement of 10.
- 6. All Health & Beauty products beyond engagement of 5 are below 150 dollars.
- 7. Groceries and Stationery products can receive an engagement of up to 20.

Conclusion:

- Category and Unit Price have overlapping patterns that could complement each other. For example, unit price can be used to identify certain categories.
- Category is the more useful feature to determine the engagement range for a product category. However, Unit Price can be used with Category to narrow down the wide engagement range for categories.

3. Modelling

3.0 Reusable Methods

3.0.1 Importing libraries

```
In [37]: from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
    from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_absolute_error as MAE
    from sklearn import tree
    import numpy as np
```

3.0.2 Partitioner

To split dataset into train-test sets

Usage:

Provide DataFrame, target column, train size, and whether the predictors should be normalized for interepretability.

```
In [38]: def partition(X, y, train_size=0.7, SEED=SEED, normalize=True):
    if normalize:
        scaler = StandardScaler()  # init scaler
        X = scaler.fit_transform(X) # scale predictors in X

# Split the normalized data into train and test sets
    X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X, y, train_size=train_si
    return X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val
```

3.0.3 Model Evaluator

Report on model's fit and how predictors explain prediction.

Usage:

Provide the trained model, Predictors DataFrame, Target DataFrame, original Predictors DataFrame (to obtain column names), are you evaluating with model's training dataset? [True/False] default=False, max_depth=[display branches to which level].

```
In [39]: def evaluate_model(model, X, y, cols, training=False, max_depth=3):
             y pred = model.predict(X) # Predict using the model and predictors
             # Only runs for Linear Regression, when intercept_ is found in model
             if hasattr(model, 'intercept_') and training:
                 print(f"Intercept: {model.intercept :.2f}")
                 print(pd.DataFrame(model.coef_, cols.columns, columns=["Coefficient"]))
             # for black-box models like DTR
             elif hasattr(model, 'feature_importances_') and training:
                 # visualize Tree
                 plt.figure(figsize=(16,20))
                 tree.plot_tree(model, feature_names=list(X_train.columns), max_depth=ma
             elif hasattr(model, 'intercept_') or hasattr(model, 'feature_importances_')
                 pass # if testing set, no need to report on features
             # catch error
             else:
                 print("Model type not supported for obtaining coefficients or feature i
             # Visualize the fit
             plt.figure(figsize=(10, 10))
             plt.scatter(y, y_pred, alpha=0.2)
             plt.xlabel("Actual Values")
             plt.ylabel("Predicted Values")
             plt.title("Actual vs Predicted Values")
             plt.grid(True)
             plt.show()
             print(f"R-squared (Goodness of Fit): {r2_score(y, y_pred):.2f}")
```

3.0.4 Accuracy Evaluator

Creates relevant assessments to report on a model's performance.

Usage:

Provide the trained model, Predictors DataFrame, Target DataFrame, sample size for visualization.

```
In [40]: def evaluate_accuracy(model, X, y, sample_size=0):
             y pred = model.predict(X) # Predict using the model and predictors
             # accuracy of predictions
             mae = MAE(y, y_pred)
             print(f"Mean Absolute Error (MAE): {mae:.2f}")
             # Visualize Accuracy
             if sample_size > 0:
                 y = y.iloc[:sample_size] # subset y into sample size specified
                 y pred = y pred[:sample size]
             else:
                 return # if no sample size = no need sampling analysis, end the function
             print("Sampled Analysis:")
             plt.figure(figsize=(16, 6))
             plt.plot(y.reset_index(drop=True), "red", label='Actual Data')
             plt.plot(y_pred, 'blue', label='Predicted Data', alpha=0.5)
             plt.ylabel('Quantity')
             plt.title('Actual Vs Predicted')
             plt.legend()
             plt.show()
             # accuracy for subsetted data
             mae = MAE(y, y_pred)
             print(f"MAE for sample: {mae:.2f}")
```

3.1 Data Pre-processing

3.1.0 Encoders

Original columns will be dropped after encoding.

1. One-Hot Encoder:

Input: DataFrame, [encode_col1, encode_col2]

2.a Label Encoder:

```
In [42]: from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
         def label_encode(df, columns_to_encode):
             label_encoders = {}
                                                                       # Dictionary to st
             df encoded = df.copy()
                                                                       # Create a copy of
             for col in columns_to_encode:
                 label encoder = LabelEncoder()
                                                                               # Init the
                 encoded_data = label_encoder.fit_transform(df_encoded[col]) # Fit and
                 df_encoded[col + '_encoded'] = encoded_data
                                                                               # Add the
                                                                               # Store th
                 label encoders[col] = label encoder
                 df_encoded.drop(col, axis=1, inplace=True)
                                                                               # Drop the
             return df_encoded, label_encoders
```

Input: DataFrame, [encode_col1, encode_col2]

2.b Label Decoder:

```
In [43]: def label_decoder(label_encoders):
    for col, encoder in label_encoders.items():
        print(f"Label values for {col}: {encoder.classes_}") # map out cols and
```

3.1.1 Extract relevant features for Model's DataFrame:

```
In [44]: df.head(1)
```

Out[44]:

| | | Datetime | Product_ID | Category | Quantity | Unit_Price | Customer_ID | Quarter | Total_Spent |
|---|--------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| - | 269220 | 2022-01- 01 | 20028680 | Groceries | 15 | 10.140 | c918818917 | 1 | 152.100 |
| | 4 | | | | | | | | |

Do not use columns:

1. Datetime:

- Target Leakage: future value.
- High cardinality and leads to overfitting.

2. Product_ID:

- Violates business requirement: Model must work for new unseen values.
- High cardinality and leads to overfitting.

3. Customer ID:

Violates business requirement: Model must work for new unseen values.

· High cardinality and leads to overfitting.

4. First 4 digits of Product_ID:

Same meaning and value as category, leading to multicollinearity.

```
In [45]: # Subset a predictors and Target into DataFrames for modelling
X = df[['Category', 'Unit_Price', 'Customer_Type', 'Quarter']] # predictors
y = df['Quantity'] # Target
```

3.1.2 Encoding predictors

I selected one-hot encoding as its easy to interpret and is the most common encoding method used.

3.1.3 Data Partitioning

Splitting dataset into train-test sets where 70% of data is for training and 30% is for validation. Normalization not needed as all features are 0 or 1 except for unit price because their are derived from one-hot encoding. Hence, the model can be interpreted fairly without normalization.

```
In [48]: X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = partition(X, y, train_size=0.7, normalize=Fals
```

3.2 Linear Regression (LR)


```
In [49]: from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
    LR_model = LinearRegression() # init model
    LR_model.fit(X_train, y_train) # train model
Out[49]: LinearRegression()
```

Evaluate Model explanability on training set:

In [50]: evaluate_model(LR_model, X_train, y_train, X, training=True)
Model, training predictors, training targets, predictors, isTraining

Intercept: 4.64

| · | Coefficient |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Unit_Price | 0.001 |
| Category_Automotive | -2.251 |
| Category_Books | -1.689 |
| Category_Clothing | -1.712 |
| Category_Electronics | -3.841 |
| Category_Furniture | -4.053 |
| Category_Groceries | 7.965 |
| Category_Health & Beauty | 0.721 |
| Category_Sports | -1.754 |
| Category_Stationery | 5.795 |
| Category_Toys | 0.820 |
| Customer_Type_A | -0.001 |
| Customer_Type_B | 0.045 |
| Customer_Type_C | -0.135 |
| Customer_Type_D | 0.091 |
| Quarter_1 | -0.010 |
| Quarter_2 | 0.026 |
| Quarter_3 | 0.011 |
| Quarter_4 | -0.026 |




R-squared (Goodness of Fit): 0.51

Model performance on training set:

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): 3.36

Evaluate Model explanability on validation set:

In [52]: evaluate_model(LR_model, X_val, y_val, X)




R-squared (Goodness of Fit): 0.51

Model performance on validation set:

In [53]: evaluate_accuracy(LR_model, X_val, y_val, sample_size=200) # edit sample_size t

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): 3.37 Sampled Analysis:



MAE for sample: 3.03

Analysis:


Model is unable to predict beyond 13 units of Quantity.


3.3 Decision Tree Regression (DTR)

```
In [54]: #Build DTR model
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
DTR_model = DecisionTreeRegressor(random_state=SEED).fit(X_train , y_train) # t
```

Evaluate Model explanability on training set:

In [55]: evaluate_model(DTR_model, X_train, y_train, X, training=True, max_depth=2)






R-squared (Goodness of Fit): 0.69

Model performance on training set:

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): 2.36

Evaluate Model explanability on validation set:

In [57]: evaluate_model(DTR_model, X_val, y_val, X)




R-squared (Goodness of Fit): 0.50

Model performance on validation set:

In [58]: evaluate_accuracy(DTR_model, X_val, y_val, sample_size=300) # edit sample_size

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): 3.46 Sampled Analysis:



MAE for sample: 3.30

Analysis:

DTR model is likely overfitted due to disparity of the R-Squared and MAE for training and validation.

Evaluation of Baseline Models:

- LR is unable to capture the pattern of customer engagement beyond 13 units.
- DTR has overfitting issues that needs to be fixed.
- DTR seems to have potential in understanding customer engagement as the training MAE is 2.36. Improvements should be made to make it lower.
- DTR's R-Squared is below 80%, indicating that the model has limited explanatory power as the patterns in the variations of the predictors were not captured adequately.
- I need to round off prediction to whole value or tune to model to only produce discrete predictions.

Next Steps for Part 2

Build upon the DTR model by:

- · Addressing overfitting issue
- Features Engineering for more meaningful predictors
- · Features Selection
- Trying out Ensemble Machine Learning methods like Random Forest
- Selecting best Encoding Method
- · Permutation Selection
- Model hyperparameter-tuning/pruning to improve predictive performance

References:

- 1.0 Problem Framing: Creating Week 03 Workshop 05: Problem Framing. Temasek Polytechnic.
- 1.6 Metrics for evaluating models. Week 02 Workshop 04: Model Scoring
- 1.8 Considering environmental factors: Ideas were improved upon suggestions from ChatGPT.
- 1.9 Formulating potential Target Leakages, Week 03 Workshop 06: Target Leakage
- 2.1 Data Dictionary is taken from project specifications.
- 2.4.2 EDA Graph Plotter is built with ChatGPT. OpenAI. (2023, October 30). Re: Python
 Code for Creating Line and Bar Plots in EDA [Online Forum Comment]. ChatGPT by
 OpenAI. https://www.chatgpt.com (https://www.chatgpt.com)
- 2.4.6 Graph of Total Revenue per Product is adapted from ChatGPT's code. OpenAI. (2023, October 30). Re: Python Code for Creating Line and Bar Plots in EDA [Online Forum Comment]. ChatGPT by OpenAI. https://www.chatgpt.com (https://www.chatgpt.com)
- 3.0 Reusable methods are built with ChatGPT. OpenAl. (2023, October 31).
- 3.0.3 Coefficient of predictors table adapted from P01_RecapML 4.4 Model Interpretation#Coefficients. Temasek Polytechnic.
- 3.0.4 Sampled analysis graph is adapted from P01_RecapML 4.4 Model Interpretation#Plot of y pred and y test. Temasek Polytechnic.
- 3.1.0 One-Hot encoder built with ChatGPT. OpenAI. (2023, October 31). Re: # One-Hot Encoding def one-hot(): // create a one-hot encoder function. input: df, columns to be encoder [Online Forum Comment]. ChatGPT by OpenAI. https://www.chatgpt.com
 (https://www.chatgpt.com
- 3.1.0 Label encoder and decoder built using One-Hot encoder and Lab P01_RecapML#3.
 Data Preparation
- 3.3 Code to visualize Decision Tree Regressor is taken from Lab P02 TreeBasedAlgorithm#2.4 Limitations of DT#Method 2

Guide for Data Modelling:

- Ameisen, E. (2018, March 6). Always start with a stupid model, no exceptions. Medium.
 https://blog.insightdatascience.com/always-start-with-a-stupid-model-no-exceptions-3a22314b9aaa (https://blog.insightdatascience.com/always-start-with-a-stupid-model-no-exceptions-3a22314b9aaa)
- Nair, A. (2022, April 9). Baseline Models: Your Guide For Model Building Towards Data Science. Medium. https://towardsdatascience.com/baseline-models-your-guide-for-model-building-1ec3aa244b8d)

End of Part 1